

Concluding Remarks

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **15 (1969)**

Heft 1: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **25.05.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

From (4.6) and (4.7) we obtain, appealing first to Theorem A and then to Lemma 3,

$$A(x) = o(x^{\alpha+1+\delta}), \quad A^k(x) = o(x^{k+\alpha+1+\delta}), \quad 0 \leq k < r. \quad (4.8)$$

Now Lemma 2 establishes the summability (R, l_n, k) of $\sum a_n l_n^{-(\alpha+1+\delta)}$, or, of $\sum a_n l_n^{-s}$ for $\sigma \geq \alpha+1+\delta$ with arbitrary $\delta > 0$. Hence $\sigma_k \leq \alpha+1$ as required.

(B) We now choose γ such that $(\alpha+1 \leq) \sigma_r < \gamma$ and note that $\alpha+1+\delta$ can be replaced by γ in (4.7) and (4.8), so that, arguing as before, we establish the summability (R, l_n, k) , $0 \leq k < r$, of $\sum a_n l_n^{-\gamma}$ where $\gamma > \sigma_r$ is arbitrary. Hence $\sigma_k \leq \sigma_r$ while $\sigma_r \leq \sigma_k$ universally, i.e., $\sigma_k = \sigma_r$ as we wished to prove.

DEDUCTION 3. *If, for the Dirichlet series $\sum a_n l_n^{-s}$, $\sigma_r > -\infty$ and $\lim l_n/l_{n-1} > 1$, then $\sigma_k = \sigma_r$ for $0 \leq k < r$.*

Proof. The hypothesis $\lim l_n/l_{n-1} > 1$ makes

$$a_{n+1} + a_{n+2} + \dots + a_m = 0 \quad \text{for } l_n < l_m < l_n + \varepsilon l_n$$

if ε is sufficiently small and $n > n_0(\varepsilon)$. Hence, for any ρ , in particular, for $\rho \leq \sigma_r$,

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{l_n \leq l_m < l_n + \varepsilon l_n} \frac{|a_{n+1} + a_{n+2} + \dots + a_m|}{l_n^\rho} = o(1), \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

The desired conclusion now follows from Theorem I (B) with alternative (2.4) (b).

In the above proof we have supposed that $\sigma_r < \infty$, the case $\sigma_r = \infty$ being trivial.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

A few remarks are offered in conclusion, supplementing some made in the beginning. Though Theorem A in one form is Karamata's (as already said), a particularization of it ([12], Corollary VI with Tauberian O -condition) is a much older theorem of Ananda-Rau's ([1], Theorem 16; [2], Theorem 4). Ananda Rau left open one case of his theorem which Bosanquet ([4], Theorems 2, 3), Minakshisundaram and Rajagopal ([10], Theorem 1 and Corollaries 1.1, 1.3; [11], Theorem A and Corollaries A₁, A₂) have independently settled, even for some extensions of Ananda Rau's theorem. The theorem mentioned at the outset as being due to Chandrasekharan and Minakshisundaram ([6], p. 21, Theorem 1.82) is, in fact, a further extension of one of the extensions of Ananda Rau's theorem given by

Bosanquet ([4], Theorem 3). In the present context, it is rather less effective than the completely independent two-fold result of Karamata's in the same direction ([9], Théorèmes 1a), 3f)), reformulated as Theorem A. That is to say, precisely, Theorem A gives rise to a basic converse theorem on abscissae of summability of general Dirichlet series (Theorem I of this paper) which is more natural and suggestive as well as more comprehensive than the like basic theorem resulting from the line of development followed by Chandrasekharan and Minakshisundaram ([6], p. 86, Theorem 3.71). ¹⁾

I am indebted to Prof. Bosanquet for some very useful remarks on the original version of this paper which have led to the preparation of the present version.

REFERENCES

- [1] ANANDA-RAU, K., *On some properties of Dirichlet's series*. Smith's Prize Essay, Cambridge 1918.
- [2] —— On the convergence and summability of Dirichlet's series. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (2), 34 (1932), 414-440.
- [3] BOSANQUET, L. S., On the summability of Fourier series. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (2), 31 (1931), 144-164.
- [4] —— Note on convexity theorems. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 18 (1943), 239-248.
- [5] —— Note on the converse of Abel's theorem. *J. London Math. Soc.*, 19 (1944), 161-168.
- [6] CHANDRASEKHARAN, K. and S. MINAKSHISUNDARAM, *Typical Means* (Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Monographs in Mathematics and Physics, No. 1), Bombay 1952.
- [7] GANAPATHY IYER, V., Tauberian and summability theorems on Dirichlet's series. *Ann. of Math.*, 36 (1935), 100-116.
- [8] KARAMATA, J., On an inversion of Cesàro's method of summing divergent series (Serbian). *Glas. Srpske Akad. Nauk*, 191 (1948), 1-37.
- [9] —— Quelques théorèmes inverses relatifs aux procédés de sommabilité de Cesàro et Riesz. *Acad. Serbe Sci. Publ. Inst. Math.*, 3 (1950), 53-71.
- [10] MINAKSHISUNDARAM, S. and C. T. RAJAGOPAL, An extension of a Tauberian theorem of L. J. Mordell. *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, (2), 50 (1945), 242-255.
- [11] —— and C. T. RAJAGOPAL, On a Tauberian theorem of K. Ananda Rau. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.*, 17 (1946), 153-161.
- [12] RAJAGOPAL, C. T., On Tauberian theorems for the Riemann-Liouville integral. *Acad. Serbe Sci. Publ. Inst. Math.*, 6 (1954), 27-46.

(*Reçu le 15 Juillet 1968*)

The Ramanujan Institute
University of Madras
Madras-5, India.

¹⁾ Indeed the Chandrasekharan-Minakshisundaram theorem just referred to is deducible from Theorem I, its case $\sigma_r < \alpha + \mu$ [or, case $\sigma_r \geq \alpha + \mu$] from part (A) [or, part (B)] of Theorem I with hypothesis (2.2) (b) and $x^\rho = x^\alpha \{0(x)\}^\mu$, $0(x) = x^{(r-\alpha+\gamma)/(r+\mu)}$, $\sigma_r < \gamma < \alpha + \mu$ [or, hypothesis (2.4) (b) and $x^\rho = x^{\alpha+\mu}$].