

MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT \$K_x\$

Autor(en): **Kleiman, Steven L.**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **25 (1979)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **25.05.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-50379>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

MISCONCEPTIONS ABOUT K_X

by Steven L. KLEIMAN

There are three common misconceptions about the sheaf K_X of meromorphic functions on a ringed space X : (1) that K_X can be defined as the sheaf associated to the presheaf of total fraction rings,

$$(*) \quad U \mapsto \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)_{tot},$$

see [EGA IV₄, 20.1.3, p. 227] and [1, (3.2), p. 137]; (2) that the stalks $K_{X,x}$ are equal to the total fraction rings $(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})_{tot}$, see [EGA IV₄, 20.1.1 and 20.1.3, pp. 226-7]; and (3) that if X is a scheme and $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ is an affine open subscheme, then $\Gamma(U, K_X)$ is equal to A_{tot} , or in other words, the presheaf (*) is a sheaf if U ranges exclusively over affines, see [3, Def., p. 140]. These misconceptions will be corrected below with some observations and examples.

The presheaf (*) may fail to exist! Some restriction maps may simply not be defined. For instance, there may be a nonzerodivisor t in $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ whose restriction is a zerodivisor in $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ for some open subset U . Then the fraction $1/t$ in $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)_{tot}$ has no restriction in $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)_{tot}$.

For example, let A be a domain with nonzero maximal ideal M . Let P denote the projective line over A , and Y the (closed) fiber over M . Set

$$X = \text{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_P \oplus \mathcal{O}_Y(-1)),$$

where $\mathcal{O}_Y(-1)$ is viewed as an ideal of square zero. We have

$$\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = \Gamma(P, \mathcal{O}_P) \oplus \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(-1)) = A.$$

Hence any nonzero element t of M is a nonzerodivisor in $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$. However, for any affine open subset U of X containing a point of Y , the restriction of t in $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is zerodivisor. Indeed, $\mathcal{O}_Y(-1)|_U$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}_Y|_U$. So $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_Y(-1))$ contains a nonzero element s , and obviously $ts = 0$ holds. Note that if A is taken to be a finitely generated algebra over a field, then X is an algebraic scheme for which the presheaf (*) is undefined.

The right way to define K_X is as the sheaf associated to the following presheaf of rings of fractions:

$$(**) \quad U \mapsto \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)[S(U)^{-1}],$$

where $S(U)$ denotes the set of elements of $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$ whose restrictions are nonzerodivisors in the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ for all $x \in X$. Note that $S(U)$ is contained in the set of nonzerodivisors in $\Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$. Hence the presheaf $(**)$ is separated; that is, the natural map from it to K_X is injective.

The natural map from \mathcal{O}_X to K_X is injective because the one to the presheaf $(**)$ is and the latter is separated (alternatively, and sheaving is exact). Now, let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat map, for example, an open embedding. Then f gives rise naturally to a map, $f^*: K_Y \rightarrow f^* K_X$. So K_X will work out well in a theory of (Cartier) divisors.

If X is a scheme and $U = \text{Spec}(A)$ is an affine open subscheme, then $S(U)$ contains (and so consists of) all nonzerodivisors $t \in A$. Indeed, suppose $t(a/b) = 0$ holds in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ for some $x \in U$ with $a, b \in A$ and $b(x) \neq 0$. Then $tca = 0$ holds in A for some $c \in A$ with $c(x) \neq 0$. Since t is a nonzerodivisor, $ca = 0$ holds in A . Hence $a/b = 0$ holds in $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, q.e.d. Therefore, when X is a scheme, the presheaf $(*)$ will be well-defined (and equal to the presheaf $(**)$) if U ranges exclusively over affines, and the associated sheaf is K_X .

Fix $x \in X$ and set $S_x = \varinjlim \{S(U) \mid U \in x\}$. We have

$$K_{X,x} = S_x^{-1} \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \subset (\mathcal{O}_{X,x})_{tot}.$$

The inclusion may be proper, even if X is an affine scheme. For example, let B be a domain with a nonzero and nonmaximal ideal p such that p is the intersection of all the maximal ideals M containing it. Set

$$X = \text{Spec}(B \oplus (\bigoplus_{M \supset p} (B/M))).$$

Let $x \in X$ represent p . Then $K_{X,x}$ is equal to B_p , while $(\mathcal{O}_{X,x})_{tot}$ is equal to the fraction field of B .

The presheaf $(**)$ need not be a sheaf. In fact, there is an affine scheme $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ such that A_{tot} is a proper subring of $\Gamma(X, K_X)$. To construct X , fix an algebraically closed ground field k , and a smooth closed cubic E in \mathbf{P}_k^2 . Let L be a line section of E , and $P \in E$ a k -point such that the divisor $(3P - L)$ has infinite order; for example, take a P whose coordinates are transcendental over the field of definition of E . Let C be a cone in \mathbf{A}_k^3 pro-

jecting E , and denote by G the generator over P . Take planes H_1 and H_2 through the vertex with no generator in common and neither one containing G . Take a plane H_3 not containing the vertex, parallel to G , but not parallel to any generator on H_1 . Denote by U the set of closed points C off $(G \cup H_1) - H_3$. Set

$$X = \text{Spec} (O_C \oplus (\bigoplus_{Q \in U} k(Q))).$$

Finally, let f be a function on E with a single pole of order 2 at P , and view f as a global section of the sheaf $K_C \oplus (\bigoplus k(Q))$, which contains K_X .

Then f is in $\Gamma(X, K_X)$ because X is covered by the three affine open subsets $V_i = X - H_i$ and f is easily seen to be in each $\Gamma(V_i, O_X)_{tot}$. However, f is not in $\Gamma(X, O_X)_{tot}$. Indeed, suppose f is equal to r/s with $r, s \in \Gamma(X, O_X)$. Write $s = t + \tau$ with $t \in \Gamma(X, O_C)$ and $\tau \in \Gamma(X, \bigoplus k(Q))$. Then t is the restriction to C of a polynomial function on A_k^3 . So the zero locus $Z(t)$ is a hypersurface section of C . Hence, by the choice of $P \in E$, there must be a component Z of $Z(t)$ different from G . By construction, U must contain a point Q of Z . Therefore t is a zerodivisor in $\Gamma(X, O_X)$, so s is also, q.e.d.

Lastly, consider two common cases: (a) X is a locally noetherian scheme, and (b) X is a reduced scheme whose set of irreducible components is locally finite. In both cases, Assertions (2) and (3) at the beginning are valid, and K_X is given by the formula,

$$K_X = j_* (O_X | \text{Ass}(X)),$$

where $\text{Ass}(X)$ denotes the set of points $x \in X$ where the maximal ideal of $O_{X,x}$ is associated to 0, and j denotes the inclusion map of $\text{Ass}(X)$ into X . These statements are easily verified using the ideas in the proof of [EGA IV₄, 20.2.11, pp. 234-5]. (For a different slant on Case (a), see [4, Lecture 9, 1°, pp. 61-2].)

In Case (b), K_X is quasi-coherent. However, in Case (a) it need not be. For example, let $A = k[s, t]$ be the polynomial ring over a field k , and set

$$X = \text{Spec} (A \oplus A/(s, t)).$$

Though injective, the natural map from $A_{tot}[1/s]$ into $A[1/s]_{tot}$ is not surjective; the image omits $1/t$. So K_X is not quasi-coherent.

REFERENCES

- [1] ALTMAN, A. and S. KLEIMAN. Introduction to Grothendieck duality theory. *Springer lecture notes in math.* 146, (1970).
- [EGA IV₄] GROTHENDIECK, A. Eléments de géométrie algébrique IV (Quatrième partie), rédigés avec la collaboration de J. Dieudonné, *Publ. Math. I.H.E.S.* N° 32, Presses Univ. de France, Vendôme (1967).
- [3] HARTSHORNE, R. Algebraic Geometry .*Graduate Texts in Math.* 52, Springer (1977).
- [4] MUMFORD, D. Lectures on Curves on an Algebraic Surface. *Annals of Math. Studies* 59, Princeton U. Press (1966).

(Reçu le 30 october 1978)

Steven L. Kleiman

Department of Mathematics
M.I.T., 2-278
Cambridge, Mass. 02139