# Fatigue assessment according to Eurocode 3 (steel structures)

Autor(en): Sedlacek, G.

Objekttyp: Article

Zeitschrift: IABSE reports = Rapports AIPC = IVBH Berichte

Band (Jahr): 37 (1982)

PDF erstellt am: 20.05.2024

Persistenter Link: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-28900

# Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

# Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek* ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

# http://www.e-periodica.ch

#### Fatigue Assessment According to Eurocode 3 (Steel Structures)

Vérification à la fatigue selon l'Eurocode 3 (constructions métalliques)

Betriebsfestigkeitsnachweis für Stahlbauten nach Eurocode 3

**G. SEDLACEK** Professor Rheinisch-Westfälische Technische Hochschule Aachen, BRD

#### SUMMARY

The fatigue assessment of steel structures according to the limit state equation of Eurocode 3 is defined in terms of service life (number of cycles). The safety factors are derived from the "Level II" method of constant sensitivity factors.

#### RESUME

Les états limites considérés dans l'Eurocode 3 pour la vérification de la sécurité à la fatigue des constructions métalliques sont définis sur l'axe des durées de vie. Les facteurs de sécurité à utiliser pour la vérification sont déterminés selon la procédure issue des théories de "Level II" et faisant intervenir des facteurs de sensibilités constants.

#### ZUSAMMENFASSUNG

Für den Betriebssicherheitsnachweis für Stahlbauten nach Eurocode 3 werden der Grenzzustand in der Achse der Lebensdauer definiert und nach dem aus der Level II-Methode abgeleiteten Verfahren der konstanten Wichtungsfaktoren die Sicherheitsfaktoren für den Nachweis abgeleitet.

1. GENERAL

The calculative safety assessment for the fatigue behaviour of dynamically loaded steel structures should be carried out in a way to attain as often as possible a target reliability expressed by the safety index  $\beta_{\rm T}$ , not falling below a minimum value min  $\beta$ , if possible and also not exceeding a maximum value max  $\beta$  for economic reasons.

The justification for a proposal for the determination of the safety elements is developed in the following using the procedure of global sensitivity factors which is derived from the Level II method /3/.

2. Assessment for a determined  $\Delta \sigma$ -level and a determined number of cycles n

Fig. 1 demonstrates a scatter-band of test results from fatigue tests with a component with a critical detail for different levels of damaging stress ranges  $\Delta \sigma$ , which are calculated as nominal stresses for measured test loads.

As a resistance model the S-N-lines for defined survival probabilities can be illustrated in double logarithmic scale as for instance fig. 2.





Fig. 2: S-N-lines for small test specimens

The safety assessment should be carried out for a structural component designed in the same way as the test elements.

The limit state equation for fatigue for the stress range level  $\varDelta \sigma_{i}$  is then

$$g(X_{i}) = N_{i} - n_{i} = 0$$
 (1)

see fig. 3.

Here a log-normal distribution with the variation coefficient  $V_R$  - for instance for small components according to fig. 4 - is assumed for the basic variable for the "resistance"  $N_i$ , and for the "action"  $n_i$  the sum of the cycles of all measuring time intervals is extrapolated over a designed service life and defined as, fig. 5





Fig. 5: Number of stress range cycles

If a symmetrical density distribution of the numbers of cycles is assumed over the measuring time intervals within service life, then the variation coefficient for  $n_i$  is zero.

The design values  $N_i^*$  and  $n_i^*$  can be expressed according to /4/

$$N_{i}^{*} = m_{N} \exp \left(-\alpha_{R} \beta V_{R} - \frac{1}{2} V_{R}^{2}\right) \quad \text{with} \quad \alpha_{R} = 1,0$$

$$n_{i}^{*} = m_{n} \left(1 + \alpha_{S} \beta V_{S}\right) = m_{n} \quad \text{due to} \quad \alpha_{S} = 0$$

$$(3)$$

The design values N.\* for various stress levels  $\Delta \sigma_i$  will then lie on the design S-N-curve with the slope k\* which is influenced by  $\beta$ , the assumed variation coefficient and by the slope of the 50 %-S-N-line, see fig. 6.

For target values  $\beta_{\rm T}$  of the order of 2 the slope is k\* = 3,0. This value is also obtained in tests with full scale structural components for which the variation coefficients are smaller and the scatter-bands are more parallel as compared with the test results obtained with small test-specimens.

105

The safety verification for a deterministically given stress range can now be carried out in the time scale with  $\gamma_{mN}$ , with reference to the characteristic values N<sub>k</sub> (for example N<sub>k</sub> = N<sub>50</sub><sup>8</sup>) according to fig. 7.



Fig. 6: Design values for the slope  $k^*$ .

Fig. 7: Safety factor in N-scale.

An equivalent verification with respect to the stress range scale is performed with the slope k of the characteristic S-N-line according to fig. 8.



$$\log \Delta \sigma_k - \log \Delta \sigma_i \quad \log \gamma_m \sigma$$

Fig. 8: Safety factor in  $\Delta \sigma$ -scale.

3. ASSESSMENT FOR SPECTRA WITH VARIOUS DETERMINED  $\Delta\sigma_i$ -LEVELS AND VARIOUS DETERMINED NUMBERS OF CYCLES  $n_i$ 

If according to fig. 9 the stress ranges  $\Delta \sigma_i$  are acting at different levels, for example  $\Delta \sigma_i$  with  $n_i$  and  $\Delta \sigma_A$  with  $n_A$ , the equivalent-damage stress range cycles  $n_e$  at the reference level  $\Delta \sigma_A$  can be expressed using Miner's rule, fig. 10.





N (log)

Fig. 9: Design-S-N-line.

Fig. 10: Equivalent-damage stress cycles on different levels of stress range.

 $n_e^* = \sum n_i^* \frac{N_A^*}{N_i^*}$ (4)

Considering the equation of the S-N\*-line

$$\Delta \sigma_{i}^{k^{\star}} \cdot N_{i}^{\star} = \Delta \sigma_{A}^{k^{\star}} \cdot N_{A}^{\star}$$
 (5)

it follows from (4)

$$n_{e}^{*} = \sum n_{i}^{*} \left(\frac{\Delta \sigma_{i}}{\Delta \sigma_{A}}\right)^{k^{*}}$$
(6)

The design-equation is then

$$g(X_{i}^{*}) = N_{A}^{*} - n_{e}^{*} = 0$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

or using characteristic values and safety factors, fig. 11

$$\frac{N_{Ak}}{\gamma_{mNA}} - n_e = 0$$
(8)

By defining the reference level  $\Delta \sigma_A$  as the level of the equivalent-damage stress range  $\Delta \sigma_e$  for the number of load cycles  $\sum n_i$ , fig. 12.

$$n_{e}^{*} = \Sigma n_{i}^{*} \left( \frac{\Delta \sigma_{i}}{\Delta \sigma_{e}} \right)^{k} = \Sigma n_{i}$$
(9)

the equivalent-damage stress range.



10)



$$\Delta \sigma_{\rm e} = \left( \frac{\sum n_i \Delta \sigma_i^{k^*}}{\sum n_i} \right)^{\frac{1}{k^*}}$$

follows and the verification is performed by

$$N_{e}^{*} - \sum n_{i} = 0 \tag{11}$$

The equivalent verification in terms of stresses ca be carried out using  $\gamma_m \sigma$  according to fig. 13.

In fig. 14  $\gamma_{m_N}$  - values and  $\gamma_{m_{\overline{o}}}$  - values related to the 50 %-S-N-lines given as characteristic values are specified for different slopes and  $\beta$ -values. For steel structures  $\beta = 2,0$  is considered to be sufficient, if the appropriate values for  $\Delta \sigma_i$  and  $n_i$  are correct.





k 50	ß	1,0	1,5	2.0	2,5	3,0
3,75	N <sub>10</sub> <sup>5</sup>	1,12	1,19	1,26	1,33	1.41
	N <sub>210</sub> *	1,16	1,25	1,34	1,44	1,55
3,50	N <sub>10</sub> <sup>s</sup>	1,13	1,20	1,28	1,36	1,45
	N <sub>210</sub>	1,17	1,27	1,37	1,48	1,60
3,00	N <sub>10⁵</sub>	1,16	1,24	1,33	1,43	1,53
	N <sub>2:10</sub> ª	1,20	1, 32	1,44	1,58	1,73

γ<sub>mN</sub> - Values

	κ <sub>50%</sub> β	1,0	1,5	2.0	2,5	3.0
-	7E N <sub>10</sub> s	1.54	1,9 <b>1</b>	2,36	2,93	3,63
3	,75 N <sub>2:10</sub>	1,73	2,28	3,00	3,95	5,21
3	50 <sup>N</sup> 10⁵ N <sub>210</sub> *	•	ĮE	P	E	
3	8,00 N <sub>10</sub> s N <sub>210</sub> s	P	•	p	R	T

Fig. 13: Safety check in  $\Delta \sigma$  scale with equivalent-damage stress range  $\Delta \sigma_{e}$ .

 $\gamma_{m\sigma}$ 

Fig. 14:  $\gamma_{m}$ -values for safety checks in N-scale or  $\Delta \sigma$ -scale using 50 % values as characteristic values

4. ASSESSMENT WITH VARYING  $\Delta \sigma_i$ -LEVEL AND VARYING NUMBERS OF CYCLES  $n_i$ 

Assuming that the values of  $\Delta \sigma_i$  and  $n_i$  are only correct in the mean of all design cases and that they vary with  $d \Delta \sigma_i$  and  $dn_i$  around the correct mean value with the variation coefficients 0,10 (which corresponds to the variance of specified dead loads), the sensitivity factors  $\alpha_i$  for all variables can be derived from the characteristic equation in fig. 15 using

> $\alpha_{i} = \frac{\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{i}} S_{i}}{\sqrt{\sum_{j} (\frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{j}} S_{j})^{2}}}$ (12)

These sensitivity factors can be combined into  $\alpha_{\rm R}$  = 1,0 for the "resistance model" and  $\alpha_{\rm S}$  = -0,30 for the "acting model".

The  $\gamma_{\rm m}$ -values and  $\gamma_{\rm SYS}$ -values for verifications in terms of time or stresses using the 50 %-S-N-lines as characteristic values are illustrated in fig. 16.



Fig. 16: Safety check for fatigue taking into account of a scatter for  $\Delta \sigma_i$  and  $n_i$ .

In the Euorcode 3 the S-N-lines have been defined as (m - 2s)-values so that  $\gamma_m$ , may be defined,  $\gamma_m = 1,0$ .

Gicto

1,40

1,55

1,75

1,90



### 5. MEAN STRESS INFLUENCE

The mean stress influence in Eurocode 3 is generally considered to be negligible; if the mean stress influence is significant, for instance for small welded elements or stress relieved components with minor residual stresses, the verification according to Eurocode 3 is on the safe side. In addition the mean stress influence can be considered by taking into account a bonus factor for the stressranges, which depends on the mean stress according to fig. 17.



Fig. 17: Bonus factor for mean-stress-influence.

#### REFERENCES

- /1/ Eurocode 1, Draft August 1981
- /2/ Eurocode 3, Draft August 1981
- /3/ NABau-Arbeitsausschuß-Sicherheit von Bauwerken: Grundlagen für die Festlegung von Sicherheitsanforderungen für bauliche Anlagen
- /4/ König, Hosser, Schobbe: Herleitung von Sicherheitselementen für die praktische Bemessung
- /6/ EKS-TC6 (Fatique): Recommendations for the Fatique Design of Structures
- /7/ Sedlacek, Schlesiger: Sicherheitsnachweis f
  ür Erm
  üdung, 1981, unver
  öffentlicht.
- /8/ Olivier, Ritter: Wohlerlinienkatalog für Schweißverbindungen aus Baustählen; Deutscher Verband für Schweißtechnik e.V. 1979

# Leere Seite Blank page Page vide