Differential creep, shrinkage and stress redistribution in composite prestressed concrete beams

Autor(en): Abeles, Paul W.

- Objekttyp: Article
- Zeitschrift: IABSE reports of the working commissions = Rapports des commissions de travail AIPC = IVBH Berichte der Arbeitskommissionen

Band (Jahr): 6 (1970)

PDF erstellt am: 26.05.2024

Persistenter Link: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-7772

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek* ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

http://www.e-periodica.ch

Differential Creep, Shrinkage and Stress Redistribution in Composite Prestressed Concrete Beams

> PAUL W. ABELES D.Sc., Consultant **Research Fellow** University Southampton London Great Britain

In the preliminary publication, a study is contained on differential shrinkage (1). In addition to the theories by Birkeland, Evans and Branson (Ref.4-6 of paper (1)) that of Evans and Parker (2) should be mentioned. All these theories are based on the same assumptions i.e. that the free shrinkage of the prestressed and non-prestressed components, acting at the respective centroids, are known and that the resulting strain distribution is straight. Thus, if the free shrinkage and/or creep strains of the prestressed component is \mathcal{E}_{pf} and that of the non-prestressed component is \mathcal{E}_{nf} , it is possible to obtain the position of the resulting strain distribution from the difference of these two free strains $\Delta \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{nf} - \varepsilon_{pf}$, as seen from Fig.l in which the strain distributions due to (i) the free and (ii) the resultant strains are plotted. It is shown in this figure that the actual resulting strain at the centroid of the prestressed component is $\xi_{pf} + \Delta \xi_p$ and that at the centroid of the non-prestressed component amounts to $\xi_{pf} + \Delta \xi_n$, where $\Delta \xi_p = \Delta \xi/K_1$ and $\Delta \xi_n = \Delta \xi/K_2$. The two constants K_1 and K_2 depend solely on the properties of the sections and E-values and amount to:

$$K_{1} = A_{pc}/n_{o} \cdot A_{n} + (A_{p} \cdot e_{o}^{2})/(I_{p} + n_{o} \cdot I_{n}) \text{ and } K_{2} = A_{pc}/A_{p} + (n_{o} \cdot A_{n} \cdot e_{o}^{2})/(I_{p} + n_{o} \cdot I_{n})$$

In these equations A_p , A_n and A_c are the respective cross sectional areas of



Diagrams of strains and stresses due

to differential shrinkage and creep.

the prestressed, non-prestressed and composite sections; I_p and I_n are the cor-shrinkage and creep i:free i:combined /1 for the ratio of E_c -values of the two components, whereas eo is the vertical distance between the two centroids. The remaining strains can be computed from the geometrical conditions when the strains at the two centroids are knwon. This relationship has been published in (3) but was used already in paper (4) at the IABSE Congress Stockholm 1960. Fig.2, taken from this paper, shows comparative results of three different cross section. It is seen that only with a cross section according to example No.1 similar results are obtained to those, presented by the authors in paper (1), resulting in additional tensile stresses due to differential shrinkage at the outer tensile face of the section. With examples 2 and 3, compressive stresses



Fig.2. Examples: Stresses due to differntial shrinkage, as shown at the IABSE Congress Stockholm, 1960.

are induced by differential shrinkage, whereas tensile stresses occur at the lower face of the non-prestressed component. This results in a stress redistribution owing to the greater stress difference at the adjoining faces of the prestressed and non-prestressed components, as discussed in paper (4). In this case cracks became visible at a lower nominal tensile stress at the outer tensile face than is the case in similar homogeneous sections.

In order to clarify the stress redistribution and to ascertain the magnitude of differential shrinkage and creep in the prestressed and non-prestressed components, tests were carried out at DUKE University, North Carolina, U.S.A. in 1967/68 which are to be described in detail elsewhere (5). Here, only some important results are illustrated. Fig.3 shows particulars of the specimens. It was endeavoured to study the extreme cases at which either mainly creep or shrinkage takes place ('C' and 'S' beams); the former was obtained when the added concrete was cast upon the prestressed concrete on release of the prestress after the plank had been moist cured to avoid shrinkage. For the 'S' beams, relating to differential shrinkage, the added concrete was placed much later, after a substantial part of shrinkage and creep of the prestressed component had already taken place. The third case, relating to stress redistribution ('R' beams), was investigated in such a way that the two components were separately



Specimens at tests DUKE University.

precast and then glued together which allowed strain measurements. Stirrups were provided in the shear spans of the non-prestressed component only and the reinforcement 'b' was limited to the shear spans in the 'C' and 'S' beams, but provided along the entire length in the 'R' beams.

Some of the results are shown in figure 4. Generally, with the 'C' beams the precompression was greatly reduced by the creep in the prestressed plank (which was further increased by differential creep), whereas with the 'S' beams the shrinkage stresses in the added concrete and the compressive stresses in the prestressed component are increased. The loads at which visible



Fig.4.Some Results, DUKE University Tests 1967/68. (Stresses in psi.(lbf/in.);Loads in lbf).

cracks occurred were approximately the same for the 'C' and 'S' beams, although the theoretical stress conditions were completely different in both cases. With the 'S' beams there were relatively high nominal concrete stresses in the nonprestressed component already under self load, when microcracks developed, as can be seen from the photograph Fig.5. This was obtained from a photoelastic coaving, using a method, as described in paper (6). With the 'C' beams microcracks occurred at a later stage.

In the 'R' beams microcracks developed first in the non-prestressed comcrete at the same load at which they had already become visible in the prestressed plank of the 'S' beams. Microcracking in the prestressed concrete

occurred at a load slightly less than that at which they had become visible with the 'C' and 'S' beams. However, the load at which the cracks became visible in the 'R' beam was appreciably higher than those in the 'C' and 'S' beams. These studies have shown that redistribution of stresses may cause visible crecking at relatively low nominal tensile stresses at the suber face, if there are very high nominal tensile stresses in the non-prestressed component.



Fig.5. Microcracking in 'S' beam under self load.

LE ERENCES.

- (1) K.Okada, W.Koyanagi, Y.Yoshicka: "Study on the Differential Shrinkage of Composite Prestressed Concrete Beams"; Madrid Symposium IABSE, 1970.
- (2) R.H.Evans and A.S.Parker: "Behaviour of Prestressed Concrete Composite Beams"; ACI Journal, May 1955.
- (3) P.W.Abeles and F.H.Turner: "Prestressed Concrete Designer's Handbook", London, 1962.
- (4) P.W.Abeles: "Restraint and Stress Redistribution in Composite Prestressed Concrete Beams"; Sixth IABSE Congress, Stockholm, Final Report.
- (5) P.W.Abeles, E.I.Brown, C.H.Hu: "Tests of Composite Concrete Beams with Prestressed Planks.
- (6) P.W.Abeles: "Cracking and Bond Resistance in High Strength Reinforced Concrete Beams, Illustrated by Photoelastic Costing", ACI J., Nov. 1966.