

5. FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTIONS

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **24 (1978)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **24.05.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

with

$$\Delta(x, y) = (1 - 2Q(y))(1 - 2Q(x - y^*)) = (1 - 2Q(y - x^*))(1 - 2Q(x)).$$

Observe that $\Delta(x, y) = {}^t\Delta(y, x)$ and $\Delta(x, y)^2 = 1_n$ so that $\Delta(x, y) \in O(n)$. The matrix $\Delta(x, y)$ generalizes the angle $\arg(1 - \bar{x}y)/(1 - \bar{y}x)$.

It is useful to note that $|Ax - Ay|^2 = |A'(x)| |A'(y)| |x - y|^2$ for any Möbius transformation A , and $[Ax, Ay]^2 = |A'(x)| |A'(y)| [x, y]^2$ if $A \in G$. There is an important relation between $T_y x$ and $T_x y$ expressed by

$$(4) \quad T_y x = -\Delta(x, y) T_x y.$$

We refer to [2, 3, 4, 5] for the elementary proofs of these formulas.

5. FUNDAMENTAL SOLUTIONS

A continuous mapping $f: B \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ will be called a *deformation*. In this paper we shall assume, mainly for simplicity, that f is continuous on the boundary $S(1)$, and that $x \cdot f(x) = 0$ on $S(1)$; this means that f maps B on itself when regarded as an infinitesimal mapping.

A deformation is *trivial* if $Sf = 0$. There are very few trivial deformations: a complete list is given in [3].

It is customary to say that f is a *quasiconformal* deformation if $\|Sf\| \in L^\infty(B)$; here $\|Sf\|$ is the function whose value at x is the square norm of the matrix $Sf(x)$. More generally, we shall also consider functions with $\|Sf\| \in L^p(B)$; we abbreviate to $Sf \in L^p$, and we denote the L^p -norm of the square norm by $\|Sf\|_p$. The same convention will prevail for all matrix-valued functions.

We shall say that f is *harmonic* if $S^* \rho Sf = 0$, $\rho = (1 - |x|^2)^{-n}$. Because of the invariance, if f is harmonic and $A \in G$, then $A^* f$ is also harmonic. Harmonicity in this sense is not the same as requiring the components to be harmonic with respect to the Poincaré metric.

There are n linearly independent solutions of the equation $S^* \gamma = 0$ which are homogeneous of degree $1 - n$. We denote them by $\gamma_{\dots, k}$, $k = 1, \dots, n$, the elements being

$$\gamma_{ij,k}(x) = |x|^{-n} (\delta_{ik} x_j + \delta_{jk} x_i - \delta_{ij} x_k) + (n-2) |x|^{-n-2} x_i x_j x_k.$$

There is a unique vector-valued function $g_{\dots, k}(x)$ with components $g_{ik}(x)$ such that $g_{\dots, k}(x) = 0$ for $|x| = 1$ and $\rho Sg_{\dots, k} = \gamma_{\dots, k}$ so that

$S^* \rho S g_{..k} = 0$, or more precisely a Dirac distribution concentrated at 0. It is easy to see that $g = g_{ik}$, which we regard as a Green's matrix, will be of the form $g_{ik}(x) = a(|x|) \delta_{ik} + b(|x|) x_i x_k$; the explicit expressions for $a(r)$ and $b(r)$ are unimportant, except that g is of order $O((1 - |x|^2)^{n+1})$ for $|x| \rightarrow 1$ and $O(|x|^{-n+2})$ for $x \rightarrow 0$ (if $n = 2$ the latter is replaced by $O(\log 1/|x|)$).

If $U \in O(n)$ it is immediate that $g(Ux) = Ug(x)^t U$. If we replace x by $T_x y$ and U by $-A(x, y)$ it follows with the help of (4) that

$$(5) \quad A(y, x) g(T_y x) = g(T_x y) A(y, x).$$

We now define the Green's matrix with singularity at y by

Definition 1.

$$(6) \quad g_{..k}(x, y) = (1 - |y|^2)(T_y^* g_{..k})(x) = (1 - |y|^2) T'_y(x)^{-1} g(T_y x) \\ = [x, y]^2 A(y, x) g(T_y x).$$

It is clear that $(S^* \rho S)_1 g(x, y) = 0$ (the subscript indicates that the operator applies to the first variable). In view of (5) we can read off the symmetry property

LEMMA 1. $g(x, y) = {}^t g(y, x)$.

This symmetry plays a prominent role in H. Weyl's classical paper [9] which has been a strong inspiration for this work.

If $A \in G$ it is an easy consequence of (6) that

$$g(Ax, Ay) = A'(x) g(x, y)^t A'(y)$$

or, in a more suggestive form,

$$A_1^* A_2^* g(x, y) = g(x, y),$$

where A_1^* is A^* applied to the first variable and the first index, and similarly for A_2^* .

Next we define

Definition 2.

$$\gamma_{..,k}(x, y) = \rho(x) S_1 g_{..k}(x, y) = (1 - |y|^2) \rho(x) (S_1 T_y^* g_{..k})(x).$$

It is evident by invariance that $S_1^* \gamma_{..,k}(x, y) = 0$. When x and y are transformed by the same $A \in G$ one finds

$$A_1^* A_2^* \gamma_{..,k}(x, y) dx = \gamma_{..,k}(x, y) dx$$

where A_1^* acts on x and the double index, A_2^* on y and the single index. For $A = T_y$ this leads to the explicit formula

$$\gamma_{\dots,k}(x, y) = \frac{(1 - |y|^2)^{n+1}}{[x, y]^{2n}} A(y, x) \gamma_{\dots,k}(T_y x) A(x, y).$$

We note that $\gamma_{\dots,..}(x, 0) = \gamma_{\dots,..}(x)$ and $\gamma_{\dots,..}(0, y) = -(1 - |y|^2)^{n+1} \gamma_{\dots,..}(y)$.

We shall need to apply S to either variable in $\gamma_{\dots,..}(x, y)$. For this purpose we introduce

Definition 3. $\Gamma_{ij,hk}(x, y) = [S_2 \gamma_{ij,..}(x, y)]_{hk}$.

Because differentiations with respect to x and y commute it is clear that $S_1^* \Gamma_{\dots,hk}(x, y) = 0$. Moreover, starting from the relation $g_{ik}(x, y) = g_{ki}(y, x)$ it is not difficult to derive the following symmetry property:

LEMMA 2. $\rho(y) \Gamma_{ij,hk}(x, y) = \rho(x) \Gamma_{hk,ij}(y, x)$.

It follows, in particular, that $S_2^* \rho(y) \Gamma_{ij,..}(x, y) = 0$.

It is also important to know the asymptotic behavior of $\Gamma_{ij,hk}(x, y)$ when $x - y \rightarrow 0$. We observe first that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(y) \Gamma_{ij,hk}(0, y) &= -(1 - |y|^2)^{-n} [S(1 - |y|^2)^{n+1} \gamma_{ij,..}(y)]_{hk} \\ &= -S_{ij,hk}(y) + R_{ij,hk}(y) \end{aligned}$$

where $S_{ij,hk}(y) = [S \gamma_{ij,..}(y)]_{hk}$ is homogeneous of degree $-n$ and $R_{ij,hk}(y)$ is homogeneous of degree $2 - n$. The explicit expression for $\Gamma_{ij,hk}(x, y)$ reads

$$\Gamma_{ij,..}(x, y) = \frac{(1 - |y|^2)^n}{[x, y]^{2n}} A(x, y) \Gamma_{ij,..}(0, T_x y) A(y, x).$$

Elementary estimates show that

$$(7) \quad |\Gamma_{ij,hk}(x, y) + S_{ij,hk}(x - y)| \leq C_n |x - y|^{1-n} [x, y]^{-1}$$

with constant C_n .

6. POTENTIALS

Given an SM_n -valued function v on B we define its *potential* as the vector-valued function Iv with components

$$Iv(y)_k = \int_B v_{ij}(x) \gamma_{ij,k}(x, y) dx.$$