

§3. Remarks

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **44 (1998)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **23.05.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

$$(11) \quad \rho_m \leq d + (m+1)(d-1).$$

Also, from (5), (10) and (11) we get (recalling our definition of $\deg 0$),

$$(12) \quad \begin{cases} \sigma_0 = (r+1)d - p \\ \sigma_{m+1} \leq \max(\sigma_m + d, \rho_m) - p \leq \max(\sigma_m, (m+1)(d-1)) + d - p. \end{cases}$$

Observe that we have $\sigma_0 = (r+1)d - p = (r+1)d - (2r+1) = (d-2)r + (d-1) \geq d-1$. Suppose that the inequality

$$(13) \quad \sigma_m \geq (m+1)(d-1)$$

is true for $m = 0, \dots, M-1$, but not for $m = M$ (possibly $M = \infty$). Then $M \geq 1$. Moreover, by (12) we have $\sigma_{m+1} \leq \sigma_m + d - p$ for $m \leq M-1$, whence

$$(14) \quad \sigma_m \leq \sigma_0 + m(d-p) = rd - (m+1)(p-d), \quad \text{for } m \leq M.$$

Applying (13) and (14) with any $m \leq M-1$, we get $rd - (m+1)(p-d) \geq (m+1)(d-1)$, i.e. $2r(m+1) \leq rd$. Therefore we have

$$(15) \quad M \leq \frac{d}{2}.$$

Finally, apply (12) for $m = M$ and observe that $M \leq d/2 \leq r-1$, hence $S_{M+1} \neq 0$ by the Claim. We obtain $0 \leq \sigma_{M+1} \leq (M+1)(d-1) + d - p$, whence, comparing with (15),

$$2p \leq \begin{cases} d^2 + 3d - 2 & \text{if } d \text{ is even} \\ d^2 + 2d - 1 & \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

This proves the theorem and more. \square

§3. REMARKS

(1) The method gives some information also in the case of a general finite field \mathbf{F}_q . The same arguments as above work everywhere, on replacing p by q , except that in the Claim we must now suppose that $m \leq r_0$, where $p = 2r_0 + 1$. The final conclusion will be that $d \geq \min(r_0, \sqrt{2q} - (3/2))$. This is still sufficient to prove that equations $y^2 = f(x)$ in \mathbf{F}_q have some solution, provided p is sufficiently large compared to $\deg f$.

(2) The same method of proof produces a lower bound for the number N' of solutions of $y^2 = f(x)$ such that $y \neq 0$. This bound is better than the one which has been stated above, as a corollary of the theorem itself. To

derive this bound we define $S := \{u \in \mathbf{F}_p : f(u) \text{ is not a square in } \mathbf{F}_p\}$ and put $g(X) := \prod_{u \in S} (X - u)$. Then we observe that

$$g(X)f(X)^{r+1} - g(X)f(X) = (X^p - X)S(X).$$

This equation generalizes (5) above. At this point we follow completely the above proof of the theorem. The differential operators will now be defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_m(\phi)(X) &:= g(X)f(X)\phi'(X) \\ &\quad - ((r + m + 1)g(X)f'(X) + (m + 1)g'(X)f(X))\phi(X). \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion will be that

$$2 \deg g \geq \frac{4(p-1)}{d+4} - 2(d-1).$$

Apply this result with $af(X)$ in place of $f(X)$, where a is a quadratic nonresidue in \mathbf{F}_p . Then observe that the left side is just N' .

(3) As announced in §1, we give a simple proof of the upper bound $d(p) \leq 2m(p)$ (defined in the introduction). Define N_c as the number of monic polynomials in $\mathbf{F}_p[X]$ which are irreducible and have degree c . By counting elements in the field \mathbf{F}_{p^c} we easily find the following formula (which goes back to Gauss), i.e.

$$\sum_{r|c} rN_r = p^c,$$

the sum running over positive divisors of c . For $c \geq 3$ this easily implies

$$\sum_{2 \leq d \leq c} N_d \geq \frac{p^c}{c}.$$

Let $g(X) \in \mathbf{F}_p[X]$ be monic, irreducible of degree $d \geq 2$ and consider the vector whose entries are the Legendre symbols $\left(\frac{g(u)}{p}\right)$, for $u \in \mathbf{F}_p$. Since each entry lies in $\{\pm 1\}$, the number of possibilities for the vector is $\leq 2^p$. If we let g run through all such polynomials, with $2 \leq d \leq c$, the number of possibilities for g will be $\geq p^c/c$. For $c = m(p)$, this quantity exceeds 2^p by definition. Hence there will be distinct choices $g_1(X), g_2(X)$ for $g(X)$, giving rise to the same vector. This means that the polynomial $f(X) := g_1(X)g_2(X)$ assumes nonzero square values on the whole of \mathbf{F}_p . Moreover, since g_1, g_2 are distinct, monic and irreducible, $f(X)$ has no square factor of positive degree. Therefore we have $d(p) \leq \deg f \leq 2m(p)$, as stated.