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# On the chain algebra of a loop space 

by J. F. Adams and P. J. Hilton

## 1. Introduction

An important concept in homotopy theory is that of the loop space of a given space. Given a $C W$-complex $K$, James has described in [4] a reduced product complex $K_{\infty}$ which has the singular homotopy type of the space of loops on the suspension of $K$; and Toda has also introduced a standard path space (in [9]), performing essentially the same function ${ }^{1}$ ). In this paper, we consider the loop space of a $C W$-complex $K$ which need not be a suspension but such that $K^{1}$ is a single point, the basepoint ${ }^{2}$ ). We do not construct a combinatorial equivalent of $\Omega K$, the loop space, but instead obtain a chain-equivalent of the cubical chain group of $\Omega K$. Our method lends itself readily to the computation of the homology groups of $\Omega K$.

There is a fibre-space $(L K, p, K)$, where $L K$ is the space of paths on $K$ terminating in the base-point and $p$ associates with every path its initial point. Then $\Omega K$ is the fibre. We will in fact construct a system of chain groups and maps equivalent to that given by the fibre-space.

In this paper we adopt J. C. Moore's definition of a path in a space $X$. In this definition a path is a pair $(f, r)$ where $r$ is a non-negative real number and $f$ is a map of the closed interval $[0, r]$ into $X$. Paths $(f, r)$, $(g, s)$ such that $f(r)=g(0)$ are added by the rule $(f, r)+(g, s)=$ $(h, r+s)$, where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
h(t)=f(t), & 0 \leqslant t \leqslant r, \\
h(t)=g(t-r), & r \leqslant t \leqslant r+s .
\end{array}
$$

Let $X^{I}$ be the space of maps of the unit interval $I$ into $X$ and let $R$ be the set of non-negative real numbers with its usual topology. A function

[^0]$h: E X \rightarrow X^{I} \times R$, where $E X$ is the set of paths on $X$, is given by $h(f, r)=\left(f^{\prime}, r\right)$ where $f^{\prime}(t)=f(r t), 0 \leqslant t \leqslant 1$. Then $E X$ is topologized by requiring $h$ to be a homeomorphism onto its image. Let
$$
\varrho_{t}: X^{I} \times R \rightarrow X^{I} \times R
$$
be the deformation given by $\varrho_{t}(f, r)=(f, r(1-t)+t)$. Let $L X, \Omega X$ be the subsets of $E X$ consisting of paths $(f, r)$ such that $f(r)=x_{*}$, $f(0)=f(r)=x_{*}$ respectively, where $x_{*}$ is the base-point in $X$. Then $L X, \Omega X$ are topologized as subsets of $E X$. Let $L^{\prime}(X), \Omega^{\prime}(X)$ be the subspaces of $X^{I}$ corresponding to $L X, \Omega X$ in the classical definition. Then $\varrho_{1} h(L X)=L^{\prime}(X) \times 1, \varrho_{1} h(\Omega X)=\Omega^{\prime}(X) \times 1$ and $\varrho_{t}$ respects the subspaces $h(L X), h(\Omega X)$. This shows that $L X \simeq L^{\prime}(X)$, which is contractible, and $\Omega X \simeq \Omega^{\prime}(X)$. Moreover a homotopy equivalence
$$
(L X, \Omega X) \simeq\left(L^{\prime}(X), \Omega^{\prime}(X)\right)
$$
is given by $g(f, r)=f^{\prime}$ where $f^{\prime}(t)=f(r t)$.
The advantage of Moore's definition is that the pairing of $L X$ and $\Omega X$ to $L X$, by composition of paths, is associative and $\Omega X$ possesses a unit. The chain groups $C_{*}(L X), C_{*}(\Omega X)$ inherit these properties and the algebraical analogue we construct when $X$ is a $C W$-complex will reproduce the multiplicative features of the chain groups of the fibre-space. In particular, we define in section 2 the notion of a chain algebra ${ }^{3}$ ) $A(K)$ which describes the additive and multiplicative structure of $C_{*}(\Omega K)$.

In section 2 we state and prove the main theorem. In section 3 we prove that our constructions behave properly with respect to maps (not necessarily cellular) of $C W$-complexes. In section 4 we consider the problem of the relation of $A\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$ to $A\left(K_{1}\right)$ and $A\left(K_{2}\right)$. A generalization of Samelson's result (see [8]) on the relation between Whitehead and Pontryagin products is obtained by considering products of arbitrarily many spheres. We also study a product whose role in homotopy groups is closely related to that of the torsion product in homology groups and obtain an analogue of Samelson's result for this product.

It should be noted that the mapping $\Psi: \Omega\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}\right) \rightarrow \Omega X_{1} \times \Omega X_{2}$, given by $\Psi l=\left(p_{1} l, p_{2} l\right)$ where $p_{i}: X_{1} \times X_{2} \rightarrow X_{i}, i=1,2$, is the projection, is not a homeomorphism in Moore's definition. However it follows from the commutativity of the diagram

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\Omega\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}\right) & \stackrel{\Psi}{\rightarrow} \Omega X_{1} \times \Omega X_{2} \\
\downarrow g & \downarrow g_{1} \times g_{2} \\
\Omega^{\prime}\left(X_{1} \times X_{2}\right) & \stackrel{\Phi^{\prime}}{\rightarrow} \Omega^{\prime}\left(X_{1}\right) \times \Omega^{\prime}\left(X_{2}\right)
\end{array}
$$
\]

that $\Psi$ is a homotopy equivalence.

## 2. Chain-algebras and the main theorem

Let $A$ be a differential graded free abelian group, $A=\sum_{n} A^{n}$ such that $A^{n}=0, n<0$, and $d A^{n} \subseteq A^{n-1}$. Then $A$ will be called a chain algebra if a product is defined in $A$ such that
(i) $A$ is a ring with unit element;
(ii) $A^{p} A^{q} \subseteq A^{p+q}$;
(iii) $d(x y)=(d x) y+(-1)^{p} x(d y), \quad x \in A^{p}$.

We write 1 for the unit element; condition (ii) implies that $1 \in A^{0}$. A function $\varphi$ from the chain algebra $A$ to the chain algebra $A^{\prime}$ will be called a map if it is a chain mapping and a ring homomorphism ${ }^{4}$ ). An augmentation $\alpha: A \rightarrow A$ is a map whose image is the ring generated by 1. A map $\varphi$ of augmented chain algebras is required to commute with $\alpha$. Henceforth it will be understood that a chain algebra is augmented. The homology group $H_{*}(A)$ is an augmented graded ring with unit element and a map $\varphi: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ induces a homomorphism

$$
\varphi_{*}: H_{*}(A) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(A^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Let $Q(\Omega K)$ be the group generated by the singular cubes of $\Omega K$. Then the multiplication in $\Omega K$ induces a ring structure in $Q(\Omega K)$ in the usual way. Moreover the subgroup $D(\Omega K)$ generated by the degenerate singular cubes of $\Omega K$ (with respect to any co-ordinate) is an ideal in $Q(\Omega K)$. Let $C_{*}(\Omega K)$ be the quotient ring $Q(\Omega K) / D(\Omega K)$. Then $C_{*}(\Omega K)$ is a chain algebra with respect to the boundary operator induced by that in $Q(\Omega K)$; the unit element is the 0 -cube at the unit element of $\Omega K$ and $C_{*}(\Omega K)$ is augmented by requiring $\alpha$ to be 1 on every 0 -cube. The homology ring of $C_{*}(\Omega K)$ is the (singular) Pontryagin homology ring of $\Omega K$. Our object is to use the structure of $K$ as a $C W$-complex to construct a chain algebra $A$ and a map $\theta: A \rightarrow C_{*}(\Omega K)$ such that $\theta_{*}$ is an isomorph-

[^2]ism. With this end in view we write $A^{\prime}$ for $C_{*}(\Omega K)$. We recall that $K$ is being restricted to having one 0 -cell (the base-point) and no 1 -cells.

Let $\left\{e_{i}^{\eta}\right\}, n=0,2,3, \ldots, i \epsilon$ indexing set $T_{n}$, be the cells of $K$ and to each $e_{i}^{n}$ except the vertex choose a generator $a_{i}=a_{i}^{n-1}$ of dimension ( $n-1$ ). Let $A=A(K)$ be the ring with unit element freely generated by the elements $a_{i}$, and augmented by $\alpha(1)=1, \alpha\left(a_{i}\right)=0$, all $i$. Then $A$, provided with a suitable differential, will turn out to be the appropriate chain algebra.

Let $L K$ be the space of paths on $K$ terminating at the base-point and let $p: L K \rightarrow K$ associate with every path its initial point. Then ( $L K, p ; K$ ) is a fibre-space with $\Omega K$ as fibre. Let $C_{*}(L K)$ be the group generated by the non-degenerate singular cubes of $L K$ whose vertices lie in $\Omega K$. Then $C_{*}(L K)$, given a graduation, differential and augmentation in the usual way, is the singular chain group of $L K$, which is, of course, acyclic. The pairing $L K \times \Omega K \rightarrow L K$, given by composition of paths, induces a pairing $\left(C_{*}(L K) \times C_{*}(\Omega K) \rightarrow C_{*}(L K)\right.$ which is associative with a unit $\left[\right.$ in $\left.C_{*}(\Omega K)\right] . C_{*}(L K)$ contains $C_{*}(\Omega K)$ and the pairing, restricted to $C_{*}(\Omega K) \times C_{*}(\Omega K)$, induces the ring structure in $C_{*}(\Omega K)$.

Let $C_{*}(K)$ be the singular chain group of $K$ generated by the nondegenerate cubes of $K$ all of whose vertices are at the base-point. Then the projection $p: L K \rightarrow K$ induces a chain mapping ${ }^{5}$ ) $p: C_{*}(L K)$ $\rightarrow C_{*}(K)$. We proceed to construct a system of chain groups and maps equivalent to that given by the fibre-space.

To this end, we introduce a free graded abelian group $B=B(K)$, freely generated by elements $b_{i}=b_{i}^{n}$ in ( $1-1$ ) dimension-preserving correspondence with the cells of $K$. The element $b^{0}$ will be written 1. $B$ is augmented by $\alpha(1)=1, \alpha\left(b_{i}^{n}\right)=0, n>0$. Then $B$ is intended to play the role of $C_{*}(K)$; the latter will therefore be called $B^{\prime}$. Define $C=C(K)$ as the tensor product $B \otimes A$, graded and augmented by the usual rules. Then $A, B$ may be embedded in $C$ by identifying $y$ with $1 \otimes y$, $x$ with $x \otimes 1, y \in A, x \in B$. There is a pairing $C \times A \rightarrow C$ given by $\left(x \otimes y, y^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow x \otimes y y^{\prime}$; restricted to $A \times A$, this pairing induces the multiplication in $A$. It is clearly legitimate to write a typical generator of $C$ as $x y$; this will be done when convenient. A projection ${ }^{6}$ ) $\pi: C \rightarrow B$ is given by $\pi(x y)=\alpha(y) x$. Since $C$ is to play the role of $C_{*}(L K)$, the latter will be called $C^{\prime}$. We may now state the main theorem.

[^3]Theorem 2.1. Differentials $d: C, A \rightarrow C, A, \bar{d}: B \rightarrow B$, and chain maps $\theta: C, A \rightarrow C^{\prime}, A^{\prime}, \bar{\theta}: B \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ may be defined such that
(i) $A$ is a chain algebra with respect to $d \mid A$;
(ii) $\theta \mid A$ is a map of chain algebras and $\theta$ is product-preserving ${ }^{7}$ );
(iii) $\bar{\theta} \pi=p \theta, \pi d=\bar{d} \pi$;
(iv) $\quad \theta_{*}: H_{*}(A) \cong H_{*}\left(A^{\prime}\right)=H_{*}(\Omega K)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{\theta}_{*}: H_{*}(B) \cong H_{*}\left(B^{\prime}\right)=H_{*}(K) . \\
& \theta_{*}: H_{*}(C) \cong H_{*}\left(C^{\prime}\right)=H_{*}(L K) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that since $\pi$ maps $C$ onto $B, \bar{d}$ and $\bar{\theta}$ are determined by $d$ and $\theta$.
The differential $d$ and the map $\theta$ will be defined inductively on the sections of $K$. Let $K^{n}$ be the $n$-section of $K$ and $\operatorname{let}{ }^{n} A,{ }^{n} B,{ }^{n} C$ be $A\left(K^{n}\right)$, $B\left(K^{n}\right), C\left(K^{n}\right)$ respectively; we regard them as embedded in $A, B, C$. Similarly we define ${ }^{n} A^{\prime},{ }^{n} B^{\prime},{ }^{n} C^{\prime}$ and embed them in $A^{\prime}, B^{\prime}, C^{\prime}$.

For $n=1$, define $d(1)=0, \theta(1)=1$; the theorem is trivially verified. Suppose now that $d$ and $\theta$ have been determined on ${ }^{n} C,{ }^{n} A$ so that the theorem is verified. We proceed to determine $d$ and $\theta$ on ${ }^{n+1} C$, ${ }^{n+1} A$. To determine $d$ on ${ }^{n+1} A$ it is sufficient to determine it on the generators. On the generators of dimension $<n$ we determine it by the embeddings ${ }^{n} A \subseteq{ }^{n+1} A,{ }^{n} A^{\prime} \subseteq{ }^{n+1} A^{\prime}$. Let $a$ be a generator of dimension $n$, corresponding to a cell $e^{n+1}$ in $K^{n+1}$. Let $f: E^{n+1}, S^{n} \rightarrow K^{n+1}, K^{n}$ be the characteristic map for this cell, inducing $f^{\prime}: L S^{n}, \Omega S^{n} \rightarrow L K^{n}$, $\Omega K^{n}, f^{\prime \prime}: L E^{n+1}, \Omega E^{n+1} \rightarrow L K^{n+1}, \Omega K^{n+1} ;$ and let $\beta \in H_{n-1}\left(\Omega S^{n}\right)$, with $\alpha(\beta)=0$ if $n=1$, be such that the suspension of $\beta$ generates ${ }^{8}$ ) $H_{n}\left(S^{n}\right)$. Choose an $(n-1)$ cycle $z$ in ${ }^{n} A$ such that $\theta_{*}\{z\}=f_{*}^{\prime} \beta$ - this is possible by the inductive hypothesis - and define $d a=z$. Then $d^{2}=0$ on all cells and, hence, by the product rule, $d^{2}$ is zero on ${ }^{n+1} A$. If $n=1$, we must take $d a=0$, since $\alpha(\beta)=0$, so that $\alpha$ is obviously an augmentation of $A$ with respect to the differential being defined on $A$.

We next define a retraction $s:{ }^{n+1} C \rightarrow{ }^{n+1} C$, raising dimension by 1 , by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (R 1) s(1)=0, \quad s a_{i}^{r-1}=b_{i}^{r}, \quad s b_{i}^{r}=0, \quad r>1, \\
& (R 2) s(x y)=(s x) y+(\alpha x) s y, \quad x \epsilon^{n+1} C, \quad y \in \epsilon^{n+1} A
\end{aligned}
$$

and extend the differential to a differential $d$ on ${ }^{n+1} C$ by defining ${ }^{9}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (D1) } d b_{i}^{r}=(1-s d) a_{i}^{r-1}, \quad r>1, \\
& \text { (D2) } d(x y)=(d x) y+(-1)^{p} x d y, \quad x \epsilon^{n+1} C^{p}, \quad y \epsilon^{n+1} A .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^4]Then $s$ is clearly consistent with the two distributive laws; it is also consistent with the associative law of multiplication since
$s(x(y z))=(s x)(y z)+(\alpha x) s(y z)=(s x)(y z)+\alpha(x)(s y) z+\alpha(x) \alpha(y) s z$,
while

$$
s((x y) z)=(s(x y)) z+\alpha(x y) s z=(s x) y z+\alpha(x)(s y) z+\alpha(x) \alpha(y) s z .
$$

Similarly $d$ is consistent with the two distributive laws and the associative law of multiplication.

We now prove
Lemma 2.1. For $x \epsilon^{n+1} C,(d s+s d) x=(1-\alpha) x$.
If $x=1$, this is trivial. Thus it holds for $x \epsilon^{n+1} C^{0}$. Now let $x=a$, a generator of ${ }^{n+1} A$ with $s a=b$. Then $\alpha(a)=0$ and $(d s+s d)(a)$ $=d b+s d a=a$ by ( $D 1$ ). Next let $x=b$, a generator of ${ }^{n+1} B$ with $s a=b$, then $\alpha(b)=0$ and

$$
(d s+s d) b=s d b=s(1-s d) a=s a-s^{2} d a .
$$

Now by ( $R 1$ ) $s^{2}$ is zero on the generators of ${ }^{n+1} B$ and of ${ }^{n+1} A$; thus by $(R 2) s^{2}$ is zero on ${ }^{n+1} C$. It follows that $(d s+s d) b=s a=b$, so that the lemma is verified on the generators of ${ }^{n+1} B$ and of ${ }^{n+1} A$.

Now suppose that $x \epsilon^{n+1} C^{p}, y \epsilon^{n+1} A$ and the lemma is verified for $x$ and $y$. Then, using ( $R 2$ ) and (D2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(d s+s d)(x y)= & d((s x) y+(\alpha x) s y)+s\left((d x) y+(-1)^{p} x d y\right) \\
= & (d s x) y+(-1)^{p+1}(s x)(d y)+(\alpha x) d s y \\
& +(s d x) y+(-1)^{p}(s x)(d y)+(-1)^{p}(\alpha x) s d y \\
= & (d s x+s d x) y+(\alpha x)\left(d s y+(-1)^{p} s d y\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now if $p>0, \alpha x=0$ and $(d s+s d)(x y)=x y=(1-\alpha)(x y)$. If $p=0$, then
$(d s+s d)(x y)=x y-\alpha x \cdot y+\alpha x(y-\alpha y)=x y-\alpha x \cdot \alpha y=(1-\alpha) x y$.
Thus the lemma is completely established.
Lemma 2.2. $d$ is a differential on ${ }^{n+1} C$.
The only assertion to be proved is that $d^{2}=0$. This certainly holds on ${ }^{n+1} A$ and so, in the light of (D2) it is sufficient to verify it on a generator of ${ }^{n+1} B$. Let $b$ be a generator with $s a=b$. Then $d^{2} b=d(1-s d) a$ $=(d-d s d) a=(1-d s) d a$. Now $(d s+s d) d a=(1-\alpha) d a$. Thus $d s d a=d a$ since $d^{2} a=0, \alpha d a=0$. This implies $d^{2} b=0$ and hence the lemma.

Lemma 2.3. ${ }^{n+1} C$ is acyclic.
For, by lemma $2.1, s$ is a chain-homotopy between $\alpha$ and the identity.
Lemma 2.4. The kernel of $\pi$, restricted to ${ }^{n+1} C$, is stable under $d$.
For an arbitrary element of ${ }^{n+1} C$ is expressible as $x_{0} \otimes 1+\sum_{i>0} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}$, where $x_{i} \epsilon^{n+1} B$ and $y_{i} \epsilon^{n+1} A^{n_{i}}, n_{i}>0$. The $\pi$-image of this is $x_{0}$, so that the kernel of $\pi$, restricted to ${ }^{n+1} C$, consists of elements of the form

$$
\underset{i>0}{\sum_{i} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}, \quad \text { or } \quad \sum_{i>0} x_{i} y_{i} .}
$$

The set of such expressions is obviously stable under $d$ since $d\left({ }^{n+1} A^{1}\right)=0$.
It follows that $d$ induces a differential $\bar{d}$ on ${ }^{n+1} B$; it is given by

$$
\bar{d} b=-\pi s d a
$$

Notice also that the definitions of $s$ and $d$ respect the embedding of ${ }^{n} C$, ${ }^{n} A$ in ${ }^{n+1} C,{ }^{n+1} A$.

We next define $\theta$; we recall that $\theta$ is to be a product-preserving map ${ }^{n+1} C,{ }^{n+1} A \rightarrow C_{*}\left(L K^{n+1}\right), C_{*}\left(\Omega K^{n+1}\right)$. It is sufficient to define $\theta$ on the generators of ${ }^{n+1} B,{ }^{n+1} A$ and, as above, we determine it on the generators of ${ }^{n+1} B$ of dimension $<n+1$ and on those of ${ }^{n+1} A$ of dimension $<n$ by means of the embeddings ${ }^{n} C,{ }^{n} A \subseteq{ }^{n+1} C,{ }^{n+1} A ; C_{*}\left(L K^{n}\right)$, $C_{*}\left(\Omega K^{n}\right) \subseteq C_{*}\left(L K^{n+1}\right), C_{*}\left(\Omega K^{n+1}\right)$. We conserve the notation of this section and let $i: L S^{n}, \Omega S^{n} \rightarrow L E^{n+1}, \Omega E^{n+1}, j: L K^{n}, \Omega K^{n} \rightarrow L K^{n+1}$, $\Omega K^{n+1}$ be injections; then $j f^{\prime}=f^{\prime \prime} i$ and $\theta=j \theta$ on ${ }^{n} C$. Let $\zeta$ be a cycle in the class $\beta$ and let $i \zeta=d \eta, \eta \in C_{n}\left(\Omega E^{n+1}\right)$. Now $\theta z-f^{\prime} \zeta=d x^{\prime}$, $x^{\prime} \in C_{n}\left(\Omega K^{n}\right)$. We define $\left.{ }^{10}\right) \theta a=j x^{\prime}+f^{\prime \prime} \eta$. Then

$$
d \theta a=d j x^{\prime}+d f^{\prime \prime} \eta=j \theta z-j f^{\prime} \zeta+f^{\prime \prime} i \zeta=j \theta z=\theta d a .
$$

Now let $\dot{b}$, as before, be the generator of $B$ corresponding to $e^{n+1}$ (and hence to $a$ above). Since $L S^{n}$ is acyclic, $\zeta=d \xi, \quad \xi \in C_{n}\left(L S^{n}\right)$. Moreover, $p \xi$ is an $n$-cycle of $S^{n}$ whose class generates $H_{n}\left(S^{n}\right)$ - by the definition of $\beta$. Since $L E^{n+1}$ is acyclic and $i \xi-\eta$ is a cycle of $L E^{n+1}$, it follows that $i \xi-\eta=d \varkappa, x \in C_{n+1}\left(L E^{n+1}\right)$. Moreover $p \varkappa$ is an $(n+1)$ relative cycle of $E^{n+1} \bmod S^{n}$ whose class generates $H_{n+1}\left(E^{n+1}, S^{n}\right)$ in fact, under $d: H_{n+1}\left(E^{n+1}, S^{n}\right) \rightarrow H_{n}\left(S^{n}\right)$, we have $d\{p \varkappa\}=\{p \xi\}$ $=S \beta$. We now proceed to define $\theta b$. We have

$$
d\left(f^{\prime} \xi-\theta s z+x^{\prime}\right)=f^{\prime} \zeta-\theta z+\theta z-f^{\prime} \zeta=0
$$

since $\alpha z=0, d z=0$. Thus $f^{\prime} \xi-\theta s z+x^{\prime}$ is a cycle in $L K^{n}$ and so

[^5]$f^{\prime} \xi-\theta s z+x^{\prime}=d x^{\prime \prime}, x^{\prime \prime} \in C_{n+1}\left(L K^{n}\right)$. We define $\left.{ }^{11}\right) \theta b=j x^{\prime \prime}-f^{\prime \prime} \varkappa$. Then $\theta d b=\theta(1-s d) a=j x^{\prime}+f^{\prime \prime} \eta-\theta s z$, and $d \theta b=d j x^{\prime \prime}-d f^{\prime \prime} \varkappa$ $=j f^{\prime} \xi-\theta s z+j x^{\prime}-f^{\prime \prime} i \xi+f^{\prime \prime} \eta$, so that $\theta d b=d \theta b$. Thus $\theta$ is defined on ${ }^{n+1} C$.

We next show that a map $\bar{\theta}:{ }^{n+1} B \rightarrow{ }^{n+1} B^{\prime}$ is defined by $\bar{\theta} \pi=p \theta$; it is sufficient to show that $\bar{\theta}$ is single-valued. As above, let $\Sigma x_{i} y_{i}$ be a typical element of the kernel of $\pi, x_{i} \epsilon^{n+1} B, y_{i} \epsilon^{n+1} A^{n i}, n_{i}>0$. Then $\theta\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)=\theta x_{i} \theta y_{i}$; but $\theta y_{i} \in C_{n_{i}}\left(\Omega K^{n+1}\right)$ so that $p \theta\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)$ is a sum of degenerate cubes and so is zero in $C_{*}(K)$. Thus $p \theta$ is zero on the kernel of $\pi$ so that $\bar{\theta}$ is single-valued.

The inductive definition of $d$ and $\theta$ will be established when we have shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \theta_{*}: H_{*}\left({ }^{n+1} A\right) \cong H_{*}\left(\Omega K^{n+1}\right)  \tag{2.1}\\
& \bar{\theta}_{*}: H_{*}{ }^{\left({ }^{n+1} B\right)} \cong H_{*}\left(K^{n+1}\right)  \tag{2.2}\\
& \theta_{*}: H_{*}\left({ }^{n+1} C\right) \cong H_{*}\left(L K^{n+1}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

(2.3) is trivial since ${ }^{n+1} C, L K^{n+1}$ are acyclic and $\theta(1)=1$. To prove (2.2), observe that $\bar{\theta} b=p \theta b=p j x^{\prime \prime}-p f^{\prime \prime} \varkappa=p j x^{\prime \prime}-f p \varkappa$. Thus $\bar{\theta} b$ is a relative cycle of $K^{n+1} \bmod K^{n}$ whose class generates

$$
H_{n+1}\left(K^{n} \cup e^{n+1}, K^{n}\right) .
$$

Thus $\left.\bar{\theta}_{*}: H_{n+1}{ }^{(n+1} B,{ }^{n} B\right) \cong H_{n+1}\left(K^{n+1}, K^{n}\right)$ and (2.2) follows from the inductive hypothesis and the 5 -lemma.

To establish (2.1) we introduce a filtration into ${ }^{n+1} C$. Then $\theta$ will be a filtration-preserving map from ${ }^{n+1} C$ to $C_{*}\left(L K^{n+1}\right)$, filtered by the Serre filtration, and we will be able to apply a theorem due to J. C. Moore (see [6]) which asserts that, since the first terms of the spectral sequence are well behaved ${ }^{12}$ ), and since the map induces isomorphisms of the homology groups of the fibre-spaces and of the bases, it must therefore induce isomorphisms of the homology groups of the fibres. To avoid an undue proliferation of superscripts and subscripts, we will permit ourselves in this part of the argument to write $A, B, C$ for ${ }^{n+1} A$, ${ }^{n+1} B,{ }^{n+1} C$.

We filter $C$ by putting $C_{p}=\Sigma B^{q} \otimes A$; equivalently if $x \in B^{p}$,

$$
q \leqslant p
$$

$y \in A$, then $w(x y)=p$. Moreover if $b$ is a $q$-dimensional generator of $B$ and $y \in A$ then $d(b y)=(d b) y+(-1)^{q} b d y=a y-(s z) y+(-1)^{q} b d y$

[^6]and so clearly belongs to $C_{q}$. Thus $d C_{p} \subseteq C_{p}$ and $C$ is a differential filtered group. Also $\theta(b y)=\theta b \cdot \theta y$ and $\theta y \in C\left(\Omega K^{n+1}\right)$. Thus $p \theta(b y)$ is a sum of cubes only depending on their first $q$ co-ordinates. It follows that $\theta(b y) \in C_{q}^{\prime}$, so that $\theta$ respects filtration. Let $E_{r}^{p, q}, E_{r}^{\prime p, q}$ be the terms of the spectral sequences associated with $C, C^{\prime}$ so that $\theta$ induces $\theta_{*}$ : $E_{r}^{p, q} \rightarrow E_{r}^{\prime p, q}$.

Define $\psi: B^{p} \otimes A^{q} \rightarrow E_{0}^{p, q}$ by $\psi(x \otimes y)=\{x y\}$. Then $\psi$ is an isomorphism and $\psi d_{F}=d_{0} \psi$ where $d_{F}(x \otimes y)=(-1)^{p} x \otimes d y$. Thus the induced map $\psi_{*}: B^{p} \otimes H_{q}(A) \rightarrow E_{1}^{p, q}$ is an isomorphism. Define $d_{B}: B^{p} \otimes H_{q}(A) \rightarrow B^{p-1} \otimes H_{q}(A) \quad$ by $\quad d_{B}(x \otimes\{y\})=\bar{d} x \otimes\{y\}$. We will show that $\psi_{*} d_{B}=d_{1} \psi_{*}$.

Now $\quad d_{1} \psi_{*}(x \otimes\{y\})=d_{1}\{x y\}=\{(d x) y\}, \quad$ while $\quad \psi_{*} d_{B}(x \otimes\{y\})$ $=\psi_{*}(\bar{d} x \otimes\{y\})=\{(\bar{d} x) y\}$. Suppose $x \in B^{p}$; then $d x=x_{0}+\sum_{i>0} x_{i} y_{i}$, $y_{i} \in A^{n_{i}}, \quad x_{i} \in B^{p-1-n_{i}}$, where $n_{i}>0$ if $i>0$, and $\bar{d} x=x_{0}$. Thus $(\bar{d} x) y-(d x) y=\sum_{i>0} x_{i} y_{i} y \in C_{p-2}$, whence $\{(d x) y\}=\{(\bar{d} x) y\}$. It follows that $\psi_{*}$ induces an isomorphism $\psi_{* *}: H_{p}\left(B ; H_{q}(A)\right) \cong E_{2}^{p, q}$.

Let $\varphi$ be the map $E_{0}^{\prime p, q} \rightarrow B^{\prime p} \otimes A^{\prime q}$ introduced by Serre. Then since $K$ is simply-connected we know that $\varphi$ induces isomorphisms

$$
\varphi_{*}: E_{1}^{\prime p, q} \cong B^{\prime p} \otimes H_{q}\left(A^{\prime}\right), \quad \varphi_{* *}: E_{2}^{\prime p, q} \cong H_{p}\left(B^{\prime} ; H_{q}\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right) .
$$

Consider the diagram

where $\Theta(y \otimes\{x\})=\bar{\theta} y \otimes\{\theta x\}$. Then $\Theta=\varphi_{*} \theta_{*} \psi_{*}$. For

$$
\theta_{*} \psi_{*}(y \otimes\{x\})=\theta_{*}\{y x\}=\{\theta y x\} .
$$

Now if $u$ is a $p$-cube of $L K^{n+1}, v$ a $q$-cube of $\Omega K^{n+1}$, then $\varphi(u v)=p u \otimes v$. Thus $\varphi \theta(y x)=\varphi(\theta y \cdot \theta x)=p \theta y \otimes \theta x=\bar{\theta} y \otimes \theta x \quad$ and so $\varphi_{*}\{\theta y x\}$ $=\bar{\theta} y \otimes\{\theta x\}=\Theta(y \otimes\{x\})$.

We have now verified the conditions of validity of Moore's theorem ${ }^{13}$ ). The proof of this theorem sets up and filters the chain mapping-cylinder of $\theta: C \rightarrow C^{\prime}$. It then follows from the diagram above that the first terms of the spectral sequence of this filtration also are properly related to the appropriate tensor products, and then an inductive argument

[^7]shows that the spectral sequence is trivial. This leads immediately to the conclusion that
$$
\theta_{*}: H_{q}(A) \cong H_{q}\left(A^{\prime}\right)
$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 is now practically complete. We have shown that differentials $d, \bar{d}$ and maps $\theta, \bar{\theta}$ may be defined verifying (i), (ii) and (iii) and such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \theta_{*}: H_{*}\left({ }^{n} A\right) \cong H_{*}\left(\Omega K^{n}\right) \\
& \bar{\theta}_{*}: H_{*}\left({ }^{n} B\right) \cong H_{*}\left(K^{n}\right) \\
& \theta_{*}: H_{*}\left({ }^{n} C\right) \cong H_{*}\left(L K^{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n$. It follows immediately that $\bar{\theta}_{*}: H_{*}(B) \cong H_{*}(K)$. Since the retraction $s$ may be defined over all $C$, it follows that $C$ is acyclic so that $\theta_{*}: H_{*}(C) \cong H_{*}(L K)$. We again apply the spectral sequence argument to deduce that $\theta_{*}: H_{*}(A) \cong H_{*}(\Omega K)$ and the proof is complete.

Corollary 2.1. If $K$ is a subcomplex of $K^{*}$ and if $d, \theta$ are given on $C(K), A(K)$ then $d^{*}, \theta^{*}$ may be chosen so that $d^{*} \mid C(K)=i d$, $\theta^{*} \mid C(K)=j \theta$, where $i: C(K) \rightarrow C\left(K^{*}\right), j: C_{*}(L K) \rightarrow C_{*}\left(L K^{*}\right)$ are injections.

Corollary 2.2. Let $K$ be the union of subcomplexes $K_{i}$ with a single common point, the single 0 -cell of each $K_{i}$. Then $A(K)$ may be chosen as the free product of the $A\left(K_{i}\right)$, and $\theta$ may be given by $\theta b_{i}=\theta_{i} b_{i}, \theta a_{i}$ $=\theta_{i} a_{i}$ where $\theta_{i}: C\left(K_{i}\right) \rightarrow C_{*}\left(L K_{i}\right)$.
These two corollaries follow immediately from the definitions of $d$ and $\theta$. By a free product of chain-algebras $A_{i}$ we understand the chain algebra which is, qua algebra, the free product of the algebras $A_{i}$ and whose differential is given by

$$
d\left(a_{i_{1}} \ldots a_{q-1}\right)=\sum_{q=1}^{k}(-1)^{r_{q}} a_{i_{1}} \ldots\left(d a_{i_{q}}\right) \ldots a_{i_{k}}, \quad a_{i_{q}} \in A_{i_{q}}^{n_{q}},
$$

where $r_{q}=\sum_{s=1} n_{s}$.
In the light of theorem 2.1, corollary 2.2 may be regarded as a generalization of the theorem due to Bott and Samelson (see [17]) when $K$ is a wedge of spheres.

Before stating the next corollary, which is in the nature of an example, we draw attention to the fact that the map $\bar{\theta}: B \rightarrow C_{*}(K)$ reverses orientation, in the sense that the generator $b^{n}$ corresponds to the negative of the class of the oriented $n$-cell $e^{n}$ in $H_{n}\left(K^{n}, K^{n-1}\right)$.

Corollary 2.3. Let $K=S^{m} \cup e^{m+1}, m \geqslant 2$, where $e^{m+1}$ is attached by a map of degree $r$. Then $A(K)$ is the chain algebra generated by $a, a^{\prime}$, with $\operatorname{dim} a=m-1, \operatorname{dim} a^{\prime}=m$ and $d a^{\prime}=-r a$.

For certainly $d a^{\prime}=k a$, for some integer $k$. Now let $b, b^{\prime}$ be the generators of $B$. Then since the attaching map is of degree $r$, we have $\bar{d} b^{\prime}=r b$. Thus $\pi d b^{\prime}=r b$; but $\pi d b^{\prime}=\pi\left(a^{\prime}-s d a^{\prime}\right)=\pi\left(a^{\prime}-k s a\right)$ $=\pi\left(a^{\prime}-k b\right)=-k b$, whence $\left.{ }^{14}\right) k=-r$. We note that the differential in $C$ is given by $d b=a, d b^{\prime}=a^{\prime}+r b$.

Corollary 2.4. Let $K=S^{m} \times S^{n}, m, n \geqslant 2$. Then we may take for $A(K)$ the chain algebra $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a\right)$ with $\operatorname{dim} a_{1}=m-1, \operatorname{dim} a_{2}=$ $n-1, \operatorname{dim} a=m+n-1$ and

$$
d a=\varepsilon\left(a_{1} a_{2}-(-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)} a_{2} a_{1}\right), \quad \varepsilon= \pm 1
$$

Let $K_{0}=S^{m} \vee S^{n}$. Then $A\left(K_{0}\right)=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and $\theta a_{1}$ belongs to a generator $g_{1}$ of $H_{m-1}\left(\Omega S^{m}\right), \theta a_{2}$ belongs to a generator $g_{2}$ of $H_{n-1}\left(\Omega S^{n}\right)$. Now $e^{m+n}$ is attached to $K_{0}$ by a map, $f$, in the class $\left[\iota_{m}, \iota_{n}\right]$ and, by Samelson's theorem (see [8], $f_{*}^{\prime} \beta=\varepsilon\left(g_{1} g_{2}-(-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)} g_{2} g_{1}\right.$ ). It follows therefore that we may choose $d a=\varepsilon\left(a_{1} a_{2}-(-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)} a_{2} a_{1}\right)$. We note that the differential in $C$ is given by $d b_{1}=a_{1}, d b_{2}=a_{2}$, $d b=(1-s d) a=a-\varepsilon\left(b_{1} a_{2}-(-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)} b_{2} a_{1}\right)$. We note also that $\theta a$ is a relative cycle in the class generating $H_{m+n-1}\left(\Omega\left(S^{m} \times S^{n}\right)\right.$, $\Omega\left(S^{m} \vee S^{n}\right)$ ).

For further discussion of product complexes, see section 4.

## 3. Induced maps of chain-algebras

Let $f: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{2}$ be a map ${ }^{15}$ ) of $C W$-complexes, inducing $f^{\prime}: L K_{1}$, $\Omega K_{1} \rightarrow L K_{2}, \Omega K_{2}$. Our main object in this section is to realize the induced homology homomorphism $f_{*}^{\prime}$ by an appropriate $\varphi_{*}: H_{*}\left(A\left(K_{1}\right)\right)$ $\rightarrow H_{*}\left(A\left(K_{2}\right)\right)$, induced by a map $\varphi: C\left(K_{1}\right), A\left(K_{1}\right) \rightarrow C\left(K_{2}\right), A\left(K_{2}\right)$. Although $A(K)$ is not uniquely determined by $K$, we may then think of the passage from the category of $C W$-complexes and maps to that of chain algebras and maps given by $(K, f) \rightarrow(A(K), \varphi)$ as a (multivalued) covariant functor. We will prove
${ }^{14}$ ) The minus sign can be avoided by replacing ( $R 1$ ), ( $D 1$ ) by $s a^{r-1}=(-1)^{r} b^{r}$, $d b^{r}=(-1)^{r}(1-s d) a^{r-1}$. Of course, to compute $H_{*}(\Omega K)$ one may take a chain algebra generated by $a, a^{\prime}$ with $d a^{\prime}=r a$.
${ }^{15}$ ) Recall that all complexes considered in this paper have one 0 -cell and no 1 -cells. A map is required to send 0 -cell to 0 -cell.

Theorem 3.1. There are chain-maps $\varphi: C\left(K_{1}\right), A\left(K_{1}\right) \rightarrow C\left(K_{2}\right)$, $A\left(K_{2}\right), \bar{\varphi}: B\left(K_{1}\right) \rightarrow B\left(K_{2}\right)$ such that
(i) $\varphi$ is product-preserving and $\varphi s=s \varphi$;
(ii) $\bar{\varphi} \pi_{1}=\pi_{2} \varphi$;
(iii) the diagrams

are commutative to within chain homotopy.
We will define $\varphi$ and a chain homotopy $\psi: C\left(K_{1}\right), A\left(K_{1}\right) \rightarrow C^{\prime}\left(K_{2}\right)$, $A^{\prime}\left(K_{2}\right)$, such that $d \psi+\psi d=f^{\prime} \theta_{1}-\theta_{2} \varphi$, inductively on the sections of $K_{1}$. Define $\varphi(1)=1, \quad \psi(1)=0$. Suppose $\varphi, \psi$ defined on $C\left(K_{1}^{n}\right)$, and let $a$ be the generator of $A\left(K_{1}\right)$ corresponding to the cell $e^{n-1}$ in $K_{1}$. Then $\varphi, \psi$ are defined on $d a$ and

$$
\theta_{2} \varphi d a=f^{\prime} \theta_{1} d a-d \psi d a=d\left(f^{\prime} \theta_{1} a-\psi d a\right) .
$$

Since $\theta_{2 *}$ is $(1-1)$, there is an element $g_{2} \in A\left(K_{2}\right)$ with $d g_{2}=\varphi d a$. Now $f^{\prime} \theta_{1} a-\psi d a-\theta_{2} g_{2}$ is a cycle in $A^{\prime}\left(K_{2}\right)$; since $\theta_{2 *}$ is onto, there is a cycle $z_{2}$ in $A\left(K_{2}\right)$ and an element $g_{2}^{\prime}$ in $A^{\prime}\left(K_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\theta_{2} z_{2}+d g_{2}^{\prime}=f^{\prime} \theta_{1} a-\psi d a-\theta_{2} g_{2}
$$

We put $\varphi a=g_{2}+z_{2}, \quad \psi a=g_{2}^{\prime}$. Then $\quad d \varphi a=d g_{2}=\varphi d a \quad$ and

$$
f^{\prime} \theta_{1} a-\theta_{2} \varphi a=f^{\prime} \theta_{1} a-\theta_{2} g_{2}-\theta_{2} z_{2}=d g_{2}^{\prime}+\psi d a=(d \psi+\psi d) a
$$

as required. Extend $\varphi$ to a map of $A\left(K_{1}^{n+1}\right)$ into $A\left(K_{2}\right)$; direct computation shows that $\psi$ is extended to $A\left(K_{1}^{n+1}\right)$ by the formula $\psi(x y)=(\psi x)\left(f^{\prime} \theta_{1} y\right)+(-1)^{p}\left(\theta_{2} \varphi x\right)(\psi y), \quad$ for $\quad x \epsilon^{n+1} A^{p}, \quad y \epsilon^{n+1} A$, $A=A\left(K_{1}\right)$. Extend $\varphi$ to $C\left(K_{1}^{n+1}\right)$ by putting $\varphi b=s \varphi a$. Then $s \varphi=p s$ on the generators of $A\left(K_{1}^{n+1}\right), B\left(K_{1}^{n+1}\right)$ and hence on the whole of $C\left(K_{1}^{n+1}\right)$. Certainly $\psi b$ may be defined since $C^{\prime}\left(K_{2}\right)$ is acyclic and $\psi$ is extended to the whole of $C\left(K_{1}^{n+1}\right)$ by the same formula as above, where now $x \epsilon^{n+1} C^{p}$ and $y \epsilon{ }^{n+1} A$. The inductive definitions of $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are complete.

Now $\bar{\varphi}$ is defined by (ii), provided we can show that $\pi_{2} \varphi$ is zero on the kernel of $\pi_{1}$. A typical element of the kernel is $\Sigma x_{i} y_{i}, y_{i} \in A^{n_{i}}\left(K_{1}\right)$, $n_{i}>0$. Then $\varphi\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)=\varphi\left(x_{i}\right) \varphi\left(y_{i}\right), \varphi\left(y_{i}\right) \in A^{n_{i}}\left(K_{2}\right)$, so that

$$
\pi_{2}\left(\varphi\left(x_{i}\right) \varphi\left(y_{i}\right)\right)=0 .
$$

Thus $\bar{\varphi}$ is defined. A chain homotopy $\bar{\psi}: B\left(K_{1}\right) \rightarrow B^{\prime}\left(K_{2}\right)$ such that $\bar{d} \bar{\psi}+\bar{\psi} \bar{d}=f \bar{\theta}_{1}-\bar{\theta}_{2} \bar{\varphi}$ is defined by $\bar{\psi} \pi_{1}=p_{2} \psi$, provided $p_{2} \psi$ is zero on the kernel of $\pi_{1}$. Now if $y_{i} \in A^{n_{i}}\left(K_{1}\right), n_{i}>0$, then

$$
f^{\prime} \theta_{1} y_{i} \in A^{\prime n_{i}}\left(K_{2}\right), \quad \psi y_{i} \in A^{\prime n_{i}+1}\left(K_{2}\right) .
$$

It follows from the product formula for $\psi$ that $p_{2} \psi\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)=0$ in $B^{\prime}\left(K_{2}\right)$, $x_{i} \in C^{p_{i}}\left(K_{1}\right)$, so that $\bar{\psi}$ is defined as required. This completes the proof of the theorem.

We make some remarks about this theorem. First we note that if $\varphi^{\prime}$ is any other suitable chain map $C\left(K_{1}\right), A\left(K_{1}\right) \rightarrow C\left(K_{2}\right), A\left(K_{2}\right)$, then $\theta_{2} \varphi^{\prime} \simeq f^{\prime} \theta_{1} \simeq \theta_{2} \varphi ;$ since $\theta_{2}$ is a chain equivalence, it follows that any two choices of $\varphi$ are chain homotopic. Similarly any two choices of $\bar{\varphi}$ are chain homotopic. Let us write $\varphi(f)$ for $\varphi$; then we see that if $f: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{2}$, $g: K_{2} \rightarrow K_{3}$ are maps we may choose $\varphi(g f)$ to be $\varphi(g) \varphi(f)$. We also note the trivial fact that if $t$ is an injection and if $d, \theta$ have been chosen on $K_{2}$ consistently with their values on $K_{1}$, then $\varphi, \bar{\varphi}$ may be taken as injections. Finally, we remark that if $f$ is a map $K_{1}, L_{1} \rightarrow K_{2}, L_{2}$ where $L_{i}$ is a subcomplex of $K_{i}, i=1,2$, then $\varphi, \psi$ may be chosen so that

$$
\varphi\left(C\left(L_{1}\right), A\left(L_{1}\right)\right) \subseteq C\left(L_{2}\right), A\left(L_{2}\right), \quad \psi\left(C\left(L_{1}\right), A\left(L_{1}\right)\right) \subseteq C^{\prime}\left(L_{2}\right), A^{\prime}\left(L_{2}\right)
$$

Now let $f_{0}: L_{1} \rightarrow L_{2}$ be a map of $C W$-complexes and let $K_{i}=L_{i} \cup e_{i}^{n+1}$, where $g_{i}: E^{n+1}, S^{n} \rightarrow K_{i}, L_{i}$ is a characteristic map for $e_{i}^{n+1}, i=1,2$. Suppose $f_{0} g_{1}\left|S^{n} \simeq g_{2}\right| S^{n}$. Then we may extend $f_{0}$ to a map $f: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{2}$ with $f g_{1} \simeq g_{2}$. Now $A\left(K_{i}\right)$ is formed from $A\left(L_{i}\right)$ by adjoining a new generator $a_{i}$. We prove

Theorem 3.2. If we ${ }^{16}$ ) have chosen $d$ and $\theta$ on $K_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ and $\varphi$ on $L_{1}$, then we may choose $d$ and $\theta$ on $a_{2}$ and $\varphi, \psi$ on $a_{1}$ so that $\varphi a_{1}=a_{2}$.

We first choose $d a_{2}$. Adopting the notation of the previous section, we have only to choose $d a_{2}$ so that $\theta_{2} d a_{2} \sim g_{2}^{\prime} \zeta$. Now

$$
\theta_{2} \varphi d a_{1}=f^{\prime} \theta_{1} d a_{1}-d \psi d a_{1}=f^{\prime} g_{1}^{\prime} \zeta+f^{\prime} d x^{\prime}-d \psi d a_{1} .
$$

Now $f^{\prime} g_{1}^{\prime} \simeq g_{2}^{\prime}$; there is a chain homotopy $\omega: C_{*}\left(L E^{n+1}\right), C_{*}\left(\Omega E^{n+1}\right)$, $C_{*}\left(L S^{n}\right), C_{*}\left(\Omega S^{n}\right) \rightarrow C^{\prime}\left(K_{2}\right), A^{\prime}\left(K_{2}\right), C^{\prime}\left(L_{2}\right), A^{\prime}\left(L_{2}\right)$ with $\left.{ }^{17}\right)$

$$
f^{\prime} g_{1}^{\prime}-g_{2}^{\prime}=d \omega+\omega d, \quad f^{\prime} g_{1}^{\prime \prime}-g_{2}^{\prime \prime}=d \omega+\omega d
$$

It follows that $\theta_{2} \varphi d a_{1}=g_{2}^{\prime} \zeta+d\left(\omega \zeta+f^{\prime} x^{\prime}-\psi d a_{1}\right)$. We may, and

[^8]do, choose $d a_{2}=\varphi d a_{1}$. Then we may take $x_{2}^{\prime}=\omega \zeta+f^{\prime} x_{1}^{\prime}-\psi d a_{1}$ and $\theta_{2} a_{2}=j_{2} x_{2}^{\prime}+g_{2}^{\prime \prime} \eta$. Now
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f^{\prime} \theta_{1} a_{1}-\psi d a_{1}-\theta_{2} a_{2}=f^{\prime} j_{1} x_{1}^{\prime}+f^{\prime} g_{1}^{\prime \prime} \eta-\psi d a_{1}-j_{2} \omega \zeta-j_{2} f^{\prime} x_{1}^{\prime} \\
& +j_{2} \psi d a_{1}-g_{2}^{\prime \prime} \eta=f^{\prime} g_{1}^{\prime \prime} \eta-g_{2}^{\prime \prime} \eta-j_{2} \omega \zeta=d \omega \eta+\omega d \eta-j_{2} \omega \zeta \\
& =d \omega \eta+\omega i \zeta-j_{2} \omega \zeta=d \omega \eta .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Thus we may choose $\varphi a_{1}=a_{2}, \psi a_{1}=\omega \eta$, and the theorem is proved.
Suppose $f_{0}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Then $\varphi_{*}: H_{*}\left(A\left(L_{1}\right)\right) \cong$ $H_{*}\left(A\left(L_{2}\right)\right)$. Also $f$ is a homotopy equivalence so that $\varphi_{*}: H_{*}\left(A\left(K_{1}\right)\right)$ $\cong H_{*}\left(A\left(K_{2}\right)\right)$. This is the topological analogue of the following purely algebraic theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\varphi: A \rightarrow A^{\prime}$ be a map of chain algebras inducing an isomorphism $\varphi_{*}: H_{*}(A) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\bar{A}$ be defined by adjoining a generator a to $A$ and let $\bar{A}^{\prime}$ be defined by adjoining a generator $a^{\prime}$ to $A^{\prime}$ of the same dimension, n, as $a$. Let $\varphi d a=d a^{\prime}$. Then the map $\bar{\varphi}: \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}^{\prime}$ given by $\bar{\varphi} \mid A=\varphi, \quad \varphi a=a^{\prime}$, induces an isomorphism $\bar{\varphi}_{*}: H_{*}(\bar{A})$ $\cong H_{*}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$.

Filter $\bar{A}$ by the rule $\omega\left(x_{0} a x_{1} a \ldots a x_{p}\right)=p, x_{i} \in A$ and filter $\bar{A}^{\prime}$ similarly. Let the associated groups of the spectral sequence be $E_{r}^{p, q}$, $E_{r}^{\prime p, q}$. Then $E_{r}^{\prime p, q}=E_{r}^{\prime p, q}=0$ if $q<p n-p$. Now $\bar{p}$ is filtrationpreserving and $d \bar{A}_{p} \subseteq \bar{A}_{p}, d \bar{A}_{p}^{\prime} \subseteq \bar{A}_{p}^{\prime}$, where $\left(\bar{A}_{p}\right),\left(\bar{A}_{p}^{\prime}\right)$ are the filtering subgroups. Thus $\bar{\varphi}$ is a map of differential filtered groups.

Let $A^{(p)}$ be the tensor product of $p$ copies of $A$ and define $A^{\prime(p)}$ similarly. Then $\varphi$ induces $\tilde{\varphi}: A^{(p)} \rightarrow A^{\prime(p)}$ which is a chain equivalence since $\varphi$ is a chain equivalence. Let $A^{(p) q}, q \geqslant p n-p$, be the homogeneous component of $A^{(p)}$ of dimension $p+q-p n$ and let $\psi: A^{(p) q}$ $\rightarrow E_{0}^{p, q}$ be defined by $\psi\left(x_{0} \otimes \ldots \otimes x_{p}\right)=(-1)^{\sigma} x_{0} a x_{1} \ldots a x_{p}$, where $x_{i} \in A^{n_{i}}$ and $\sigma=n \sum_{i=0}^{p} i n_{i}$. Then $\psi$ is an isomorphism and $\psi d=d_{0} \psi$ so that $\psi$ induces $\psi_{*}: H_{p+q-p n}\left(A^{(p)}\right) \cong E_{1}^{p, q}$. Similarly $\psi^{\prime}: A^{\prime(p) q} \rightarrow E_{0}^{\prime p, q}$ induces $\psi_{*}^{\prime}: H_{p+q-p n}\left(A^{\prime(p)}\right) \cong E_{1}^{\prime p, q}$. Also $\psi^{\prime} \tilde{\varphi}=\bar{\varphi} \psi: A^{(p) q} \rightarrow E_{0}^{\prime p, q}$, so that $\bar{\varphi}$ induces $\bar{\varphi}_{*}: E_{1}^{p, q} \cong E_{1}^{\prime p, q}$. It follows that $\bar{\varphi}$ induces $\bar{\varphi}_{*}: E_{\infty}^{p, q}$ $\cong E_{\infty}^{\prime p, q}$ and hence $\bar{\varphi}_{*}: H_{*}(\bar{A}) \cong H_{*}\left(\bar{A}^{\prime}\right)$.

The spectral sequence $E_{r}^{p, q}$ seems the appropriate tool for studying the effect on $H_{*}(\Omega K)$ of adding a cell to $K$, since $\bar{A}_{0}=A$.

Our next result is in the nature of an example.
Theorem 3.4. Let $K=S^{n} \cup e^{2 n}, n \geqslant 2$, and suppose $A(K)$ is the chain algebra generated by $a_{1}, a_{2}$ with $d a_{2}=p a_{1}^{2}$. Then $p$ is the Hopf invariant of the attaching map for $e^{2 n}$.

Let $K^{\prime}, K^{\prime \prime}$ be copies of $K$ and let $K^{\prime} \times K^{\prime \prime}$ be decomposed into cells in the obvious way. We write $a_{1}, a_{2}$ for the generators of $A(K)$ corresponding to the cells $e^{n}, e^{2 n}$ of $K$ and $a_{1}^{\prime}, a_{2}^{\prime}, a_{1}^{\prime \prime}, a_{2}^{\prime \prime}, a_{11}, a_{12}, a_{21}, a_{22}$ for the generators of $A\left(K^{\prime} \times K^{\prime \prime}\right)$ corresponding to the cells $e^{\prime n}, e^{\prime 2 n}, e^{\prime \prime n}, e^{\prime 2 n}$, $e^{\prime n} \times e^{\prime \prime n}, e^{\prime n} \times e^{\prime 2 n}, e^{\prime 2 n} \times e^{\prime \prime n}, e^{\prime 2 n} \times e^{\prime \prime 2 n}$ of $K^{\prime} \times K^{\prime \prime}$. Let $f: K \rightarrow K^{\prime} \times K^{\prime \prime}$ be the diagonal map. Suppose $d, \theta$ chosen on $A\left(K^{\prime} \times K^{\prime \prime}\right)$ consistent with the embedding of $K^{\prime} \vee K^{\prime \prime}$ in $K^{\prime} \times K^{\prime \prime}$. Let $\varphi: A(K) \rightarrow A\left(K^{\prime} \times K^{\prime \prime}\right)$, $\bar{\varphi}: B(K) \rightarrow B\left(K^{\prime} \times K^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be associated with $f$, let the cells of $B(K)$, $B\left(K^{\prime} \times K^{\prime \prime}\right)$ be symbolized similarly to the generators of $A(K)$, $A\left(K^{\prime} \times K^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and let the Hopf invariant of the attaching map be $q$. Then

$$
\bar{\varphi} b_{1}=b_{1}^{\prime}+b_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \quad \bar{\varphi} b_{2}=b_{2}^{\prime}+q b_{11}+b_{2}^{\prime \prime} .
$$

A dimensionality argument ${ }^{18}$ ) shows that $\varphi a_{1}=\varrho a_{1}^{\prime}+\sigma a_{1}^{\prime \prime}, \varphi a_{2}=\lambda a_{2}^{\prime}$ $+\mu a_{11}+\nu a_{2}^{\prime \prime}$. Applying $s$ and comparing with the formulae for $\bar{\varphi}$, we find $\varrho=\sigma=\lambda=\nu=1, \mu=q$. Now $d \varphi a_{2}=p d a_{2}=p p a_{1}^{2}=p a_{1}^{\prime 2}+p a_{1}^{\prime} a_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ $+p a_{1}^{\prime \prime} a_{1}^{\prime}+p a_{1}^{\prime \prime 2}$, while $d\left(a_{2}^{\prime}+q a_{11}+a_{2}^{\prime \prime}\right)=p a_{1}^{\prime 2}+(-1)^{n} q a_{1}^{\prime} a_{1}^{\prime \prime}+q a_{1}^{\prime \prime} a_{1}^{\prime}+p a_{1}^{\prime \prime 2}$ (we orient the cell $a_{11}$, or $a$ in corollary 2.4 , so that $\varepsilon=(-1)^{m}$ ). Comparing coefficients, $p=(-1)^{n} q, p=q$. This proves the theorem and also shows that the Hopf invariant is zero if $n$ is odd.

If $e^{2 n}$ is attached by a map of Hopf invariant 1 , then $H_{*}(\Omega K) \cong H_{*}(A)$ where $A$ is the chain algebra generated by $a_{1}=a_{1}^{n-1}, a_{2}=a_{2}^{2 n-1}$ with $d a_{2}=a_{1}^{2}$. It may be of interest to compute the ring $H_{*}(A)$. We prove

Theorem 3.5. $\quad H_{r(3 n-2)}(A)=Z_{\infty}$, generated by $\{g\}^{r}$, $g=a_{1} a_{2}-(-1)^{n-1} a_{2} a_{1}, \quad H_{r(3 n-2)+n-1}(A)=Z_{\infty}$, generated by $\left\{g^{r} a_{1}\right\}$, $H_{m}(A)=0$, for other values of $m$. Moreover $\left\{a_{1} g\right\}=(-1)^{n}\left\{g a_{1}\right\}$.

We remark first that in the topological case $n$ is even so that $g=a_{1} a_{2}+a_{2} a_{1}$ and $\left\{a_{1} g\right\}=\left\{g a_{1}\right\}$. Thus the theorem asserts that $H_{*}(A)$ is a commutative ring in this case, isomorphic with the tensor product of an exterior ring generated by $\left\{a_{1}\right\}$ and a polynomial ring generated by $\{g\}$. We now prove the theorem.

Consider the exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A_{k-n+1} \xrightarrow{i} A_{k} \xrightarrow{j} A_{k-2 n+1} \rightarrow 0$, where $i x=x a_{1}, j\left(x a_{1}+y a_{2}\right)=y, x \in A_{k-n+1}, y \in A_{k-2 n+1}$. This induces the exact homology sequence

[^9]$\cdots \rightarrow H_{k-2 n+2}(A) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{*}}} H_{k-n+1}(A) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{i}_{\boldsymbol{*}}} H_{k}(A) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{j}_{\boldsymbol{*}}} H_{k-2 n+1}(A) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{d}_{\boldsymbol{*}}} H_{\boldsymbol{k - n}}(A) \rightarrow \cdots$, where $i_{*}\{x\}=\left\{x a_{1}\right\}, j_{*}\left\{x a_{1}+y a_{2}\right\}=\{y\}$, and $d_{*}\{y\}=(-1)^{\sigma}\left\{y a_{1}\right\}$, $\sigma=\operatorname{dim} y$. Now the homology groups of $A$ are certainly as stated in dimensions $<3 n-2$. Suppose inductively that they are as stated in dimensions $<(r+1)(3 n-2), \quad r \geqslant 0$. The part of the homology sequence beginning $H_{(r+1)(3 n-2)+2 n-2}(A) \xrightarrow{i *} \ldots$ and ending... $\xrightarrow{d_{*}} H_{r(3 n-2)+2 n-2}(A)$ will be trivial except for
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j_{*}: H_{(r+1)(3 n-2)} \cong H_{r(3 n-2)+n-1}, \\
& i_{*}: H_{(r+1)(3 n-2)} \cong H_{(r+1)(3 n-2)+n=1}, \\
& d_{*}: H_{(r+1)(3 n-2)} \cong H_{(r+1)(3 n-2)+n-1},
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Thus $H_{(r+1)(3 n-2)}=Z_{\infty}$ generated by $\left\{g^{r} a_{1} a_{2}+x a_{1}\right\}, x$ being chosen arbitrarily, subject only to the condition that $g^{r} a_{1} a_{2}+x a_{1}$ be a cycle; since $g$ is a cycle and $g^{r+1}$ is of this form, it follows that $H_{(r+1)(3 n-2)}$ is generated by $\{g\}^{r+1}$. It then follows that $H_{(r+1)(3 n-2)+n-1}=Z_{\infty}$, generated by $\left\{g^{r+1} a_{1}\right\}$ and that $H_{*}$ is zero in all other dimensions $<(r+2)(3 n-2)$.
We complete the proof of the theorem by observing that $d\left(a_{2}^{2}\right)=$ $a_{1}^{2} a_{2}-a_{2} a_{1}^{2}=a_{1}\left(a_{1} a_{2}-(-1)^{n-1} a_{2} a_{1}\right)-(-1)^{n}\left(a_{1} a_{2}-(-1)^{n-1} a_{2} a_{1}\right) a_{1}$.

## 4. Product complexes.

The main object of this section is to obtain a chain equivalence from $A\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$ to $A\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes A\left(K_{2}\right)$. We first provide a universal example for the chain algebra of a product complex.

Theorem 4.1. Let $E_{1}=e^{0} \cup e^{p} \cup e^{p+1}$ be $a \quad(p+1)$-element decomposed in the usual way into the cells $e^{0}, e^{p}, e^{p+1}$ and let $E_{2}$ be $a(q+1)$ element similarly decomposed, $p, q \geqslant 2$. Then $A\left(E_{1} \times E_{2}\right)$ is freely generated by elements ${ }^{19}$ ) $a_{1}, a_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2}, b, e, \bar{e}, t$, corresponding to the cells $e^{p}, e^{q}$, $e^{p+1}, e^{q+1}, e^{p} \times e^{q}, e^{p+1} \times e^{q}, e^{p} \times e^{q+1}, e^{p+1} \times e^{q+1}$ and $d, \theta$ may be chosen on $E_{1} \times E_{2}$ to give d $c_{1}=-a_{1}, d c_{2}=-a_{2}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d b=(-1)^{p}\left(a_{1} a_{2}-(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)} a_{2} a_{1}\right) \\
& d e=-b+(-1)^{p+1}\left(c_{1} a_{2}-(-1)^{p(q-1)} a_{2} c_{1}\right), \\
& d \bar{e}=(-1)^{p-1} b+(-1)^{p}\left(a_{1} c_{2}-(-1)^{(p-1) q} c_{2} a_{1}\right), \\
& d t=(-1)^{p} e-\bar{e}+(-1)^{p+1}\left(c_{1} c_{2}-(-1)^{p q} c_{2} c_{1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first two boundary formulae are given by corollaries 2.2 and 2.3 .
${ }^{19}$ ) The notations used for generators of $A\left(E_{1} \times E_{2}\right)$ are chosen for their convenience in studying product complexes and are not related to previous notation.

The formula for $d b$ is given by corollary 2.4 , the orientation being chosen so that, under the map $\varphi: A\left(E^{p+q}\right) \rightarrow A\left(S^{p} \times S^{q}\right)$ induced by the characteristic map $E^{p+q}, S^{p+q-1} \rightarrow S^{p} \times S^{q}, S^{p} \vee S^{q}$, the cell corresponding to $S^{p+q-1}$ is mapped precisely by the Samelson formula (cf. Theorem 3.4).

Now consider $A\left(E_{1} \times S_{2}^{q}\right)=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, c_{1}, b, e\right\}$. Since the injection $i_{2}$ : $S_{2}^{q} \rightarrow E_{1} \times S_{2}^{q}$ and the projection $p_{2}: E_{1} \times S_{2}^{q} \rightarrow S_{2}^{q}$ are homotopy equivalences such that $p_{2} i_{2}=1$, it follows readily that ${ }^{20}$ ) we may choose $\varphi_{2}=\varphi\left(p_{2}\right)$ such that $\varphi_{2} a_{2}=a_{2}, \varphi_{2} a=0$ for $a=a_{1}, c_{1}$, or $b$ and $\varphi_{2 *}$ is an isomorphism. Now, if $z$ is the element proposed for $d e$, then $z$ is a cycle and $\varphi_{2} z=0$. Thus $z$ is a boundary; it follows that, for an arbitrary choice of $d e$, there exist an integer $k$ and an element $x \in\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, c_{1}, b\right\}$ such that $d(k e+x)=z$ or $k(d e)+d x=z$. It may be seen by inspection that no such equation can subsist in $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, c_{1}, b\right\}$ unless $k= \pm 1$. Thus, if $e$ is suitably oriented, $z$ is a proper choice for $d e$. The orientation of $e$ is chosen to give the correct boundary formula in $B\left(E_{1} \times S_{2}^{q}\right)$, when the cells of $E_{1} \times S_{2}^{q}$ are given the product orientation.

A similar argument establishes the formula of $\bar{d} \bar{e}$; the orientation of $\bar{e}$ is chosen by the same considerations.

Finally the element $z^{\prime}$ proposed for $d t$ is a cycle and therefore a boundary ; it follows that, for an arbitrary choice of $d t$, there exist an integer $k^{\prime}$ and an element $x^{\prime} \in\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2}, b, e, \bar{e}\right\}$ such that $k^{\prime}(d t)+d x^{\prime}=z^{\prime}$. It may be seen by inspection of $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2}, b, e, \bar{e}\right\}$ that this implies $k^{\prime}= \pm 1$, so that $z^{\prime}$ is a proper choice for $d t$ if $t$ is suitably oriented; we choose the orientation for $t$ as for $e$ and $\bar{e}$ and the theorem is proved.

Now let $K_{1} \times K_{2}$ be the topological product of two $C W$-complexes with its usual cellular decomposition ${ }^{21}$ ). Let

$$
j: A\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right) \rightarrow A\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes A\left(K_{2}\right)
$$

be the ring homomorphism given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& j a=a \otimes 1, a \in A\left(K_{1}\right) \\
& j a=1 \otimes a, a \in A\left(K_{2}\right), \\
& j a=0, \text { for any other generator } a \text { of } A\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\varphi_{i}: A\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right) \rightarrow A\left(K_{i}\right), i=1,2$, be the ring homomorphism given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{i} a=a, a \in A\left(K_{i}\right) \\
& \varphi_{i} a=0, \text { for any other generator } a \text { of } A\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^10]Let $\varrho: C_{*} X \times C_{*} Y \rightarrow C_{*}(X \times Y)$ be the standard chain equivalence of cubical homology theory.

The main theorem of this section is as follows.
Theorem 4.2. We may choose $d$ and $\theta$ on $K_{1} \times K_{2}$ so that $j$ is a chain mapping; with this choice $\varphi_{i}$ is a $\varphi$-map ${ }^{22}$ ) associated with the projection $p_{i}: K_{1} \times K_{2} \rightarrow K_{i}$, and the diagram

is homotopy-commutative and leads to a commutative diagram of isomorphisms of homology rings.

Suppose that $d$ and $\theta$ have been chosen so that $j$ is a chain mapping. Let $i: A\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes A\left(K_{2}\right) \rightarrow A\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$ be the chain mapping of chain groups given by $i(x \otimes y)=x y, x \in A\left(K_{1}\right), y \in A\left(K_{2}\right)$. Then $j i=1$. Let $\Omega K_{1}, \Omega K_{2}$ be embedded in $\Omega\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$ and let

$$
\eta: \Omega K_{1} \times \Omega K_{2} \rightarrow \Omega\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)
$$

be the map given by $\eta\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=l_{1} l_{2}$ (composition of loops). Then ${ }^{23}$ ) $\theta i=\eta \varrho\left(\theta_{1} \otimes \theta_{2}\right)$. We next show that $\eta$ is a homotopy inverse of $\Psi$. Since $\Psi$ is a homotopy equivalence it is sufficient to show that $\Psi \eta \simeq 1$. Now $\Psi \eta\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=\left(l_{1} \omega_{s}, \omega_{r} l_{2}\right)$ where $l_{1}$ is a loop of 'duration' $r, l_{2}$ is a loop of 'duration' $s$ and $\omega_{r}, \omega_{g}$ are constant loops of duration $r, s$. Thus a homotopy of the identity to $\Psi \eta$ is given by $h_{t}\left(l_{1}, l_{2}\right)=\left(l_{1} \omega_{s t}, \omega_{r t} l_{2}\right)$. Then $\Psi \theta i \simeq \varrho\left(\theta_{1} \otimes \theta_{2}\right)$. Since $\Psi, \theta, \varrho$ and $\theta_{1} \otimes \theta_{2}$ are chain equivalences it follows that $i$ is a chain equivalence. Since $j i=1$, it follows that $j$ is a chain inverse of $i$ so that $\Psi \theta \simeq \varrho\left(\theta_{1} \otimes \theta_{2}\right) j$ and $j_{*}$ is an isomorphism.

We still assume that $j$ is a chain mapping and next prove that if $p_{1}^{\prime}: A^{\prime}\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right) \rightarrow A^{\prime}\left(K_{1}\right)$ is induced by $p_{1}$, then $p_{1}^{\prime} \theta \simeq \theta_{1} \varphi_{1}$. Let $p_{1}^{\prime \prime}: C_{*}\left(\Omega K_{1} \times \Omega K_{2}\right) \rightarrow A^{\prime}\left(K_{1}\right)$ be induced by the projection

$$
\Omega K_{1} \times \Omega K_{2} \rightarrow \Omega K_{1} ;
$$

${ }^{22}$ ) In the sense of theorem 3.1.
${ }^{23}$ ) We always suppose $d$ and $\theta$ chosen consistently with the embedding

$$
K_{1} \vee K_{2} \subseteq K_{1} \times K_{2}
$$

let $\tilde{p}_{1}: A\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes A\left(K_{2}\right) \rightarrow A\left(K_{1}\right)$ be the map given by $\tilde{p}_{1}(x \otimes 1)=x$, $\tilde{p}_{1}(1 \otimes y)=0$ and let $\tilde{p}_{1}^{\prime}: A^{\prime}\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes A^{\prime}\left(K_{2}\right) \rightarrow A^{\prime}\left(K_{1}\right)$ be defined similarly. Then the relations

$$
p_{1}^{\prime \prime} \Psi=p_{1}^{\prime}, \quad \theta_{1} \tilde{p}_{1}=\tilde{p}_{1}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{1} \otimes \theta_{2}\right), \quad \tilde{p}_{1}^{\prime}=p_{1}^{\prime \prime} \varrho, \quad \varphi_{1}=\tilde{p}_{1} j
$$

are obvious.
We have proved that $\theta \simeq \eta \varrho\left(\theta_{1} \otimes \theta_{2}\right) j$ and $p_{1}^{\prime} \eta \simeq p_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ since $\Psi \eta \simeq 1$. Thus
$p_{1}^{\prime} \theta \simeq p_{1}^{\prime} \eta \varrho\left(\theta_{1} \otimes \theta_{2}\right) j \simeq p_{1}^{\prime \prime} \varrho\left(\theta_{1} \otimes \theta_{2}\right) j=\tilde{p}_{1}^{\prime}\left(\theta_{1} \otimes \theta_{2}\right) j=\theta_{1} \tilde{p}_{1} j=\theta_{1} \varphi_{1}$.
Thus $\varphi_{1}$ is a suitable choice for $\varphi\left(p_{1}\right)$ and a similar argument shows that $\varphi_{2}$ is a suitable choice for $\varphi\left(p_{2}\right)$.

It remains to show that $d$ and $\theta$ may be chosen so that $j$ is a chain mapping. We observe first that $j$ is a chain mapping on $A\left(K_{1} \vee K_{2}\right)$, embedded in $A\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$, and second that $j$ is a chain mapping on the universal example $A\left(E_{1} \times E_{2}\right)$.

We now prove that $d$ and $\theta$ may be chosen on $E_{1} \times K_{2}, E_{1}=E_{1}^{p+1}$, $p \geqslant 2$, so that $j$ is a chain mapping. The argument proceeds by induction on the sections of $K_{2}$. It is trivial for $E_{1} \times K_{2}^{0}$ and follows easily for $E_{1} \times K_{2}^{2}$ from theorem 4.1. Suppose inductively that $d$ and $\theta$ have been chosen on $E_{1} \times K_{2}^{q}, q \geqslant 2$, so that $j$ is a chain mapping and let $e$ be $a$ $(q+1)$-cell attached to $K_{2}^{q}$, the characteristic map being $f: E_{2}^{q+1}$, $S_{2}^{q} \rightarrow K_{2}^{q} \cup e, K_{2}^{q}$. Let $\varphi_{2}: A\left(E_{2}^{q+1}\right) \rightarrow A\left(K_{2}^{q} \cup e\right)$ be associated with $f$. We proceed to define a map $\varphi: A\left(E_{1} \times S_{2}^{q}\right) \rightarrow A\left(E_{1} \times K_{2}^{q}\right)$. In the notation of theorem 4.1, we put $\varphi\left(a_{1}\right)=a_{1}, \varphi\left(a_{2}\right)=\varphi_{2}\left(a_{2}\right), \varphi\left(c_{1}\right)=c_{1}$. Then, so far as $\varphi$ is defined, the diagram

is commutative.
Consider the element $b \in A\left(E_{1} \times S_{2}^{q}\right)$. Then $j p d b=0$; since $j$ is a chain equivalence onto $A\left(E_{1}\right) \otimes A\left(K_{2}^{q}\right)$, it follows that the kernel of $j$ is acyclic, so that there exists an element $x \in A\left(E_{1} \times K_{2}^{q}\right)$ with $d x=\varphi d b$ and $j x=0$. Define $\varphi b=x$. Then $\varphi d=d \varphi$ on $b$ and the commutativity of (4.3) is preserved. Then $j \varphi d e=0$ and the same argument shows that there exists an element $y \in A\left(E_{1} \times K_{2}^{q}\right)$ with $d y=\varphi d e$, $j y=0$; we take $\varphi e=y$. Thus we have defined a map $\varphi$ making (4.3) a commutative diagram.

We now assert that $\varphi$ is associated with the map

$$
1 \times f: E_{1} \times S_{2}^{q} \rightarrow E_{1} \times K_{2}^{q} .
$$

To establish this, we consider the diagram


We wish to show that $\theta \varphi \simeq(1 \times f)^{\prime} \theta$; but this follows from the commutativity properties of the diagram. Now $E_{1} \times E_{2}$ is obtained from $E_{1} \times S_{2}^{q}$ by attaching cells $e^{q+1}, e^{p} \times e^{q+1}, e^{p+1} \times e^{q+1}$ and each of these cells is mapped homeomorphically onto a cell of $E_{1} \times\left(K_{2}^{q} \cup e\right)$. The generators of $A\left(E_{1} \times E_{2}\right)$ corresponding to these cells are $c_{2}, \bar{e}, t$; let the generators of $A\left(E_{1} \times\left(K_{2}^{q} \cup e\right)\right.$ corresponding to the cells $(1 \times f)\left(e^{q+1}\right)$, $(1 \times f)\left(e^{p} \times e^{q+1}\right), \quad(1 \times f)\left(e^{p+1} \times e^{q+1}\right)$ be called $c_{2}, \bar{e}^{*}, t^{*}$. Then by theorem 3.2, we may define $d, \theta$ on $\bar{e}^{*}, t^{*}$ and extend $\varphi$ to a map associated with $1 \times f: E_{1} \times E_{2} \rightarrow E_{1} \times\left(K_{2}^{q} \cup e\right)$ by putting $\varphi \bar{e}=\bar{e}^{*}, \varphi t=t^{*}$; but then $j d \bar{e}^{*}=j d \varphi \bar{e}=j \varphi d \bar{e}=\left(1 \otimes \varphi_{2}\right) j d e=0$ and $j \bar{e}^{*}=0$ so that $j$ is a chain mapping on $\bar{e}^{*}$; and $j t^{*}=0, j d t^{*}=j d \varphi t=j \varphi d t=\left(1 \otimes \varphi_{2}\right) j d t$ (since, by definition, $\left.j \varphi \bar{e}=\left(1 \otimes \varphi_{2}\right) j \bar{e}(=0)\right)=0$, so that $j$ is a chain mapping on $t^{*}$ and hence on the whole of $A\left(E_{1} \times\left(K_{2}^{q} \cup e\right)\right)$. We proceed in this way over all the $(q+1)$-cells of $K_{2}$ and so define $d$ and $\theta$ on $A\left(E_{1} \times K_{2}^{q+1}\right)$ so that $j$ is a chain mapping. This establishes the induction and hence the result when $K_{1}=E_{1}$.

Finally we consider the general case, and proceed by induction over the sections of $K_{1}$. It is an immediate consequence of the argument above that we may choose $d$ and $\theta$ on $K_{1}^{2} \times K_{2}$ so that $j$ is a chain mapping. Suppose inductively that $d$ and $\theta$ have been chosen on $K_{1}^{p} \times K_{2}$ so that $j$ is a chain mapping and let $e$ be a $(p+1)$-cell attached to $K_{1}^{p}$, the characteristic map being $f: E_{1}^{p+1}, S_{1}^{p} \rightarrow K_{1}^{p} \cup e, K_{1}^{p}$. Let

$$
\varphi_{1}: A\left(E_{1}\right) \rightarrow A\left(K_{1}^{p} \cup e\right)
$$

be associated with $f$. We assert that a map

$$
\varphi: A\left(S_{1}^{p} \times K_{2}\right) \rightarrow A\left(K_{1}^{p} \times K_{2}\right)
$$

may be defined so that the diagram

is commutative ${ }^{21}$ ). We may define $\varphi a_{1}=\varphi_{1} a_{1}, a_{1} \in A\left(S_{1}^{p}\right), \varphi a_{2}=a_{2}$, $a_{2} \epsilon A\left(K_{2}\right)$. We then define $\varphi a$ where $a$ is a generator corresponding to a cell $e^{p} \times e^{n+1}$ in $S_{1}^{p} \times K_{2}$ inductively with respect to $n$. For if $\varphi$ is defined on $A\left(S_{1}^{p} \times K_{2}^{n} \cup\left(S_{1}^{p} \vee K_{2}\right)\right)$ so that $j \varphi=\left(\varphi_{1} \otimes 1\right) j$ and if the generator $a$ corresponds to a cell $e^{p} \times e^{n+1}$, then $j \varphi d a=\left(\varphi_{1} \otimes 1\right) j d a=0$ and so, as previously, there exists an element $x \in A\left(K_{1}^{p} \times K_{2}\right)$ such that $d x=$ $\varphi d a$ and $j x=0$; we put $\varphi a=x$ and then $j \varphi a=\left(\varphi_{1} \otimes 1\right) j a=0$. This establishes that such a map $\varphi$ may be defined. Arguing from a diagram analogous to (4.4) shows that $\varphi$ is associated with the map $f \times 1: S_{1}^{p} \times K_{2} \rightarrow K_{1}^{p} \times K_{2}$.

Let $e^{n}$ be an arbitrary cell of $K_{2}$, let $a$ be the generator of $A\left(E_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$ corresponding to $e^{p+1} \times e^{n}$ and let $a^{*}$ be the generator of $A\left(\left(K_{1}^{p} \cup e\right) \times K_{2}\right)$, $e=e^{p+1}$, corresponding to $e \times e^{n}$. Again applying theorem 3.2, we deduce that $d, \theta$ may be chosen on $A\left(\left(K_{1}^{p} \cup e\right) \times K_{2}\right)$ so that the map $\varphi$ may be extended to a map associated with $f \times 1: E_{1} \times K_{2} \rightarrow\left(K_{1}^{p} \cup e\right) \times K_{2}$ by defining $\varphi a=a^{*}$ for all $e^{n}$ in $K_{2}$. Then we still have $j \varphi=\left(\varphi_{1} \otimes 1\right) j$. It remains to show that $j d a^{*}=0$ for all $a^{*}$; but $j d a^{*}=j d \varphi a=$ $j \varphi d a=\left(\varphi_{1} \otimes 1\right) j d a=0$, since $j a=0$ and $j$ is a chain mapping on $A\left(E_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$. We proceed in this way over all the ( $p+1$ )-cells of $K_{1}$ and so define $d$ and $\theta$ on $A\left(K_{1}^{p+1} \times K_{2}\right)$ so that $j$ is a chain mapping. This establishes the induction and completes the proof of the theorem.

Corollary 4.1. Let $\varphi_{i}: A\left(K_{i}\right) \rightarrow A\left(L_{i}\right)$ be associated with maps $f_{i}: K_{i} \rightarrow L_{i}, i=1,2$, and let $d, \theta$ be chosen on $K_{1} \times K_{2}, L_{1} \times L_{2}$ so that $j$ is a chain mapping. Then we may choose a map

$$
\varphi: A\left(K_{1} \times K_{2}\right) \rightarrow A\left(L_{1} \times L_{2}\right)
$$

so that $j \varphi=\left(\varphi_{1} \otimes \varphi_{2}\right) j$ and any such $\varphi$ is associated with the product map $f_{1} \times f_{2}$.

[^11]We establish the existence of such a map $\varphi$ by an inductive argument analogous to that following diagram (4.3) and the required property of $\varphi$ by an argument based on a diagram analogous to (4.4).

Corollary 4.2. If $L_{i} \subseteq K_{i}, i=1,2$, and if $d, \theta$ have been chosen on $L_{1} \times L_{2}$ so that $j$ is a chain mapping, then $d, \theta$ may be extended to $K_{1} \times K_{2}$ so that $j$ remains a chain mapping.

For this is essentially the procedure in the last part of the proof of theorem 4.2.

Now let $K=S_{1} \times \cdots \times S_{t}$, where $S_{i}$ is an $n_{i}$-sphere, $n_{i} \geqslant 2$, $i=1, \ldots, t$. Then $K$ may be decomposed into cells in the usual way: for each non-empty subset $D$ of $\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$, let $e_{D}$ be the cell $\Pi e_{i}$, and let $a_{D}$ be the corresponding generator of $A(K)$. We prove $\quad i \in D$

Theorem 4.3. $d$ and $\theta$ may be chosen on $K$ so that

$$
d a_{D}=\sum_{A, B}(-1)^{\varepsilon(A, B)} a_{A} a_{B}
$$

where the sum extends over all partitions of $D$ into non-empty subsets $A, B$ and

$$
\varepsilon(A, B)=\sum_{a \in A} n_{a}+\underset{\substack{a \in A, b \in B \\ a>b}}{\sum} n_{a} n_{b}
$$

We prove this by induction on $t$; it is trivial if $t=1$ and reduces to the Samelson formula if $t=2$. Suppose the theorem established for products of $t-1$ spheres, $t \geqslant 3$, and consider $K$. We propose to choose $d$ and $\theta$ on $K$ so that $j: A(K) \rightarrow A\left(S_{1} \times \cdots \times S_{t-1}\right) \otimes A\left(S_{t}\right)$ is a map. For any $a_{D}, D \neq\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$, choose the proposed formula for $d a_{D}$; the inductive hypothesis tells us this is possible, and we observe (by direct computation) that $j d a_{D}=d j a_{D}$. Now let $D=\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$; by corollary 4.2 , there exists a choice for the boundary of $a_{D}$, say $d^{\prime} a_{D}$, such that $j$ remains a map and therefore a chain equivalence. Now we observe (by direct computation) that $x=\sum_{A, B}(-1)^{\varepsilon(A, B)} a_{A} a_{B}$ is a cycle and $j x=0$. If follows that $x$ is a boundary, so that $x=d y$ $+k d^{\prime} a_{D}$, where $y \in A\left(K-e_{D}\right)$ and $k$ is an integer. Now (arguing as in theorem 4.1) we observe that $a_{A} a_{B}$, for example, cannot appear in the boundary of an element of $A\left(K-e_{D}\right)$ with non-zero coefficient. Thus it must appear in the boundary of $a_{D}$ and we must have $k= \pm 1$. Thus, reorienting $e_{D}$ if necessary, we have proved that $x$ is a legitimate choice for $d a_{D}$. We observe, of course, that $j$ remains a map with this choice. In fact, partitioning the ordered array $\{1,2, \ldots, t\}$ in any way
we please as $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{s}$, where $A_{i}$ is the array $\left\{n_{i}, n_{i}+1, \ldots, n_{i+1}-1\right\}$, $n_{1}=1, n_{s+1}=t+1$, we find that

$$
j: A(K) \rightarrow A\left(K_{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes A\left(K_{s}\right)
$$

is a map, where the definitions of $j$ is an obvious extension of that for $s=2$ and $K_{i}=\prod_{r \in A i} S_{r}$.

Theorem 4.3 constitutes a generalization of Samelson's formula; it is consistent with the formula contained in remark (i) on p. 5 of [7].
J. C. Moore considers in [5] spaces with a single non-vanishing homology group, in dimension $p$, say. If this group is finitely generated, then an appropriate space is a wedge of subspaces $X_{i}$, where each $X_{i}$ is a $p$-sphere or a $p$-sphere with a $(p+1)$-cell attached by a map of nonzero degree. We study here the Pontryagin ring of the loop space of a Moore space. The method is exemplified by the case when the Moore space $Z$ is the wedge of two such subspaces $X_{i}$, but we will generalize the problem slightly by allowing $Z=X_{1} \vee X_{2}$, where $X_{1}$ is a $p$-sphere or a $p$-sphere with a $(p+1)$-cell attached by a map of non-zero degree and $X_{2}$ is a $q$-sphere or a $q$-sphere with a $(q+1)$-cell attached by a map of non-zero degree. We take $p, q \geqslant 2$. We will also consider $H_{*}(\Omega P)$, where $P=X_{1} \times X_{2}$. We first observe that, for quite arbitrary spaces $X_{1}, X_{2}, H_{*}(\Omega Z)$ and $H_{*}(\Omega P)$ contain $H_{*}\left(\Omega X_{1}\right)+H_{*}\left(\Omega X_{2}\right)$ as a direct summand; we will use the congruence symbol to indicate that we are computing modulo this subgroup.

We prove
Theorem 4.4. Let $P=X_{1} \times X_{2}$, where $X_{1}=S^{P} \cup e^{p+1}, e^{p+1}$ being attached by a map of degree $m \neq 0$, and $X_{2}=S^{q} \cup e^{q+1}$, $e^{q+1}$ being attached by a map of degree $n \neq 0, p, q \geqslant 2$. Then $A(P)$ is generated by $a_{1}, a_{2}, c_{1}, c_{2}, b, e, \bar{e}, t$, corresponding to the cells $e^{p}, e^{p+1}, e^{q}, e^{q+1}, e^{p} \times e^{q}$, $e^{p+1} \times e^{q}, e^{p} \times e^{q+1}, e^{p+1} \times e^{q+1}$ and $d, \theta$ may be chosen on $P$ to give $d c_{1}=-m a_{1}, d c_{2}=-n a_{2}, d b=(-1)^{p}\left(a_{1} a_{2}-(-1)^{(p-1)(q-1)} a_{2} a_{1}\right)$, $d e=-m b+(-1)^{p+1}\left(c_{1} a_{2}-(-1)^{p(q-1)} a_{2} c_{1}\right)$, $d \bar{e}=(-1)^{p-1} n b+(-1)^{p}\left(a_{1} c_{2}-(-1)^{(p-1) q} c_{2} a_{1}\right)$, $d t=(-1)^{p} n e-m \bar{e}+(-1)^{p+1}\left(c_{1} c_{2}-(-1)^{p q} c_{2} c_{1}\right)$.

Consider $E_{1} \times E_{2}$ and use the same symbols for the generators of $A\left(E_{1} \times E_{2}\right)$. Let $f_{i}: E_{i} \rightarrow X_{i}$ be characteristic maps, $i=1,2$. Then we may take $\varphi_{1} a_{1}=m a_{1}, \varphi_{1} c_{1}=c_{1}, \varphi_{2} a_{2}=n a_{2}, \varphi_{2} c_{2}=c_{2}$. We will define $d$ on $A(P)$ so that $j$ is a chain mapping, and we will also define an appropriate $\varphi: A\left(E_{1} \times E_{2}\right) \rightarrow A(P)$, in accordance with corollary 4.1.

The formula for $d b$ is already established. The formula proposed for $d e$ is a cycle of $A\left(K_{1} \times S_{2}^{q}\right)$ in the kernel of $j$ and hence a boundary ; arguing as in theorem 4.1, we see that it is a legitimate choice for $d e$; similarly we justify the formula for $d \bar{e}$. Then it follows, from corollary 4.1, that we may take $\varphi(b)=m n b, \varphi(e)=n e, \varphi(\bar{e})=m \bar{e}$. By theorem 3.2 we may now take $\varphi(t)=t$, getting the given formula for $d t$.

From theorem 4.4 we may calculate $H_{*}(Z)$ and $H_{*}(P)$. In particular we consider the injection $H_{p+q-1}(\Omega Z) \rightarrow H_{p+q-1}(\Omega P)$. Let $h$ be the $g \cdot c \cdot d$ of $m, n$, so that $m=h m^{\prime}, n=h n^{\prime}$. We will restrict attention to the case $p, q \geqslant 3$, though, by complicating the argument, it would be possible to include the cases $p=2$ or $q=2$ (or both). With this restriction we have $H_{p+q-1}(\Omega Z) \equiv Z_{h}+Z_{h}$, with generators

$$
\{\xi\}=\left\{m^{\prime} a_{1} c_{2}+(-1)^{p} n^{\prime} c_{1} a_{2}\right\}, \quad\{\eta\}=\left\{n^{\prime} a_{2} c_{1}+(-1)^{q} m^{\prime} c_{2} a_{1}\right\}
$$

On the other hand,

$$
H_{p+q-1}(\Omega P) \equiv \operatorname{Tor}\left(H_{p-1}\left(\Omega X_{1}\right), H_{q-1}\left(\Omega X_{2}\right)\right)=Z_{h},
$$

generated by $\{\xi\}$ or $\{\eta\}$. In fact, we see that

$$
\xi-(-1)^{p q} \eta=d\left((-1)^{p} n^{\prime} e-m^{\prime} \bar{e}\right) .
$$

It follows that the injection $H_{p+q-1}(\Omega Z) \rightarrow H_{p+q-1}(\Omega P)$ is onto $H_{p+q-1}(\Omega P)$ with kernel $\left.{ }^{25}\right)\left\{\xi-(-1)^{p q} \eta\right\}$.

Consider the diagram

where $\omega_{i}$ are the usual isomorphisms and $h_{i}$ are Hurewicz homomorphisms, $i=1,2$. Then each square is commutative or anti-commutative and $h_{1}$ is onto $H_{p+q}(\Omega P, \Omega Z)$. Moreover $d^{\prime \prime}$ maps $H_{p+q}(\Omega P, \Omega Z)$

[^12]onto $Z_{h}$, generated by $\left\{\xi-(-1)^{p q} \eta\right\}$. The group
$$
\pi_{p+q}(\Omega P, \Omega Z) \cong \pi_{p+q+1}(P, Z)
$$
was computed in [3]; we have
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{p+q}(\Omega P, \Omega Z) & =Z_{h}, & & \text { if } \quad h \text { is } o d d, \\
& =Z_{2 h}, & & \text { if } \quad h=4 k, \\
& =Z_{h}+Z_{2}, & & \text { if } \quad h=4 k+2 .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

If $h$ is even the $Z_{2}$ subgroup (direct factor if $h=4 k+2$ ) is certainly annihilated by $h_{2} d^{\prime}$. Thus there is an element, $\varrho$, in $\pi_{p+q-1}(\Omega Z)$ which is mapped by $h_{2}$ to $\left\{\xi-(-1)^{p q} \eta\right\}$; it follows from arguments in [3] that the image of $\left(d^{\prime} \omega_{1}\right)^{-1} \varrho$ in the Hurewicz homomorphism

$$
\pi_{p+q+1}(P, Z) \rightarrow H_{p+q+1}(P, Z)
$$

generates the latter group which is isomorphic to Tor ( $H_{p}\left(X_{1}\right), H_{q}\left(X_{2}\right)$ ).
For further simplicity we now take $m=n$; we leave the slight modifications in the general case to the reader. Let $S$ be any path-connected space and let $\alpha \in \pi_{p}(S), \beta \in \pi_{q}(S)$ be elements whose order divides $m$. Then we may map $Z$ to $S$ by a map $g$ which, restricted to $S^{p}$, represents $\alpha$ and, restricted to $S^{q}$, represents $\beta$. Let $f: S^{p+q} \rightarrow Z$ represent $\omega_{2}^{-1} \varrho$. Then $g f: S^{p+q} \rightarrow S$ represents an element $\{\alpha, \beta\} \in \pi_{p+q}(S)$ which is determined modulo the subgroup generated by elements $[\alpha, x]$, $[\lambda, \beta], x \in \pi_{q+1}(S), \lambda \in \pi_{p+1}(S)$. Let $u \in A_{p-1}(S), v \in A_{q-1}(S)$ be cycles such that ${ }^{26}$ ) $\{u\}=h_{2} \omega_{2} \alpha, \quad\{v\}=h_{2} \omega_{2} \beta$, and let $-m u=d u^{\prime}$, $-m v=d v^{\prime}$. Then $u v^{\prime}+(-1)^{p} u^{\prime} v-(-1)^{p q}\left(v u^{\prime}+(-1)^{q} v^{\prime} u\right)$ is a ( $p+q-1$ )-cycle of $A(S)$ whose homology class is determined modulo the ideal generated by $\{u\}$ and $\{v\}$. We call the element of

$$
H_{p+q-1}(\Omega S) /(\{u\},\{v\})
$$

so determined $|\alpha, \beta|$. Since $h_{2} \omega_{2}\left[\alpha, \pi_{q+1}(S)\right]$ lies in the ideal generated by $\{u\}$ and $h_{2} \omega_{2}\left[\pi_{p+1}(S), \beta\right]$ lies in the ideal generated by $\{v\}$, we may discuss unambiguously the element $h_{2} \omega_{2}\{\alpha, \beta\}$ in the quotient ring $H_{p+q-1}(\Omega S) /(\{u\},\{v\})$. It follows by naturality that

$$
h_{2} \omega_{2}\{\alpha, \beta\}=|\alpha, \beta|,
$$

the space $Z$ being a universal example for the construction $\{\alpha, \beta\}$.

[^13]A direct computation shows that

$$
(-1)^{p r}| | \alpha, \beta|, \gamma|+(-1)^{q p}| | \beta, \gamma|, \alpha|+(-1)^{r q}| | \gamma, \alpha|, \beta|=0,
$$

where $\gamma \in \pi_{r}(S), r \geqslant 3, m \gamma=0$ and the calculation is made in $H_{p+q+r-1}(\Omega S)$ modulo the ideal generated by $\{u\},\{v\}$, and $\{w\}=h_{2} \omega_{2} \gamma$.

Note added in proof. W. S. Massey [Annals of Mathematics 62 (1955) p. 327] has raised (as problem 18) the question of homotopy operations of higher kinds. It is clear that the product $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ introduced above is an operation of the sort indicated.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ ) We understand that J. C. Milnor has described a construction replacing the space of loops on a suitably restricted complex by an equivalent topological group.
    ${ }^{2}$ ) This restriction could be avoided at the cost of an increase in complication in the proofs of our results (and a small modification in some statements). However, the restriction is not so serious in practice, since, for any $C W$-complex $K$, the universal cover of $K$ is of the homotopy type of a $C W$-complex of the given kind.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ ) This will differ from a $D G A$-algebra over the integers, in the sense of Cartan ([2]), in not requiring that multiplication be anti-commutative.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ ) We require a ring-homomorphism to have the property $\varphi(1)=1$.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ ) Where no confusion will arise, we will use the same symbol for a map and the induced chain mapping.
    ${ }^{6}$ ) We may regard the augmentation of an element in $A, B$ or $C$ as an ordinary integer.

[^4]:    ${ }^{7}$ ) In the sense of the pairings $C \times A \rightarrow C, C^{\prime} \times A^{\prime} \rightarrow C^{\prime}$.
    ${ }^{8}$ ) If $n>1, \beta$ generates $H_{n-1}\left(\Omega S^{n}\right)$.
    ${ }^{9}$ ) Notice that the chain group $B$ has the differential $\bar{d} . B$ is only embedded in $C$ as a subgroup.

[^5]:    ${ }^{10)}$ If $n=1$, then $x^{\prime}=0$ and $\theta a=f^{\prime \prime} \eta$.

[^6]:    ${ }^{11}$ ) If $n=1$, then $x^{\prime \prime}=0$ and $\theta b=-f^{\prime \prime} \varkappa$. Note that, in defining $\theta a, \theta b$, we have used $\zeta, \eta, \xi, \varkappa$ for fixed chains of standard spaces and $x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}$ depend on $f$.
    ${ }^{12}$ ) We make the notion of 'good behaviour' precise in our application below.

[^7]:    ${ }^{13}$ ) Théorème B, p. 3-04, of [6]. The fact that $\psi_{*}$ goes in the opposite direction in the statement of the theorem is, of course, of no consequence.

[^8]:    ${ }^{16}$ ) We will say that $d$ and $\theta$ are chosen on $K$ if they are chosen on $A(K)$.
    ${ }^{17}$ ) In the argument which follows it is cumbersome and unnecessary always to distinguish $g_{1}^{\prime}, g_{2}^{\prime}$ from $g_{1}^{\prime \prime}, g_{2}^{\prime \prime}$; however, we simply copy the notation of theorem 2.1.

[^9]:    ${ }^{18}$ ) This argument only holds if $n>2$; if $n=2$, the expression for $\varphi a_{2}$ could, a priori, contain terms in $a^{\prime 3}$ and $a^{\prime \prime 3}$. We may either eliminate this possibility by considering projections $K^{\prime} \times K^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow K^{\prime}, \quad K^{\prime} \times K^{\prime \prime} \rightarrow K^{\prime \prime}$, (whereby we may also deduce $\lambda=v=1$ ) - or leave these terms in the expression until they are annihilated in the passage from $\varphi$ to $\bar{\varphi}$.

[^10]:    ${ }^{20}$ ) Clearly a suitable $\varphi$ for the projection $S_{1}^{p} \times S_{2}^{q} \rightarrow S_{2}^{q}$ is given by $\varphi\left(a_{1}\right)=0$, $\varphi\left(a_{2}\right)=a_{2}, \varphi(b)=0$, provided $\theta b$ has been appropriately chosen.
    ${ }^{21}$ ) We are not disturbed by the fact that $K_{1} \times K_{2}$ need not be a $C W$-complex; theorem 2.1 holds for products of $C W$-complexes.

[^11]:    ${ }^{24}$ ) We suppose $A\left(E_{1} \times K_{2}\right)$ furnished with suitable $d, \theta$ to make $j$ a map.

[^12]:    ${ }^{25}$ ) We permit ourselves here and subsequently to identify $A(Z)$ with $C_{*}(\Omega Z)$, and thus to omit the maps $\theta, \theta_{*}$.

[^13]:    $\left.{ }^{26}\right)$ We use $\omega_{2}, h_{2}$ for the maps $\pi_{r}(Y) \rightarrow \pi_{r-1}(\Omega Y), \pi_{r-1}(\Omega Y) \rightarrow H_{r-1}(\Omega Y)$ for any $r$ and any space $Y$. See also the previous footnote.

