Introduction

L'Enseignement Mathématique, t. 41 (1995), p. 141-153

JACOBI SUMS AND STICKELBERGER'S CONGRUENCE

by Keith CONRAD[1]

ABSTRACT. We present an extension of a classical congruence for Jacobi sums of two characters to a congruence for arbitrary Jacobi sums. This congruence is used to provide what seems to be a new proof of Stickelberger's congruence for Gauss sums, as well as a new explanation for the appearance of base p digits in Stickelberger's congruence. It is also shown that in fact the Jacobi sum congruence and Stickelberger's congruence are equivalent.

INTRODUCTION

About a century ago, Stickelberger established a congruence for Gauss sums over a finite field which has had useful implications for the study of cyclotomic fields. A generalized version of a classical congruence for Jacobi sums of two characters will be proven which is ultimately shown to be equivalent to Stickelberger's congruence. In particular, this allows for a new proof of Stickelberger's congruence and a new explanation for the form of the congruence.

Before discussing finite fields, we will need to fix a way of representing these fields and the multiplicative characters on them. Let p be a positive prime, $q = p^f$ for f in $\mathbf{Z}^+$. We have the following diagram of number fields and primes, where $\mathfrak{P}_i$ lies over $\mathfrak{p}_i$, $g = \varphi(q-1)/f$, and $\zeta_p, \zeta_{q-1} \in \mathbf{C}$ denote roots of unity with respective orders p and $q-1$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{q-1}, \zeta_p) & & \mathfrak{P}_1^{p-1} \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathfrak{P}_g^{p-1} \\ | & & | \\ \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{q-1}) & & \mathfrak{p}_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \mathfrak{p}_g \\ | & & | \\ \mathbf{Q} & & p \end{array}$$

[1]) Supported by an ONR graduate fellowship Mathematics Subject Classification 11L05, 11T24.

Fix any prime $\mathfrak{p}$ in $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{q-1})$ lying over p and let $\mathfrak{P}$ be the unique prime in $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{q-1}, \zeta_p)$ lying over $\mathfrak{p}$. Then $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{q-1}]/\mathfrak{p}$ is a field of size q, and from now on $\mathbf{F}_q$ denotes this field.

Let $\omega_\mathfrak{p}$ denote the Teichmüller character on $\mathbf{F}_q$, i.e. for $\bar{\alpha}$ in $\mathbf{F}_q$ ($\alpha \in \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{q-1}]$), $\omega_\mathfrak{p}(\bar{\alpha})$ is the unique complex root of $X^q - X$ satisfying $\omega_\mathfrak{p}(\bar{\alpha}) \equiv \alpha \bmod \mathfrak{p}$. Taking $\alpha = \zeta_{q-1}$, we see that $\omega_\mathfrak{p}$ has order $q-1$, hence generates all multiplicative characters of $\mathbf{F}_q$. We will write $\omega_\mathfrak{p}(\alpha)$ instead of $\omega_\mathfrak{p}(\bar{\alpha})$.

Although $\mathbf{F}_q$ depends on $\mathfrak{p}$, we don't indicate this dependence in the notation. Replacing $\mathbf{Q}$ by $\mathbf{Q}_p$ would give only one prime over p in each extension field, making our representation of $\mathbf{F}_q$ and definition of $\omega_\mathfrak{p}$ more canonical, but we will not bother with this.

For $0 \leqslant a < q-1$, write the base p expansion of a as

$$a = a_0 + \cdots + a_{f-1}p^{f-1},$$

where $0 \leqslant a_i \leqslant p-1$ (not all $a_i = p-1$).

Throughout this paper, ζ_p is fixed. The (normalized) Gauss sum of a multiplicative character χ of $\mathbf{F}_q$ is defined by

$$G(\chi) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} -\sum_{x \in \mathbf{F}_q} \chi(x)\zeta_p^{\mathrm{Tr}_{\mathbf{F}_q/\mathbf{F}_p}(x)}.$$

The (normalized) Jacobi sum of the multiplicative characters $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r$ of $\mathbf{F}_q$ is defined by

$$J(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (-1)^{r-1} \sum_{\substack{x_1, \ldots, x_r \in \mathbf{F}_q \\ x_1 + \cdots + x_r = 1}} \chi_1(x_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \chi_r(x_r).$$

For basic properties of Gauss and Jacobi sums see [6, Chapters 8 and 10]. (Note: We always take $\chi(0) = 0$. In contrast to the definitions above, Gauss and Jacobi sums in [6] are *not* normalized by a power of -1, and the trivial multiplicative character is set equal to 1 at 0. These differences affect no results we use from [6] in any essential way. Actually, our normalizations make some formulas from [6] which we won't use look cleaner.) Using Jacobi sums we will prove

THEOREM 1 (Stickelberger). *Using the same notation as above,*

$$G(\omega_\mathfrak{p}^{-a}) \equiv \frac{(\zeta_p - 1)^{a_0 + \cdots + a_{f-1}}}{a_0! \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{f-1}!} \bmod \mathfrak{P}^{a_0 + \cdots + a_{f-1} + 1}.$$

The original proof of this congruence is in [10, Section 6]. A modern reference for a proof is [7, Chapter 1]. In our proof, we use the following

relation between Gauss sums and Jacobi sums in order to introduce the factorials of the base p digits into Stickelberger's congruence in (essentially) one step:

LEMMA 1. *If* $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r$ *are multiplicative characters on* $\mathbf{F}_q$ *with nontrivial product* $\chi_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \chi_r$, *then*

$$G(\chi_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \chi_r) = \frac{G(\chi_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot G(\chi_r)}{J(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r)} .$$

Proof. See [6, Chapter 8, Theorem 3], noting that our weaker hypotheses than those of [6] are sufficient since we assume the trivial character vanishes at 0. □

PROOF OF STICKELBERGER'S CONGRUENCE VIA JACOBI SUMS

For $\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r$ multiplicative characters on $\mathbf{F}_q = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_{q-1}]/\mathfrak{p}$, it is easy to check that

$$J(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r)^p \equiv J(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r) \bmod \mathfrak{p} ,$$

so $J(\chi_1, \ldots, \chi_r) \equiv$ rational integer mod $\mathfrak{p}$. We will show below (Theorem 2) that when some χ_i is nontrivial, as an integer representative one can take a certain r-fold multinomial coefficient.

In the case $r = 2$ there is the following classical congruence: if $0 \leqslant k_1, k_2 < q - 1$ and not both k_1, k_2 are zero, then

$$J(\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-k_1}, \omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-k_2}) \equiv \frac{(k_1 + k_2)!}{k_1! k_2!} \bmod \mathfrak{p} .$$

References for this congruence are given in the Notes in [6, Chapter 14]. We shall extend this congruence to Jacobi sums of any number of multiplicative characters of $\mathbf{F}_q$ as follows:

THEOREM 2. *For* $r \geqslant 1$ *and* $0 \leqslant k_1, \ldots, k_r < q - 1$ *with some* $k_j > 0$,

$$J(\omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-k_1}, \ldots, \omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{-k_r}) \equiv \frac{(k_1 + \cdots + k_r)!}{k_1! \cdot \ldots \cdot k_r!} \bmod \mathfrak{p} .$$

The simplicity of the statement of this generalization makes it somewhat surprising that it does not seem to appear in the literature (such as that which is mentioned in the Notes in [8, Chapter 5]).