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# On Finitely Generated Fuchsian Groups ${ }^{1}$ ) 

by Albert Marden

We will prove the following two theorems.
Theorem 1. Let $G$ be a finitely generated Fuchsian group in the unit disk 4 . Then a) $\Omega=\Delta / G$ is a Riemann surface of finite topological type, and b) $\Delta$ is ramified over at most a finite number of points $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ of $\Omega$. Conversely, if $G$ satisfies a) and $b$ ), then $G$ is finitely generated.

Theorem 2. G is finitely generated if and only if every fundamental region $P$ has a finite number of sides.

Definition. A fundamental region $P$ for $G$ is a connected open set in $\Delta$ which satisfies the following conditions.

1. Every point in $\Delta$ is equivalent under $G$ to a point in $\bar{P}(=$ relative closure in $\Delta)$.
2. No two points in $P$ are equivalent.
3. Each component of the relative boundary of $P$ in $\Delta$ is an open Jordan arc or a Jordan curve and is the union of possibly infinitely many closed Jordan arcs, called sides (two sides can intersect only at a common end point).
4. The sides of $P$ are arranged in pairs $\left(s_{i}, s_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ where $(i) S_{i}\left(s_{i}^{\prime}\right)=s_{i}$ for some $S_{i} \in G$, (ii) $S_{i} \neq S_{j}^{ \pm 1}$ for each $j \neq i$ with at most a finite number of exceptions, (iii) each side of $P$ appears once and only once in the set $\left\{s_{i}, s_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$.

Theorem 1 is well known and is fundamental in the theory of Fuchsian groups. By the use of variational methods it has been proven by Ahlfors [1] (in a more general form), Bers [2], and Earle [3]. From a more general point of view it is a consequence of a theorem of Selberg [8]. Theorem 2 is also known provided $P$ satisfies the additional hypotheses that (a) its sides are non-Euclidean line segments, and (b) only a finite number of images of $P$ under $G$ meet any given compact set in $\Delta$. In this form, a proof has recently been given by L. Greenberg [5]. M. Heins' proof [6] requires that $P$ also be convex (in this paper Heins also proves Theorem 1). The first proof of Theorem 1 and of Theorem 2 in the case of Poincaré normal polygons was given by Fenchel and Nielsen [4].

The purpose of this paper is to give direct, elementary proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 which are much shorter than those referred to above, and in the case of Theorem 2, more general as well. In fact our definition of fundamental region is, in a sense, the weakest one for which Theorem 2 is true: If $P$ does not satisfy the principal condition 4

[^0](ii) then Theorem 2 is false in general. Our proofs are purely topological in character and make use of some elementary properties of surfaces of finite topological type. We will show that Theorem 1 b is a simple consequence of the fact that a cycle in the exterior of a region which is homologous to a cycle in the interior is homologous to a cycle on the boundary. And Theorem 2 is a consequence of the fact that if there are infinitely many mutually disjoint simple closed curves not $\sim 1$ on a surface of finite type, then two of them bound an annular region.

Proof of a). Suppose $A_{1}, \ldots, A_{m}$ generate $G$ and let $\pi$ denote the projection $\Delta \rightarrow \Omega$. Assuming 0 is not a fixed point of $G$, denote by [ $0, A_{i}(0)$ ] the non-Euclidean line segment from 0 to $A_{i}(0)$ (actually any arc will do) and set $\alpha_{i}=\pi\left(\left[0, A_{i}(0)\right]\right), 1 \leq i \leq m$. We claim that the curves $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$ generate the fundamental group of $\Omega$ with origin at $\pi(0)$ and consequently that $\Omega$ is of finite topological type.

If $\tau$ is a closed curve in $\Omega$ with initial point $\pi(0)$ there is a lift $\tau^{*}$ of $\tau$ in $\Delta$ with initial point 0 and end point $\tau^{*}(1)$. We may write $\tau^{*}(1)=B_{m} B_{m-1} \ldots B_{1}(0)$ where each $B_{j}$ is some $A_{i}^{ \pm 1}$. Consider the $\operatorname{arc} \tau^{*}$ in $\Delta$ from 0 to $\tau^{*}(1)$ obtained by joining the non-Euclidean line segments $\left[0, B_{m}(0)\right],\left[B_{m}(0), B_{m} B_{m-1}(0)\right], \ldots,\left[B_{m} \ldots B_{2}(0)\right.$, $\left.B_{m} \ldots B_{1}(0)\right]$; each of these segments projects onto some curve $\alpha_{i}^{ \pm 1}$. Since $\tau^{*}$ is homotopic to $\tau^{\prime *}, \tau$ is homotopic to $\pi\left(\tau^{\prime *}\right)$, that is $\tau$ is homotopic to a product of the $\alpha_{i}$.

Proof of b). Let $\Omega_{0}$ be a relatively compact subregion of $\Omega$ containing all the curves $\alpha_{i}$ such that each component of $\partial \Omega_{0}$ is a dividing cycle and no component of $\Omega-\Omega_{0}$ is compact. We claim that $\Delta$ is not ramified over $\Omega^{\prime}=\Omega-\bar{\Omega}_{0}$.

Assume to the contrary that $\Omega$ is ramified of order $t \geq 2$ over $p \in \Omega^{\prime}$. Let $c_{p}$ be the oriented boundary of a disk about $p$ in $\Omega^{\prime}, d_{p}$ a Jordan $\operatorname{arc}$ from $\pi(0)$ to $c_{p}$, and $\beta$ the closed path from $\pi(0)$ along $d_{p}$ to $c_{p}$, around $c_{p}$ once, and back to $\pi(0)$ along $d_{p}$. We may assume that $\beta$ does not pass through points over which $\Delta$ is ramified and intersects the curves $\alpha_{i}$ only a finite number of times.

Let $\beta^{*}$ denote the lift of $\beta$ from $0 ; \beta^{*}$ is a Jordan arc but not a closed curve in $\Delta$. We have seen above that $\beta^{*}$ is homotopic to an arc $\beta^{*}$ such that $\beta^{\prime}=\pi\left(\beta^{\prime *}\right)$ is a product of curves $\alpha_{i}$. Consider the closed curve $\gamma^{*}=\beta^{*} \beta^{*-1}$ and a relatively compact, simply connected region $K$ containing $\gamma^{*}$ with $\pi(\partial K) \cap c_{p}=\phi$ (the points $\pi^{-1}(p)$ are isolated in $\Delta$ ). Remove from $K$ the at most finite number of points which lie over $p$ and denote the resulting region by $K_{1}$.

The collection of disjoint simple closed curves which comprise the components of $\pi^{-1}\left(c_{p}\right)$ in $K_{1}$ form a homology basis for $K_{1}$. Hence $\gamma^{*}$, viewed as a singular cycle, is homologous to a linear combination of these curves. In $\Omega-\{p\}$ this implies that $\gamma=\pi\left(\gamma^{*}\right)=\beta^{\prime} \beta^{-1}$ is homologous to $n t c_{p}$ for some integer $n$, possibly zero. In other words the cycle $(n t+1) c_{p}$ in $\Omega-\Omega_{0}$, with $n t+1 \neq 0$ since $t \geq 2$, is homologous in $\Omega-\{p\}$ to the cycle $\beta^{\prime}$ in $\Omega_{0}$. Therefore $(n t+1) c_{p}$ must be homologous to a cycle in $\partial \Omega_{0}$ in contradiction to our choice of $\Omega_{0}$.

The proof of the converse of Theorem 1 is standard and will be omitted.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $P$ be a fundamental region. Since $G$ is generated by the transformations which pair the sides of $P$, the sufficiency is immediate.

Assume then that $G$ is finitely generated but that $P$ has an infinite number of sides. $\bar{P}$ is not compact in $\Delta$ for otherwise there would exist a sequence of points $\left\{z_{j}\right\}$ on distinct sides $s_{j}$ of $P$ which have a limit $p \in \Delta$ such that the sequence of conjugate points $\left\{z_{j}^{\prime}\right\}$ on the conjugate sides $s_{j}^{\prime}$ also has a limit $p^{\prime} \in \Delta$. If $N$ is a neighborhood of $p$ and $N^{\prime}$ of $p^{\prime}$ then for infinitely many distinct $S_{j} \in G, S_{j}\left(N^{\prime}\right) \cap N \neq \phi$, in violation of the discontinuity of $G$. In addition $P$ is simply connected for otherwise there would be a relatively compact component of $\Delta-\bar{P}$ which would contain an image of $P$.

The hypotheses also imply that $S_{i}(P)$ is adjacent to $P$ along $s_{i}$. Hence if $j \neq i, S_{j}(\bar{P})$ cannot intersect $s_{i}$ at an interior point unless $S_{j}=S_{i}$.

In the remainder of the proof all arcs drawn in a region $\bar{R} \subset \bar{P}$ will be understood to be Jordan arcs which are contained in $R$ except for their end points. In addition we can choose, and will only deal with, an infinite sequence of pairs $\left\{\left(s_{i}, s_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ such that $S_{i} \neq S_{j}^{ \pm 1}$ for all $j \neq i$.

Case 1. There exists an $\operatorname{arc} \tau$ in $\bar{P}$ which divides $P$ into two regions $P_{1}, P_{2}$ with the following property. There exists an infinite number of points $\left\{z_{i}\right\}$ in $\bar{P}_{1}$, each $z_{i}$ an interior point of a side of $P$, but no two points on the same side, such that the conjugate points $\left\{z_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ are in $\bar{P}_{2}$.

Draw arcs $\gamma_{1}$ in $\bar{P}_{1}$ from $z_{1}$ to $z_{2}$ and $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ in $\bar{P}_{2}$ from $z_{2}^{\prime}$ to $z_{1}^{\prime} \cdot \gamma_{1}$ divides $P_{1}$ into two regions at least one of which, say $P_{11}$, contains infinitely many points $z_{i}$ in its boundary, and $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ divides $P_{2}$ into two regions one of which, say $P_{21}$, contains infinitely many points conjugate to those $z_{i}$ in $\bar{P}_{11}$. Eliminate all $z_{i}$ which are not in $P_{11}$ and which do not have their conjugates in $P_{21}$. Draw the arcs $\gamma_{2}$ in $\bar{P}_{11}$ from $z_{3}$ to $z_{4}$ and $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ in $\bar{P}_{21}$ from $z_{4}^{\prime}$ to $z_{3}^{\prime}$, etc. Thus we can find two infinite sequences of mutually disjoint arcs $\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ in $\bar{P}_{1}$ and $\left\{\gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right\}$ in $\bar{P}_{2}$ such that $\gamma_{i}$ is an arc from $z_{2 i-1}$ to $z_{2 i}$ and $\gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ an arc from $z_{2 i}^{\prime}$ to $z_{2 i-1}^{\prime}$.

In addition by a suitable choice of subsequence we may assume that either property $A$ holds for all $\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}$ or property $A$ holds for no $\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{j}$ in the infinite sequence $\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ :

Property A. $\gamma_{i}$ separates $\gamma_{j}$ from $\tau$ or $\gamma_{j}$ separates $\gamma_{i}$ from $\tau(i \neq j)$
To prove this apply the following procedure inductively. Assume that by relabeling, all the arcs $\gamma_{j}, 0<j \leq n$, have property A with respect to all the arcs in $\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$, $1 \leq i \leq \infty$, but none of the arcs $\delta_{j}, 0<j \leq m$, has property $A$ with respect to any of the arcs in $\left\{\gamma_{i}, \delta_{k}\right\}, 1 \leq i<\infty, 0<k \leq m(m, n \geq 0)$. Consider $\gamma_{n+1}$. If infinitely many arcs in $\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ have property $A$ with respect to $\gamma_{n+1}$ (the arcs $\gamma_{i}, 0<i \leq n$, do), eliminate those arcs in $\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ which do not, relabel, and move on to $\gamma_{n+2}$. If this is not the case, eliminate the finite number of arcs in $\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ which do have property $A$ with respect to $\gamma_{n+1}$, set $\delta_{m+1}=\gamma_{n+1}$, relabel, and move on to the new $\gamma_{1}$.

Consider the sequence of mutually disjoint simple closed curves $\left\{\alpha_{i}=\pi\left(\gamma_{i} \cup \gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ in $\Omega$. No $\alpha_{i}$ can be homotopic to 1 in $\Omega-\left\{p_{i}\right\}$. For otherwise $\gamma_{i} \cup S_{2 i}\left(\gamma_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ is a simple
closed curve through $z_{2 i-1}$ and $z_{2 i}$ and hence $S_{2 i-1}=S_{2 i}$, which is impossible. Therefore since $\Omega-\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ has finite topological type, there exist three of the curves $\alpha_{i}$, say $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$, such that $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ bound an annular region $K$ in $\Omega-\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ separates the contours of $K$. We can also choose $K$ so that $\pi(\tau) \cap K=\phi$.

The arcs $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ divide $P_{1}$ into three regions. If two of these regions lie over $K$ then $\gamma_{3}$ is contained in one of them, but not in the other, so that $\gamma_{3}$ satisfies property $A$ with only one of the arcs $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ in contradiction to our selection of $\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$. We conclude that $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ bound a region $R$ in $P_{1}$ which lies over $K$ and contains $\gamma_{3}$.

In $P_{2}, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}$ bound one or two regions which lie over $K$. One of these regions contains $\gamma_{3}^{\prime}$ and therefore $\gamma_{3}^{\prime}$ bounds a region $R_{1}^{\prime}$ with, say, $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ which lies over $K\left(\pi\left(R_{1}^{\prime}\right) \subset K\right)$. We can draw an $\operatorname{arc} \delta^{\prime}$ in $R_{1}^{\prime}$ from $z_{3}^{\prime}$ to one of $z_{1}^{\prime}, z_{2}^{\prime}$, say to $z_{1}^{\prime}$, such that $\delta^{\prime}$ does not separate $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}$ from $\gamma_{3}^{\prime}$ in $R_{1}^{\prime}$. In $R$ draw an $\operatorname{arc} \delta$ from $z_{1}$ to $z_{3}$ which does not otherwise intersect $\gamma_{3}$.

In the annular region $K_{1} \subset K$ which is bounded by $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{3}$, the simple closed curve $\beta=\pi\left(\delta \cup \delta^{\prime}\right)$ does not separate $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{3}$. Hence $\beta$ is homotopic to 1 in $K_{1}$ which we have seen above, is impossible.

CASE 2. No such $\tau$ exists. In this case draw an $\operatorname{arc} \gamma_{1}$ from $z_{1}$ to $z_{1}^{\prime}$. There exist infinitely many points $\left\{z_{i}\right\}$ such that $\gamma_{1}$ does not separate $z_{i}$ from $z_{i}^{\prime}$ in $P$. Draw $\gamma_{2}$ from $z_{2}$ to $z_{2}^{\prime}$ which is disjoint from $\gamma_{1}$. Again there are infinitely many points $\left\{z_{i}\right\}$ such that neither $\gamma_{1}$ nor $\gamma_{2}$ separates $z_{i}$ from $z_{i}^{\prime}$. Thus we can find an infinite sequence of disjoint arcs $\left\{\gamma_{i}\right\}$ such that $\gamma_{i}$ runs from $z_{i}$ to $z_{i}^{\prime}$.

The simple closed curves $\alpha_{i}=\pi\left(\gamma_{i}\right)$ are mutually disjoint and hence, as above, there are three of them, say $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}$, such that $\alpha_{1}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ bound an annular region $K$ in $\Omega-\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ and $\alpha_{3}$ separates the boundary components of $K . \gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ divide $P$ into three regions, one or two of which lie over $K$ and of these, one contains $\gamma_{3}$. Hence one of the pairs $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right),\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{3}\right),\left(\gamma_{2}, \gamma_{3}\right)$, say $\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}\right)$, bounds a subregion $R$ of $P$ which lies over $K$. Interchange $z_{2}$ and $z_{2}^{\prime}$ if necessary so that $\alpha_{1}$ is homologous to $\alpha_{2}$. Draw an $\operatorname{arc} \delta$ in $\bar{R}$ from $z_{1}^{\prime}$ to $z_{2}^{\prime}$; then $\alpha_{1}$ is homotopic to $\pi(\delta) \alpha_{2} \pi(\delta)^{-1}$. But then the arc $S_{2}\left(\delta^{-1}\right)$ in $\Delta-P$ from $z_{2}$ must terminate at $z_{1}$ which implies that $S_{2}=S_{1}$, a contradiction.

Remark. If $P$ also satisfies hypothesis (b) a much simpler proof can be given. The sequence $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ can be chosen so that $\left\{\pi\left(z_{n}\right)\right\}$ approaches an ideal boundary component $I$ of $\Omega$. By using the fact that if $\alpha$ is a simple closed curve surrounding $I$ then $\pi^{-1}(\alpha)$ divides $P$ into a finite number of components, the arcs $\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{i}^{\prime}$ of Cases 1 and 2 can be chosen directly so that the curves $\alpha_{i}$ approach $I$ in such a way that $\alpha_{i}$ bounds an annular region $A_{i}$ in $\Omega-\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ with $\alpha_{i+1}$ that doesn't contain $\alpha_{j}$ for $j>i+1$. It follows that the pairs $\left(\gamma_{i}, \gamma_{i+1}\right),\left(\gamma_{i}^{\prime}, \gamma_{i+1}^{\prime}\right)$ (just the former in Case 2 ) each bound a region in $P$ which lies over $A_{i}$, since $\alpha_{i+2}$ cannot be connected to $\alpha_{i}$ without crossing $\alpha_{i+1}$. The proof is now completed as above.
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