

3. The proof of Theorem B

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **37 (1991)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **24.05.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM B

It is clear that the subring $C(Q)$ of the integral cohomology $H^*(Q, \mathbf{Z})$ generated by (the classes of) c_1, \dots, c_n has the defining relations

$$(3.1.1) \quad l_i c_i = 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Since the c_i are Chern classes of the obvious 1-dimensional complex representations of Q we refer to $C(Q)$ as the *Chern ring* of Q .

THEOREM 3.1. *As a module over its Chern ring, the integral cohomology $H^*(Q, \mathbf{Z})$ of a finite abelian group $Q = C_{l_1} \times \cdots \times C_{l_n}$ with $l_1 | l_2 | \dots | l_n$ is generated by 1 and the classes $\zeta_{x_i x_j \dots x_k}$ of the kind (1.5) with $x_i x_j \dots x_k$ of degree at least two, subject to the relations*

$$(3.1.2) \quad l_i \zeta_{x_i x_j \dots x_k} = 0.$$

Proof. We prove the Theorem by induction. It is clear that when Q is cyclic there is no monomial $\zeta_{x_i x_j \dots x_k}$ of the kind (1.5) with $x_i x_j \dots x_k$ of degree at least two and hence there is nothing to prove. Thus the induction starts.

Next, let

$$G = C_{l_1} \times \cdots \times C_{l_n} = \langle t_1, \dots, t_n; \quad t_j^{l_j} = 1 \rangle, \quad \text{with } l_1 | l_2 | \dots | l_n,$$

let

$$Q = G \times \mathbf{Z}/l = \langle t_1, \dots, t_n, t; t_j^{l_j} = 1, t^l = 1 \rangle,$$

and suppose that the exponent of G divides l , that is,

$$l_1 | l_2 | \dots | l_n | l.$$

It is manifest that the model $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ may be written

$$\mathcal{A}(Q) = \mathcal{A}(G) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}/l).$$

Regard the cycles $C(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}/l))$ and the boundaries $B(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}/l))$ as complexes with zero differential, and write $D(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}/l))$ for the boundaries $B(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}/l))$, regraded up by one, so that the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow C(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}/l)) \xrightarrow{\kappa} \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}/l) \rightarrow D(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}/l)) \rightarrow 0$$

of chain complexes results. Since $\mathcal{A}(G)$ is free as a graded abelian group, $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G) \otimes C(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}/l)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{A}(G) \otimes \kappa} \mathcal{A}(G) \otimes \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}/l) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(G) \otimes D(\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{Z}/l)) \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence of chain complexes, too. In the standard way, cf. e.g. what is said on p. 166 of Mac Lane [23], its homology exact sequence boils down to the Künneth exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^*(G, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H^*(\mathbf{Z}/l, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(Q, \mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(H^*(G, \mathbf{Z}), H^*(\mathbf{Z}/l, \mathbf{Z})) \rightarrow 0 .$$

It is well known that this sequence splits. Exploiting the inductive hypothesis we conclude at once that, as a module over the Chern ring $C(Q)$, $H^*(G, \mathbf{Z}) \otimes H^*(\mathbf{Z}/l, \mathbf{Z})$ is generated by 1 and the classes $\zeta_{x_i x_j \dots x_k}$ of the kind (1.5) with $x_i x_j \dots x_k$ of degree at least two, subject to the relations

$$l_i \zeta_{x_i x_j \dots x_k} = 0 .$$

Likewise, as a module over the Chern ring $C(Q)$, $\text{Tor}(H^*(G, \mathbf{Z}), H^*(\mathbf{Z}/l, \mathbf{Z}))$ is generated by the images in $\text{Tor}(H^*(G, \mathbf{Z}), H^*(\mathbf{Z}/l, \mathbf{Z}))$ of the classes $\zeta_{x_i x_j \dots x_k x}$ of the kind (1.5) with $x_i x_j \dots x_k$ of degree at least one, subject to the relations

$$l_i \zeta_{x_i x_j \dots x_k} = 0 .$$

Since the Künneth sequence splits, this completes the proof. \square

We note that the above generators $\zeta_{x_i x_j \dots x_k}$ can presumably be understood in terms of the multi torsion product given in Mac Lane [25] generalizing the triple torsion product introduced in Mac Lane [24]. Details have not been worked out yet.

We now refer to the subring of $A(Q)$ generated by $\tilde{\zeta}_{x_1}, \tilde{\zeta}_{x_2}, \dots, \tilde{\zeta}_{x_n}$ as the *Chern ring* of $A(Q)$. It is clear that (1.13) identifies the Chern rings.

Proof of Theorem B. In view of (3.1), as a module over the Chern ring $C(Q)$, $H^*(Q, \mathbf{Z})$ is generated by 1 and the classes $\zeta_{x_i x_j \dots x_k}$ with $x_i x_j \dots x_k$ of degree at least two; hence (1.13) is an isomorphism over the Chern ring. Furthermore, (1.13) is induced by the restriction of (1.11) to the cycles in $\mathcal{A}(Q)$. Since the product structure in the cohomology ring is induced by the product structure in $\mathcal{A}(Q)$, and since the algebra $A(Q)$ arises from $\mathcal{A}(Q)$ by introducing the additional relations $l_i c_i = 0$, the association (1.13) identifies $H^*(Q, \mathbf{Z})$ with the subalgebra of $A(Q)$ generated by the $\tilde{\zeta}_{x_i x_j \dots x_k} \in A(Q)$. \square

Under the circumstances of Theorem B it is straightforward to work out explicit formulas for the products

$$\tilde{\zeta}_{x_i x_j \dots x_k} \tilde{\zeta}_{x_u x_v \dots x_w} \in A(Q)$$

and hence for the products

$$\zeta_{x_i x_j \dots x_k} \zeta_{x_u x_v \dots x_w} \in H^*(Q, \mathbf{Z}) .$$

Since such formulas do not seem to provide any additional insight we spare the reader and ourselves these added troubles.