

# Elastic scattering of particles from $^{16}\text{O}$ near the Coulomb barrier

Autor(en): **Buser, Mauro W.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Helvetica Physica Acta**

Band (Jahr): **54 (1981)**

Heft 3

PDF erstellt am: **23.05.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-115221>

## Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

# Elastic scattering of $\alpha$ particles from $^{16}\text{O}$ near the Coulomb barrier<sup>1)</sup>

by **Mauro W. Buser**<sup>2)</sup>

Institut für Physik, Klingelbergstrasse 82, CH-4046 BASEL

(27. VIII. 1981)

**Abstract.**  $^{16}\text{O}(\alpha, \alpha)^{16}\text{O}$  cross section angular distributions have been measured at energies near the Coulomb barrier, i.e. from 3.5 MeV to 4.9 MeV in steps of 100 keV. Scattering angles ranged from  $52^\circ$  to  $166^\circ$  in steps of  $2.5^\circ$ . The accuracy of the data exceeds  $\pm 3\%$  for most points. A phase shift and optical model analysis has been performed. The existence of broad resonances was confirmed implying  $^{20}\text{Ne}$  to be strongly clustered into  $^{16}\text{O} + \alpha$ . We attempt to identify the first five members of the  $0_4^+$  rotational band in  $^{20}\text{Ne}$ .

## 1. Introduction

In recent years many papers dealing with cluster structures or exchange reactions of  $^{16}\text{O}$  [1–9] and other light nuclei [10–14] have appeared. Particularly, exchange reactions in scattering processes near the Coulomb barrier have often been reported [12–14]. At such an energy the scattering is more easily interpreted since the direct part is dominated by the Rutherford scattering amplitude. As the elastic transfer of a particle between two identical cores has been observed in many cases [13, 14], scattering of  $\alpha$  particles near the Coulomb barrier from the  $4\alpha$  nucleus  $^{16}\text{O}$  may show effects of heavy particle ( $^{12}\text{C}$ ) exchange between two  $\alpha$  particles.

For such an investigation the appropriate  $\alpha$  particle energy lies between 3.5 MeV and 4.9 MeV. In this region only a few excitation functions have been measured previously [15]. These data were analyzed in terms of s- and d-wave phase shifts exhibiting two very broad  $\alpha$ -cluster resonances with almost coincident resonance energies. Since the corresponding levels of  $^{20}\text{Ne}$  were not seen in any other reaction, and since the data showed typical transfer effects such as a backward enhancement of the cross section we decided to investigate the elastic scattering of  $\alpha$  particles from  $^{16}\text{O}$  in more detail to verify the dominant reaction mechanism.

## 2. Experiment

The 3 MV Cockroft-Walton accelerator of the Institut für Physik in Basel [16, 17] was used to produce a suitable  $\alpha$  ion beam. The RF ion source had to be

<sup>1)</sup> Partially supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation.

<sup>2)</sup> Present address: Universitätsrechenzentrum, Klingelbergstrasse 70, CH-4056 BASEL, Switzerland.

modified to generate a sufficient amount of  $\text{He}^{++}$  ions [18]. The  $^{16}\text{O}$  target consisted of  $20 \mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$   $\text{B}_2\text{O}_3$  deposited by evaporation on a  $20 \mu\text{g cm}^{-2}$  carbon backing [18]. To achieve a precision of a few percent, a large scattering chamber and carefully designed electronics and experimental layout had to be used, the details of which may be found in Ref. 18. Incident beam energies were chosen between 3.5 MeV and 4.9 MeV in steps of  $\sim 100$  keV. These energies were chosen *not* to coincide with sharp resonances reported in Ref. 24.

Scattering angles were selected between  $52^\circ$  and  $166^\circ$  in steps of around  $2.5^\circ$  (center of mass system). The final data are reported in Ref. 18 as the ratio of the cross section divided by the Rutherford cross section. A copy may be obtained upon request from the author or from the Institut für Physik, Basel. Figure 1 shows a few angular distributions.

### 3. Phase shift analysis

An analysis in terms of partial wave phase shifts was performed. The dominant s-, p- and d-wave phase shifts were found to be ambiguous. One solution could be eliminated as its energy derivative turned out to be unphysically large. Another solution could not be continued to low energies. The remaining solution is the one found by McDermott [15]. No significant changes were observed when up to 7 partial waves were considered as necessary if there is a long range exchange contribution. This indicates that there are no exchange effects or that they are undetectably small. All 7 phase shifts of all solutions are reported in Ref. 18.

### 4. Optical model analysis

As expected, the determination of a real optical potential from the angular distributions turned out to allow very many solutions, not only as regards the depth of a specific potential, but also with respect to the potential shape.

For a Woods-Saxon potential

$$V(r) = V_0(1 - e^{(r-R)/a})^{-1} \quad (1)$$

the following parameter set yields good results:

$$\begin{aligned} V_0 &= -33.2 \pm 0.7 \text{ MeV} \\ R &= 2.8 \pm 0.1 \text{ fm} \\ a &= 0.56 \pm 0.04 \text{ fm.} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

There are further equivalent sets with depths  $-18.5$  MeV,  $-56.5$  MeV and  $-93.7$  MeV etc. with slightly different radius and diffuseness. The phase shifts generated by these potentials coincide fairly well with those found by McDermott [15].

Following von Oertzen [13] a Majorana potential should yield a much better reproduction of the angular distribution if there is a heavy particle exchange contribution. We have tried to add a Majorana term to the potential and to determine its importance. Again it turned out that the necessary exchange




Figure 1.

A few cross section angular distributions of elastic  $^{16}\text{O}(\alpha, \alpha)^{16}\text{O}$  scattering. The ratio of the cross section  $\sigma$  divided by the Rutherford cross section  $\sigma_R$  is plotted versus the center of mass scattering angle  $\theta_{\text{cm}}$ .  $E_\alpha$  denotes the incident  $\alpha$  particle energy.

contribution is compatible with zero. Therefore we may conclude that there is no heavy particle exchange and that the dominant reaction mechanism is given by the observed  $\alpha$ -cluster (or "shape") resonances.

### 5. The $0_4^+$ rotational band of $^{20}\text{Ne}$

The present experiment includes the first two undisputed members of the  $0_4^+$  rotational band of  $^{20}\text{Ne}$  [24]:

$$\begin{aligned} J^\pi = 0^+ & \text{ at } E_x = 8.3 \text{ MeV}, & \Gamma_{\text{cm}} > 800 \text{ keV}, \\ J^\pi = 2^+ & \text{ at } E_x = 8.8 \text{ MeV}, & \Gamma_{\text{cm}} > 800 \text{ keV}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The third  $0_4^+$  level  $J^\pi = 4^+$  is usually assigned to the resonance at  $E_x = 10.79 \text{ MeV}$  ( $\Gamma_{\text{cm}} = 350 \text{ keV}$ ) [19–24], Strazzeri [20] puts it at  $E_x = 11.92 \text{ MeV}$  and Tomoda [22] at  $E_x = 10.548 \text{ MeV}$ .

The fourth level  $J^\pi = 6^+$  is placed at as many different excitation energies as there are authors writing on that subject [19–23].

The fifth  $0_4^+$  member  $J^\pi = 8^+$  is generally not discussed. If it is, the authors assign it to different resonances [20, 21].

The question arises if the potential extracted from the present experiment does generate this series of shape resonances. The Woods–Saxon potential of equations 1 and 2 does not even generate a correct  $J^\pi = 4^+$ .

The failure to reproduce the higher-spin resonance may be due to the incorrect shape of the phenomenological Woods–Saxon potential used. A folding potential can be expected to have more realistic large- $r$  behaviour. Therefore we have fitted our data with a folding potential [25, 26] with an energy dependent normalization factor. It generates all of the first three  $0_4^+$  members. The necessary normalization factor increases by more than 10% in this energy interval. An extrapolation to higher energies to locate the  $J^\pi = 6^+$  and  $8^+$  levels would therefore become rather arbitrary.

The energy dependence of the folding potential can however be considerably reduced to a few percent by adding a constant correction factor:

$$V'(r) = N(E_\alpha) \cdot V_f(r) \cdot \{1 + ar^b\}, \quad (4)$$

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a &= 6.5 \cdot 10^{-3} \pm 8 \cdot 10^{-4} \\ b &= 2.0080 \pm 10^{-4} \end{aligned} \right\} \text{ for all energies } E_\alpha, \quad (5)$$

and the normalization factor  $N(E_\alpha)$  increasing from 0.96 (for  $E_\alpha = 3.778 \text{ MeV}$ ) to 1.00 (for  $E_\alpha = 7.58 \text{ MeV}$ ).

If this normalization factor is linearly extrapolated towards higher energies, the other  $0_4^+$  members are found at the following energies:

$$\begin{aligned} E_x(4^+) &= 10.8 \text{ MeV (coincides with the usual assignment)} \\ E_x(6^+) &= 14.3 \text{ MeV (coincides with the assignment by Hunt [19])} \\ E_x(8^+) &= 19.8 \text{ MeV.} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

However, the resonances generated by the potential are somewhat broader than the observed levels.

## 6. Conclusions

The interpretation of carefully measured angular distributions of the elastic scattering of  $\alpha$  particles from  $^{16}\text{O}$  around the Coulomb barrier yields the following results:

1. From a phase shift analysis and particularly from an optical model analysis we conclude that there is no heavy particle exchange contribution to the dominant shape resonances.
2. From the width of the resonances we infer that there is indeed an obvious cluster structure  $^{16}\text{O} + \alpha$  in  $^{20}\text{Ne}$ .
3. The folding potential extrapolation implies that the first four members of the  $0_4^+$  rotational band may be identified with observed levels. The  $J^\pi = 8^+$  level is predicted to lie near  $E_x = 19.8$  MeV, but there is no level known near this excitation energy.

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. V. NOBLE et al., Phys. Rev. 3C (1971), 1840.
- [2] R. BEHRMAN et al., Nucl. Phys. A289 (1977), 397.
- [3] A. M. SANTANA et al., Phys. Rev. 5C (1977), 1785.
- [4] K. T. HECHT, Phys. Rev. C16 (1977), 2401.
- [5] U. A. KHYR, Phys. Lett. 76B (1978), 273.
- [6] K. T. HECHT, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 44 (1978), 232.
- [7] H. HORIUCHI, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn 44 (1978), 85.
- [8] H. S. BRADLOW et al., Nucl. Phys. A314 (1979), 171.
- [9] Y. FUJIWARA, Prog. Theor. Phys. 62 (1979), 122/138.
- [10] B. BUCK, Proc. Int. School of Phys. "ENRICO FERMI" LXII (1974), 29.
- [11] B. BUCK et al., Phys. Rev. C11 (1975), 1803.
- [12] W. v. OERTZEN et al., Phys. Lett. 26B (1968), 291.
- [13] W. v. OERTZEN, Nucl. Phys. A148 (1970), 529.
- [14] H. BOHLEN et al., Nucl. Phys. A179 (1972), 504.
- [15] L. C. McDERMOTT et al., Phys. Rev. 118 (1960), 175.
- [16] R. GALLI et al., Helv. Phys. Acta XXXIV (1961), 352.
- [17] TH. STAMMBACH et al., Helv. Phys. Acta XL (1967), 915.
- [18] M. BUSER, Dissertation, Basel 1980: "Eine präzise Untersuchung der elastischen Streuung von Alphateilchen an Sauerstoff".
- [19] W. E. HUNT et al., Phys. Rev. 160 (1967), 782.
- [20] A. STRAZZIERI, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 19 (1977), 597.
- [21] A. A. PILT, H. T. FORTUNE, Lett. Nuovo Cimento 21 (1978), 502.
- [22] T. TOMODA, A. ARIMA, Nucl. Phys. A303 (1978), 217.
- [23] Y. FUJIWARA, Prog. Theor. Phys. 62 (1979), 122.
- [24] F. AJZENBERG-SELOVE, Nucl. Phys. A300 (1978), 151.
- [25] VINH MAU, Phys. Lett. 71B (1977), 5.
- [26] H. P. GUBLER, Nucl. Phys. A351 (1981), 29.