

Load variations in bridge falsework

Autor(en): **Quinon, D.W.**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **IABSE congress report = Rapport du congrès AIPC = IVBH
Kongressbericht**

Band (Jahr): **12 (1984)**

PDF erstellt am: **25.05.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-12250>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Load Variations in Bridge Falsework

D.W. QUINION

Chief Engineer

Tarmac Construction Limited

Wolverhampton, England

Construction Method

It was necessary to construct the concrete box girder section on a multitude of tubular steel members using a proprietary frame system because of the complex geometry of the bridge and the heavy loads to be carried. The four spans are unequal, the bridge has a reverse curve on plan and it is on a vertical curve. The east abutment is adjacent to a disused railway tunnel whilst the west abutment is on the top of a steeply inclined schist slope which has a river at its foot. In the more heavily loaded areas there were 26 lines of tubular supports, many of which were expected to receive safe maximum working loads.

The box construction, up to 6.3 m overall depth, was constructed in 10 m lengths of bottom slab, walls and then road deck. After the first span and a quarter had been concreted and had matured, it was post-tensioned using a stressing gap whilst concreting continued westwards. The gap was then filled. This procedure was followed for three spans.

Design of Falsework

The falsework supports were designed to withstand the dead load of the concrete work plus the imposed loadings during construction immediately above, together with the distributed wind load from wind speeds of 46 m per second with reduction factors. The wind load calculations based on the Code for permanent structures gave unrealistic answers and questioning led to evaluation of a more relevant design approach to wind loads on multi-tubular frameworks.

The bridge designer was involved in the assessment of likely vertical movements of the bridge as post tensioning was carried out. Whilst the first two operations were predicted to give small acceptable movements, the third span cantilever was predicted to rise by 55 mm and the rotation about the adjacent pier would increase the load in the Falsework east of it. The difficulty of assessing the magnitude of this increase and the risk to the safety of the Falsework for use here or elsewhere led to the use of load-measuring gauges to monitor the load history in the more critical area.

Load History

As concrete construction progressed the loads in the Falsework increased and approached the design values. As the concrete matured and particularly after a span was completed, the loads dropped in the Falsework as some 20% was transferred to the piers.

During post tensioning the third cantilever end rose by 76 mm and the adjacent span moved 14 mm downwards. The loads on the measured members rose by 25% from their previous values.

Subsequently, during removal of the Falsework the loads in some of the members which were the last to be fully relieved of load increased by a further 25%.

Summary

The measurements of the load history in the Falsework have shown the significance of post tensioning movements on supporting temporary works and the need to assess them. Monitoring of this kind is not only of value to future designs but enables safe control of Falsework to be demonstrated as the work proceeds.

LOAD VARIATIONS IN BRIDGE FALSEWORK

