# New rectifiable tetrahedra

Autor(en): Goldberg, M.

Objekttyp: Article

Zeitschrift: Elemente der Mathematik

Band (Jahr): 29 (1974)

Heft 4

PDF erstellt am: 23.05.2024

Persistenter Link: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-29899

## Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek* ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

# http://www.e-periodica.ch

# New Rectifiable Tetrahedra

1. Introduction. Hilbert's third problem [1] asked if there were a pair of polyhedra of equal volume such that one polyhedron could not be divided into a finite number of pieces to make the other. Bricard [2] and Dehn [3] showed that the regular tetrahedron and the cube are such a pair. There still remained unanswered the problem of describing and enumerating those other tetrahedra which are equivalent to cubes by dissection. Such tetrahedra are here called rectifiable tetrahedra.

The methods of obtaining rectifiable tetrahedra consist of dividing rectifiable prisms into congruent tetrahedra, and then adding or subtracting rectifiable prisms and rectifiable tetrahedra with the hope of discovering new shapes of rectifiable tetrahedra. Several investigators have used these methods and found examples. Their findings are summarized in a paper by the author [4]. Since completeness has never been demonstrated, there is still room for further investigation. The following note describes several newly-found rectifiable tetrahedra by the same methods.

# 2. Dissection theorems

Definitions. If a polyhedron can be cut into a finite number of pieces to form another polyhedron, then the two polyhedra are said to be *equidecomposable*. If one of the polyhedra is a cube, then the other is said to be *rectifiable*.

Theorem 1. (Gerling 1844, Bricard 1896) Two isometric tetrahedra (mirror images of each other) are equidecomposable.

**Proof:** From the center F of the circumscribed sphere of the tetrahedron ABCD, drop a perpendicular FE to the face ABC. Then the planes EFA, EFB, EFC, ABF, BCF, CAF cut off the tetrahedra numbered 1, 2, 3 adjoining the face ABC. Similarly, three tetrahedra are obtained for each of the other faces, making a total of 12 tetrahedra into which ABCD is divided. The three tetrahedra 1, 2, 3 can be assembled in the reverse order, as shown in Figure 1, to form the face of the symmetric tetrahedron A'B'C'D'. The other faces are similarly treated.




Fig. 1. Isometric tetrahedra are equidecomposable

If the center F of the circumscribed sphere of the tetrahedron ABCD falls outside of the tetrahedron, then the tetrahedron is made of a combination of additions and subtractions of the 12 component tetrahedra.

It is well known that all prisms are rectifiable. Therefore, if a prism is divisible into congruent tetrahedra, then these tetrahedra are also rectifiable. Sydler [5] showed that a polyhedron, which is the sum or difference of two rectifiable polyhedra, is also rectifiable. Also, if Theorem 1 is used, we can state another theorem of Sydler as follows.

Theorem 2 (Sydler 1943). If n given similar tetrahedra (directly similar or mirror images) can be dissected and then re-assembled into a rectifiable polyhedron, then each of these tetrahedra is rectifiable.

#### 3. Derivation of the special rectifiable tetrahedra

If a corner of a cube is cut by a plane whose intercepts on the edges are proportional to  $\tau$ ,  $1,1/\tau$ , where  $\tau = (1 + \sqrt{5})/2$ , then the tetrahedron that is cut off by the plane is Sydler's tetrahedron  $T_1$ . By assembling 120 of these tetrahedra into a polyhedron of 30 faces and dissecting it into prisms, Sydler showed that  $T_1$  is rectifiable. A simpler demonstration is shown in the following theorem.

Theorem 3. The Sydler tetrahedron  $T_1$  is rectifiable.

**Proof:** The Hill tetrahedron  $H_1(\alpha)$  is rectifiable since three of them make a prism. Figure 2 shows an  $H_1(\alpha)$  tetrahedron for  $\alpha = 2 \pi/5$ . It is divided into four similar tetrahedra. Three of these pieces are the same size and are designated by  $T_1$ . The smaller similar piece is designated by  $t_1$ . Hence, by Theorem 2, the tetrahedron  $T_1$  is rectifiable.

There are many relations connecting the various rectifiable tetrahedra. In attempting to find new shapes by addition and subtraction, one frequently finds a shape already known. Table 1 indicates the character of the tetrahedral parts obtained by dividing a rectifiable tetrahedron into two pieces by a plane through an edge. The designated angle is the dihedral angle at the edge. Where only one angle is indicated, the dihedral angle is bisected equally. In other cases, the unequal parts into which the




Fig. 2. Rectifiable tetrahedron  $H_1(2\pi/5)$  divided into four tetrahedra of Type  $T_1$ 

TETRA.	ANGLE	PARTS
H,(∝)	11-2a	$H_2(\alpha) + H_2(\alpha)$
H1(a)	π/3	H3(a)+H3(a)
Η1(21/2)		$3T_1+t_1$
Η,(2π/5)	2π/5	$T_2 + T_3$
$H_2(\pi/5)$	π/5 + π/10	$T_{6} + T_{20}$
T <sub>1</sub>	217/3	$T_1 + T_1$
T <sub>2</sub>	2π/5	$T_{16} + T_{18}$
T <sub>2</sub>	2π/5	$T_1 + T_1$
T3	$\pi/5 + 2\pi/5$	$T_4 + T_5$
Tj	π/10+3π/10	$T_9 + T_{14}$
T3	2π/5	T7 + T16
T4	2 π/3	$T_3 + T_{13}$
T4	$2\pi/s + \pi/s$	T <sub>17</sub> + T <sub>18</sub>
Ts	2π/5	$T_1 + T_1$
Ts	2π/5	$H_1(2\pi/s) + T_{21}$
T6	4π/5	$T_1 + T_1$
T <sub>6</sub>	$\pi/s + 3\pi/s$	$T_4 + T_3$
T7	TT/3	$T_8 + T_8$
T7	π/5	T9 + T9
T7	3 π/5	$T_{10} + T_{10}$
T <sub>7</sub>	π/3, π/5, 3π/5	4 T <sub>11</sub>
Τ,	π/5+2π/5	T4 + T21
T5	π/10+3π/10	$H_2(2\pi/5) + T_{22}$
Ts	3π/10 + π/10	Τ24+Η2(2π/5)
T13	2π/5 + π /5	$T_3 + T_{16}$

		1 1		- 1
L	а	D	le	1
			-	-

TETRA.	ANGLE	PARTS
T10	π/5 + π/10	$T_4 + T_{15}$
T <sub>13</sub>	3π/5	$T_{14} + T_{14}$
T <sub>13</sub>	π/s	$T_{15} + T_{15}$
T14	π/10 + π/5	$T_{9} + T_{16}$
T <sub>16</sub>	2π/3	$T_{17} + T_{21}$
T16	2 π/5	T6 + T18
T <sub>17</sub>	2π/5	H1(11/5)+ T16
τ <sub>17</sub>	2π/3	$T_3 + T_{23}$
Tia	π/3	$T_{19} + T_{19}$
Tis	π/5	$T_{20} + T_{20}$
Tis	217/3	$T_4 + T_{17}$
T <sub>21</sub>	2π/5	T4+T13
T22	π/10 + π /5	H2(217/5) + T21
T23	3π/5	$T_{24} + T_{24}$
T23	π/3	T25+T25
T23	2 17/5	$T_3 + T_{17}$
Tzı	π/5 + 2 π/5	$T_2 + T_{23}$
T23	$2\pi/5 + \pi/5$	T5 + T21
Tz4	π/5 + π/10	T21+H2(2π/5)
Tz1	3π/10+π/10	$T_{10} + T_{15}$
T4	π/5+2π/5	$H_1(\pi/s) + T_2$
H <sub>1</sub> (π/5)	$\pi/5 + 2\pi/5$	$T_{6} + T_{16}$
T26		$(T_{16}+T_{16})/2$
T27		$(T_{21} + T_{21})/2$
T26	$(\pi/3 - \alpha_{13}) + \pi/3$	

dihedral angle is divided is indicated by two angles. For one of the divisions of  $T_7$ , all the angles are bisected to divide  $T_7$  into four parts, each of which is  $T_{11}$ .

If one of the parts is known to be rectifiable, then the other part is also rectifiable. The new tetrahedra are numbered sequentially as found. Table 2 gives the dihedral angles and the relative lengths of the edges of the newly-found rectifiable tetrahedra. There is no claim for completeness; there may be many more to be discovered. Sydler [6] showed that Dehn's conditions are necessary and sufficient for a tetrahedron to be rectifiable. These conditions have not been employed to find the listed tetrahedra, although they are compatible. A guide to satisfactory subdivisions is the selection of pairs of known rectifiable tetrahedra which have a trihedral angle in common and an included face in common. Then the smaller tetrahedron can be subtracted from the larger to obtain another rectifiable tetrahedron.

The procedure that has been used is clarified by considering several examples. The bisecting plane *ABE* of angle  $2 \pi/3$  of the rectifiable tetrahedron, designated by

			_							
EDGE	T13		T <sub>14</sub>		T <sub>15</sub>		T16		T <sub>17</sub>	
	LENGTH	ANGLE	LENGTH	ANGLE	LENGTH	ANGLE	LENGTH	ANGLE	LENGTH	ANGLE
AB	10+215	TT/5	10+25 /2	π/5	10+213	π/10	10-215	ті/5	10+215	π/5
AC	118-613	т/з	118-6(5	π/3	18-615	π/3	213	π/3	√3(1+(5)	π/3
AD	215-2	π/2	215-2	π/2	116-515	π-α3	4	π/2	4	π/2
BC	215-2	π/2	130-10/5/2	π-α <sub>2</sub>	25-2	π/2	18-613	2π/3	213	π/3
BD	18-613	π/3	30-105/2	α	16-515	α3	10-215	211/5	18-615	2π/3
CD	150-2213	317/5	50-2215	3π/10	15-215	3π/5	120-815	π/5	10-215	2π/5
EDGE	T18		T <sub>19</sub>		Tzo		T21		T22	
	LENGTH	ANGLE	LENGTH	ANGLE	LENGTH	ANGLE	LENGTH	ANGLE	LENGTH	ANGLE
AB	20-815	π/5	13(15-1)	π/6	10+215	π/10	10-215	π/5	13(1+15)/z	π/3
AC	10-25	π/5	10-215	π/5	10-215	π/s	213	π/3	213	π/3
AD	18-615	2π/3	134-615/2	Π-α <sub>8</sub>	126+2-5/2	π-α,	10-215	317/5	10+215	2π/5
BC	118-615	2π/3	213	2π/3	213	217/3	V10+215	211/5	24-2(5/2	11-010
BD	13(15-1)	π/3	134-615/2	<b>X</b> 8	26+25/2	α,	215-2	π/2	126-25/2	a 10
CD	120-815	π/5	10+215/2	π/5	13(15-1)/2	π/3	13 (15-1)	π/3	10-215	311/10
EDGE	T23		T24		T25		T26			
EDGE	LENGTH	ANGLE	LENGTH	ANGLE	LENGTH	ANGLE	LENGTH	ANGLE		
AB	213	π/3	513	π/6	18-615	Q12	2√3	π/3-d12		
AC	118-615	π/3	118-615	π/3	513	π/3	213	œ <sub>12</sub>		
AD	10-215	211/5	19-512	<b>π-α</b> 11	413-13	π/2	V10-215	4π/5		
BC	10-215	211/5	10-215	211/5	10-215	3π/5	412	π/2		
BD	18-615	π/3	19-215	α <sub>u</sub>	18-615	217/3-0412	10+215	2π/5		
				the second s						

Table 2

 $T_4$ , divides the tetrahedron into two unequal tetrahedra, as shown in Figure 3. The smaller of these is the same as  $T_3$ . The larger tetrahedron of these two has a new shape and is designated by  $T_{13}$ . The tetrahedron  $T_{13}$  of paper [4] was cancelled because it is a special case of Hill's third type with  $\alpha = \pi/4$ .

 $\pi/3$ 

120-815 31/5 15-215 31/5 120-815 TT/S 13(15-1)

The new tetrahedron  $T_{13}$  has an axis of symmetry which passes through the midpoints of opposite edges. A plane, which bisects the dihedral angle at the short edge, divides  $T_{13}$  into two congruent tetrahedra designated by  $T_{14}$ . A plane, which bisects the dihedral angle at the long edge, divides  $T_{13}$  into two congruent tetrahedra designated by  $T_{15}$ . The foregoing relations can be described by the notations  $T_{13} = T_4 - T_3$ ,  $T_{14} = 1/2 T_{13}$  (short),  $T_{15} = 1/2 T_{13}$  (long).

Tetrahedra  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$ ,  $T_5$  and  $T_6$  are obtained by appropriate combinations of tetrahedra of type  $T_1$ . However, Lenhard obtained  $T_7$  by a new process, namely, by subtracting four tetrahedra of type  $T_1$  from a rectangular prism of edges  $\tau$ ,  $1,1/\tau$ . Because  $T_7$  is highly symmetric, the tetrahedra  $T_8$ ,  $T_9$ ,  $T_{10}$  and  $T_{11}$  are obtained by appropriate subdivisions of  $T_7$ .

CD




Fig. 3.  $T_4(ABCD) = T_3(ABCE) + T_{13}(ABED)$ 

Similarly,  $T_{18}$  has an axis of symmetry. Hence, plane cuts through this axis produces two of  $T_{19}$  or two of  $T_{20}$ . Again,  $T_{23}$  has an axis of symmetry and it may be divided into two of  $T_{24}$  or two of  $T_{22}$ .

Note that in all the special tetrahedra,  $T_1$  to  $T_{26}$ , most of the dihedral angles are rational fractions of  $\pi$ . When an angle is not rational, then there is always another angle of that tetrahedron, or two other angles to make their sum equal to  $\pi$ . Hence, the sum of the dihedral angles of each of these tetrahedra is a rational fraction of  $\pi$ . This is also true of Hill's tetrahedra of the first or second type.

However, the dihedral angles of Hill's third type do not conform to this condition. Their dihedral angles do not add to a rational fraction except when  $\alpha$  is also a rational fraction of  $\pi$ .

In Table 1,  $\alpha$  is a free variable. In Table II,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\alpha_3$  are the same as in [4]. The special values are given as follows.

 $\begin{aligned} \alpha_2 &\approx 65^\circ, & \tan \alpha_2 = \sqrt{9 - 2\sqrt{5}}, \\ \alpha_3 &\approx 75^\circ, & \tan \alpha_3 = \sqrt{9 + 2\sqrt{5}}, \\ \alpha_8 &\approx 49^\circ, \\ \alpha_9 &\approx 46^\circ 30', \\ \alpha_{10} &\approx 80^\circ 39', & \cos \alpha_{10} = \sqrt{25 - 10\sqrt{5}}/10, \\ \alpha_{11} &\approx 85^\circ, \\ \alpha_{12} &\approx 37^\circ 46', & \cos \alpha_{12} = \sqrt{10}/4. \end{aligned}$ 

M. Goldberg, Washington, D.C.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- D. HILBERT, Mathematische Probleme, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Klasse, 1900; Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 8, 437–479 (1901–1902); Gesammelte Abhandlungen, vol. 3, 290–329, Springer, Berlin, 1935.
- [2] R. BRICARD, Sur une question de géométrie relative aux polyèdres, Nouv. Ann. Math. 55, 331-334 (1896).
- [3] M. DEHN, Über den Rauminhalt, Math. Ann. 55, 465–478 (1902); Göttinger Nachr. Math. Phys. 1900, 345–354.
- [4] M. GOLDBERG, Two More Tetrahedra Equivalent to Cubes by Dissection, El. Math. 24, 130–132, (1969), corr. 25, 48 (1970).
- [5] J.-P. Sydler, Sur la décomposition des polyèdres, Comm. Math. Helv. 16, 266-273 (1943-44).
- [6] J.-P. SYDLER, Conditions nécessaires et suffisantes pour l'équivalence des polyèdres de l'espace euclidien à trois dimensions, Comm. Math. Helv. 40, 43-80 (1965).