The number of triangles in a tringulation of a set of points in the plane

Autor(en): Hakimi, S.L.

Objekttyp: Article

Zeitschrift: Elemente der Mathematik

Band (Jahr): 35 (1980)

Heft 6

PDF erstellt am: 24.05.2024

Persistenter Link: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-34687

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek* ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

http://www.e-periodica.ch

Benützung der zu \tilde{v}_1 parallelen Elationsachse durch *P* kann der Parabelscheitel nach Abschnitt 3 konstruiert werden.

H.P. Paukowitsch, TU Wien

LITERATURVERZEICHNIS

- 1 Wilhelm Blaschke: Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie I, II. Springer, Berlin 1923.
- 2 Wolfgang Blaschke: Die Bremskurve als Trassierungselement. Ausbildung von Bremsstrecken vor engen Strassenbögen. Brücke und Strasse 1/1959, Berlin 1959.
- 3 G. Bol: Projektive Differentialgeometrie I. Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, Göttingen 1950.
- 4 H. Brauner: Geometrie projektiver Räume I. B.I.-Wissenschaftsverlag, Mannheim 1976.
- 5 H. Kasper, W. Schürba und H. Lorenz: Die Klotoide als Trassierungselement, 5. Aufl. Dümmler, Bonn 1968.
- 6 Z. Nádeník: Der hyperoskulierende Kegelschnitt der Klotoide. Schweizer Z. Vermess. Photogramm. Kulturtech., S. 208-211 (1968).

The number of triangles in a triangulation of a set of points in the plane¹)

1. Introduction

Our terminology and notation will be standard except as indicated. A good reference for undefined graph theoretic terms is [3].

In [1, 2] the authors discussed the question of the number of 3-cycles which could be present in a planar graph on p points. In this paper, we want to consider essentially the same question when the p points are in *fixed positions* in the plane. We will show that this restriction does not limit the possible range of the number of 3-cycles unless the p points are arranged in a unique, easily characterized configuration.

2. Statement of the problem and main results

Begin with a set P of $p \ge 5$ points in the plane, with no three of the points collinear. Suppose we draw straight line segments between pairs of points in P subject only to the restriction that these segments do not intersect except at the points of P themselves, until it is impossible to add more segments in this manner. We call this collection of line segments a *triangulation* of P (since all the finite regions into which these segments divide the plane are triangles). We will generally use T to denote a triangulation of P. Note in particular that the line segments comprising the boundary of the convex hull of P will be included in every triangulation T of P.

1) This work was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant ENG 79-09724.

We want to consider the number of triangles (or 3-cycles) in various triangulations of P. To this end, let h denote the number of extreme points of the convex hull of P. (For brevity in the sequel, we will term this collection of h points the extreme points of P.) In any triangulation T of P, it follows by Euler's well-known formula that the number of 3-cycles each of which bounds a region (i.e., contains points of P in either its interior or exterior, but not both) will be precisely 2p-h-2 if h>3, and 2p-4 if h=3. In addition, however, a triangulation T of P may have 3-cycles containing points of P in both their interior and exterior. We will call such 3-cycles separating. In [1, 2], it was shown that the number of separating 3-cycles must be between 0 and either p-h if h>3 or p-4 if h=3. Our goal is to show that except for the two cases described in the statement of the theorem below, it is always possible to triangulate P so as to obtain any number of separating 3-cycles in the indicated range. We begin with the following result.

Lemma. Let P be a set of $p \ge 5$ points in the plane, with no three of the points collinear. Suppose P has h extreme points. Then there is a triangulation T of P without separating triangles, unless h=3 and P has an extreme point x such that P-x has p-1 extreme points (see fig. 1). In this exceptional case, any triangulation of P contains exactly p-4 separating triangles.

Moreover, if h < p and it is possible to triangulate P without separating triangles, then it is possible to obtain such a triangulation with no 'chords' between extreme points of P (i.e., with no line segments between extreme points of P except those comprising the boundary of the convex hull of P).



Proof: Observe first that if h = p, the desired triangulation is trivial (see fig. 2). Hence we assume h < p in the rest of the proof.

Noting that the lemma is readily verified for p=5, we proceed by induction on p. Suppose first that $h \ge 4$. It is then easy to see that we can choose an extreme point x of P such that P-x contains say $h' \ge 4$ extreme points. If h' < p-1, then by our induction hypothesis, we can triangulate P-x without separating triangles or chords between extreme points of P-x. It is then a simple matter to obtain the desired triangulation of P (see fig.3). If h'=p-1, then P-x has extreme points y, z positioned as shown in figure 4. (If y (resp., z) did not exist, we would have h=p (resp., h=3), contrary to what we have assumed.) It is then a simple matter to complete the desired triangulation of P (see fig.4).



Suppose, therefore, that h=3. Let x_1, x_2, x_3 be the extreme points of P. If $P-x_i$ has h_i extreme points, where $4 \le h_i < p-1$, for some *i*, then we can triangulate P without separating triangles as in the last paragraph. Otherwise, $P-x_i$ has three extreme points for each *i*. In particular, let x_2, x_3 and say x_4 be the extreme points of $P-x_1$. Suppose first that $P-x_1-x_i$ does not have p-2 extreme points, for i=2,3,4. Then by the induction hypothesis, we can triangulate $P-x_1$ without separating 3-cycles. Call this triangulation T'. It is easy to see that there will be a point x in the interior of $x_2 x_3 x_4$ such that $x x_i x_4$ is a nonseparating 3-cycle of T', and $x x_i x_1 x_4$ is convex, for either i=2 or 3; without loss of generality, suppose this occurs for i=2 (see fig.5a). We obtain a triangulation T of P without separating 3-cycles from T' as follows: Remove the line segment $x_2 x_4$ from T', and add the segments $x_1 x_1 x_2$, $x_1 x_3$ and $x_1 x_4$ (see fig.5b). It is easily seen that the only way T could contain a separating 3-cycle is if the line segment $x x_3$ belonged to T. But in that case, either $x x_2 x_3$ or $x x_3 x_4$ would be a separating 3-cycle in T', a contradiction.

Suppose, therefore, that $P - x_1 - x_i$ has p - 2 extreme points, for some i = 2, 3 or 4. We need to consider essentially two cases. If $P - x_1 - x_2$ has p - 2 extreme points





(see fig. 6a), then we can triangulate P without separating 3-cycles as shown in figure 6b (assuming of course that $P - x_2$ does not have p - 1 extreme points).

On the other hand, if $P-x_1-x_4$ has p-2 extreme points (see fig. 7a), we can triangulate P without separating triangles as shown in figure 7b. This completes the proof for all but the exceptional case.



Consider, therefore, the situation when h=3 and P has an extreme point x such that P-x contains p-1 extreme points. Let y, z be the other extreme points of P. Then in any triangulation T of P, there will be a point w inside xyz such that wyz is a nonseparating 3-cycle in T (see fig. 8). It is then easy to see that the line segment wx must also belong to T.

Consider the sets of points P_1 and P_2 inside or on the 3-cycles wxy and wxz, respectively. It is easy to see that $P_i - x$ has $|P_i| - 1$ extreme points, for i = 1, 2. If



 $|P_i| \ge 5$ for i=1,2, it follows by the induction hypothesis that any triangulation T_i of P_i must contain $|P_i| - 4$ separating 3-cycles. Moreover, the only other separating 3-cycles in T (besides those in T_1 and T_2) would be wxy and wxz. Hence the number of separating 3-cycles in T will be

$$(|P_1|-4)+(|P_2|-4)+2=p-4,$$

as asserted. The cases when $|P_i| = 3$ or 4 are similar, and are, therefore, omitted. This completes the proof of the lemma.

We can now state our main result.

Theorem. Let P be a set of $p \ge 5$ points in the plane with no three of the points collinear. Suppose P has h extreme points. Let $\Delta(T)$ denote the number of 3-cycles in a triangulation T of P. Then 1. If $h \ge 4$,

$$2p-h-2 \leq \Delta(T) \leq 3p-2h-2.$$

Moreover, if a is any number in the indicated range, there is a triangulation T of P with $\Delta(T) = a$.

2. If
$$h = 3$$
,

$$2p-4 \leq \Delta(T) \leq 3p-8.$$

Moreover, if a is any number in the indicated range, then there is a triangulation T of P with $\Delta(T) = a$ unless either a) a = 3p - 9, or b) P has an extreme point x such that p - x has p - 1 extreme points. (In this case,

 $\Delta(T) = 3p - 8$ for every triangulation T of P.)

Proof: For case 2a, it was shown in [1] that a maximal planar graph on p points cannot contain exactly 3p-9 3-cycles. Moreover, case 2b was covered in the preceeding lemma. It only remains, therefore, to treat the nonexceptional cases.

Suppose first, therefore, that $h \ge 4$ as in case 1. Choose any (3p-2h-2-a) nonextreme points of P, and let P' denote these points together with the h extreme points of P. Triangulate P' without separating 3-cycles (this is possible by the lemma). At this stage, we have exactly (2(3p-h-2-a)-h-2) 3-cycles. Then recursively join each of the remaining (a-2p+h+2) points of P-P' by line segments to the three points of the triangle in which it occurs. The resulting triangulation of P contains 2(3p-h-2-a)-h-2+3 (a-2p+h+2)=a 3-cycles as desired.

Thus suppose h=3 as in case 2, but $a \neq 3p-9$. If a=3p-8, recursively draw line segments between each nonextreme point of P (taken in any order) and the three points of the triangle in which it occurs. If $a \leq 3p-10$, let us suppose for the moment that we can choose a set S of three nonextreme points in P such that S, together

with the extreme points of P, comprise a set of six points not of the type excluded by case 2b. Then choose arbitrarily an additional 3p-10-a nonextreme points of P. These additional points, together with S and the extreme points of P, will from a set P' of 3p-4-a points which again are not of the type excluded by case 2b. We can, therefore, triangulate P' without separating 3-cycles; at this stage we have exactly (2(3p-4-a)-4) 3-cycles. Now recursively draw line segments between each of the remaining (a-2p+4) points of P-P' and the three points of the triangle in which it occurs to obtain a triangulation of P with exactly 2(3p-4-a)-4=a 3-cycles.



To complete the proof, we need to establish the existence of the set S. Let the extreme points of P be x_1, x_2, x_3 . Suppose that $P - x_i$ has three extreme points, say y_i, x_j and x_k , for each *i*. Then we can take $S = \{y_1, y_2, y_3\}$. Otherwise, suppose that say $P - x_1$ has at least four extreme points. Since $P - x_1$ does not have p - 1 extreme points, let x be a nonextreme point of $P - x_1$. Then it is easy to see there exist extreme points y, z of $P - x_1$ such that x is positioned in the interior of the convex quadrilateral x_2yzx_3 (see fig. 9). We can then take $S = \{x, y, z\}$.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

S. L. Hakimi, Northwestern University, Evanston, Ill., USA, and E. F. Schmeichel, San José State University, San José, Calif., USA

REFERENCES

- 1 S.L. Hakimi and E.F. Schmeichel: On the number of cycles of length k in a maximal planar graph. J. Graph Theory 3, 69-86 (1979).
- 2 S.L. Hakimi and E.F. Schmeichel: Bounds on the number of cycles of length 3 in a planar graph. Submitted for publication.
- 3 F. Harary: Graph Theory. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1969.