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## Functions of Bounded mean Oscillation and Quasiconformal Mappings

H. M. Reimann

## 1. Introduction

A locally integrable real valued function $u$ is said to be of bounded mean oscillation (BMO) in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$, if

$$
\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbf{Q}}\left|u(x)-\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} u(x) d x\right| d x \leqslant K
$$

for every cube $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and some constant $K$. The notations

$$
u_{Q}=\int_{\mathbf{Q}} u(x) d x=\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{\mathbf{Q}} u(x) d x \text { with }|Q|=\int_{\mathbf{Q}} d x
$$

will be used. On the space of BMO-functions modulo constants a norm can be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{*}=\sup _{\boldsymbol{Q} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}} f_{\boldsymbol{Q}}\left|u(x)-u_{Q}\right| d x \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

With this norm BMO/R is a Banach space. The space of BMO-functions was introduced by John and Nirenberg [8]. We will make use of their fundamental lemma:

LEMMA 1. Assume that $u \in \mathrm{BMO}$. Then, if $\mu(\sigma)=\left|\left\{x \in Q:\left|u(x)-u_{Q}\right|>\sigma\right\}\right|$ is the measure of the set of points in the cube $Q$ where $\left|u(x)-u_{Q}\right|>\sigma$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\sigma) \leqslant a e^{-b \sigma /\|u\|_{*}}|Q| \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are constants depending on $n$ only.
BMO-functions have been used in many different contexts, first in a paper of John on rotation and strain [7] and at the same time by Moser [9] in his work on the continuity of solutions of elliptic differential equations. Later on applications arose in connection with singular integral operators (Stein [12]) and as spaces of interpolation (Stampacchia [11], Stein and Zygmund [13]). Most recently, Fefferman and Stein [3] characterized the space of BMO-functions as the dual of the Hardy space $H^{1}$.

It seems that BMO-functions also have their place in the theory of quasiconformal mappings. We propose to show that the logarithm of the Jacobian determinant of a
quasiconformal mapping $f: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is in BMO. We then proceed to study transformations of BMO-functions by quasiconformal mappings. It turns out that a quasiconformal mapping of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ onto itself induces a continuous bijective isomorphism $\varphi: u \rightarrow$ $\rightarrow u \circ f^{-1}$ of $\mathbf{B M O} / \mathbf{R}$. Moreover this situation is in a certain way typical for quasiconformal mappings: If $\varphi: u \rightarrow u \circ f^{-1}$ is a continuous bijective isomorphism of $\mathrm{BMO} / \mathbf{R}$ which is induced by a homeomorphism $f$ of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ satisfying certain regularity conditions, then $f$ is quasiconformal.

## 2. The Jacobian of a Quasiconformal Mapping

For our considerations we adopt the so called analytic definition of quasiconformality. A function $f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ defined in a domain $G \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is said to be absolutely continuous on lines (ACL), if it is continuous and if for each interval $I=$ $=\left\{x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}: a_{i} \leqslant x_{i} \leqslant b_{i}\right\} \subset G f$ is absolutely continuous on almost all line segments in $I$, parallel to the coordinate axes. The partial derivatives of an ACL-function $f$ exist a.e. and the Jacobian matrix of $f$ at $x$ will be denoted by $F(x)$, its determinant by $J_{f}(x)$. By definition a $K$-quasiconformal mapping is a homeomorphism $f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ such that $f \in \mathrm{ACL}, f$ is totally differentiable a.e. and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\xi \in R^{n},|\xi|=1}|F(x) \xi|^{n} \leqslant K J_{f}(x) \quad \text { a.e. } \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to a theorem of Väisälä [14], in this definition the regularity conditions $f \in \mathrm{ACL}$ and $f$ differentiable a.e. can be replaced by the single hypothesis, that $f$ has generalized derivatives which are locally $L^{n}$-integrable.

THEOREM 1. If $f$ is a quasiconformal mapping of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ onto itself with Jacobian determinant $J_{f}$ then $\log J_{f} \in \mathrm{BMO}$.

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on a converse to the lemma of John and Nirenberg (Lemma 3) and on the following result due to Gehring [5]:

LEMMA 2. Assume that $f$ is a $K$-quasiconformal mapping of $G$ onto $G^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and that $Q$ is a cube in the domain $G$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dia} Q^{\prime}<\operatorname{dist}\left(Q^{\prime}, \partial G^{\prime}\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $Q^{\prime}=f Q$ ). Then there exist constants $c$ and $p, p>n$, which depend on $K$ and $n$ only, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{\boldsymbol{Q}} J_{f}^{p / n} d x\right)^{n / p} \leqslant c \int_{\boldsymbol{Q}} J_{f} d x \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
L_{f}(x)=\underset{y \rightarrow x}{\lim \sup } \frac{|f(y)-f(x)|}{|y-x|}
$$

Since $f$ is $K$-quasiconformal, inequality (2.1) and the total differentiability imply $L_{f}^{n} \leqslant K J_{f}$ a.e. and $J_{f} \leqslant L_{f}^{n}$ a.e. Hence according to Lemma 4 in [3] there exists a constant $c_{0}$ (depending on $K$ and $n$ ) such that for every cube $Q \subset G$ with dia $Q^{\prime}<$ $<\operatorname{dist}\left(Q^{\prime}, \partial G^{\prime}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\boldsymbol{Q}} J_{f} d x \leqslant c_{0}\left(\int_{\boldsymbol{Q}} J_{f}^{1 / n} d x\right)^{n} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $Q$ is such a cube (satisfying (2.3)), then (2.4) holds for any cube contained in $Q$ and Lemma 3 in [5] shows that for some constants $c$ and $p, p>n$,

$$
\left(f_{Q} J_{f}^{p / n} d x\right)^{n / p} \leqslant c \int_{Q} J_{f} d x
$$

For later reference let us note a simple consequence of this lemma (cf. [5] Theorem 2)
COROLLARY. Assume that fis a K-quasiconformal mapping of $G$ onto $G^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ and that $Q$ is a cube in the domain $G$ with dia $Q^{\prime}<\operatorname{dist}\left(Q^{\prime}, \partial G^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\left|A^{\prime}\right|}{\left|Q^{\prime}\right|} \leqslant c\left(\frac{|A|}{|Q|}\right)^{(p-n) / p} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every measurable set $A \subset Q$ with image $A^{\prime}=f A$. (As above $|A|$ stands for the $n$-dimensional measure of the set $A$.)

If $A$ is a measurable set, $A \subset Q$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left|A^{\prime}\right|}{|A|} & =\int_{A} J_{f} d x \leqslant\left(\int_{A} J_{f}^{p / n} d x\right)^{n / p} \\
& \leqslant\left(\frac{|A|}{|Q|}\right)^{-n / p}\left(\int_{Q} J_{f}^{p / n} d x\right)^{n / p} \leqslant c\left(\frac{|A|}{|Q|}\right)^{-n / p} \frac{\left|Q^{\prime}\right|}{|Q|}
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 2 and Hölder's inequality.
Let us remark that for the case of plane quasiconformal mappings results similar to Lemma 2 have previously been established by Bojarski [1] and by Gehring and Reich [6].

LEMMA 3. $f=\log u \in \mathrm{BMO}$ if and only if for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\int_{Q} u^{a} d x\right)^{1 / a} \leqslant k\left(\int_{Q} u^{-b} d x\right)^{-1 / b} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some positive constants $a, b$ and $k$.
The fact, that inequality (2.6) is a consequence of Lemma 1 has already been pointed out by John and Nirenberg. (The result has been stated in this form by Moser [9].) Let us therefore assume that (2.6) holds for $u=e^{f}$. It is well known that

$$
M_{t}=M_{t}(u)= \begin{cases}\left(f_{Q} u^{t} d x\right)^{1 / t} & t \neq 0 \\ \exp \int_{Q} \log u d x & t=0\end{cases}
$$

is a monotone increasing function of $t$. Our assumption therefore implies $M_{s} \leqslant K M_{0}$ and $M_{0} \leqslant K M_{-s}$ for $s=\min (a, b)$. If we set

$$
Q_{1}=\left\{x \in Q: \log u(x) \geqslant \int_{Q} \log u d x\right\}
$$

and $Q_{2}=Q \backslash Q_{1}$ we obtain the inequalities

$$
|Q|^{-1} \int_{Q_{1}} u^{s} d x \leqslant \int_{Q} u^{s} d x=M_{s}^{s} \leqslant k^{s} M_{0}^{s}
$$

and

$$
|Q|^{-1} \int_{\mathbf{Q}_{2}} u^{-s} d x \leqslant k^{-s} M_{0}^{-s}
$$

After adding these two inequalities and inserting the expressions

$$
f=\log u \quad \text { and } \quad f_{\mathbf{Q}}=\int_{\mathbf{Q}} f d x=\log M_{0}
$$

we have

$$
\int_{Q_{1}} e^{s\left(f-f_{Q}\right)} d x+\int_{Q_{2}} e^{-s\left(f-f_{Q}\right)} d x=\int_{Q} e^{s\left|f-f_{Q}\right|} d x \leqslant\left(k^{s}+k^{-s}\right)|Q| .
$$

Finally,

$$
\exp \int_{\mathbb{Q}} s\left|f-f_{Q}\right| d x \leqslant \int_{Q} e^{s\left|f-f_{Q}\right|} d x
$$

upon applying Jensen's inequality. This shows that $f \in$ BMO with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{*} \leqslant s^{-1} \log \left(k^{s}+k^{-s}\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall also need a distortion lemma for quasiconformal mappings, to the effect that the image of a cube can still be compared with a cube. This kind of result is typical for the geometric theory of quasiconformal mappings. We choose a formulation, which is particularly suited for our purposes.

LEMMA 4. Let $f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ be a $K$-quasiconformal mapping. There exists a constant $k$ (which depends on $K$ and $n$ ) such that to every cube $P^{\prime} \subset G^{\prime}=f G$ with $\operatorname{dist}\left(P^{\prime}, \partial G^{\prime}\right)>2 k \operatorname{dia} P^{\prime}$
there exists a cube $Q \subset G$ with $f Q=Q^{\prime} \supset P^{\prime}, \operatorname{dia} Q^{\prime}<\operatorname{dist}\left(Q^{\prime}, \partial G^{\prime}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q^{\prime}\right| \leqslant k^{n} n^{n / 2}\left|P^{\prime}\right| \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is based on the geometric definition of quasiconformality, according to which a homeomorphism $f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is $K$-quasiconformal if and only if
$\bmod R^{\prime} \leqslant K^{1 /(n-1)} \bmod R$
for every ring $R \subset G$. We refer the reader to the literature (see e.g. [10], [2]) for the precise definitions and for a proof of the equivalence of the analytic and geometric definitions of quasiconformality.

Using preliminary translations, we can assume that the given cube $P^{\prime}$ is centered at 0 and that $f(0)=0$. Consider the spherical ring $R^{\prime} \subset G^{\prime}$ with complementary components

$$
C_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{z:|z| \leqslant r^{\prime}=2^{-1} \operatorname{dia} P^{\prime}\right\} \quad \text { and } C_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{z:|z| \geqslant s^{\prime}=k 2^{-1} \operatorname{dia} P^{\prime}\right\}
$$

The constant $k>1$ will be determined later on. The modulus of the ring $R^{\prime}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bmod R^{\prime}=\log \frac{s^{\prime}}{r^{\prime}}=\log k \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $s=\inf _{z \in C^{\prime}{ }_{1}}\left|f^{-1}(z)\right|$ and $r=\sup _{z \in C^{\prime}{ }_{0}}\left|f^{-1}(z)\right|$ and observe that $\left|f^{-1}(z)\right| \leqslant r$ for all $z \in P^{\prime}$.

The inner complementary component $C_{0}$ of $R=f^{-1} R^{\prime}$ contains 0 and a point $x_{0}$ with $\left|x_{0}\right|=r$, the outer component $C_{1}$ a continuum connecting $\infty$ with a point $x_{1}$, $\left|x_{1}\right|=s$. According to a theorem of Teichmüller and its space analogue (see [4], [2], [10])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bmod R \leqslant \log \left(\lambda^{2}\left(\frac{s}{r}+1\right)\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $\lambda$ which depends on $n$ only. Since $K$-quasiconformal mappings satisfy

$$
\bmod R^{\prime} \leqslant K_{0} \bmod R
$$

with $K_{0}=K^{1 /(n-1)}$, we then have by (2.9) and (2.10)

$$
\log k \leqslant K_{0} \log \left(\lambda^{2}\left(\frac{s}{r}+1\right)\right)
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
k^{-K_{0}} \leqslant \lambda^{2}\left(\frac{s}{r}+1\right) .
$$

This shows that $s / r \geqslant n^{1 / 2}$ if we choose $k=\left(\lambda^{2}\left(1+n^{1 / 2}\right)\right)^{K_{0}}$. In this situation any cube $Q \subset G$ centered at the origin with side length $2 r$ (and diameter $2 r n^{1 / 2}$ ) satisfies the requirements of the lemma: The construction shows that $\operatorname{dia} Q^{\prime}<k \operatorname{dia} P^{\prime}$ and

$$
\left|Q^{\prime}\right| \leqslant k^{n} n^{n / 2}\left|P^{\prime}\right|
$$

since $|z| \leqslant k 2^{-1}$ dia $P^{\prime}$ for all $z \in Q^{\prime}$. If we further assume that dist $\left(P^{\prime}, \partial G^{\prime}\right)>2 k \operatorname{dia} P^{\prime}=$ $=4 s^{\prime}$, then it is clear that $\operatorname{dia} Q^{\prime} \leqslant 2 s^{\prime} \leqslant \operatorname{dist}\left(Q^{\prime}, \partial G^{\prime}\right)$.

LEMMA 5. The Jacobian determinant $J=J_{f}$ of a $K$-quasiconformal mapping $f: \mathbf{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{Q} J d x \leqslant c_{1}\left(f_{Q} J^{-b} d x\right)^{-1 / b} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all cubes $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$. The constants $b$ and $c_{1}$ depend on $n$ and $K$ only.
The inverse $f^{-1}$ of a $K$-quasiconformal mapping is $K^{n-1}$-quasiconformal and its determinant $J^{-1}$ satisfies Gehring's inequality (see (2.3)):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{P^{\prime}} J^{-p / n} d y\right)^{n / p} \leqslant c \int_{P^{\prime}} J^{-1} d y \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every cube $P^{\prime} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$. To any cube $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ let us choose in accordance with Lemma 4 a cube $P^{\prime}$ with $P=f^{-1} P^{\prime} \supset Q$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|P| \leqslant k^{n} n^{n / 2}|Q|=k^{\prime}|Q| . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

A transformation of variables for the integrals in inequality (2.12) then shows that

$$
\left(\left|P^{\prime}\right|^{-1} \int_{P} J^{-p / n} J d x\right)^{n / p} \leqslant c|P|\left|P^{\prime}\right|^{-1} .
$$

Because $\left|P^{\prime}\right|=\int_{P} J d x$ this can be rewritten in the form

$$
\left(\int_{P} J^{(n-p) / n} d x\right)^{n / p} \leqslant c|P|\left(\int_{P} J d x\right)^{(n-p) / p}
$$

and together with inequality (2.13) this leads to

$$
\left(f_{\mathbb{Q}} J^{(n-p) / n} d x\right)^{n /(n-p)} \geqslant\left(c k^{\prime}\right)^{p /(n-p)} \int_{\mathbb{Q}} J d x
$$

If the two Lemmata 3 and 5 are combined, a bound for $\|\log J\|_{*}$ can be given by

$$
\|\log J\|_{*} \leqslant \frac{n}{p-n} \log \left(\left(c k^{\prime}\right)^{p / n}+\left(c k^{\prime}\right)^{-p / n}\right)
$$

provided that $p \leqslant 2 n$ and by

$$
\|\log J\|_{*} \leqslant \log \left(\left(c k^{\prime}\right)^{p /(p-n)}+\left(c k^{\prime}\right)^{p /(n-p)}\right)
$$

otherwise.
Remark 1. By definition, a $K$-quasiconformal mapping $f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}\right)$ of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ onto itself satisfies for $i=1, \ldots, n$

$$
K^{-n+1} J_{f} \leqslant\left|\operatorname{grad} f_{i}\right|^{n} \leqslant K J_{f} \quad \text { a.e. in } R^{n}
$$

Hence there exist $g_{i} \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right),\left\|g_{i}\right\|_{\infty} \leqslant(n-1) \log K$, such that $n \log \left|\operatorname{grad} f_{i}\right|=$ $=\log J_{f}+g_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$. But functions in $L^{\infty}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ are also in BMO and therefore $\log \left|\operatorname{grad} f_{i}\right| \in \operatorname{BMO}(i=1, \ldots, n)$.

Remark 2. Local variants of Theorem 1 can be obtained. If $f: G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$ is a quasiconformal mapping and if $Q \subset G$ is a cube such that both $\operatorname{dist}(Q, \partial G)$ and $\operatorname{dist}\left(Q^{\prime}, \partial G^{\prime}\right)$ are big enough, then $\log J_{f}$ considered as a function in $Q$ is in BMO.

## 3. The Invariance of the Space BMO

THEOREM 2. Iff is a K-quasiconformal mapping of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ onto itself, then $\varphi: u \rightarrow u^{\prime}=$ $=u \circ f^{-1}$ is a bijective isomorphism of BMO and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{*} \leqslant C\|u\|_{*} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in \mathrm{BMO}$, where $C$ is a constant depending on $K$ and $n$ only.
We note that since the inverse of a quasiconformal mapping is also a quasiconformal mapping, all that has to be shown is inequality (3.1). It then follows directly from the definition that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism of BMO.

For the proof of Theorem 2 we assume that $u \in \mathrm{BMO}$ and set $u^{\prime}=u \circ f^{-1}$. To a given cube $P^{\prime}$ we determine $Q$ as in Lemma 4 such that $P^{\prime} \subset Q^{\prime}$ and $\left|Q^{\prime}\right| \leqslant k^{n} n^{n / 2}\left|P^{\prime}\right|$. The
set $A_{\sigma}=\left\{x \in Q:\left|u(x)-u_{Q}\right|>\sigma\right\}$ is mapped onto the set $A_{\sigma}^{\prime}=\left\{z \in Q^{\prime}:\left|u^{\prime}(z)-u_{Q}\right|>\sigma\right\}$ and by the corollary to Lemma 2 one knows that

$$
\frac{\left|A_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right|}{\left|Q^{\prime}\right|} \leqslant c\left(\frac{\left|A_{\sigma}\right|}{|Q|}\right)^{(p-n) / p} .
$$

Because of Lemma 1

$$
\left|A_{\sigma}\right| \leqslant a e^{-b \sigma /\|u\|_{*}}|Q|
$$

hence

$$
\frac{\left|A_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right|}{\left|Q^{\prime}\right|} \leqslant c a^{(p-n) / p} \exp \left(\frac{-b \sigma(p-n)}{\|u\|_{*} p}\right)
$$

An integration of this inequality with respect to $\sigma$ shows that

$$
f_{Q^{\prime}}\left|u^{\prime}(z)-u_{Q}\right| d z=\left|Q^{\prime}\right|^{-1} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|A_{\sigma}^{\prime}\right| d \sigma \leqslant c a^{(p-n) / p} b^{-1} p(p-n)^{-1}\|u\|_{*}
$$

and in combination with inequality (2.8) of Lemma 4

$$
\int_{P^{\prime}}\left|u^{\prime}(z)-u_{Q}\right| d z \leqslant k^{n} n^{n / 2} \int_{Q^{\prime}}\left|u^{\prime}(z)-u_{Q}\right| d z \leqslant \text { const }\|u\|_{*}
$$

One is left with the task of replacing $u_{Q}$ by

$$
u_{P^{\prime}}^{\prime}=\int_{P^{\prime}} u^{\prime}(z) d z
$$

but

$$
\left|u_{P^{\prime}}^{\prime}-u_{Q}\right|=\left|\int_{P^{\prime}}\left(u^{\prime}(z)-u_{Q}\right) d z\right|,
$$

so

$$
\int_{P^{\prime}}\left|u^{\prime}(z)-u_{P^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| d z \leqslant\left|u_{P^{\prime}}^{\prime}-u_{Q}\right|+\int_{P^{\prime}}\left|u^{\prime}(z)-u_{Q}\right| d z \leqslant 2 \int_{P^{\prime}}\left|u^{\prime}(z)-u_{Q}\right| d z
$$

This shows that $\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{*} \leqslant C\|u\|_{*}$ with $C=2 k^{n} n^{n / 2} c a^{(p-n) / p} b^{-1} p(p-n)^{-1}$.

THEOREM 3. Assume that $f$ is a (orientation preserving) homeomorphism of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ onto itself, that $f \in \mathrm{ACL}$ and that $f$ is totally differentiable a.e. If the induced mapping $\varphi: u \rightarrow u^{\prime}=u \circ f^{-1}$ is a bijective isomorphism of BMO and if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{*} \leqslant C\|u\|_{*} \text { for all } u \in \mathrm{BMO} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f$ is a quasiconformal mapping.

Let us make precise that the hypothesis of $\varphi$ being a bijective isomorphism of BMO is meant to include the assumption that $f$ and its inverse are absolutely continuous with respect to $n$-dimensional measure. If this were not the case, the isomorphism $\varphi$ could not be defined properly: if the zero set $N$ were mapped onto a set $N^{\prime}$ of positive measure, then both $u^{\prime}=0$ and $u^{\prime \prime}=\chi_{N^{\prime}}$, the characteristic function of $N^{\prime}$, were in BMO and both would satisfy

$$
u^{\prime}=u \circ f^{-1} \quad u^{\prime \prime}=u \circ f^{-1}
$$

with $u=0$ a.e. in $\mathbf{R}^{n}, u \in \mathrm{BMO}$.
Our first aim is to construct suitable functions $u \in \operatorname{BMO}$.
LEMMA 6. (John-Nirenberg).

$$
u(x)=\log ^{+} \frac{1}{|x|}= \begin{cases}\log \frac{1}{|x|} & |x| \leqslant 1 \\ 0 & |x| \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

is in BMO.
For a proof see [9].
LEMMA 7. Assume that $g$ is a continuous function defined on $\mathbf{R}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{g}=\sup _{x \in \mathbf{R}}|g(x)|+\sup _{x, y \in \mathbf{R}}|g(x)-g(y)|\left(1+\log ^{+} \frac{1}{|x-y|}\right)<\infty . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $u \in \mathrm{BMO}\left(\mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}\right)$ and if

$$
k_{u}=\sup _{|\mathbf{Q}| \geqslant 1}\left|\int_{\mathbf{Q}} u(x) d x\right|<\infty
$$

then $v(x, y)=u(x) g(y) \in \operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n+1}\right)$.
Let $Q_{r} \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ denote the cube with side length $r$, centred at the origin. If $u \in \mathrm{BMO}$, then for $r \leqslant 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|u_{Q_{1}}-u_{Q_{r}}\right| \leqslant\left(2^{n}+1\right)\left(1-\frac{\log r}{\log 2}\right)\|u\|_{*} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be seen as follows: Set $u_{s}=u_{Q_{2-s}} s=0,1, \ldots$ Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|u_{s}-u_{s-1}\right| & =2^{n s} \int_{Q_{2}-s}\left|u_{s}-u_{s-1}\right| d x \\
& \leqslant 2^{n s} \int_{Q_{2}-s+1}\left|u(x)-u_{s-1}\right| d x+\|u\|_{*} \leqslant\left(2^{n}+1\right)\|u\|_{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\left|u_{s}-u_{Q_{1}}\right| \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{s}\left|u_{k}-u_{k-1}\right| \leqslant s\left(2^{n}+1\right)\|u\|_{*} .
$$

For $r=2^{-s}$ this is equivalent with

$$
\left|u_{Q_{r}}-u_{Q_{1}}\right| \leqslant\left(2^{n}+1\right) \frac{-\log r}{\log 2}\|u\|_{*} .
$$

For arbitrary $r, 0<r \leqslant 1$, inequality (3.3) can now easily be derived.
A cube $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$ with sides parallel to the coordinate axes can be represented as a direct product $Q=P \times S$ of cubes $P \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}, S \subset \mathbf{R}$. Set $a_{Q}=u_{P} g_{0}$, where $g_{0}$ is the value of $g$ at the center of $S$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{Q}\left|v(x, y)-a_{Q}\right| d x d y \\
& \quad \leqslant \int_{S}|g(y)| d y \int_{P}\left|u(x)-u_{P}\right| d x+\left|u_{P}\right| \int_{S}\left|g(y)-g_{0}\right| d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $|Q| \geqslant 1$ this gives immediately

$$
\int_{Q}\left|v(x, y)-a_{Q}\right| d x d y \leqslant k_{g}\left(\|u\|_{*}+k_{u}\right) .
$$

If $|Q|<1$, we make use of (3.3) and (3.2) to conclude that

$$
\left|u_{P}\right| \leqslant\left(2^{n}+1\right)\left(1-\frac{\log |P|}{n \log 2}\right)+k_{u}\|u\|_{*}
$$

and

$$
\int_{S}\left|g(y)-g_{0}\right| d y \leqslant k_{g}\left(1-n^{-1} \log |P|\right)^{-1}
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{Q}\left|v(x, y)-a_{Q}\right| d x d y \leqslant 2 k_{g}\left(k_{u}+2^{n+1}\|u\|_{*}\right)
$$

for any cube with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. If $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{n + 1}}$ is an arbitrary cube, there exists a cube $Q_{0} \supset Q$ with sides parallel to the coordinate axes and with

$$
\left|Q_{0}\right| \leqslant(n+1)^{(n+1) / 2}|Q| .
$$

So the estimate

$$
f_{\mathbf{Q}}\left|v-v_{Q}\right| d x d y \leqslant 2 \int_{\mathbf{Q}}\left|v-a_{Q_{0}}\right| d x d y \leqslant 2(n+1)^{(n+1) / 2} \int_{\mathbf{Q}_{0}}\left|v-a_{Q_{0}}\right| d x d y
$$

for the mean oscillation over $Q$ shows that

$$
\|v\|_{*} \leqslant 4(n+1)^{(n+1) / 2} k_{g}\left(k_{u}+2^{n+1}\|u\|_{*}\right)
$$

As an application set $u(x)=\log ^{+} 1 /|x|, x \in \mathbf{R}$ and let $g(y)$ be the piecewise linear, continuous odd function defined for $y \in \mathbf{R}$ by

$$
g(y)= \begin{cases}1-|y-1| & 0 \leqslant y \leqslant 2 \\ 0 & 2 \leqslant y \\ -g(-y) & y \leqslant 0\end{cases}
$$

Since $|g(x)-g(y)| \leqslant \min \{2,|x-y|\}, g$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 7 (with $k_{g} \leqslant 3$ ). From the Lemmata 6 and 7 we conclude that

$$
v\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=g\left(x_{2}\right) \log ^{+} \frac{1}{\left|x_{1}\right|}
$$

is in BMO. For dimensions $n>2$ let us define $v \in$ BMO by

$$
v(x)=\log ^{+} 1 /\left|x_{1}\right| h\left(x_{2}\right) \ldots h\left(x_{n-1}\right) g\left(x_{n}\right)
$$

with

$$
h(x)= \begin{cases}1 & |x| \leqslant 1 \\ 2-|x| & 1 \leqslant|x| \leqslant 2 \\ 0 & |x| \geqslant 2\end{cases}
$$

$v$ then has compact support and $v(x)=g\left(x_{n}\right) \log ^{+} 1 /\left|x_{1}\right|$ for $\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant 1, i=1, \ldots, n$. Finally, for $r>0$ let $v_{r}$ be defined by

$$
v_{r}(x)=v\left(\frac{x}{r}\right)
$$

Certainly $\left\|v_{r}\right\|_{*}=\|v\|_{*}$, since the space of BMO-functions is invariant under dilations.
With $a=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in R_{+}^{n}$ (i.e. $\left.\alpha_{i}>0, i=1, \ldots, n\right)$ we associate the sets $U_{a, r}=$ $=\left\{x:\left|x_{1}\right| \leqslant \alpha_{i} r, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$ and the functions

$$
\varphi_{a, r}= \begin{cases}\left|U_{a, r}\right|^{-1} v_{r}(x) & x \in U_{a, r} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
\psi_{a, r}=\left|\varphi_{a, r}\right|
$$

LEMMA 8. If $h \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, then there exists a sequence $r_{j}$ converging to 0 such that a.e. in $\mathbf{R}^{\boldsymbol{n}}$
$\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{a, r_{j}} * h(t)=\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \varphi_{a, r_{j}}(x) h(t-x) d x=0$
and

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{a, r_{j}} * h(t)=c_{a} h(t)
$$

with

$$
c_{a}=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \psi_{a, r} d x=\int_{U_{a, 1}}|v(x)| d x .
$$

$c_{a}$ is a continuous function of $a \in \mathbf{R}_{+}^{n}$. For $a=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}, 1\right), \alpha_{i} \leqslant 1, c_{a}$ can easily be calculated:

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{a}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\log \alpha_{1}\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof of Lemma 8 consider the differences

$$
d_{1}(t)=\varphi_{a, r} * h(t)-0=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \varphi_{a, r}(x)(h(t-x)-h(t)) d x
$$

and

$$
d_{2}(t)=\psi_{a, r} * h(t)-c_{a} h(t)=\int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \psi_{a, r}(x,(h(t-x)-h(t)) d x
$$

They satisfy

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}\left|d_{k}(t)\right| d t \leqslant \lim _{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}} \psi_{a, r}(x) \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|h(t-x)-h(t)| d t d x=0 .
$$

$k=1,2$ because

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbf{R}^{n}}|h(t-x)-h(t)| d t=0
$$

and $\psi_{a, r}$ has its support in $U_{a, r}$. For some sequence $r_{j}$ with $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} r_{j}=0$ the differences $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ will therefore converge pointwise a.e.

For any rotation $\varrho$ of $R^{n}$ set $\varphi_{\varrho, a, r}(x)=\varphi_{a, r}\left(\varrho^{-1} x\right), \quad U_{\varrho, a, r}=\varrho^{-1}\left(U_{a, r}\right)$ and $v_{\varrho, r}(x)=v_{r}\left(\varrho^{-1} x\right)=v\left(\varrho^{-1} x / r\right)$. Observe that $\left\|v_{\varrho}, r\right\|_{*}=\|v\|_{*}$, since BMO is invariant under rotations. We think of $\varrho$ as being given by an element in $O(n)$, the group of orthogonal $n \times n$-matrices. As an immediate consequence of Lemma 8 let us note:

COROLLARY. Let $\left\{\varrho_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{a_{m}\right\}$ be countable dense subsets of $O(n)$ and $\mathbf{R}_{+}^{n}$ respectively. If $h \in L^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$, then for any pair $\varrho_{i}, a_{m}$ there exists a sequence $\left\{r_{j}\right\}$ with $\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} r_{j}=0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_{e_{i}, a_{m}, r_{j}} * h(t)=0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{\boldsymbol{e}_{i}, a_{m}, r_{j}} * h(t)=c_{a_{m}} h(t) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $t \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \backslash N$, where $N$ is a set of measure zero which is independent of the pair $\varrho_{i}, a_{m}$.
The proof of Theorem 3 consists in showing that $\sup _{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^{n},|\xi|=1}|F(x) \xi|^{n} \leqslant$ $\leqslant K \operatorname{det} F(x)$ a.e. in $\mathbf{R}^{n} .(F(x)$ is the Jacobian matrix of $f$ at $x$.) This clearly is a local property. Based on our hypothesis and on the corollary to Lemma 8 we can assume that at $x=0$ the following conditions are satisfied:
i) $f$ is (totally) differentiable
ii) $J_{f}=\operatorname{det} F \neq 0$, in view of the remark following Theorem 3
iii) (3.5) and (3.6) hold for $h(x)= \begin{cases}J_{f}(x) & |x|<1 \\ 0 & |x| \geq 1\end{cases}$
$F$ can be written in the form $F=\varrho D \sigma$, where $\varrho, \sigma \in O(n)$ and $D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda_{1} & 0 \\ & \\ 0 & \lambda_{n}\end{array}\right)$ is a diagonal matrix with $\lambda_{1} \geqslant \lambda_{2} \ldots \geqslant \lambda_{n}>0$ (this is true for any $n \times n$-matrix $M$ with $\operatorname{det} M \neq 0$ ). Let us exclude the case $\operatorname{det} \sigma=-1$ by possibly interchanging the order of the coordinates. If we compose $f$ with the rotation $\sigma^{-1}$, then the resulting mapping $g=\sigma^{-1} \circ f$ still satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3 and the three additional conditions above. Note that $J_{g}=J_{f}$ and that the Jacobian matrix of $g$ at 0 is $G=\varrho D$. The same is true if we consider the mapping $c f, c>0$, instead of $f$ (with $J_{c f}=c^{n} J_{f}$ ). Therefore we can assume without loss of generality, that $F=\varrho D$ with $D=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda_{1} & 0 \\ & \\ 0 & \lambda_{n}\end{array}\right)$ and $\lambda_{1} \geqslant \lambda_{2} \ldots \geqslant \lambda_{n}=1$. We then have to show that $\lambda_{1}^{n} \leqslant K \lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{n}$.

With this in mind let us choose $\varrho_{i}$ and $a_{m}=\left(\alpha_{m 1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m n}\right)$ in such a way that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\lambda_{k} \alpha_{m k}-1\right|<\varepsilon \quad k=1, \ldots, n  \tag{3.7}\\
& \left|c_{a_{m}}-\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\log \alpha_{m 1}\right)\right|<\varepsilon \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

(cf. (3.4)) and such that for $r$ small enough, say $r<\delta_{1}, U_{r}^{\prime}=f U_{e i, a_{m}, r}$ contains the cube $S=\left\{z:\left|z_{i}\right| \leqslant r(1-\varepsilon)\right\}$ and is contained in the cube $Q=\left\{z:\left|z_{i}\right| \leqslant r(1+\varepsilon)\right\}$. We remind that $U_{\varrho, a, r}$ was defined by $U_{\varrho, a, r}=\varrho^{-1}\left\{x:\left|x_{i}\right| \leqslant r \alpha_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}$.

By the main hypothesis (3.1) $w_{r}=v_{e, ~} \circ f^{-1}$ is in BMO and $\left\|w_{r}\right\|_{*} \leqslant C\|v\|_{*}$. So with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{Q}=\int_{Q} w_{r}(z) d z \\
& \int_{U^{\prime} r}\left|w_{r}(z)-w_{Q}\right| d z \leqslant|S|^{-1} \int_{Q}\left|w_{r}(z)-w_{Q}\right| d z \\
& \quad \leqslant\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{n} \int_{Q}\left|w_{r}(z)-w_{Q}\right| d z \leqslant\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{n} C\|v\|_{*} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In this inequality we can replace $w_{Q}$ by the mean value

$$
\tilde{v}_{r}=\int_{U^{\prime} r} w_{r}(z) d z
$$

if we instead write

$$
\int_{U_{r}^{\prime}}\left|w_{r}(z)-\tilde{v}_{r}\right| d z \leqslant 2\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{n} C\left\|v_{*}\right\|_{*}
$$

Due to the absolute continuity of $f$ with respect to $n$-dimensional Lebesgue measure

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{v}_{r} & =\frac{\left|U_{e_{i}, a_{m}, r}\right|}{\left|U_{r}^{\prime}\right|} \int_{U_{e_{i}, a_{m}, r}} v_{e_{i}, r}(x) J_{f}(x) d x \\
& =\frac{\left|U_{e_{i}, a_{m}, r}\right|}{\left|U_{r}^{\prime}\right|} \varphi_{e_{i}, a_{m}, r} * h(0)
\end{aligned}
$$

so by the corollary to Lemma 6

$$
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{v}_{r_{j}}=0
$$

Hence there exists $\delta_{2}>0\left(\delta_{2} \leqslant \delta_{1}\right)$ such that for $r=r_{j}<\delta_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U^{\prime} r}\left|w_{r}(z)\right| d z \leqslant \varepsilon+2\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{n} C\|v\|_{*} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other side

$$
\int_{U^{\prime} r}\left|w_{r}(z)\right| d z=\frac{\left|U_{e_{i}, a_{m}, r}\right|}{\left|U_{r}^{\prime}\right|} \psi_{e_{i}, a_{m}, r} * h(0)
$$

so by (3.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{U^{\prime} r_{j}}\left|w_{r_{j}}(z)\right| d z=\left(J_{f}(0)\right)^{-1} c_{a_{m}} J_{f}(0)=c_{a_{m}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining the two results (3.9) and (3.10) with (3.8) we conclude that for $j$ big enough

$$
\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\log \alpha_{m 1}\right)-\varepsilon \leqslant \int_{U^{\prime} r_{j}}\left|w_{r_{j}}(z)\right| d z \leqslant \varepsilon+2\left(\frac{1+\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}\right)^{n} C\|v\|_{*} .
$$

Together with (3.7) this implies

$$
1+\log \lambda_{1} \leqslant 4 C\|v\|_{*}
$$

since $\varepsilon>0$ was arbitrary. The inequality $\lambda_{1}^{n} \leqslant K \lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{n}$ therefore holds with $K=e^{(n-1)\left(4 C\|v\|_{*}-1\right)}$.

## 4. A Local Version

The object of this section is a local version of the Theorems 2 and 3. If $G$ is a domain in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ we denote by BMO $(G)$ the subspace of BMO consisting of all functions $u \in \mathrm{BMO}$ with support in $G$ (the support of a locally integrable function $u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right.$ is the complement of the largest open set $O \subset \mathbf{R}^{n}$ with $u(x)=0$ a.e. on $O$ ).

LEMMA 9. If $u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{1}\left(\mathbf{R}^{n}\right)$ and if $\operatorname{supp} u \subset G$, then

$$
\|u\|_{*} \leqslant 4 \sup \int_{P}\left|u(x)-u_{P}\right| d x
$$

where the supremum is extended over all cubes $P$ with $\operatorname{dia} P \leqslant 4 n^{1 / 2} \operatorname{dia} G$.
$G$ is contained in a ball $B$ with radius $\operatorname{dia} G$. If $Q \cap G \neq \emptyset$ for some cube with $\operatorname{dia} Q \geqslant 4 n^{1 / 2} \operatorname{dia} G$, then there exists a cube $P \subset Q$ with side length $n^{-1 / 2} \operatorname{dia} P=2 \operatorname{dia} B$ such that $P \cap B=Q \cap B \supset Q \cap G$. With $N=\{x \in P: u(x)=0\}, \quad|N| \geqslant|P|-|G| \geqslant$ $\geqslant\left(1-2^{-n}\right)|P|$, we obtain

$$
\int_{P}\left|u-u_{P}\right| d x=|P|^{-1} \int_{N}\left|u_{P}\right| d x+|P|^{-1} \int_{P \backslash N}\left|u-u_{P}\right| d x
$$

which shows that

$$
\left|u_{P}\right| \leqslant\left(1-2^{-n}\right)^{-1} \int_{P}\left|u-u_{P}\right| d x
$$

Finally

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{Q}\left|u-u_{Q}\right| d x & \leqslant 2 \int_{Q}\left|u-u_{P}\right| d x \\
& \leqslant 2|Q|^{-1} \int_{Q \backslash P}\left|u_{P}\right| d x+2|Q|^{-1} \int_{P}\left|u-u_{P}\right| d x \\
& \leqslant 2\left(1-2^{-n}\right)^{-1} f_{P}\left|u-u_{P}\right| d x
\end{aligned}
$$

and the proof is complete.

THEOREM 4. Assume that $f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ is a (orientation preserving) homeomorphism, $f \in \mathrm{ACL}$, and that f is differentiable a.e. Then f is a quasiconformal mapping if and only if every point $x \in G$ has a neighbourhood $U$ such that $\varphi: u \rightarrow u^{\prime}=u \circ f^{-1}$ is an isomorphism of BMO $(U)$ onto BMO $(f U)$ which satisfies

$$
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{*} \leqslant C_{0}\|u\|_{*}
$$

for all $u \in \mathrm{BMO}(U)$ with a fixed constant $C_{0}$ independent of $U$.
The proof for the quasiconformality of a homeomorphism $f: G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n}$ satisfying all the above hypotheses is contained in the proof of Theorem 3. In order to show that a quasiconformal mapping gives rise to local isomorphisms of BMO $(U)$ onto BMO $\left(U^{\prime}\right), U^{\prime}=f U$, the full strength of the Lemmata 2 and 4 has to be used.

For $x \in G$ we choose a neighbourhood $U$ in such a way that

$$
4 n^{1 / 2}(1+2 k) \operatorname{dia} U^{\prime}<\operatorname{dist}\left(U^{\prime}, \partial G^{\prime}\right)
$$

where $k$ is the constant of Lemma 4. If $P^{\prime}$ is a cube with $\operatorname{dia} P^{\prime} \leqslant 4 n^{1 / 2} \operatorname{dia} U^{\prime}$ and with $P^{\prime} \cap U^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, then

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(P^{\prime}, \partial G^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{dist}\left(U^{\prime}, \partial G^{\prime}\right)-\operatorname{dia} P^{\prime}>\operatorname{dia} P^{\prime}(1+2 k)-\operatorname{dia} P^{\prime}=2 k \operatorname{dia} P^{\prime}
$$

Hence by Lemma 4 there exists a cube $Q \subset G$ with $f Q=Q^{\prime} \supset P^{\prime},\left|Q^{\prime}\right| \subseteq k^{n} n^{n / 2}\left|P^{\prime}\right|$ and with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dist}\left(Q^{\prime}, \partial G^{\prime}\right)>\operatorname{dia} Q^{\prime} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a given function $u \in \mathrm{BMO}(U)$ with $u^{\prime}=u \circ f^{-1}$ we can then proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2. Condition (4.1) ensures the validity of Lemma 2. It follows (see (2.11)) that

$$
\int_{P^{\prime}}\left|u^{\prime}(z)-u_{P^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| d z \leqslant C\|u\|_{*}
$$

and this inequality holds for all $P^{\prime}$ with $\operatorname{dia} P^{\prime} \leqslant 4 n^{1 / 2} \operatorname{dia} U^{\prime}$. Therefore by Lemma 9

$$
\left\|u^{\prime}\right\|_{*} \leqslant 4 C\|u\|_{*}=C_{0}\|u\|_{*} .
$$
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