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# Quasiaspherical knots with infinitely many ends 

F. González-Acuña and José María Montesinos*

A smooth $n$-knot $K$ in $S^{n+2}$ is called quasiaspherical [3] if $H_{n+1}(U)=0$ where $U$ is the universal cover of the exterior of $K$. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group such that $G / G^{\prime} \approx Z$ and let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ which is not contained in $G^{\prime}$. We say that $(G, H)$ is unsplitable if $G$ does not have a free product with amalgamation decomposition $A \underset{F}{*} B$ with $F$ finite and $H$ contained in $A .^{1}$

THEOREM 1. $K$ is quasiaspherical if and only if $\left(\pi_{1}\left(S^{n+2}-K\right), H\right)$ is unsplitable, where $H$ is the subgroup generated by a meridian.

The "only if" part of this theorem was proved by Swarup [7]. A sketch of the "if" part was given in [2]; for the sake of completeness we give the details in §1.

A knot $K$ has infinitely many ends if for each integer $m$ there is a compact set in $U$ whose complement has more than $m$ components with non compact closure.

The property of having infinitely many ends depends only on $\pi_{1}\left(S^{n+2}-K\right)$.

THEOREM 2. [5]. K has infinitely many ends if and only if either
(i) $\pi_{1}\left(S^{n+2}-K\right)=A_{F}^{*} B$ where $F$ is finite; or
(ii) $\pi_{1}\left(S^{n+2}-K\right)=A \underset{F}{ } \phi$ where $F$ is finite and properly contained in $A$ and $\phi: F \rightarrow A$ is a monomorphism. ${ }^{2}$

Therefore, a knot which is not quasiaspherical has infinitely many ends. There are examples of $n$-knots which are not quasiaspherical, for $n \geq 2$ [2] [4].

Ratcliffe conjectures ([4, p. 323], [3, Problem 3]) that $n$-knots with infinitely many ends are not quasiaspherical. We give counter-examples to this conjecture for $n \geq 2$. Thus, by the results of Lomonaco [3; Theorem 10.1], even in the class

[^0]of infinitely many ended knots there are knots for which the homotopy type of the complement is determined by its algebraic 2-type.

First we obtain sufficient conditions for a pair ( $A \underset{F}{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{H}$ ) to be unsplitable; then we realize geometrically examples of such pairs. An affirmative answer to the question we ask in § 1 would characterize unsplitable pairs ( $\boldsymbol{A} \underset{\boldsymbol{F}}{\boldsymbol{\phi}, \boldsymbol{H}) \text { ). We settle }}$ it when $A$ has at most one end and $H$ is generated by the stable letter. In $\S 2$ we construct a 2-knot whose group is $\left(Z_{m} \ltimes Z_{2^{m}-1}\right){\underset{z}{m}} \psi$ where $Z_{m} \cup \psi\left(Z_{m}\right)$ generates the semidirect product $Z_{m} \ltimes Z_{2^{m}-1}$, a meridian being represented by the stable letter. Using $\S 1$ one shows that this is a quasiaspherical knot with infinitely many ends.

We thank Professor Milnor for his comments on the paper.

## 81. Algebraic part

Let $G$ be a finitely generated group and let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. Viewing $Z G$ as a left $G$-module by left multiplication, we consider the restriction homomorphism $r: H^{1}(G ; Z G) \rightarrow H^{1}(H ; Z G)$. Swarup [7, Th. 4] proved:

PROPOSITION 1. If $r: H^{1}(G ; Z G) \rightarrow H^{1}(H ; Z G)$ is not injective then $G=$ $A_{F}^{*} B$ or $G=A \underset{F}{\stackrel{1}{2}}$ where $F$ is finite and $H \subset A$.

The converse of this theorem is valid [10, Theorem 5.2]:
PROPOSITION 2. If $G=A \underset{F}{*} B$ or $G=A \overleftrightarrow{F} \phi$ with $F$ finite and if $H \subset A$ then $r: H^{1}(G ; Z G) \rightarrow H^{1}(H ; Z G)$ is not injective.

COROLLARY 1. Let $G$ be a finitely generated group such that $G / G^{\prime} \approx Z$ and let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$ such that $H \not \subset G^{\prime}$. Then $(G, H)$ is unsplitable if and only if the restriction $r: H^{1}(G ; Z G) \rightarrow H^{1}(H ; Z G)$ is injective.

Proof. $G$ cannot be of the form $A \underset{F}{ } \phi$ with $H \subset A$ because $A \subset G^{\prime}$. The result then follows from Propositions 1 and 2.

Now if $U$ is the universal cover of the exterior of a knot $K$ then using the exact sequence of $(U, \partial U)$, Poincaré duality and the isomorphisms $H_{c}^{1}(U) \approx$ $H^{1}(G ; Z G) H_{c}^{1}(\partial U) \approx H^{1}(H ; Z G)$ it follows that $H_{n+1}(U)$ is isomorphic to the kernel of $r$.

From these observations and Corollary 1, Theorem 1 follows.
If $G=A \underset{F}{*} B$, where $F$ is finite, we say that $A$ is a factor of $G$.
In the remainder of this section we let $G=A \underset{F}{\boldsymbol{\phi}} \boldsymbol{\phi}$ where $F$ is finite and
$G / G^{\prime} \approx Z$, let $m=a_{0} t^{\varepsilon_{1}} a_{1} \cdots t^{\varepsilon_{n}} a_{n} a_{i} \in A i=1, \ldots, n$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}=1$ and let $H$ be the (infinite cyclic) subgroup of $G$ generated by $m$.

PROPOSITION 3. Let $C$ be the subgroup of $A$ generated by $F \cup \phi(F) \cup$ $\left\{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$. If $C$ is a finite proper subgroup of $A$ or if $C$ is contained in a factor of $A$ then $(G, H)$ is not unsplitable.

Proof. Suppose $C$ is a finite proper subgroup of $A$. Then the homomorphism from $G=A \underset{F}{\boldsymbol{F}} \boldsymbol{\phi}$ to $(C \underset{F}{ } \boldsymbol{\phi})$ * $A$ whose restriction to $A$ is the natural inclusion and which sends the stable letter of $A \overleftrightarrow{F} \phi$ to the stable letter of $C \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{F} \phi$ is easily seen to be an isomorphism. Since $C \underset{F}{ } \boldsymbol{\phi} \phi$ contains the image of $H$ it follows that $(G, H)$ is not unsplitable.

Similarly one shows that if $C$ is contained in a factor $P$ of $A=P * Q$ then there is an isomorphism from $G$ onto $(P \underset{F}{\boldsymbol{F} \phi})_{\text {娄 }} Q$ where $E$ is finite and $H$ is mapped into $P \underset{F}{ } \phi$.

Question. Is the converse of Proposition 3 valid?
A partial answer is the following:
THEOREM 3. Let $G=A \underset{F}{ } \phi$ where $F$ is finite and $G / G^{\prime} \approx Z$; let $H$ be the subgroup generated by the stable letter $t$ and let $C$ be the subgroup of $A$ generated by $F \cup \phi(F)$. Assume
(i). A has at most one end, and
(ii) $C$ is not a finite proper subgroup of $A$. Then $(G, H)$ is unsplitable.

Proof. Associated to a $H N N$-group there is a natural exact sequence of cohomology groups [1, Th. 3.1]. The homomorphism of the HNN group $H=1 \leftrightarrow$ to the $H N N$ group $G=A \underset{F}{\leftrightarrows} \phi$ sending the stable letter $t$ of $H$ to the stable letter $t$ of $G$ induces a commutative diagram with exact rows


Here $i$ can be identified with the inclusion of $(Z G)^{A}$ in $Z G$ and $j$, with the inclusion of $(Z G)^{F}$ in $Z G$. Notice that $H^{1}(A ; Z G) \approx H^{1}(A ; Z A) \otimes_{Z A} Z G=0$ because $A$ has at most one end [8, page 145].

LEMMA. Let $w \in Z G$. If $(1-t) \cdot w \in(Z G)^{F}$ then $w \in(Z G)^{C}$.
Proof. Write $w=\sum_{g \in G} n_{g} \cdot g$. Then $(1-t) w=\sum_{g \in G} m_{g} \cdot g$ where $m_{g}=$ $n_{g}-n_{t^{-1}}$. Since $(1-t) \cdot w \in(Z G)^{F}$ we have $m_{g}=m_{f g}$ that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\mathrm{g}}-n_{\mathrm{t}^{-1} \mathrm{~g}}=n_{\mathrm{fg}}-n_{\mathrm{t}^{-1} \mathrm{fg}}, \quad g \in G, \quad f \in G . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

We only need to show (i) $n_{\mathrm{g}}=n_{f \mathrm{f}}$ and (ii) $n_{\mathrm{g}}=n_{\phi(f) \mathrm{g}}$ for $f \in F, g \in G$.
For a sufficiently large $k$ we have $n_{t^{-}-k_{g}}=n_{t}-k_{f g}=0$. From (*) it follows that $n_{t^{-1}}=n_{t^{-1} \mathrm{fg}}$ for $k \geq i \geq 0$. This proves (i).

To prove (ii) notice that $n_{t g}-n_{g}=n_{t g}-n_{t^{-1} \mathrm{tg}}=n_{f(\mathrm{tg})}-n_{t^{-1} f(\mathrm{gg})}=n_{f t g}-n_{\phi(f) \mathrm{g}}$. By (i) $n_{\mathrm{tg}}=n_{\mathrm{ftg}}$. Hence $n_{\mathrm{g}}=n_{\phi(f) \mathrm{g}}$. This proves the lemma.

An element $x \in \operatorname{ker} r$ is the image of an element $y \in(Z G)^{F}$. Then $j(y)=y$ is of the form $(1-t) \cdot w$ where $w \in Z G$. By the lemma $w \in(Z G)^{C}$. If $C$ is infinite then $w=0$ so that $x=0$; if $C=A$ then $y$ is in the image of $(Z G)^{A}$ and therefore $x=0$. Hence, $r$ is injective and, by Corollary $1,(G, H)$ is unsplitable. This completes the proof of the theorem.

## §2. Geometric realization

Let $L$ be a smooth $n$-link in $S^{n+2}, n>1$, with components $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r} . L$ has a unique framing. Denote by $N^{n+2}$ the manifold obtained by surgery on $L$. Then $L$ is replaced by $\boldsymbol{M}=m_{1} \cup \cdots \cup m_{r}$ where each $m_{i}$ is a 1 -sphere. $M$ has a natural framing so that if we perform surgery on $\boldsymbol{M}$ using this framing we recover $\boldsymbol{S}^{\boldsymbol{n + 2}}$.

If $G$ is a group, a cyclic word of $G$ is a subset of $G$ which is the union [g] of the conjugacy classes of $g$ and $g^{-1}$, for some $g \in G$. The cyclic word of $\pi_{1} N^{n+2}$ determined by $m_{i}$ will also be denoted by $m_{i}$ and will be called a meridian. It corresponds to a meridian of $\pi_{1}\left(S^{n+2}-L\right)$ under the isomorphism $\pi_{1}\left(S^{n+2}-L\right)=$ $\pi_{1}\left(N^{n+2}-M\right) \approx \pi_{1}\left(N^{n+2}\right)$. We remark that a finite system of cyclic words $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$ of $\pi_{1} N$ determines disjoint 1 -spheres (which we also denote by $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$ ), well defined up to isotopy, which represent them.

Let ( $G, m, c$ ) be a triple where $G$ is a group, $m$ is a system of $r$ cyclic words $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}$ of $G$, and $c$ is also a system of $r$ cyclic words $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$ of $G$.

If, for some $i$, we replace $c_{i}$ by $c_{i}^{\prime}=\left[g_{i} g_{j}\right]$ where $g_{i} \in c_{i} g_{j} \in c_{j} i \neq j$ we obtain a new system $c^{\prime}$ of cyclic words of $G$. We say that ( $G, m, c^{\prime}$ ) is obtained from ( $G, m, c$ ) by a band move.

If in the triple $(G, m, c)$ some cyclic word $m_{i}$ of $m$ coincides with a cyclic word $c_{j}$ of $c$ consider the projection $G \rightarrow \hat{G}$ where $\hat{G}=G /\left\langle m_{i}\right\rangle .{ }^{4}$ Let $\hat{m}$ be the system $\hat{m}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{m}_{i-1}, \hat{m}_{i+1}, \ldots, \hat{m}_{r}$ and let $\hat{c}$ be the system $\hat{c}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{c}_{j-1}, \hat{c}_{j+1}, \ldots, \hat{c}_{r}$. Then we' say that $(\hat{G}, \hat{m}, \hat{c})$ is obtained from $(G, m, c)$ by a collapse.

PROPOSITION 4. Let $c=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}\right\}$ be a system of cyclic words of $\pi_{1} N^{n+2}$; let $m=\left\{m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r}\right\}$ be the system of meridians of $\pi_{1} N^{n+2}$. Assume the triple $(1, \varnothing, \varnothing)$ can be obtained from the triple $(G, m, c)$ by a finite sequence of band moves and collapses. Then, if we perform surgery on $c_{1} \cdots c_{r}$ using suitable framings, we obtain $S^{n+2}$.

Proof. Consider the $(n+2)$-manifold $\chi\left(L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{r} ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}\right)$ obtained from $S^{n+2}$ by surgery on $L_{1}, L_{2}, \ldots, L_{r}$ and then by surgery on $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$; the framing of $L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r}$ is unique; the framings of $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$ are specified later.

A band move on $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$ can be realized by a "band move" among the 1-dimensional surgeries. By this we understand the effect on the boundary of a cobordism when we perform handle slidings; these handle slidings do not change the cobordism. Thus if $c^{\prime}=\left\{c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{r}^{\prime}\right\}$ is obtained from $c=\left\{c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}\right\}$ by band moves then $\chi\left(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r} ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}\right)=\chi\left(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r} ; c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{r}^{\prime}\right)$.

If now some cyclic word of $c^{\prime}$, say $c_{r}^{\prime}$, equals some cyclic word of $m$, say $m_{r}$, then if we endow $m_{r}$ with the natural framing $\chi\left(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r} ; c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{r-1}^{\prime}, m_{r}\right)=$ $\chi\left(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r-1} ; c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{r-1}^{\prime}\right)$ because the surgeries on $L_{r}$ and $m_{r}$ cancel. We want the framings of $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$ be such that the framing of $c_{r}^{\prime}$ coincides with the framing of $m_{r}$. Then we have

$$
\chi\left(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r} ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}\right) \approx \chi\left(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r-1} ; c_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, c_{r-1}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Proceeding this way we eventually obtain

$$
\chi\left(L_{1}, \ldots, L_{r}, c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}\right)=\chi(\varnothing ; \varnothing)=S^{n+2}
$$

This proves the proposition because we can find the framings of $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$ working all the process backwards.

Suppose $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}$ are cyclic words of $\pi_{1} N^{n+2}$ such that by a finite sequence of band moves and collapses, it is possible to obtain the triple ( $1, \varnothing, \varnothing$ ) from $\left(\pi_{1} N ; m_{1}, \ldots, m_{r} ; c_{1}, \ldots, c_{r}\right)$. Perform surgery on $c_{1} \cup \cdots \cup c_{r}$ using suitable framings to obtain $S^{n+2}$. Then $c_{1} \cup \cdots \cup c_{r}$ is replaced by a disjoint union of $n$-spheres $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{r}$ in $S^{n+2}$.

The following proposition is clear.

[^1]PROPOSITION 5. Let $1 \leq k \leq r$. Then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} S_{i}$ is a link in $S^{n+2}$ with group $\pi_{1} N / \bigcup_{i>k}\left\langle c_{i}\right\rangle$. The meridian corresponding to $S_{i}, i \leq k$, is represented by $c_{i}$.

Remark. This construction of links generalizes the construction introduced in [2, § 1].

Now, we will construct quasiaspherical knots with infinitely many ends. Let $L=L_{1} \cup L_{2}$ be a smooth 2 -link in $S^{4}$ such that $\pi_{1} N^{4} \approx \| a, t, x: a^{m}=1$, $t^{-1} a t=a^{-1} \|$ where $m$ is odd and $t, x$ are the meridians. For example $L$ can be taken to be a split link one of whose components is a 2 -twist spun torus knot and the other one is trivial. Now let $c_{1}, c_{2}$ be the cyclic words of $\pi_{1} N^{4}$ represented by $x t^{-1}$ and $a^{-1} x^{-2}$ respectively. It is easy to find a sequence of band moves changing $\left\{c_{1}, c_{2}\right\}$ into $\{x, t\}$. According to Proposition 5 there is a knot $K_{m}$ in $S^{4}$ whose group is $\left\|a, t, x: a^{m}=1, t^{-1} a t=a^{-1}, a^{-1} x a x^{-2}=1\right\| \approx\left(Z_{m} \ltimes Z_{2^{m}-1}\right) \underset{Z_{m}}{\overleftrightarrow{m}^{\prime}} \phi$ where $Z_{m} \ltimes Z_{2^{m}-1}$ is the semidirect product $\left\|a, t: a^{m}=x^{2 m-1}=1, a^{-1} x a=x^{2}\right\|$; the domain of $\phi$ is the subgroup generated by $a$; and $\phi(a)=a^{-1}$. Moreover $x t^{-1}$ represents a meridian of $\boldsymbol{K}_{\boldsymbol{m}}$.

THEOREM 4. The 2-knot $K_{m}$ is quasiaspherical and has infinitely many ends.
Proof. By Theorem 2 ii) $K_{m}$ has infinitely many ends. To see that it is quasiaspherical notice that $\pi_{1}\left(S^{4}-K_{m}\right) \approx\left\|a, x, t: a^{m}=a^{-1} x a x^{-2}=1, t^{-1} a t=a^{-1}\right\| \stackrel{f}{\sim} \rightarrow$ $\left\|a, x, s: a^{m}=a^{-1} x a x^{-2}=1 \quad s^{-1} a s=x^{-1} a^{-1}\right\| \approx\left(Z_{m} \ltimes Z_{2^{m}-1}\right) \underset{Z_{m}}{ } \psi$ where $f(a)=a$, $f(x)=x, f(t)=s x$; the domain of $\psi$ is the subgroup generated by $a$ and $\psi(a)=$ $x^{-1} a^{-1}$. Since $Z_{m} \cup \psi\left(Z_{m}\right)$ generates $Z_{m} \ltimes Z_{2^{m}-1}$ and the stable letter $s$ is a meridian, it follows from Theorems 3 and 1 that $K_{m}$ is quasiaspherical. This proves the theorem.

Since the spinning construction preserves meridian, we have:
COROLLARY 2. For $n \geq 2$ there are quasiaspherical $n$-knots with infinitely many ends.

Remark. The knot $K_{m}$ has the same group as the corresponding knot in [2, pag. 95]. However, the latter is not quasiaspherical (see [4] or Proposition 3).
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[^0]:    * Supported by "Comision Asesora del MUI".
    ${ }^{1}$ Whenever we write $A * B$ it is understood that $C$ is a proper subgroup of $A$ and $B$.
    ${ }^{2}$ The $H N N$ group $A \underset{F}{\leftrightarrows} \phi$ is $(A *\|t:-\|) / N$, where $N$ is the normal closure of $\left\{t f t^{-1} \phi(f)^{-1}: f \in F\right\}$. Here $\|t:-\|$ is an infinite cyclic group generated by $t$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}\langle \rangle$ denotes normal closure.

