Representation of group generators by Boson or Fermion operators application to spin perturbation theory

Autor(en): **Enz, Charles P.**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Helvetica Physica Acta**

Band (Jahr): **39 (1966)**

Heft 5

PDF erstellt am: **24.05.2024**

Persistenter Link: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-113699

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.
Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.
Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

http://www.e-periodica.ch

Representation of Group Generators by Boson or Fermion Operators Application to Spin Perturbation Theory

by **Charles P. Enz**

Institut de Physique Théorique, Université de Genève

(27. V. 66)

A representation of spin by fermion operators was known for a long time for $S = 1/2$ [1][1]), whereas the generalization to $S > 1/2$ is more recent [2]. The interest of such a representation is to avoid the complications of a generalized Wick's theorem valid for spin [3]. Here we remark first that such representations have a much larger generality, valid for fermions as well as for bosons [4]. We then discuss the elements of perturbation theory in the representation of spin by fermion operators.

1. Let G_i $(i = 1, \ldots r)$ be the generators of a simple Lie group numbered such that the first l commute with each other. A basis of an irreducible representation D^N may then be chosen such that

$$G_i \left|\nu\right> = m_i(\nu) \left|\nu\right>; \; i = 1, \ldots l; \; \nu = 0, 1, \ldots N-1\,. \tag{1}$$

One calls r the order and l the rank of the group, N the dimension and $m_i(\nu)$ the weights of the representation [5].

Let a_ν and a_ν^* be boson or fermion operators such that

$$[a_\nu\, a_{\nu'}^*]_\mp = \delta_{\nu\nu'}\,. \tag{2}$$

It is then easy to prove that the expressions $\sum\limits_{\nu\nu'} a_\nu^*\, g_i^{\nu\nu'}\, a_{\nu'}$ $(i = 1, \ldots r)$ satisfy the commutation rules of the G_i, $[G_i\, G_j]_- = \sum\limits_k \gamma_{ij}^k\, G_k$, if the matrices g_i do so.

If $|\,\rangle$ is the vacuum state of these fictitious particles such that

$$a_\nu \left|\right> = 0 \tag{3}$$

then the identification

$$\left|\,\nu\right> = a_\nu^* \left|\right> \tag{4}$$

$$G_i = \sum_{\nu\nu'} a_\nu^*\, g_i^{\nu\nu'}\, a_{\nu'} \tag{5}$$

reproduces all the properties of the representation (1), of which the matrices g_i are a realization.

The inconvenience of this representation by *fictitious* particles ν is that neither the vacuum $|\,\rangle$ nor the states with more than one particle, $a_{\nu_i}^* \ldots a_{\nu_n}^* \,|\,\rangle$, $n > 1$, have

---

[1]) Numbers in brackets refer to References, page 465.

physical meaning. For fermions $n \leq N$ and hence the dimension of the Hilbert space of all particles is 2^N.

The case of spin is obtained with the group $SU(2)$ for which $r = 3$, $l = 1$, and $G_1 = S_3$, $G_2 = S_+$, $G_3 = S_-$, $N = 2\,S + 1$, $m(\nu) = S - \nu$.

For spins localized on different atoms labeled by n one has [2]

$$\boldsymbol{S}_n = \sum_{\nu\nu'} a^*_{\nu n}\, \boldsymbol{s}^{\nu\nu'}\, a_{\nu' n}\,. \tag{6}$$

It is interesting to note that in elementary particle theory, localized G_i have an interpretation as generalized charge densities and (5) expresses their bilinear form in the fields of the particles ν which, in this case, are *physical*.

2. Consider now perturbation theory for the coupling of one single spin, Equation (6) with indices n omitted. The unperturbed hamiltonian is, for an external magnetic field $\mathfrak{H}$,

$$H_0 = -\gamma\, S_z = -\gamma \sum_{\nu=0}^{2S} (S - \nu)\, a^*_\nu\, a_\nu;\ \gamma = 2\,\mu_B\,\mathfrak{H} > 0\,. \tag{7}$$

$|\,0\,\rangle = a^*_0\,|\,\rangle$ is the ground state. The expressions to be calculated are of the form $\langle \prod_{\nu=0}^{2S} \tau_\nu \rangle$. Here τ_ν is a product ordered according to imaginary times of operators $a^*_\nu(-\,i\,\tau)$, $a_\nu(-\,i\,\tau)$ where $O(t) = e^{i H_0 t}\, O\, e^{-i H_0 t}$. $\langle\ \rangle$ is an unperturbed canonical average taken over the physical states $|\,\nu\,\rangle$, i.e.

$$\langle O \rangle \equiv \sum_{\nu=0}^{2S} \langle \nu\,|\, e^{-\beta H_0}\, O\,|\,\nu\rangle \,/\, \sum_{\nu=0}^{2S} \langle \nu\,|e^{-\beta H_0}\,|\,\nu\rangle\,. \tag{8}$$

One calculates

$$\langle \nu\,|\, e^{-\beta H_0} \prod_{\nu'} \tau_{\nu'}\,|\,\nu\rangle = e^{\beta\gamma(S-\nu)}\, \langle \nu\,|\,\tau_\nu\,|\,\nu\rangle \prod_{\nu' \neq \nu} \langle\,|\,\tau_{\nu'}\,|\,\rangle\,. \tag{9}$$

Here $\langle\,|\,\tau_\nu\,|\,\rangle$ is obtained by applying the usual diagram technique for *zero temperature* and is expressed in terms of free propagators which, however, do not have the usual form because of the imaginary time order. They are

$$G_\nu(\tau) \equiv \langle\,|\; T_\tau\left(c_\nu(-\,i\,\tau)\; a^*_\nu(0)\right)|\,\rangle$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\,\pi} \int\limits_{-i\gamma(S-\nu+0)-\infty}^{-i\gamma(S-\nu+0)+\infty} d\omega\, \frac{e^{i\,\omega\tau}}{i\,\omega - \gamma\,(S-\nu)} = \begin{cases} e^{\tau\gamma(S-\nu)}; & \tau > 0 \\ 0 & ;\ \tau < 0 \end{cases}. \tag{10}$$

The expression $\langle \nu\,|\,\tau_\nu\,|\,\nu\rangle$ is not directly accessible to the usual technique because the normal products obtained by applying the ordinary Wick's theorem to τ_ν, do not all vanish when taken between the states $|\,\nu\,\rangle$. To handle it, we introduce the unperturbed canonical average taken over the Hilbert space of the fictitious particles. For fermions this is

$$\langle\!\langle O \rangle\!\rangle \equiv \sum_{\{n_\nu=0,1\}} \langle\,|\prod_\nu (a_\nu)^{n_\nu}\, e^{-\beta H_0}\, O \prod_\nu (a^*_\nu)^{n_\nu}\,|\rangle$$
$$\times \{\sum_{\{n_\nu=0,1\}} \langle\,|\prod_\nu (a_\nu)^{n_\nu}\, e^{-\beta H_0} \prod_\nu (a^*_\nu)^{n_\nu}\,|\rangle\}^{-1}\,. \tag{11}$$

One then finds that $\langle\nu \mid \tau_\nu \mid \nu\rangle$ can be expressed in terms of $\langle\mid \tau_\nu \mid\rangle$ and $\ll \tau_\nu \gg$,

$$e^{\beta\gamma(S-\nu)} \langle\nu \mid \tau_\nu \mid \nu\rangle = (1 + e^{\beta\gamma(S-\nu)}) \ll\tau_\nu\gg - \langle\mid \tau_\nu \mid\rangle . \tag{12}$$

$\ll \tau_\nu \gg$ can be calculated by the usual diagram technique for *finite temperature* [6] and is expressed in terms of free propagators,

$$g_\nu(\tau) \equiv \ll T_\tau \left(a_\nu(-i\tau)\, a_\nu^*(0)\right) \gg = \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{r=-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{e^{i\omega_r\tau}}{i\,\omega_r - \gamma\,(S-\nu)}\,; \quad \omega_r = \frac{\pi}{\beta}\,(2\,r+1)\,. \tag{13}$$

The general result now is

$$\langle \prod_\nu \tau_\nu \rangle = e^{-\beta\gamma S} \frac{1-e^{-\beta\gamma}}{1-e^{-\beta\gamma(2S+1)}} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{2S} (1 + e^{\beta\gamma(S-\nu)}) \right.$$

$$\left. \times \ll\tau_\nu\gg \prod_{\nu'\neq\nu} \langle\mid \tau_{\nu'} \mid\rangle - (2\,S+1)\,\langle\mid \prod_\nu \tau_\nu \mid\rangle \right\} . \tag{14}$$

Since $\prod_\nu \tau_\nu$ usually is a product of operators (6) one has $\langle\mid \prod_\nu \tau_\nu \mid\rangle = 0$. For low temperatures, $\beta\,\gamma \gg 1$, Equation (14) simplifies considerably:

$$\langle \prod_\nu \tau_\nu \rangle \cong \ll \tau_0 \gg \prod_{\nu\neq 0} \langle\mid \tau_\nu \mid\rangle . \tag{15}$$

The procedure described here is to be compared with that of Abrikosov's second paper [7] while in his first paper [2], ABRIKOSOV uses an artificial hamiltonian which is obtained from (7) by the substitution $\gamma\,(S-\nu) \to \lambda$. In this case, Equation (14) goes over into

$$\langle \prod_\nu \tau_\nu \rangle = \frac{e^{\beta\lambda}+1}{2\,S+1} \sum_\nu \ll\tau_\nu\gg \prod_{\nu'\neq\nu} \langle\mid \tau_{\nu'} \mid\rangle . \tag{16}$$

I gratefully acknowledge discussions with Dr. B. GIOVANNINI and Dr. L. HORWITZ.

References

[1] P. W. ANDERSON, in Solid State Physics, edited by F. SEITZ and D. TURNBULL, vol. *14*, p. 112 (1963). A representation for $S = 1/2$ by boson operators has been used by SCHWINGER in an unpublished paper of 1952 to descripe arbitrary angular momentum. See J. SCHWINGER, in *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, edited by L. C. Biedenharn and H. van Dam (Academic Press, 1965), p. 229. For our purpose, Schwinger's representation has the disadvantage that the physical states for spin $S > 1/2$ are generated from the vacuum by a product of $2\,S$ creation operators instead of only one, i. e. in our notation

$$|\nu\rangle = [(2\,S-\nu)!\,\nu!]^{-1/2}\,(a_0^*)^{2S-\nu}(a_1^*)^\nu\,|\rangle$$

instead of Equation (4).

[2] A. A. ABRIKOSOV, Physics *2*, 5 (1965). It has been proposed independently by B. GIOVANNINI, see C. P. ENZ and B. GIOVANNINI, communications to the Bern meeting of the Swiss Physical Soc. (April 1966), Helv. phys. Acta *39*, 224 (1966).

[3] B. GIOVANNINI, Scientific Papers of the College of General Education, University of Tokyo *15*, 49 (1965).

[4] The representation of Lie algebras by boson or fermion operators has been used extensively by H. L. LIPKIN, in 'Lie Groups for Pedestrians' (North Holland, 1965).

[5] R. E. BEHRENDS, J. DREITLEIN, C. FRONSDAL, and B. W. LEE, Rev. Mod. Phys. *34*, 1 (1962).

[6] C. P. ENZ, Helv. phys. Acta *38*, 150 (1965). The derivation by taking the limit of infinite volume, as given, e.g., by A. A. ABRIKOSOV, L. P. GORKOV, and I. E. DZYALOSHINSKI, *Quantum Field Theory in Statistical Physics* (Prentice-Hall, 1963), is obviously not valid here.

[7] A. A. ABRIKOSOV, Physics *2*, 61 (1966).