

# The Real Cohomology of Differentiable Fibre Bundles.

Autor(en): **Baum, Paul / Smith, Larry**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **42 (1967)**

PDF erstellt am: **15.08.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-32136>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

# The Real Cohomology of Differentiable Fibre Bundles

PAUL BAUM<sup>1)</sup> and LARRY SMITH<sup>2)</sup>

Throughout algebraic topology one very often studies fibre bundles  $\xi = (E, p, B, G/H, G)$  where  $G$  is a compact connected Lie group and  $H \subset G$  is a closed connected subgroup,  $E$  and  $B$  are differentiable manifolds and  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is a differentiable map. Typically one tries to compute the cohomology of the total space from a knowledge of the cohomology of the base  $B$ , the fibre  $G/H$  and some invariant of the bundle. The usual procedure involves calculating with the Serre spectral sequence. However this does not take full advantage of the fact that  $\xi$  is a fibre bundle, for we have a classifying diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/H & = & G/H \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E & \rightarrow & B_H \\ p\downarrow & & \downarrow e \\ B & \xrightarrow{f} & B_G \end{array}$$

where  $\xi(G, H) = (B_H, \varrho, B_G, G/H, G)$  is a universal bundle. Using techniques of EILENBERG and MOORE [8] we shall show

**THEOREM:** *If  $B$  is a Riemannian symmetric space [5] and  $R$  is the field of real numbers then  $H^*(E; R)$  and  $\text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G; R)}(H^*(B; R), H^*(B_H; R))$  are isomorphic as algebras.*

This extends results of BOREL [3] and CARTAN [6]. BOREL [3] further shows how the map  $\varrho^*: H^*(B_G; R) \rightarrow H^*(B_H; R)$  can be computed from information on the Weyl groups of  $G$  and  $H$ .

It is well known [4], [13], [15] that  $H^*(B_G; R)$  is a polynomial algebra (over  $R$ ) on even dimensional generators. Therefore for the above result to be of use we must have available a fairly simple technique for computing  $\text{Tor}_A(B, A)$  when  $A$  is a polynomial algebra. This is the objective of the first section. The second section gives a proof of the above result. The final section gives an example to show that the technical assumption that  $B$  is a Riemannian symmetric space is essential.

We shall assume that the reader is familiar with the material of [1] or [8] or [13] or [16]. Our notation will be that of [12].

We wish to thank Prof. J. C. MOORE for many useful discussions.

---

<sup>1)</sup> Partially supported by NSF-GP-2425

<sup>2)</sup> Partially supported by NSF-GP-4037

### 1. The Two Sided Koszul Complex

Throughout this section the ground ring will be a fixed field  $k$ .  $\otimes$  will always mean  $\otimes_k$ .

Suppose that

$$\Lambda = P[x_1, \dots, x_n].$$

Of course if the characteristic of  $k$  is not 2 then of necessity  $\deg(x_i)$  will be even. Denote by

$$\mu: \Lambda \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$$

the multiplication map of  $\Lambda$ . Note that  $\mu$  is onto.

LEMMA 1.1:  $\ker \mu = (x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_1, \dots, x_n \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_n)$ .

*Proof:* Let

$$I = (x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_1, \dots, x_n \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_n).$$

Then clearly  $I \subset \ker \mu$ . Thus there is a natural map of algebras

$$\alpha: \frac{\Lambda \otimes \Lambda}{I} \rightarrow \frac{\Lambda \otimes \Lambda}{\ker \mu} = \Lambda.$$

Let  $[x_i \otimes 1]$ ,  $[1 \otimes x_j]$  denote  $x_i \otimes 1$  and  $1 \otimes x_j$  as elements of  $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda / I$ . Then the monomials in  $[x_1 \otimes 1], \dots, [x_n \otimes 1], [1 \otimes x_1], \dots, [1 \otimes x_n]$  generate  $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda / I$  as a  $k$ -module. Since  $[x_i \otimes 1] = [1 \otimes x_i]$   $i = 1, \dots, n$  it follows that the monomials in  $[x_1 \otimes 1], \dots, [x_n \otimes 1]$  generate  $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda / I$  as a  $k$ -module.

Next recall that the monomials in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are a  $k$ -basis for  $\Lambda$ . Since  $\alpha([x_i \otimes 1]) = x_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, n$  and  $\alpha$  is a map of algebras it follows that  $\alpha$  maps a  $k$ -generating set for  $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda / I$  in a one-one-onto fashion to a  $k$ -basis for  $\Lambda$ . Hence  $\alpha$  must be an isomorphism.

Since everything in sight is of finite type it follows that in each degree  $I$  and  $\ker \mu$  have the same dimension (finite) as vector spaces over  $k$ . Since  $I \subset \ker \mu$  it follows that  $I = \ker \mu$ .  $\square$

Now note that  $x_1 \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_1, \dots, x_n \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes x_n$  is an ESP-sequence in  $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda$  generating the ideal  $\ker \mu$ . (See [16], also called an  $E$ -sequence in [1], or an  $S$ -sequence in [10]). Therefore we have the Koszul complex [1], [10], [12], [16], [18]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}^2 &= \Lambda \otimes E[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes \Lambda \\ d(a \otimes u_i \otimes b) &= a x_i \otimes 1 \otimes b - a \otimes 1 \otimes x_i b, \quad i = 1, \dots, n \\ d(a \otimes 1 \otimes b) &= 0 \quad d \text{ a derivation} \end{aligned}$$

$\mathcal{E}^2$  is given a bigraded structure by requiring that

$$\deg u_i = (-1, \deg x_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \quad \deg a = (0, \deg a) \quad \text{all } a \in \Lambda.$$

We then have [10; 7], [16; § 2.1]

$$H^0(\mathcal{E}^2) = \Lambda \otimes \Lambda / \ker \mu = \Lambda, H^p(\mathcal{E}^2) = 0, \quad p \neq 0.$$

Thus  $\mathcal{E}^2$  is a  $\Lambda \otimes \Lambda$  resolution of  $\Lambda$ . We will refer to  $\mathcal{E}^2$  as the two sided Koszul complex by analogy with the two sided bar construction.

**PROPOSITION 1.2:** *If  $A$  is any  $\Lambda$ -module then  $\mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_{\Lambda} A$  is a free resolution of  $A$  as a  $\Lambda$ -module.*

*Proof:* Since  $\mathcal{E}^2$  is a free  $\Lambda$ -module we have a spectral sequence (see [12; page 400])  $E^r \Rightarrow H(\mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_{\Lambda} A)$ ,  $E^2 = \text{Tor}_{\Lambda}(H(\mathcal{E}^2), A) = \text{Tor}_{\Lambda}(\Lambda, A) = A$  i.e.  $E_{p,*}^2 = 0$   $p \neq 0$  which implies

$$H^0(\mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_{\Lambda} A) = A, H^p(\mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_{\Lambda} A) = 0 \quad p \neq 0.$$

Since  $\mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_{\Lambda} A$  is obviously a free  $\Lambda$ -module the result follows.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.3:** *If  $(B_{\Lambda}, {}_{\Lambda}A)$  is given then*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tor}_{\Lambda}(B, A) &= H(B \otimes E[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes A; d) \quad \text{where} \\ d(b \otimes 1 \otimes a) &= 0, \quad d(b \otimes u_i \otimes a) = b x_i \otimes 1 \otimes a - b \otimes 1 \otimes x_i a, \\ \text{deg}(u_i) &= (-1, \text{deg } x_i). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**ACKNOWLEDGMENT:** The existence of the two sided Koszul complex was suggested to us by Prof. J. P. MAY.

We shall have occasion to consider the case where  $A$  is a differential  $\Lambda$ -module. In this case we shall need:

**PROPOSITION 1.4:** *If  $A$  is a differential  $\Lambda$ -module then  $\mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_{\Lambda} A$  is a proper projective resolution ([12], [16]) of  $A$  as a differential  $\Lambda$ -module.*

*Proof:* We must show the following

- (i)  $\mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_{\Lambda} A$  is a proper projective  $\Lambda$ -module.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_{\Lambda} A$  is a resolution of  $A$ .
- (iii) If  $d_A$  denotes the differential in  $A$  then

$$Z_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_{\Lambda} A) \quad \text{is a resolution of} \quad Z(A).$$

$$H_{\Lambda}(\mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_{\Lambda} A) \quad \text{is a resolution of} \quad H(A).$$

To see (i) observe that  $\mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_{\Lambda} A = \Lambda \otimes E[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes A$  as a  $\Lambda$ -module. Since  $k$  is a field it follows that  $E^2 \otimes_{\Lambda} A$  is a proper projective  $\Lambda$ -module [13], [16]. (MOORE does not use the adjective proper.)

(ii) is just Proposition 1.2.

To obtain (iii) we note that there is a decomposition of vector spaces,

$$A = R \oplus P \oplus Q,$$

with  $d_A$  given by  $d^n: Q^n \approx R^{n+1}$  (see [12; page 398]) and so we see

$$\begin{aligned} Z_A(\mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_A A) &= Z_A(\Lambda \otimes E[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes A) = Z_A(\Lambda \otimes E[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes (R \oplus P \oplus Q)) \\ &= \Lambda \otimes E[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes (R \oplus P) = \Lambda \otimes E[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes Z(A) = \mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_A Z(A). \end{aligned}$$

which is a resolution of  $Z(A)$  by Proposition 1.2.

Finally since  $k$  is a field the Kunneth theorem gives

$$H_A(\mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_A A) = H(\Lambda \otimes E[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes A) = \Lambda \otimes E[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes H(A) = \mathcal{E}^2 \otimes_A H(A)$$

which is a resolution of  $H(A)$  by Proposition 1.2.  $\square$

We can now proceed in the obvious fashion to compute  $\text{Tor}_A(B, A)$  when  $B, A$  are differential  $A$ -modules.

### 2. Differentiable Fibre Bundles

Suppose that  $\xi = (E, p, B, G/H, G)$  is a differentiable fibre bundle with classifying diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/H & = & G/H \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E & \rightarrow & B_H \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \rightarrow & B_G \end{array}$$

Let us assume that  $G$  is a compact connected Lie group and  $H \subset G$  is a closed connected subgroup. In addition assume that  $B$  is a compact Riemannian symmetric space. (We recall that a compact Riemannian symmetric space  $M$  is an analytic manifold with a fixed Riemannian metric such that each point  $x \in M$  is a fixed point of some involutive isometry of  $M$ .)

Throughout this section the ground field  $k$  will be the field of real numbers  $R$ . If  $X$  is a topological space we shall write  $H^*(X)$  for  $H^*(X; R)$ . Our goal is to prove

**THEOREM 2.1:** *Under the above conditions there is an isomorphism of algebras*

$$H^*(E) \cong \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(H^*(B), H^*(B_H)).$$

The proof of Theorem 2.1 will be accomplished with the use of deRham cohomology for manifolds modeled on separable Hilbert spaces (see [7], [9], [14]). For the convenience of the reader we will recall some of the important facts that we shall use.

If  $M$  is a Riemannian manifold modeled on a separable Hilbert space then  $R^\#(M)$  denotes the deRham cochain algebra of  $M$ . The differential (exterior derivative) is denoted by  $d$ . We then have [7] that the algebras  $H^*(M)$  and  $H^*(R_\#(M), d)$  are naturally isomorphic.

If  $M$  is a compact Riemannian manifold then the Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  induces an inner product in  $R^\#(M)$  by

$$(\alpha, \beta) = \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta^*, \quad \text{deg } \alpha = \text{deg } \beta$$

The adjoint of  $d$  relative to this inner product is called the coderivative and is denoted by  $\delta$ .

DEFINITION: A form  $\alpha \in R^\#(M)$  is said to be

$$\begin{aligned} \text{closed iff} & \quad d(\alpha) = 0 \\ \text{coclosed iff} & \quad \delta(\alpha) = 0 \\ \text{harmonic iff} & \quad d(\alpha) = 0 = \delta(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 2.2 (HODGE): If  $M$  is a compact Riemannian manifold then each cohomology class  $a \in H^*(M)$  contains a unique harmonic form  $\alpha \in R^\#(M)$ .

Let  $M$  be a Riemannian manifold and denote by  $I(M)$  the group of isometries of  $M$ . Then  $I(M)$  is a Lie group and acts on the algebra  $R^\#(M)$  of differential forms on  $M$ .

THEOREM 2.3 (E. CARTAN [5]): If  $M$  is a compact Riemannian symmetric space then the harmonic forms on  $M$  are precisely the  $I(M)$  invariant forms. Therefore the  $\wedge$  product of two harmonic forms is again harmonic.

Proof of Theorem 2.1: Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/H = G/H & & \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \\ E \rightarrow B_H & & \\ p \downarrow & \downarrow^e & \\ B \xrightarrow{f} B_G & & \end{array}$$

be the classifying diagram for  $\xi$ . Following EELLS in [7] we may assume that  $B_H$  and  $B_G$  are differentiable manifolds modeled on separable Hilbert space. By differentiable approximation we may then assume that all the maps are differentiable.

Following [8] (see also [1], [16]) we then have a natural isomorphism of algebras  $H^*(E) \cong \text{Tor}_{R^\#(B_G)}(R^\#(B), R^\#(B_H))$ .

Now we know [3]  $H^*(B_G) = P[x_1, \dots, x_n] \quad n = \text{rank } G,$

$$H^*(B_H) = P[y_1, \dots, y_m] \quad m = \text{rank } H.$$

Choose representative cocycles  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in R^\#(B_G)$  for  $x_1, \dots, x_n$ . Since the multiplication in  $R^\#(B_G)$  is commutative the map  $x_i \rightarrow \alpha_i \quad i=1, \dots, n$  extends to a unique map of algebras  $\alpha: H^*(B_G) \rightarrow R^\#(B_G)$ . If we think of  $H^*(B_G)$  as a differential algebra with zero differential then  $\alpha$  is a map of differential algebras inducing an isomorphism in homology.

In a similar manner we construct a map  $\beta: H^*(B_H) \rightarrow R^\#(B_H)$ . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 R^\#(B_H) & \xleftarrow{\varrho^\#} & R^\#(B_G) & \xrightarrow{f^\#} & R^\#(B) \\
 \beta \uparrow & & \uparrow \alpha & & \\
 H^*(B_H) & \xleftarrow{\varrho^\#} & H^*(B_G) & \xrightarrow{f^\#} & H^*(B)
 \end{array}$$

Figure A

We do not claim that the left hand square commutes. However using this diagram we can make  $R^\#(B_H)$  into an  $H^*(B_G)$  module in two different ways, i.e. by means of the maps  $\beta\varrho^\#$  and  $\varrho^\#\alpha$ . We can also make  $R^\#(B)$  into an  $H^*(B_G)$  module by means of the map  $f^\#\alpha$ .

Hence there are two different torsion products which we shall denote by

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \beta\varrho^\# \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), R^\#(B_H)) \\
 & \varrho^\#\alpha \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), R^\#(B_H))
 \end{aligned}$$

We claim that these two torsion products are isomorphic. To see this set  $\beta\varrho^\#(x_i) = \eta_i$ ,  $\varrho^\#\alpha(x_i) = \eta'_i$ ,  $f^\#\alpha(x_i) = \zeta_i$ . Let  $d_B$  denote the boundary in  $R^\#(B)$  and  $d_H$  the boundary in  $R^\#(B_H)$ . Then using the two sided Koszul complex of the previous section we see

$$\beta\varrho^\# \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), R^\#(B_H)) = H(R^\#(B) \otimes E[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes R^\#(B_H))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \beta) &= d_B \alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \beta + \alpha \otimes 1 \otimes d_H \beta \\
 d(1 \otimes u_i \otimes 1) &= \zeta_i \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \eta_i
 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\varrho^\#\alpha \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), R^\#(B_H)) = H(R^\#(B) \otimes E[v_1, \dots, v_n] \otimes R^\#(B_H))$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \beta) &= d_B \alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \beta + \alpha \otimes 1 \otimes d_H \beta \\
 d(1 \otimes v_i \otimes 1) &= \zeta_i \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \eta'_i
 \end{aligned}$$

Now since Figure A certainly commutes when we pass to homology it follows that for each  $i$  we can choose  $\lambda_i \in R^\#(B_H)$  so that  $\eta'_i = \eta_i + d_H \lambda_i$ .

Define a map

$$T: R^\#(B) \otimes E[u_1, \dots, u_n] \otimes R^\#(B_H) \rightarrow R^\#(B) \otimes E[v_1, \dots, v_n] \otimes R^\#(B_H)$$

by  $T(\alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \beta) = \alpha \otimes 1 \otimes \beta$

$$T(1 \otimes u_i \otimes 1) = 1 \otimes v_i \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \lambda_i$$

and requiring that  $T$  be a map of algebras. A direct computation shows that  $T$  is a map of complexes. As  $T^{-1}$  is readily defined we see that  $T$  gives an isomorphism of algebras

$$T^*: \beta_{\varrho^*} \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), R^\#(B_H)) \rightarrow \varrho^* \alpha \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), R^\#(B_H)).$$

We then have algebra isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Tor}_{R^\#(B_G)}(R^\#(B), R^\#(B_H)) \\ & \quad \approx \uparrow \quad \text{Tor}_\alpha(1, 1) \\ & \varrho^* \alpha \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), R^\#(B_H)) \\ & \quad \approx \uparrow \quad T \\ & \beta_{\varrho^*} \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), R^\#(B_H)) \\ & \quad \approx \uparrow \quad \text{Tor}_1(1, \beta) \\ & \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), H^*(B_H)) \end{aligned}$$

Recall now that we assumed  $B$  to be a compact Riemannian symmetric space. Define a map  $\theta: H^*(B) \rightarrow R^\#(B)$  by  $a \rightarrow$  the unique harmonic form contained in  $a$ . It follows from the results of Hodge and Cartan stated above that  $\theta$  is a map of algebras inducing an isomorphism in homology. Consider now the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R^\#(B_G) & \xrightarrow{f} & R^\#(B) \\ \alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow \theta \\ H^*(B_G) & \rightarrow & H^*(B) \end{array}$$

As above this leads to two torsion products

$$\begin{aligned} & f^* \alpha \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), H^*(B_H)) \\ & \theta f^* \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), H^*(B_H)) \end{aligned}$$

which are seen to be isomorphic by an argument analogous to the one above. This gives us a string of algebra isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} H^*(E) & \cong \text{Tor}_{R^\#(B_G)}(R^\#(B), R^\#(B_H)) \\ & \quad \uparrow \text{Tor}_\alpha(1, 1) \\ & \varrho^* \alpha \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), R^\#(B_H)) \\ & \quad \uparrow T \\ & \beta_{\varrho^*} \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), R^\#(B_H)) \\ & \quad \uparrow \text{Tor}_1(1, \beta) \\ & f^* \alpha \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), H^*(B_H)) \\ & \quad \uparrow T' \\ & \theta f^* \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(R^\#(B), H^*(B_H)) \\ & \quad \uparrow \text{Tor}_1(\theta, 1) \\ & \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_G)}(H^*(B), H^*(B_H)) \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.  $\square$

If in Theorem 2.1 we set  $B = \text{point}$  then we obtain a result of CARTAN [6] as restated by BAUM in [2]. If we set  $H = 1$  in Theorem 2.1 then we obtain a result of BOREL and HIRSCH [4].



### 3. An Example

Of all the hypotheses of Theorem 2.1 probably the least satisfying is the assumption that  $B$  be a Riemannian symmetric space. However this is an essential assumption as the following example will show.

Let  $Y = S^2 \vee S^2 \vee S^2$ . Let  $f, g, h \in \Pi_2(Y)$  represent the homotopy classes of the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} S^2 &\xrightarrow{f} S^2 \vee * \vee * \subset Y \\ S^2 &\xrightarrow{g} * \vee S^2 \vee * \subset Y \\ S^2 &\xrightarrow{h} * \vee * \vee S^2 \subset Y \end{aligned}$$

Let  $t: S^4 \rightarrow Y$  represent the Whitehead product  $[f, [g, h]] \in \Pi_4(Y)$  and let  $X = Y U_t e^5$  where  $e^5$  is a five cell. MASSEY and UEHARA [11] have shown that there are indecomposable elements  $z_1, z_2, z_3 \in H^2(X; Z)$  and  $w \in H^5(X; Z)$  with the triple product  $\langle z_1, z_2, z_3 \rangle$  defined and

$$\langle z_1, z_2, z_3 \rangle = w \neq 0 \in H^*(X, Z)/H^*(X, Z) z_1 + z_3 H^*(X; Z)$$

Also from [11] we shall need

LEMMA 3.1: *Suppose that  $f: A \rightarrow B$  is a continuous map. Let  $u, v, w \in H^*(B; Z)$  such that*

(i)  $uv = 0 = vw$ , (ii)  $f^*(u) = 0 = f^*(w)$  then

$$\langle u, v, w \rangle \in \ker(f^*: H^*(B; Z) \rightarrow H^*(A, Z)).$$

*Proof:* See [11] Lemma 5 on page 369.  $\square$

Now  $X$  is a 5-dimensional simplicial complex and so we can imbed  $X$  in  $R^{11}$ . Let  $B$  be the double of a regular neighborhood of  $X$  in  $R^{11}$ . Then  $B$  is a smooth manifold, but not a Riemannian symmetric space.  $X$  is a retract of  $B$ . Thus there are classes  $x_1, x_2, x_3 \in H^2(B; Z)$  and  $y \in H^5(B; Z)$  with  $\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$  defined and

$$\langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle = y \neq 0 \in H^*(B, Z)/H^*(B, Z) x_1 + x_3 H^*(B, Z).$$

We now construct an  $S^1 \times S^1$  bundle over  $B$  as follows. Choose maps

$$f_i: B \rightarrow K(Z, 2) = CP^\infty = B_{S^1} \quad i = 1, 3$$

representing the classes  $x_1, x_3$ . Form the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^1 \times S^1 & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & S^1 \times S^1 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ E & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & E_{S^1 \times S^1} \\ \downarrow p & \searrow f_1 \times f_3 & \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & B_{S^1 \times S^1} \end{array}$$

which is the classifying diagram of a principal  $S^1 \times S^1$  bundle  $\xi$  over  $B$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.2:**  $H^*(E; k)$  and  $\text{Tor}_{H^*(B_{S^1 \times S^1}; k)}(H^*(B; k), k)$  are not isomorphic as vector spaces for any field  $k$ .

*Proof:* Consider the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence [1], [8], [16]  $\{E_r, d_r\}$  of the above diagram with  $k$  as coefficients. It has

$$E_r \Rightarrow H^*(E; k)$$

$$E_2 = \text{Tor}_{H^*(B_{S^1 \times S^1}; k)}(H^*(B; k), k).$$

Clearly it suffices to show that  $E_2 \neq E_\infty$ .

By direct computation we have

$$E_2^{0,*} = H^*(B; k)/H^*(B; k)x_1 + x_3H^*(B; k).$$

Now the map  $p^*: H^*(B; k) \rightarrow H^*(E; k)$  is given by the composition

$$H^*(B; k) \rightarrow H^*(B; k)/(x_1, x_3) = E_2^{0,*} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} E_\infty^{0,*} \subset H^*(E; k).$$

Now we claim that  $p^*(y) = 0$ . For we know that  $y = \langle x_1, x_2, x_3 \rangle$  and  $p^*(x_1) = 0 = p^*(x_3)$  and so by Lemma 3.1  $p^*(y) = 0$ .

But  $y \neq 0 \in H^*(B; k)/(x_1, x_3)$  and hence the map  $\varepsilon: E_2^{0,*} \rightarrow E_\infty^{0,*}$  is not a monomorphism. Therefore  $E_2 \neq E_\infty$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. BAUM, *Cohomology of Homogeneous Spaces*, Topology (to appear).
- [2] P. BAUM, *Cohomology of Homogeneous Spaces*, Princeton University Thesis, 1963.
- [3] A. BOREL, *Sur la cohomologie des espaces fibrés principaux...*, Ann. of Math. 57 (1953), 115–207.
- [4] A. BOREL, *Cohomologie des groupes de Lie compact*, Amer. J. of Math. 76 (1954), 273–342.
- [5] E. CARTAN, *Sur les invariants integraux des espaces homogènes*, Ann. soc. polon. math. 8 (1929), 181–225, = *Selecta* (Paris 1939), 203–233.
- [6] H. CARTAN, a) *Notions d'Algèbre différentielle, applications aux...* b) *La transgression dans un group de Lie...*, Colloque de Topologie Bruxelles (1950).
- [7] J. EELLS, *A Setting for Global Analysis*, Bulletin Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1966), 751–807.
- [8] S. EILENBERG and J. C. MOORE, *Homology and Fibrations*, I, II, Comment. Math. Helv. 40 (1966), 199–236, and to appear.
- [9] S. LANG, *Introduction to Differentiable Manifolds*, Interscience Publ. Cie (1962).
- [10] W. S. MASSEY and F. P. PETERSON, *Cohomology of Certain Fibre Spaces I*, Topology 4 (1965).
- [11] W. S. MASSEY and H. UEHARA, *The Jacobi Identity for Whitehead Products*, Algebraic Topology and Geometry, Princeton University Press (1957).
- [12] S. MACLANE, *Homology*, Academic Press-Springer Verlag (1963).
- [13] J. MOORE, *Algèbre Homologique et des Espaces Classifiants*, Seminar Cartan et Moore 1959/1960 Exposé 7.
- [14] G. de RHAM, *Variétés Différentiables*, Herman (1960).
- [15] M. ROTHENBERG and N. STEENROD, *Cohomology of Classifying Spaces of H-Spaces*, (to appear).
- [16] L. SMITH, *Homological Algebra and the Eilenberg-Moore Spectral Sequence*, Trans. of A.M.S. (to appear).
- [17] N. STEENROD, *Topology of Fibre Bundles*, Princeton University Press (1951).
- [18] J. TATE, *Homology of Noetherian Rings and Local Rings*, Illinois J. 1 (1957).

Princeton University, July 1966

Received July 19, 1967