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## Link genus and the Conway moves

Martin Scharlemann ${ }^{1}$ and Abigail Thompson ${ }^{1,2}$

Let $L_{+}, L_{-}$and $L_{0}$ be three links in $S^{3}$ related by the standard Conway moves:

L.

L.

$L_{0}$

Figure 1

The Conway potential functions $\nabla_{+}(z), \nabla_{-}(z)$ and $\nabla_{0}(z)$ of the three links are related as follows [Co]:

$$
\nabla_{+}(z)-\nabla_{-}(z)=z \nabla_{0}(z)
$$

Hence in particular, at least two of $\nabla_{+}, \nabla_{-}$, and $z \nabla_{0}$ have the same degree, which is no smaller than the degree of the third.

A Seifert surface for an oriented link $L$ in a 3 -manifold is a compact oriented surface none of whose components are closed and whose boundary is the link. Define $\chi(L)$ to be the maximal Euler characteristic of all Seifert surfaces for $L$. If $L$ is a non-split alternating link in $S^{3}$ then $\operatorname{deg}\left(\nabla_{L}\right)=1-\chi(L)[\mathrm{Cr}]$. Hence if $L_{+}$, $L_{-}$and $L_{0}$ are all non-split alternating links, then two of $\chi\left(L_{+}\right), \chi\left(L_{-}\right)$and $\chi\left(L_{0}\right)-1$ are equal and are no larger than the third. We will show that this relation remains true for arbitrary links. Two consequences are:
a) the height of the Conway skein diagram for a link $L$ is bounded below by $-\chi(L)$. In particular, this gives an unexpected lower bound for the complexity of calculating the new oriented knot polynomials.
b) doubled knots are precisely those knots whose genus and unknotting number are both 1 .

[^0]
## 1. The main theorem

1.1. DEFINITIONS. Following Thurston [Th], define the complexity $\chi^{-}(S)$ of an oriented surface $S$ to be $-\chi(C)$, where $C$ is the union of all non-simply connected components of $S$ and $\chi(C)$ is its Euler characteristic. For $M$ a compact oriented 3-manifold and $N$ a (possibly empty) surface in $\partial M$, assign to any homology class $\alpha$ in $H_{2}(M, N ; Z)$ the minimum complexity $x(\alpha)$ of all oriented imbedded surfaces whose fundamental class represents $\alpha$. The function $x: H_{2}(M, N ; Z) \rightarrow Z_{+}$is called the Thurston norm. An oriented surface $(S, \partial S) \subset$ $(M, \partial M)$ is taut if it is incompressible and $\chi^{-}(S)=x([S, \partial S])$ in $H_{2}(M, \eta(\partial S))$, where $\eta(\partial S)$ is a bicollar neighborhood of $\partial S$ in $\partial M$.
1.2 LEMMA. A Seifert surface $S$ for a link $L$ is taut if and only if $\chi(S)=\chi(L)$.

Proof. Let $L_{d}$ be the maximal sublink of $L$ which bounds an imbedded collection of disks $D_{d}$ with interiors disjoint from $L$. By an innermost disk argument we can take these disks to have interiors disjoint from any given incompressible Seifert surface $S$ for $L$. Any component of $L_{d}$ must then bound a disk in $S$, since $S$ is incompressible, and any disk component of $S$ must have boundary in $L_{d}$ by maximality of $L_{d}$. Hence $\chi^{-}(S)=d-\chi(S)$. Then an incompressible Seifert surface minimizing $\chi^{-}$must maximize $\chi$ and vice versa. \|
1.3 DEFINITION. An arbitrary link $L$ is isotopic to the distant union of its non-splittable sublinks. The number of such non-splittable sublinks is called the splitting number of $L$.
1.4 THEOREM. Suppose $L_{+}, L_{-}$, and $L_{0}$ are three links related by the Conway moves at a crossing. Then two of $\chi\left(L_{+}\right), \chi\left(L_{-}\right)$and $\chi\left(L_{0}\right)-1$ are equal and are no larger than the third. The splitting numbers of the same pair of links are equal and are no larger than that of the third.

Proof. The proof is a modest variation of ideas in [ $\mathrm{Ga}_{3}$ ] and [ST]. Let $D$ be a crossing disk for the crossing, i.e. a disk which intersects $L_{+}$in precisely two points, of opposite orientation (see [ST, 1.1] or figure 2). Note that the knot in $S^{3}$ obtained by doing -1 surgery on $K=\partial D$ is precisely $L_{-}$.


Figure 2

An innermost circle argument shows that any essential sphere in $S^{3}$ $\left(L_{+} \cup K\right)$ can be isotoped off of $D$ in $S^{3}-L_{+}$. Any sphere in $S^{3}-\left(L_{+} \cup D\right)$ which separates a sublink of $L_{+}$from $K$ persists in $L_{-}$and $L_{0}$. Hence, with no loss of generality, we restrict further to the case in which $S^{3}-\left(L_{+} \cup D\right)$ is irreducible.

Let $M=S^{3}-\eta\left(K \cup L_{+}\right)$and let $M_{+}, M_{-}$and $M_{0}$ be the manifolds obtained from $M$ by filling in a torus along $\partial \eta(K)$ with framings $\infty,-1$, and 0 respectively. Then $M_{+}=S^{3}-\eta\left(L_{+}\right)$and $M_{-}=S^{3}-\eta\left(L_{-}\right)$. It is not quite true that $M_{0}=$ $S^{3}-\eta\left(L_{0}\right)$, but there is a close connection (see claim 2 below). Let $S$ be a Seifert surface for $L_{+}$in $M$ which has maximal $\chi$ among all Seifert surfaces for $L_{+}$in $M$.

CLAIM 1. At least two of $M_{+}, M_{-}$and $M_{0}$ are irreducible; in those two manifolds, $S$ still maximizes $\chi$.

## Proof of claim 1.

CASE 1. $L_{+}$lies in a knotted solid torus $\tau$ in $S^{3}-\eta(K)$ whose linking number with $K$ is non-trivial and $\partial \tau$ is incompressible in $\tau-L_{+}$(i.e. $\tau$ is a companion of $L_{+}$).

Since $L_{+}$pierces $D$ twice, with opposite orientation, in fact $\tau$ pierces $D$ precisely once (in a subdisk of $D$ ). Then $D-\tau$ is an annulus whose boundary circle on $\eta(K)$ has slope 0 . Since $\tau$ is knotted no other slope on $\partial \eta(K)$ can be that of a boundary circle of an essential spanning annulus in $M-\tau$. Hence $T=\partial \tau$ is incompressible in $M_{+}$and $M_{-}$.

Subclaim (a) $M_{ \pm}$is irreducible.
Proof. $M_{ \pm}-\tau$ is irreducible since $M_{ \pm}-\tau$ is a knot complement. $\tau-L_{+} \subset M$ is irreducible since $M$ is irreducible and $T$ is incompressible. Since $M_{ \pm}$is obtained from gluing $M_{ \pm}-\tau$ to $\tau-L_{+}$along the incompressible $T, M_{ \pm}$is irreducible.

Subclaim (b) $S$ maximizes $\chi$ in $M_{ \pm}$.
Proof. The argument is essentially that found in [Sh]: Suppose $\Sigma$ is a Seifert surface for $L_{+}$in $M_{ \pm}$. Without decreasing $\chi(\Sigma)$ do 2-surgeries to $\Sigma$ so that each component of $\Sigma \cap T$ is essential in $T$. Let $\Sigma_{Y}=\Sigma \cap \tau$ and $\Sigma_{X}=\Sigma-\tau$. Since $K$ and $L_{+}$have trivial linking number, $\Sigma \cap T$ is homologically trivial in $T$, hence it is possible to cap off the components of $\partial \Sigma_{Y}$ lying in $T$ with annuli near $T$ to get a Seifert surface $\Sigma^{\prime}$ which is disjoint from $K$. On the other hand, no component of $\Sigma_{X}$ is a disk, since $T$ is incompressible in $M_{ \pm}$, so each component of $\Sigma_{X}$ has non-positive Euler characteristic. Hence $\chi(\Sigma) \leq \chi\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right) \leq \chi(S)$, by definition of $S$.

This verifies claim 1 in this case.
CASE 2. No such torus exists.

Then according to [ $\mathrm{Ga}_{2}$, Cor. 2.4] there is at most one way of filling in $\partial \eta(K)$ to get a manifold which is either reducible or in which $S$ is not taut. This and 1.2 verify claim 1 .

Next consider the connection between $M_{0}$ and $S^{3}-\eta\left(L_{0}\right)$ :
Isotope $S$ so that it intersects $D$ in an arc $\alpha$ joining the boundary components of $\eta\left(L_{+}\right) \cap D$. Define $S_{0}$ to be the surface obtained from $S$ by deleting a neighborhood of $\alpha$ in $S$. Then $L_{0}=\partial S_{0}$, i.e. $S_{0}$ is a Seifert surface for $L_{0}$. Equivalently, $S^{3}-\eta\left(S_{0}\right)$ is obtained from $S^{3}-\eta(S)$ by attaching a 2-handle to $\partial \eta(S)$ along the circle $\beta=\partial \eta(S) \cap D=\partial \eta(\alpha) \cap D$ (cf. Figure 3).


Figure 3
CLAIM 2. If $M_{0}$ is irreducible and $S$ is taut in $M_{0}$ then $S^{3}-\eta\left(L_{0}\right)$ is irreducible and $S_{0}$ is a taut Seifert surface for $L_{0}$.

Proof of claim 2. $D-\eta(\alpha)$ is an annulus with boundary components $\beta$ and $K$, and the end of the annulus at $K$ has framing 0 . Hence $\beta$ bounds in $M_{0}$ a disk $D^{\prime}$, the union of this annulus and a meridional disk of the solid torus filled in to produce $M_{0}$ from $M$. Attaching to $S^{3}-\eta(S)$ a 2 -handle along $\beta$ is equivalent to deleting from $M_{0}-\eta(S)$ a neighborhood of the disk $D^{\prime}$. Now if $M_{0}$ is irreducible and $S$ is taut in $M_{0}$ then the induced sutured manifold structure on $M_{0}-\eta(S)$ is taut (cf. $\left.\left[\mathrm{Ga}_{1}\right],[\mathrm{Sc}]\right) . D^{\prime}$ is a disk in $M_{0}-\eta(S)$ whose boundary crosses precisely two sutures so it is a product disk. Deleting product disks preserves tautness [ $\mathrm{Ga}_{1}, 3.12$ ], [Sc, 4.2]. Hence $\left(M_{0}-\eta(S)\right)-\eta\left(D^{\prime}\right)=S^{3}-\eta\left(S_{0}\right)$ is a taut sutured manifold. But this implies that $S^{3}-\eta\left(L_{0}\right)$ is taut (i.e. irreducible) and that $S_{0}$ is taut [ $\mathrm{Ga}_{1}, 3.6$ ], [ $\mathrm{Sc}, 3.3$ ].

The theorem follows from Claims 1 and 2, together with the observation that a Seifert surface for $L_{-}$in $M_{-}$corresponds precisely to a Seifert surface for $L_{-}$in $S^{3}$. \|

## 2. Application to skein trees

Any link $L$ can be reduced to unlinks by a series of "skein moves", that is, replacing $L_{+}$(resp. $L_{-}$) with the pair of links $L_{-}$(resp. $L_{+}$) and $L_{0}$. To any such
process (called a skein decomposition) we can associate a binary tree [ $\mathrm{Gi}, \S 8$ ], called a skein tree, with a node for each link and edges between a link and the pair of links obtained by a skein move.
2.1 DEFINITIONS. Let $T$ be a skein tree for a link $L$. Then there is one end (the root) $\lambda$ of $T$ representing $L$; the other ends (called leaves) $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}\right\}$ represent unlinks. Define the width $\omega\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ of a leaf to be the number of components in the unlink it represents, and its height $h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ to be the number of edges in a path in $T$ from $\lambda$ to $\varepsilon_{i}$. Define $h(T)$ to be $\max \left\{h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)\right\}$ and the height $h(L)$ to be $\min \{h(T) \mid T$ a skein tree for $L\}$.

Similarly the weight (height-width) $\mu\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$ of $\varepsilon_{i}$ is $h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)-\omega\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right), \mu(T)=$ $\max \left\{\mu\left(\varepsilon_{i} \mid \varepsilon_{i}\right.\right.$ in $\left.T\right\}$ and $\mu(L)=\min \{\mu(T) \mid T$ a skein tree for $L\}$.
2.2 Remarks. Note that always $\mu\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)<h\left(\varepsilon_{i}\right)$, so $\mu(L)<h(L)$. Since any edge in a path in $T$ from $\lambda$ to $\varepsilon_{i}$ represents an increase by at most one in the number of components of the link, $\mu(L) \geq-|L|$, where $|L|$ denotes the number of components of $L$.
2.3 PROPOSITION. $\mu(L) \geq-\chi(L)$.

Proof. The proof is by induction on the pair $(|L|+\mu(T), h(T))$, in lexicographic order, taken over all skein trees $T$ for $L$. Note that both entries are non-negative, and if both are zero then $L$ is an unlink. For an unlink $\mu(L)=-|L|=-\chi(L)$.

For the inductive step, let $T$ be a tree for which $\mu(T)=\mu(L)$, and which, among all such trees, has minimum height. With no loss of generality assume $L=L_{+}$. The subtrees $T_{-}$and $T_{0}$ of $T$ which are skein trees for $L_{-}$and $L_{0}$, each have height strictly less than $T$; also $\mu\left(T_{-}\right)+\left|L_{-}\right|<\mu(T)+|L|$ and $\mu\left(T_{0}\right)+$ $\left|L_{0}\right| \leq \mu(T)+|L|$. By induction 2.3 applies to $L_{-}$and $L_{0}$ so $\mu\left(L_{+}\right)=$ $\max \left\{\mu\left(L_{0}\right), \mu\left(L_{-}\right)\right\}+1 \geq \max \left\{1-\chi\left(L_{0}\right), 1-\chi\left(L_{-}\right)\right\}$. Now consider the possibilities given by 1.4: Either
a) $-\chi\left(L_{+}\right)=-\chi\left(L_{-}\right) \geq 1-\chi\left(L_{0}\right)$ in which case $\mu\left(L_{+}\right) \geq 1-\chi\left(L_{-}\right)>-\chi\left(L_{+}\right)$
b) $-\chi\left(L_{+}\right)=1-\chi\left(L_{0}\right)>-\chi\left(L_{-}\right)$in which case $\mu\left(L_{+}\right) \geq 1-\chi\left(L_{0}\right)=-\chi\left(L_{+}\right)$
c) $-\chi\left(L_{-}\right)=1-\chi\left(L_{0}\right)>-\chi\left(L_{+}\right)$in which case $\mu\left(L_{+}\right) \geq 1-\chi\left(L_{0}\right)>$ $-\chi\left(L_{+}\right)$. \|
2.4 Remark. For $d(L)$ the degree of the Conway polynomial, it is classical [To] that $d(L) \leq-\chi(L)+1$. An argument analogous to that of 2.3 applied to the recursion formula for the Conway polynomial shows $d(L) \leq h(L)$. Hence 2.2 and
2.3 complete the picture:

$$
d(L) \leq-\chi(L)+1 \leq \mu(L)+1 \leq h(L)
$$

## 3. Characterizing doubled knots

Consider the alternate picture of the Conway moves obtained by giving a half-twist to all the diagrams of Figure 1:


Figure 4
There is the following addendum to 1.4 :
3.1 PROPOSITION. When $\chi\left(L_{+}\right)=\chi\left(L_{0}\right)-1<\chi\left(L_{-}\right)$there are taut Seifert surfaces $S^{\prime}$ for $L_{+}$and $S$ for $L_{0}$ which appear as in Figure 5 near the crossing, i.e. $S^{\prime}$ is obtained from $S$ by plumbing on a Hopf band: (An analogous conclusion holds when $\chi\left(L_{-}\right)=\chi\left(L_{0}\right)-1<\chi\left(L_{+}\right)$.)

L.


Figure 5
Proof. Consider the crossing circle $K^{\prime}$ for $L_{0}$ shown in Figure 6 below (note this is not a crossing circle for the crossing above). For the crossing change determined by $K^{\prime}$ note that $L_{-}$is obtained from $L_{0}$ by smoothing, so the roles of $L_{0}$ and $L_{-}$in the ensuing argument are the reverse of those in 1.4.


Figure 6

Let $S$ be a Seifert surface for $L_{0}$ which is taut in $S^{3}-K^{\prime}$. Then it appears as shown in Figure 6.

CLAIM. $S$ is a taut Seifert surface for $L_{0}$ in $S^{3}$.
Proof of claim. Claim 1 of 1.4 shows that $S$ remains taut either in $S^{3}$ or in the manifold obtained by doing 0 -surgery to $K^{\prime}$. In the latter case, it follows from 1.4 Claim 2 that the surface $S_{0}$ for $L_{-}$obtained by altering $S$ locally as in Figure 6 is a taut Seifert surface for $L_{-}$in $S^{3}$. Note $\chi\left(S_{0}\right)=\chi(S)+1$.

Thus if $S$ is not a taut Seifert surface for $L_{0}$ then $\chi\left(L_{0}\right)>\chi(S)=\chi\left(S_{0}\right)-1=$ $\chi\left(L_{-}\right)-1$. But our hypothesis includes $\chi\left(L_{0}\right)<\chi\left(L_{-}\right)+1$. Thus $\chi\left(L_{0}\right)=\chi\left(L_{-}\right)$. But this is impossible, because $\chi(L)$ has the parity of $|L|$, and $\left|L_{0}\right|$ and $\left|L_{-}\right|$have different parity. This verifies the claim.

Since $S$ is a taut Seifert surface for $L_{0}, \chi\left(L_{+}\right)=\chi\left(L_{0}\right)-1=\chi(S)-1$. Then the Seifert surface $S^{\prime}$ for $L_{+}$obtained from that of $S$ by plumbing on a Hopf band as shown in Figure 5 has $\chi\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\chi(S)-1=\chi\left(L_{+}\right)$and so is taut. \|
3.2 COROLLARY. A knot is a doubled knot if and only if its genus and unknotting number are both 1 .

Proof. It is obvious that a doubled knot has genus and unknotting number both 1.

So suppose $K$ has genus and unknotting number both 1 . Then with no loss of generality there is a crossing change for which $K=K_{+}$and $K_{-}$is the unknot. Since $-1=\chi\left(K_{+}\right)<\chi\left(K_{-}\right)$it follows from 1.4 that $\chi\left(K_{0}\right)=\chi\left(K_{+}\right)+1=0$. That is, an annulus is a Seifert surface for $K_{0}$ of maximal Euler characteristic. Then by 3.1 there is an annulus Seifert surface for $K_{0}$ whose core, when doubled, gives $K=K_{+} . \quad$ ||
(Remark: This has since been proven independently by Kobayashi [Ko], using similar methods.)
3.3 DEFINITION. A knot $k$ is totally knotted, if, for any minimal genus Seifert surface of $K$ with regular neighborhood $\eta(S)$ in $S^{3}, \partial \eta(S)$ is incompressible in $S^{3}-\eta(S)$.

For an example, see [ST, Fig. 1.1].
3.4 COROLLARY. No crossing change can lower the genus of a totally knotted knot.

Proof. Suppose changing a crossing on the knot $K$ reduced it's genus. With no loss take $K=K_{+}$so $\chi\left(K_{+}\right)<\chi\left(K_{-}\right)$. Then for the taut Seifert surface $S^{\prime}$ for $K$ in Figure $5, \partial \eta\left(S^{\prime}\right)$ is clearly compressible in $S^{3}-\eta\left(S^{\prime}\right)$, so $K$ is not totally knotted. ||
P.P.A: We have shown that links arising from the Conway moves have related Euler characteristics. This relation is easily demonstrated for non-split alternating links by the simple iteration formula of the Alexander polynomial. Here we have demonstrated it for all links using the deep machinery of Gabai.

For any non-split prime alternating link $L$ the Jones polynomial can be used to show that the minimal crossing number $c(L)$ is realized by an alternating projection without nugatory crossings [Mu]. It follows that if $L_{+}, L_{-}$and $L_{0}$ are all non-split prime alternating links and an alternating projection of $L_{+}$is chosen for which $L_{0}$ is irreducible, then $c\left(L_{+}\right)=c\left(L_{0}\right)+1 \geq c\left(L_{-}\right)$.

Is there a geometric invariant of arbitrary links, specializing to crossing number for alternating links, which satisfies a similar inequality?
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