## **Strength of A440 steel joints fastened with A325 bolts**

Autor(en): **Fisher, John W. / Ramseier, Paul O. / Beedle, Lynn S.**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **IABSE publications = Mémoires AIPC = IVBH Abhandlungen**

Band (Jahr): **23 (1963)**

PDF erstellt am: **18.09.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-19399>

#### **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

#### **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der ETH-Bibliothek ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

#### **http://www.e-periodica.ch**

#### Strength of A440 Steel Joints Fastened with A325 Bolts

Résistance des joints d'éléments en acier A 440, assemblés par boulons HR A 325

Festigkeit von aus Stahl A440 mit HV- Schrauben A 325 ausgeführten Stößen

JOHN W. FISHER

Research Instructor, Fritz Engineering Laboratory, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pennsylvania

#### PAUL O. RAMSEIER LYNN S. BEEDLE

Dipl. Ing. ETH, Wartmann & Cie. AG, Research Professor of Civil Engineering Brugg, Switzerland; formerly Research and Director of Fritz Engineering Labora-Assistant, Fritz Engineering Laboratory, tory, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Lehigh University, Bethlehem, Pennsyl- Pennsylvaniy, U.S.A. vania

### 1. Introduction

Applications of high-strength bolts have been expanded considerably since the Research Council on Riveted and Bolted Structural Joints adopted its specification for bolted joints in 1960 [1]. One of the most important provisions of this specification was the change in the allowable shear stress for bearingtype connections<sup>1</sup>). This allowed the substitution of two bolts for three rivets. The experimental and theoretical research on which these design rules were based considered only connections fabricated with ASTM A7 steel.

The increased use in recent years of high strength steel for construetion purposes has created <sup>a</sup> need for research to investigate the behavior of these steels when used in connections fabricated with A325 high-strength bolts. With the higher yield stress level, the overall behavior of connections made with ASTM A <sup>440</sup> steel may differ from that of connections made with ASTM A <sup>7</sup> steel.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>) In bearing-type connections, the bolts may bear against the holes in the connected parts. In friction-type connections, the high clamping force in the bolts provides <sup>a</sup> rigid assembly and the load transfer is due to friction on the faying surfaces.

A great deal of information has been obtained on the behavior of connections. tions using  $A$  7 steel in previous research programs  $[2,3]$ . With this information as background material, it was the purpose of the present work to study:

- 1. The basic behavior of ASTM A440 steel connected with ASTM A 325 bolts;
- 2. The appropriate shear stress to be used in compact joints;
- 3. The possible reduetion of shear strength associated with long connections of this material;
- 4. The effect of internal lateral forces caused by plate necking near the ultimate strength of the joint; and
- 5. Any effect on the behavior of the Joint caused by the presence or absence of washers.

In addition to the large scale tests, the behavior of the individual elements of a Joint was established in this study. The properties of the plate material and the bolts were determined from plate coupon tests, plate calibration tests, direct-tension and torqued tension tests of the bolts, and double shear tests of the bolts. A theoretical analysis was made to predict the ultimate strength of the connections tested.

Very little previous research has been carried out on large bolted bearingtype connections using high-strength steels. In <sup>1957</sup> <sup>a</sup> demonstration test of <sup>a</sup> compact A242 high-strength steel specimen connected by nine A325 and nine A 354 BD bolts was performed at Northwestern University [4]. The Joint was designed in such <sup>a</sup> way that plate failure occurred. Other tests of small specimens were conducted at the same University in connection with <sup>a</sup> fatigue test program [5].

#### 2. Description of Test Specimens

#### a) Pilot Tests

Six compact joints were tested to determine the appropriate shear stress for such joints. Each specimen was one half of a double shear butt joint as shown in Table 1. These tests were designed to determine the ultimate strength of the fasteners in shear that would develop the tensile capacity of the net section of the plate material. Coupon tests had established the ultimate tensile strength as approximately <sup>75</sup> ksi, the shear strength of a single bolt was found to be approximately <sup>85</sup> ksi, and therefore the required shear area of fasteners would seem to be only slightly less than the net plate area. The pilot tests also were conducted to determine if variations in the net plate area had any influence on the shear strength of bolts in <sup>a</sup> Joint. In addition, a study was made of the effect the presence or absence of washers had on the behavior of these joints.

In previous investigations of riveted and bolted joints [2,3,6] the concept

Table 1. Nominal Dimensions and Test Results: Pilot Tests

All bolts<br>sheared  $\begin{array}{c} 29.3 \\ 0.0392 \\ 51.2 \\ 0.34 \end{array}$  $E41g^*)$  $1:1.00$ <br> $1:1.00$ 282  $6.68$ <br> $2.01$ <br> $13.43$ <br> $9.66$  $\frac{9.62}{0}$ 79.8 767 All bolts<br>sheared  $\begin{array}{c} 28.1 \\ 0.0364 \\ 48.3 \\ 0.35 \end{array}$  $1:1.00$ <br> $1:1.00$  $E$ 41f\*)  $\frac{9.62}{1}$  $6.66$ <br> $6.34$ <br> $13.58$ <br> $9.58$ **025**  $75.6$  $127$ All bolts<br>sheared  $\begin{array}{c} 29.3 \\ 0.0463 \\ 51.6 \\ 0.34 \end{array}$  $1:1.10$ <br> $1:1.11$  $E41e$  $7.15$ <br> $2.02$ <br> $14.43$ <br> $10.65$ 282  $\frac{9.62}{2}$ 81.3 782 All bolts<br>sheared  $\begin{array}{c} 27.0 \\ 0.0333 \\ 50.3 \\ 0.32 \end{array}$  $1:1.00$ <br> $1:0.99$ E 41c 260  $6.59$ <br> $2.01$ <br> $3.25$ <br> $9.49$  $9.62$ <br>2 80.1  $770$  $4 - 7/8"$   $A$  325 bolts per line  $1/2$  width All bolts<br>sheared  $\begin{array}{c} 20.6 \\ 0.0399 \\ 51.2 \end{array}$  $1: 0.95$ <br> $1: 0.95$  $E41b$ 198  $\frac{9.62}{2}$  $6.42$ <br>  $2.01$ <br>  $12.87$ <br>  $9.11$  $Pitch = 3-1/2$ 78.4  $154$  $\begin{array}{c} 27.2 \\ 0.0389 \\ 51.1 \\ 0.32 \end{array}$  $1: 0.90$ <br> $1: 0.89$ E 41a Plate  $9.62$ <br>2  $0.12$ <br>  $2.01$ <br>  $0.51$ <br>  $0.54$  $262$ 75.9 730  $\frac{1}{2}$ kips<br>ksi<br>kips<br>kips Units kips  $\begin{array}{ccc}\n\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\end{array}$  $in.<sup>2</sup>$  $\overline{\text{ksi}}$  $\| \cdot \|$  $\overline{\phantom{a}}$  $\mathbf{1}$ Mean Thickness (two plates) Clamping Force Per Bolt Bolts - Regular Head Nominal Shear Area Avg. Ext. of Bolts **Bolt Shear Stress** Bolt Shear Stress Mean Gross Area  $Slip$  Load (Test) Type of Failure Item Load at Failure Mean Net Area Slip Coefficient  $A_s: A_n(T:S)$ Washers Used Mean Width Nominal Actual Plates

\*) These connections had heavy head bolts; in all connections the threads were excluded from the shear plane.

STRENGTH OF A 440 STEEL JOINTS FASTENED WITH A 325 BOLTS

137

of tension-shear ratio  $(T: S)^2$  at "balanced design" has figured prominently in determining allowable stresses. As discussed in Ref. [7], it is likely that this concept is not applicable in general to materials other than A <sup>7</sup> steel used in relatively short joints. Nonetheless, for reference purposes the  $T: S$  ratios are shown in the tables. As indicated in Table 1 the tension-shear ratio used in these tests ranged from 1:1.10 to 1: 0.90.

The difference in behavior of joints fabricated with regular head bolts with the <sup>1960</sup> ASA Standard thread [8] and of joints fabricated with heavy head bolts with the shorter thread length was also studied. In all joints the shearing planes passed through the shank portion of the bolts.

The first four joints, E41a, E41b, E41c, and E41e consisted of two lines of four 7/8-inch diameter A <sup>325</sup> regulär head bolts. The shear area to tensile area ratio for these specimens was varied from <sup>1</sup> to 0.90 to <sup>1</sup> to 1.10 by varying the plate widths in the joints. Each regulär head bolt in these four joints was provided with one washer under the head and one under the nut.

Joints E41f and E41g were fabricated in the same manner and from the same plate material used for the other four joints. Heavy head bolts were installed in these two joints instead of regular head bolts.

Joint E41f was provided with <sup>a</sup> washer under the nut only and Joint E 41g had no washers under head or nut.

The test specimens for the pilot series were proportioned so that at ultimate load the shear strength of the fasteners was nearly equal to the tensile capacity of the net section. Hence,

$$
A_n \sigma_n \simeq A_s \,\tau_t \tag{1}
$$

where  $A_n =$  net tensile area

 $A_s = \text{ bolt shear area}$ 

 $\sigma_n$  = stress on the net section (ultimate)

 $\tau_t$  = shear strength of the bolt (ultimate)

When the ultimate loads are "balanced"

$$
\frac{\sigma_n}{\tau_t} = \frac{A_s}{A_n} = \frac{T}{S} \quad \text{(tension-shear ratio)}.
$$
 (2)

For two lines of four 7/8-inch bolts with 15/16-inch drilled holes, <sup>a</sup> main plate thickness of <sup>2</sup> inches, and two shear planes, the plate width changed from 6.12 to 7.15 inches as the ratio  $T/S$  was varied from 0.90 to 1.10.

#### b) Long Joints

Each of the long joints had two lines of 7/8-inch A <sup>325</sup> heavy head bolts with <sup>a</sup> pitch of 3.5 inches. Each bolt had <sup>a</sup> washer under the nut only. The number of bolts in line varied from joint to joint, from four to sixteen (Table 2).

<sup>2)</sup> See list of symbols and glossary of terms.



Table 2. Nominal Dimensions and Test Results: Long Joints

STRENGTH OF A 440 STEEL JOINTS FASTENED WITH A 325 BOLTS

139



Table 3. Dimensions and Test Results: Wide Joints

\*) Earlier fracture of the plate occurred at a load of 2240 kips.

Based on results obtained from the pilot tests, these subsequent test specimens were proportioned by providing a net plate area equal to the shear area of the bolts. Since the shear area in <sup>a</sup> Joint is dependent upon the number of bolts, the shear area varied for the long joints. In order to maintain equality between shear and tension areas, it was therefore necessary to vary the net area of the joint. This was accomplished by varying the width and the thickness of the plate material. As the number of  $7/8$ -inch bolts in line varied from <sup>4</sup> to 10, the plate width varied from 6.68 to 13.88 inches with <sup>a</sup> 4-inch grip. In the case of the joints having <sup>13</sup> to <sup>16</sup> bolts in line, the plate width varied from 9.70 to 11.50 inches with an 8-inch grip. Table <sup>2</sup> outlines the nominal dimensions for these specimens.

#### c) Wide Joints

The three specimens in this group to study the effect of joint width were designed as described previously and as shown in Table 3. Heavy head 7/8-inch A 325 bolts were used with a washer under the nut only.

Joint  $E_{46}$  was similar to joint  $E_{41}$  in the "long joint" series except that the number of lines of bolts and the plate width were three times as great.

Joint  $E$  74 was similar to joint  $E$  71 except that it had twice the number of lines of bolts and was twice as wide. Because of premature failure of the main plate outside the connected region, another joint was fabricated and tested. This duplicate of joint  $E74$  was called  $E741$ . Table 3 outlines the nominal dimensions of specimens E 46, E 74 and E 741.

#### 3. Material Properties

#### a) Plates

The plate for all joints in this series of tests was ASTM A 440 steel cut from Universal Mill strips <sup>8</sup> or <sup>26</sup> inches wide by <sup>1</sup> inch thick and approximately <sup>36</sup> ft. long. Two different heats of steel were used, one for the pilot investigation and one for the other tests.

At least two plate coupons were cut from the material of each joint tested. These coupons were <sup>1</sup> inch thick and were milled to 1.5 inches in width. Table <sup>4</sup> gives <sup>a</sup> complete summary of all coupon properties and lists mean values and corresponding standard deviations.

A typical stress-strain diagram is shown in Fig. 1. The initial portion as determined from an autographic strain recorder is shown expanded, and the complete curve as measured with a caliper is also shown.

In all tests both the yield stress and the static yield stress levels were recorded. The "dynamic" yield stress level is reported for <sup>a</sup> strain offset of  $0.2\%$ . The static yield stress level for each coupon was taken as the mean of the minimum values as shown in Fig. 1. Standard deviations are also shown

in Table 4, and in order to determine whether or not there was a significant difference between the means for the yield stress levels and the ultimate strengths of the different heats, the " $t$ " test for a five percent level of significance was applied [9]. There were no significant differences found in the yield stress levels or ultimate strengths of the tw^o heats of material.



The plate material was purposely ordered near the minimum requirements specified by ASTM for A 440 steel.

In order to establish the behavior of the plate elements, special plate calibration tests were conducted by testing <sup>a</sup> plate of the same material used in the large joints. The plate had a width equal to the gage distance, a thickness of 1 inch, and two holes drilled 3.5 inches on center as shown in the inset in Fig. 2. The tension-elongation relationship was recorded for the material with the distance between the hole-centers as test length, which was equal to the pitch length in the large joints. The load-elongation curves for these tests are shown in Fig. 2. These curves are essential to the theoretical prediction of the ultimate strength of the bolted joints.

#### b) Bolts

The bolts were 7/8-inch ASTM A325 bolts. The length of the bolt under the head varied from 5.25 to 9.5 inches. All bolts were the heavy-head type with short thread length except for the bolts in four of the pilot tests in which regular-head bolts were used. The thread lengths are listed in Table 5.





\*) Taken at a 0.2% strain. \*\*) Std. Dev. = Standard deviation.

Table 5. Properties of  $7$ /<sub>8</sub>-in. Bolts\*)



STRENGTH OF A 440 STEEL JOINTS FASTENED WITH A 325 BOLTS

143

\*) The proof load of  $7/s$ -in. A 325 bolts is 36.05 kips. \*\*) There were no threads in the shearing planes.

Each bolt lot was calibrated according to the procedures described in Ref. [10] to determine its direct tension and torqued tension behavior. A brief summary for each lot is given in Table 5.

Bolt shear tests were conducted to establish the relationship between the shearing load carried by a single bolt and its deformation. Two different types of tests were conducted as indicated by the sketches in Fig. 3. In one type the



Fig. 3. Shear-deformation relationship for A 325 bolts in A 440 steel.

bolts were subjected to double shear by plates loaded in tension, and in the other test the bolts were subjected to double shear by applying <sup>a</sup> compression load to the plates. The plates were fabricated form the same material and had the same grip length as the corresponding assembled joints. Three bolts were tested from each lot in each type of test. The results of the tests of the <sup>8</sup> B lot bolts are given in Fig. 3.

The shear strength of single bolts tested in plates loaded in tension was approximately 10% less than the shear strength from the compression test. When bolts are loaded by plates in tension, the bearing condition near the end shear planes causes <sup>a</sup> prying action and results in an additional tensile component which reduces the bolt shear strength. The catenary action resulting from the deformations may also contribute to the tensile component. In addition to reducing the bolt shear strength some reduetion in the deformation capacity is also apparent. When bolts are loaded by plates in compression it simulates the condition of bolts in the interior of joints where the prying action is minimized.

#### 4. Fabrication of Test Joints

#### a) Fabrication

All shop work necessary for the fabrication of the test joints was done by a local fabricator. The shop procedure was the same for all specimens. Plates were first cut by torch and then machined to the final dimensions. Loose mill scale was removed by hand brushing with <sup>a</sup> wire brush. Oil and grease were wiped from the plates in order to establish a faying surface condition which would prevail in field assembly.

For the wider joints it was necessary to reduce slightly the width at the ends in order to grip the specimens in the testing machine. This was done with a torch in the case of Joints E 46 and E 74. Special attention to this transition was given with Joint E 741, where all edges were ground to <sup>a</sup> smooth transition after the rough burning.

The plates for each joint were assembled into the required joint configurationtion and then clamped together. The four corner holes were subdrilled and reamed for alignment. Pins machined to fit the reamed holes were inserted to hold the joint in alignment while the remainder of the holes were drilled through all plies of the joint. All holes were drilled 15/16-inch in diameter to allow 1/16-inch clearance for the 7/8-inch bolts.

#### b) Assembly

The bolting-up operation was carried out at the Fritz Engineering Laboraby <sup>a</sup> field erection crew of the fabricator. This arrangement made it possible to gather information concerning the bolt tension.

With a few exceptions, the bolts were snugged with the impact wrench and then given one-half turn-of-nut. (The bolts in joints E <sup>181</sup> and E <sup>161</sup> were given three-quarters turn-of-nut). All bolts in joint  $E41b$  and four bolts in joint E471 were installed with a hand torque wrench and tightened to the corresponding average bolt elongation. The diameter of all bolts used was 7/8-inch and the grip was <sup>4</sup> inches for all the joints except two in the long series (E131 and E 161).

Complete records of bolt elongations were kept for each bolt in every Joint of the test series. The initial length was measured prior to the bolting-up Operation. The final length was measured after installation. The average extension of the bolts in the joints are reported in Tables 1, <sup>2</sup> and 3.

#### 5. Instrumentation

The instrumentation for all of the test specimens was essentially the same except for joints having more than two lines of bolts. Fig. 4 shows joint E74 in the testing machine with instrumentation attached. Included were SR <sup>4</sup> strain gages, a mechanical extensometer and dial gages. Following is <sup>a</sup> short description of the purpose of these gages and measuring devices.

SR 4 electrical resistanee strain gages were generally attached only to the edges of the main and lap plates. These gages were used to detect eccentricity



Fig. 4. Joint mounted in testing machine with instrumentation attached.

due to any uneven gripping and to pick up the onset of yielding of the gross section. Additional gages were attached to the faces and dead end of the lap plate of wide joints  $E$  46 and  $E$  74 in order to study the effect of any internal lateral forces caused by plate necking near ultimate load.

The elongation of each pitch of the joint was measured along the edges of the plates with a mechanical extensometer. These measurements were used to check the accuracy of the theoretical solution for the load partition and ultimate strength of the bolted joints. Details of this type of measurement are available in Ref. [2].

During the tests of joints  $E\,46$  and  $E\,74$  the mechanical extensometer was used to record the transverse and longitudinal plate deformations between bolts of one of the lap plates. The transverse measurements gave some indica- $\mathbf{I}_{\text{a}}$ compared with the pitch measurements made on the edges of the plates.

joint and provide control during the testing operation. More sensitive gages  $(0.0001 \text{ in.})$  were used to measure the slip between the lap and main plates as well as the relative displacement between plies of material making up the lap and main plates of joints  $E131$  and  $E161$ .

# 6. Test Procedure

The joints were loaded in static tension by a  $5,000,000$ -lb. hydraulic testing machine using wedge grips. The specimen was gripped, and testing proceeded in equal load increments until major slip occurred. Close observation of the dial gages as the expected slip load was approached made it possible to record  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ 

the displacement at the instant prior to the occurrence of slip. After slip, load was again applied in equal increments until major yielding of the plate material occurred. In the inelastic region, after applying an increment of load the specimen was allowed to stabilize at a constant strain value. The amount of additional strain which took place during stabilization of the load was small as attested by dial gage readings. This procedure was followed until failure of the joint occurred. The load-deformation relationship shown in Fig. 5 was typical for all specimens. In the longer joints failure occurred when an end bolt sheared. All joints with four bolts in line (except E41a) showed a sudden and complete shearing of all bolts.



Fig. 5. Typical load-deformation curve.

#### 7. Test Results and Discussion

#### a) Ultimate Strength

As expected, all joints with equal tension and shearing areas failed by shearing of one of more bolts. In joints with four rows of bolts, simultaneous shearing of all the bolts occurred. The nominal bolt shear stress at failure varied from 75.6 to 81.3 ksi as shown in Tables <sup>1</sup> and 2. Joint E41a failed by a tearing of the plate as the plate area was only  $90\%$  of the bolt shear area.

In the longer joints one or more of the bolts in the lap plate end unbuttoned due to their larger deformations and the combined stress state. The test was then stopped so that the rest of the Joint remained intact. The load at which the first bolt sheared has been considered the failure load even though complete rupture had not occurred. As a check, in the case of joint  $E 101$ , load was reapplied until <sup>a</sup> second bolt unbuttoned — at <sup>a</sup> slightly lower load. The results are summarized in Table 2.





Fig. 7. Joint E 101 showing sheared bolt shanks after unbuttoning.

Fig. 6. Sawed section of joint E 71.



Fig. 8. Effect of joint length on the unbuttoning factor.

A visual record of deformation of bolts along the length of joint  $E71$  is given in Fig. 6. The high stress in the plates at the ends of the Joint is revealed by the larger elongation at the end holes. The prying action at the lap plate end is revealed by the separation of the plates. Fig. 7 shows joint  $E 101$  after unbuttoning of both top bolts. The offset of the bolt shank remaining in the joint can be seen. The load-deformation relationship for this joint was given in Fig. 5.

The results of the tests are shown in Fig. <sup>8</sup> as solid dots where the ultimate strength of the joints are represented by an "unbuttoning factor". The length of each Joint is shown both as actual length and in terms of the number of pitches (3.5 in.).

Because bolts of several lots and strengths were used, it is convenient to represent the average shearing stress at failure in non-dimensional form. This non-dimensional quantity is called the unbuttoning factor  $(U)$  and is computed by dividing the average ultimate shear stress of the joint  $(\tau_{av})$  as given in Tables 1, 2 and 3 by the tension shear strength of a single bolt  $(\tau_t)$  as given in Table 5. Thus,

$$
U = \frac{\tau_{av}}{\tau_t}.\tag{3}
$$

The unbuttoning factor  $U$  describes, in effect, the extent to which the bolts in a joint are able to redistribute forces. If it was equal to unity then all fasteners would carry an equal share of the load at ultimate — just like <sup>a</sup> single fastener.

In Fig. 8, a decrease in the unbuttoning factor can be seen between the compact and the longer joints. However, this decrease is at a decreasing rate and appears to approach an asymptotic value of approximately 0.80.

The test results are compared with the theoretical Solution in Fig. 8, the latter being shown by a dashed line. The ultimate strength of the test joints was computed with the equilibrium and compatibility conditions formulated in Ref. [11]. The method is based on the load-deformation relationship of the plate material loaded in tension (Fig. 2) and that of the high strength bolts loaded in shear (Fig. 3). A similar method was used in Ref. [12] for aluminum alloy riveted joints. Since the behavior of the bolt in shear is somewhat different depending on whether the shear jig is loaded in compression or in tension, the theoretical result will depend upon which shear curve is used.

The theoretical curve in Fig. <sup>8</sup> is based on the behavior of a bolt loaded in <sup>a</sup> tension shear jig. It is seen that the actual strength is somewhat greater than the predicted value for the longer joints. This is to be expected as not all bolts are subjected to the prying action experienced by the end rows. This same information is given in Table <sup>6</sup> in comparison with the test results in the column shown as "Method 2". Along with these data, are shown the results obtained using the shear deformation relationship given by the com-



#### Table 6. Comparison of Test Results and Computed Strength

\*) The bolts in the end two rows at each end of the joint, were assumed to be represented by the tension shear-deformation relationship. The remaining bolts by the compression shear-deformation relationship.

pression test of <sup>a</sup> single fastener ("Method 1"). As expected, the latter predicts a higher strength because the compression strength is greater (Fig. 3). The reason for the greater compression shear strength was discussed earlier. The results from a third method are also shown in Table 6: The bolts in the end





Fig. 9. Comparison of A 440 steel butt joints and A 7 steel butt joints. Fig. 10. Load partition in bolted joints.

two rows at each end of the joint were assumed to be represented by tension loading because of the prying action, and for the remaining bolts the compression shear-deformation relationship was used. Although this method gives the most precise agreement (within one percent) the refinement may not justify the added work.

Fig. <sup>9</sup> shows the comparison of these joints of A 440 steel with those of A <sup>7</sup> steel. The average shear stress has been taken as the product of the unbuttoning factor and the minimum tension shear strength of a single bolt. The "compression and tension" shear strengths of single bolts are also shown in the figure. For short joints the higher strength steel results were about the same as A1, the test average for the latter being shown by the solid line; but in the long joints the performance of A325 bolts was better in the A440 steel. The dotted line shown at  $F_v = 22$  ksi is the value permitted by the AISC Specification [13].

A part of the reason for the improved performance of the A 325 bolt when used with higher strength steels is illustrated in Fig. 10. Here the computed bolt shear stress in each row at two different stages are shown for joints of equal length and the same number of  $A325$  fasteners<sup>3</sup>). The upper set (joint E 101) is for A440 steel, and the lower set (D 101) is for A <sup>7</sup> steel. The geometry of the Joint is shown between the two graphs. The two stages are: (1) onset of yielding in the gross section of the plate designated by the end of the open portion of each bar, and (2) bolt stress at ultimate load (designated by the top of the shaded portion).

The figure indicates that the higher yield strength steel effects a better distribution of the bolt forces, the stresses being more uniform in joint  $E101$ than in the case of D 101. At failure, in D <sup>101</sup> (A <sup>7</sup> steel) the stresses in the bolts near the middle of the Joint were less than half those of the end bolts. The higher yield stress of the A440 steel in E <sup>101</sup> allowed <sup>a</sup> better redistribution because inelastic deformations occurred in all bolts while the plate material was still elastic and relatively rigid (compare with proportional limit of bolt as shown in Fig. 10). In the A7 steel (with lower yield stress), inelastic mations occurred first in the plate (and nearly simultaneously in the end fasteners), and this caused increased deformation in the end fasteners. As a result the end bolts continued to pick up load at a faster rate and did not allow redistribution to occur as well as in the higher yield strength steel. As illustrated in Fig. 10 the interior bolts in the mild steel joint showed little change in load from the onset of yielding until an end bolt failed.

These results suggest that allowable stresses to be used for long A440 joints might well be higher than that permitted for similar A7 steel joints. A more detailed discussion concerning this aspect of the design of bolted joints can be found in Ref. [7].

<sup>3)</sup> The computations are based on the methods described in Ref. [11].

#### b) Effect of Joint Width

The effect of internal lateral forces caused by plate necking near the ultimate tensile strength of a wide joint was investigated with tests of three joints  $(E 46, E 74$  and  $E 741$  as shown in Table 3).

Joint  $E_46$  had a width three times as great as joint  $E_41$ . By comparing the results in Table <sup>3</sup> with those in Table 2, the failure load of 2180 kips for A46 is seen to be exactly three times the ultimate load of E 41. Joint width thus had no effect on the ultimate strength in this case. The test point is plotted in Figs. <sup>8</sup> and <sup>9</sup> as an open circle at a length of about <sup>10</sup> inches.

Joint E 74 (seven bolts in a line with four lines) unbuttoned at a load of 2410 kips (Table 3). This was slightly more than twice the ultimate strength of joint  $E 71$  with seven bolts in line but with only two lines of fasteners. Again joint width had no effect on the ultimate strength. After slip occurred, but prior to bolt fracture, joint E 74 failed prematurely in the region near the grips. The above result was obtained after the gripping area was repaired. In Fig. <sup>8</sup> the test point for E 74 can be seen as the topmost of the three shown at six pitches.

Joint  $E 741$  was a duplicate of joint  $E 74$ . This joint was fabricated and tested because of the failure in the grip region experienced in Joint E 74. Joint E 741 failed when a corner bolt unbuttoned at a load of 2250 kips. This load was about  $5\%$  less than twice the ultimate strength of joint E71, and the corresponding test point is shown in Fig. <sup>8</sup> as the lowest of the open circles at six pitches.

Strain gages, placed transverse to the line of load on the "dead" end of the lap plates of joints E46 and E 74, indicated compressive strains between bolts. This constituted <sup>a</sup> direct indication of the presence of lateral forces because of the suspected Poisson's effect in the wide joints. The corresponding bolt shear force acting perpendicular to the Joint load was estimated to be approximately 4 to <sup>12</sup> ksi. However, once major yielding occurred in the main plate and large shear deformation developed in the bolts, the transverse strains were reduced until the transverse bolt shear stress was estimated to be <sup>1</sup> to 5 ksi.

With these results it is thus concluded that the effect of joint width is not significant in butt joints of A440 steel plate fastened with A325 bolts. This finding differs from prior tests in A <sup>7</sup> steel joints in which plate necking was found to contribute to "premature" corner bolt failures [2].

#### c) Effect of Variations in Plate Area

The pilot test series for the A440 steel joints allowed an evaluation of the Performance of the bolts when the tensile area was varied. As the plate area at the net section was increased from 95 to  $110\%$  of the bolt shear area, the bolt shear stress increased from 78.4 to 81.3 ksi as indicated in Table 1. This increase is to be expected as the larger plate area has <sup>a</sup> greater "stiffness" and allows a better redistribution.

The results of tests of A <sup>7</sup> steel joints which had large variations in the plate area were analyzed and discussed in Ref. [7]. The same type behavior was found for both A <sup>7</sup> and A 440 steel when the plate area was varied.

When the net plate area is decreased relative to the bolt shear area, the joints invariably fail by tearing of the plate such as was the case for Joint E <sup>41</sup> <sup>a</sup> (see Table 1). As <sup>a</sup> result, there is no way to determine the shear strength of the fasteners. For the compact A440 steel joints this occurred when the plate area was  $90\%$  of the bolt shear area. This same phenomenon was observed in compact A7 steel joints at approximately  $95\%$  of the bolt shear area [2].

#### d) Joint Slip

The factor which determines the load at joint slip is called the "nominal" coefficient of friction" or "slip coefficient"  $(K_s)$ . This slip coefficient necessarily depends on the condition of the faying surfaces and the clamping forces induced by the bolts. On the basis of a visual inspection, the rolled millscale surface of A440 plate material used in the test joints was quite hard and smooth. The bolts were tightened according to the turn-of-nut method [1] and resulted in bolt clamping forces which showed no marked variations from the average bolt tension.

Bolt elongations were measured during fabrication. The histograms of the bolt tension distribution were similar to those reported in Ref. [2]. The average elongations and their corresponding bolt tension are given in Tables <sup>1</sup> to 3. The mean elongation ranges from 0.033 to 0.0463 inches for half of <sup>a</sup> turn and is about 0.0556 inches for three quarters of <sup>a</sup> turn. The corresponding bolt tension is approximately 1.3 times the proof load of 7/8-inch A325 bolts in either case. The nominal slip coefficients obtained for each Joint are recorded in Tables 1, 2, and 3. The average slip coefficient computed for these tests was  $K_s = 0.32$  (see glossary).



Fig. 11. Slip resistanee of bolted joints tightened by turn-of-nut method.

Fig. <sup>11</sup> is a bar graph which illustrates the slip resistanee of the A 440 steel joints. The horizontal line extending across the graph at  $F<sub>v</sub> = 15$  ksi represents the working stress level according to the AISC specifications for friction-type connections [13]. The horizontal line at  $F_v = 20$  ksi would apply for connections subjected to static plus wind loading and in which a one-third increase in allowable stress is permitted. The height of each bar indicates the average bolt shear stress at slip. The relatively low slip resistance of joint E41b has been attributed to warping during the bolting-up operation.

The average slip coefficient of 0.32 obtained in these A440 tests is but slightly less than the value of 0.35 obtained in the similar A7 series [2,3]. With this result, coupled with the fact that no joints slipped below an average stress of <sup>20</sup> ksi, it is clear that these joints also meet the requirements of the specification [14].

#### 8. Summary and Conclusions

These conclusions are based on the results of fourteen tests of large bolted joints of A440 steel connected with A <sup>325</sup> high-strength bolts and upon related theoretical analysis. Many of the conclusions are reinforced by the results of tests of joints of  $A7$  steel connected with  $A325$  bolts. The joints were butttype plate splices proportioned with the area of the plate material at the net section equal to the shear area of the bolts. The effect of joint length upon the ultimate strength of the connection was investigated and a few tests were conducted to determine the effect of joint width.

1. Joints of A <sup>440</sup> steel with up to four A <sup>325</sup> fasteners in line were capable of developing about  $96\%$  of the shear strength of a single bolt (Fig. 8). This result did not differ significantly from the shear strength of A325 bolts in similar A7 steel joints (Fig. 9).

2. In joints with more than four fasteners in line, the differential strains in the connected material caused the end bolts to shear before all bolts could develop their füll shearing strength. At seven fasteners in line (24.5-in.) about  $87\%$  of the shear strength of a single bolt was developed. This decreased to about  $80\%$  for a joint with sixteen fasteners in line (52.5-in.) as shown in Fig. 8. As can be seen in Fig. <sup>9</sup> this decrease was not nearly as great as was experienced in A <sup>7</sup> steel joints.

3. Good agreement was obtained between the test results and the theoretical analysis (Fig. 8). When the tension-shear deformation relationship of the bolts was considered the computed strength was within  $3\%$  of the test results (Table 6).

4. An increase in Joint width had no appreciable effect on the ultimate strength of the joint. Evidently the lateral forces due to necking in the plate material were not as serious as was the case with earlier tests of A7 steel joints [2].

5. The presence or absence of washers under the bolt head and nut had no appreciable effect on the behavior of the joint. Any differences between the test joints could be attributed directly to the variations in the bolt shear strengths as reported in Table 5.

6. Controlled Variation in the plate area at the net section affected the bolt shear strength as would be expected. As the plate area increased greater rigidity was achieved and corresponding higher shear strength of the bolt groups resulted.

7. The experimental and analytical results suggest that the allowable bolt shear stress to be used in long A440 steel joints might well be higher than that permitted for similar A7 steel joints.

8. All bolts were tightened by the turn-of-nut method and consistently had preloads approximately 1.3 times the proof load of the bolt.

9. These tests gave mean coefficient of slip for tight mill scale faying surfaces of  $K_s = 0.32$ . Neither joint length nor width had any appreciable effect on the slip coefficient.

#### Acknowledgements

The work described in this paper is part of an investigation of large bolted joints being conducted at the Fritz Engineering Laboratory, Department of Civil Engineering, Lehigh University. Professor William J. Eney is head of the Department and Laboratory. The project is sponsored financially by the Pennsylvania Department of Highways, the U.S. Department of Commerce — Bureau of Public Roads and the American Institute of Steel Construetion. Technical guidance is provided by the Research Council on Riveted and Bolted Structural Joints.

The authors wish to acknowledge the guidance and advice of the advisory committee under the chairmanship of Dr. J. L. Rumpf. Thanks are also due to the Bethlehem Steel Company, particularly, W. H. Jameson, K. de Vries, T. W. Spilman and A. Schwartz. The Cooperation of S. J. Errera, K. R. Harpel and the staff of technicians at the Fritz Engineering Laboratory is gratefully acknowledged.

#### Nomenclature

#### 1. Symbols





2. Glossary



#### References

- 1. Research Council on Riveted and Bolted Structural Joints of the Engineering Foundation: "Specification for Structural Joints Using ASTM A 325 Bolts" (1960).
- 2. R. T. Foeeman, J. L. Rumpf: "Static Tension Tests of Compact Bolted Joints", Transactions ASCE, Vol. 126, Part II, (1961). (Summarized by Bruno Thürlimann: "Research on Large Compact Joints With High Strength Steel Bolts", IABSE, Final Report of the Sixth Congress in Stockholm, 1961.)
- 3. R. A. Bendigo, R. M. Hansen, J. L. Rumpf: "Long Bolted Joints", Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE Vol. 89, No. ST 6, 1963.
- 4. L. T. Wyly, H. E. Treaner, H. E. LeRoy: "Demonstration Test of an A <sup>242</sup> High Strength Steel Specimen Connected by A 325 and A 354 BD Bolts". AISC Proceedings (1957).
- 5. N. G. Hansen: "Fatigue Tests of Joints of High Strength Steels". Transactions ASCE, Vol. 126, Part II (1961).
- 6. R. E. Davis, G. B. Woodruff, H. E. Davis : "Tension Tests of Large Riveted Joints". Transactions ASCE, Vol. 105, P. 1193 (1940).
- 7. J. W. FISHER, L. S. BEEDLE: "Criteria for Designing Bolted Joints (Bearing-Type)". Fritz Laboratory Report 288.7, Lehigh University (1963).
- 8. American Standards Association: "Specifications for Square and Hexagon Bolts and Nuts". B 18.2 (1960).
- 9. R. A. Fisher: "Statistical Methods for Research Workers". Oliver and Boyd, Edinburgh.
- 10. J. L. Rumpf, J. W. Fisher: "Calibration of A 325 Bolts". Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, Vol. 89, No. ST 6, 1963.
- 11. J. L. Rumpf: "The Ultimate Strength of Bolted Connections". Ph. D. Dissertation, Lehigh University (1960).
- 12. A. J. Francis: "The Behavior of Aluminium Alloy Riveted Joints". The Aluminium Development Association, Research Report 15, London (1953).
- 13. American Institute of Steel Construetion: "Specification for the Design, Fabrication and Erection of Structural Steel for Buildings" (1963).
- 14. Research Council on Riveted and Bolted Structural Joints Engineering Foundation: "Specification for Structural Joints Using ASTM A 325 Bolts (1962)". Journal of the Structural Division, ASCE, Vol. 88, ST 5, 1962.

#### Summary

Tests of structural joints of A440 steel, connected with A325 high-strength bolts installed by the turn-of-nut method, were conducted to determine their slip resistanee and ultimate strength. The purpose of the program was to establish an appropriate shear stress value for bearing-type connections and to determine the influence of Joint length on the ultimate strength of higher strength steel connections. Eleven of the joints tested had two lines of fasteners, ranging from 4 to 16 fasteners in line. Other joints had four and six lines of fasteners.

The ultimate strength of the joints, with the theoretically predicted values based on the non-linear behavior of the component parts, shows good correlabetween the theoretical analysis and the test results. These studies together with the earlier work with structural grade steel have aided in the development of a rational basis for design.

#### Résumé

Les auteurs décrivent des essais effectués pour déterminer la résistance au glissement et la charge de rupture de joints d'elements en acier A440, assemblés par des boulons à haute résistance A 325 précontraints par la méthode au «tour d'ecrou». Le but de ces essais etait de determiner une valeur admissible des contraintes de cisaillement dans les assemblages du «type pression» et de determiner l'influence de la longueur du recouvrement sur la charge de rupture des assemblages en acier à haute résistance. Onze des assemblages essayés comportaient deux rangees de boulons, avec <sup>4</sup> <sup>a</sup> <sup>16</sup> boulons par rangee. D'autres comportaient 4 et <sup>6</sup> rangees de boulons.

On a trouvé une concordance satisfaisante entre les résultats expérimentaux et les charges de rupture résultant de calculs théoriques basés sur le comportement non linéaire des éléments du joint. Ces études, s'ajoutant aux recherches antérieures relatives aux éléments en acier doux, ont contribué à la mise au point de bases d'etude rationnelles.

#### Zusammenfassung

Zur Bestimmung des Gleitwiderstandes und der Bruchfestigkeit wurden Versuche mit hochfest verschraubten Stößen aus Stahl A440 durchgeführt, wobei die Schrauben, Güte A 325, durch Drehung der Mutter angezogen waren. Zweck des Versuchsprogramms war die Einführung eines geeigneten «Schubspannungswertes » für «bearing-type »Anschlüsse sowie die Bestimmung des Ein-Einflusses der Stoßlänge auf die Bruchfestigkeit bei Verbindungen von hochfesten Stählen. ElfStöße hatten <sup>2</sup> Schraubenreihen mit <sup>4</sup> bis <sup>16</sup> hintereinanderliegenden Schrauben. Andere Versuche wurden mit <sup>4</sup> und <sup>6</sup> Schraubenreihen geführt.

Wenn man die theoretische Bruchfestigkeit der Stöße auf Grund des linearen Verhaltens der Bestandteile voraussagt, zeigt sich eine gute Übereinstimmung zwischen den theoretischen und den Versuchsergebnissen. Diese Arbeit zusammen mit früheren Untersuchungen an Stahl 37 halfen vernünf-Entwurfsgrundlagen zu entwickeln.