

# 5. A NON-EXISTENT HIERARCHY

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **28 (1982)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **05.06.2024**

## Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*

ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, [www.library.ethz.ch](http://www.library.ethz.ch)

<http://www.e-periodica.ch>

complete when so operated on. A most convenient starting point is the following family  $T$  which is of  $p$ -bounded formula size:

$$T_{n^2+n} = \prod_{k=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n x_{k,i} y_i .$$

Clearly (i) the coefficient of  $y_1 \dots y_n$  in  $T_{n^2+n}$ ,

$$(ii) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_2} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial y_n} T_{n^2+n}, \text{ and}$$

$$(iii) \quad \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^n \int_{-1}^1 \dots \int_{-1}^1 [y_1 \dots y_n T_{n^2+n}] dy_1 \dots dy_n$$

all equal  $\text{Perm}\{x_{k,i}\}$ .

In contrast, it is easy to see that all the other operations that we have considered preserve  $p$ -computability. This is immediate in the case of substitution. It can be shown to be true for  $\partial P / \partial x_i$  and  $\int P dx_i$  by considering a program for  $P$ , and decomposing it according to the powers of  $x_i$  at each instruction in the manner of [12].

## 5. A NON-EXISTENT HIERARCHY

By analogies with recursion theory we can attempt to define the following hierarchy:

*Definition.*  $PD^0$  = class of  $p$ -computable polynomial families. For  $i > 0$   $P \in PD^i$  iff  $P$  is defined by some  $Q \in PD^{i-1}$  in the sense of Definition 3.

That this hierarchy collapses in this algebraic case is easy to see:

**THEOREM 5.** *For any  $F$  and any  $i > 0$   $PD^i = PD^{i+1}$ .*

*Proof.* It is clearly sufficient to prove  $PD^1 = PD^2$ . If  $P \in PD^2$  then for each  $m$

$$P_m(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{b}} Q_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b})$$

where for some  $R \in PD^0$  for each  $i$

$$Q_i(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}) = \sum_{\mathbf{c}} R_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}) .$$

Hence

$$P_m(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}} R_j(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c})$$

which shows that  $P \in PD^1$ . □

We can attempt to generalise the definition of the above vacuous hierarchy by allowing the number of “alternations” to increase with the number of indeterminates.

Let  $t$  be any polynomial. Define  $t\text{-}D^0$  to be the class of  $t$ -computable families. For  $i > 0$  let  $t\text{-}D^i$  be the class of families that are defined by some family in  $t\text{-}D^{i-1}$  in the sense of Definition 3. Finally  $PD^*$  is the class of all families  $P$  such that for some  $t$

$$P = \{P_i \mid P_i = Q_i \text{ for some } Q \in t\text{-}D^{t(i)}\}.$$

**THEOREM 6.**  $PD^* = PD^1$

*Proof.* Similar to previous theorem. □

The above two results should be contrasted with the Boolean case where they still hold formally, but are no longer natural. The above definition of the successive levels  $PD^i$  is only natural if each level is a robust closure class. In Boolean algebra, however,  $PD^i$  is not known to be closed under complementation for any  $i \geq 1$ . Analogues of  $PD^i$  and  $PD^*$  where complementation is allowed at each level of alternation are not known to collapse, and are merely finite versions of the Meyer-Stockmeyer hierarchy, and PSPACE respectively [10].

A simple application of Theorem 5 is in recognising such polynomials as  $\# HG$  as being  $p$ -definable. An intriguing open question is whether  $HG$  itself is  $p$ -definable for each  $F$ . If it is not then  $P \neq NP$  (see Proposition 4 in [13]). If it is then the Meyer-Stockmeyer hierarchy and PSPACE can be simulated within  $p$ -definable families of polynomials.

## 6. UNIVERSALITY OF LINEAR PROGRAMMING

Here we consider a Boolean function family  $LP$  that corresponds to a linear programming problem and show that every  $p$ -computable family is the  $p$ -projection of it. Thus for computing discrete functions in polynomial time a package for  $LP$  for each input size is sufficient and no further programming is required. If we fix certain of the arguments of  $LP_i$  according to the particular function and input size being computed, the package becomes a program for the required function. That  $LP$  is itself  $p$ -computable follows from the recent result of Khachian [8].

The reader should note that several tractable problems in combinatorial optimisation are already known to have linear programming formula-