

# More Characteristic Classes for Spherical Fibre Spaces.

Autor(en): **Stasheff, James**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **43 (1968)**

PDF erstellt am: **11.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-32908>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## More Characteristic Classes for Spherical Fibre Spaces

by JAMES STASHEFF

The object of this paper is to continue Milnor's computation of  $H^*(B_G; Z_p)$  [2], which is:

**THEOREM A.** *In dimensions less than  $2p(p-1)-1$  the cohomology ring  $H^*(B_G; Z_p)$  is isomorphic to a free commutative algebra generated by the Wu classes  $q_i$  and the Bockstein coboundaries  $\beta q_i$ .*

MILNOR computes using a Postnikov system for  $B_G$ . He denotes by  $B^{[0, t-1]}$  the space obtained by killing all the homotopy groups  $\pi_i(B_{SG})$  for  $i \geq t$ .

**THEOREM B (MILNOR).** *If  $2 \leq m < p$ , and  $r = 2(p-1)$ , the algebra  $H^*(B^{[0, mr-1]}; Z_p)$  is isomorphic in dimensions less than  $pr = 2p(p-1)$  to the free commutative algebra on generators*

$$\mathcal{P}^i \bar{q}, \beta \mathcal{P}^i \bar{q} \quad i \geq 0$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}^i k_m, \beta \mathcal{P}^i k_m \quad i \geq 0$$

where  $\bar{q}$  corresponds to the first Wu class and where  $k_m$  is the  $p$  primary component of the  $(mr+1)$ -dimensional  $k$ -invariant. If  $m < p-1$ ,  $((m+1) \mathcal{P}^1 \beta - m \beta \mathcal{P}^1) k_m = 0$ .

In the present paper we extend Milnor's computations, first by computing  $H^*(B^{[0, mr]}; Z_p)$  for  $m < p$  in dimensions  $< 2pr$  and next in this range of dimensions for  $m < 2p$ . The change in dimensions must proceed the change in  $m$  in order to compute the  $k$ -invariants. The reason for stopping at level  $2pr$  is partly expository; certain new ideas are fairly simple as needed for  $p \leq m < 2p$  but might be much more obscure if lost in the welter of bookkeeping required in higher dimensions.

**THEOREM 1.** *For  $n < 2pr$ , the algebra  $H^*(B^{[0, n]}; Z_p)$  is isomorphic in dimensions  $< 2pr$  to a free commutative algebra. The subalgebra which survives to  $H^*(B_G)$  has generators which can be obtained by suitable elements of the Steenrod algebra  $A$  acting on generators*

$$\begin{array}{lll} q \text{ of dimension } r & \text{if} & n \geq r \\ y \text{ of dimension } pr & \text{if} & n \geq 2r \\ e_1 \text{ of dimension } pr-1 & \text{if} & n \geq pr-1. \end{array}$$

In proving the theorem, there will be given a specific set of generators modulo the image of later  $k$ -invariants.

**THEOREM 2.** *In dimensions  $< 2pr$ ,  $H^*(B_G)$  is isomorphic to a free commutative algebra on the Wu classes  $q_i$ , their Bocksteins  $\beta q_i$  and certain exotic classes  $\theta e_1$  where  $\theta$  ranges over an additive basis of  $A/A\mathcal{P}^1$ .*

### 1. Milnor's machine

Our method of attack is to use Milnor's approach as much as possible, feeding in additional information (such as secondary cohomology operations) when forced to. In the hopes that the present paper does not represent the limits of this approach, we identify components of the machine that seem conceptually significant.

In broadest outline, Milnor's approach is, inductively, to identify the  $k$ -invariant, compute the action of the Steenrod algebra on it and hence compute the transgression in the fibring and then compute  $H^*$  of the total space using the Serre spectral sequence. The latter step is, for Milnor, always of the following form.

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be a fibration. Assume  $F$  connected,  $B$  simply connected, both with homology of finite type. Let  $H^*(F)$  and  $H^*(B)$  be free commutative algebras on generators  $f_i, i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $b_j, j = 1, \dots, n$  such that  $\tau(f_i) = b_i, i = 1, \dots, r$  and  $\tau(f_i) = 0, i = r + 1, \dots, m$ . Then  $H^*(E)$  is free commutative on generators  $p^*(b_i), i = r + 1, \dots, n$  and  $e_i, i = r + 1, \dots, m$  such that  $i^*(e_i) = f_i$ . [TODA, 3, p. 105].*

Since we are concerned with the fibrings  $K(\pi_{mr}, mr) \rightarrow B^{[0, mr]} \rightarrow B^{[0, mr]}$ , the generators of the fibre are easily labelled in terms of the Steenrod algebra acting on the fundamental class. The corresponding non-zero transgressions are helpfully labelled in terms of the Steenrod algebra acting on the  $k$ -invariant. In the range Milnor computes, the essence of his argument is that the generating classes which restrict non-trivially to the fibre at each stage are precisely the transgressions of generating classes in the fibre at the next stage. Certain exact sequences of Toda are relevant here. As we extend the range, we find this remains true *most* of the time; a major problem is that of keeping books on the few classes which are not disposed of so neatly. Let us look at  $B^{[0, 2r]}$  in detail.

### 2. $B^{[0, 2r]}$

According to MILNOR, the first  $k$ -invariant  $h_2$  is  $(2 \mathcal{P}^1 \beta - \beta \mathcal{P}^1) q$  and the second,  $h_3$ , restricts to  $(3 \mathcal{P}^1 \beta - 2 \beta \mathcal{P}^1) u_2$  where  $q$  is the fundamental class in  $K(Z_p, r)$  and  $u_2$  is the fundamental class in  $K(Z_p, 2r)$ . According to TODA [4; I: Prop. 1.5], if  $A$  represents the Steenrod algebra the sequence  $A \xrightarrow{3 \mathcal{P}^1 \beta - 2 \beta \mathcal{P}^1} A \xrightarrow{2 \mathcal{P}^1 \beta - \beta \mathcal{P}^1} A$  is exact, where the maps indicate  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha(2 \mathcal{P}^1 \beta - \beta \mathcal{P}^1)$ , etc. We compare this with our fibrings by

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{3 \mathcal{P}^1 \beta - 2 \beta \mathcal{P}^1} & A & \xrightarrow{2 \mathcal{P}^1 \beta - \beta \mathcal{P}^1} & A \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^*(Z_p, 3r) & \xrightarrow{i_* \tau} & H^*(Z_p, 2r) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & H^*(Z_p, r)
 \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha u_m, m = 1, 2, 3, u_1 = q$ . Thus generating classes in  $B^{[0, 2r]}$  which restrict non-trivially to the fibre  $K(Z_p, 2r)$  are the transgressions of generating classes in  $K(Z_p, 3r)$  except possibly when the class survives for unstable

reasons, e.g.

$$\tau(\mathcal{P}^{p-2} u_2) = p \mathcal{P}^{p-1} \beta q - \beta \mathcal{P}^{p-1} q = -\beta(q^p) = 0.$$

Let  $y$  be a class which restricts to  $\mathcal{P}^{p-2} u_2$ . In dimensions  $< 2pr$ , we find that  $\mathcal{P}^1 y$  and  $\beta \mathcal{P}^1 y$  restrict to  $\mathcal{P}^{p-1} u_2$  and  $\beta \mathcal{P}^{p-1} u_2$  and that these are the only classes in  $B^{[0, 2r]}$  which restrict non-trivially mod transgression from  $K(Z_p, 3r)$ .

On the other hand, there are classes in  $K(Z_p, r)$  which survive to  $B^{[0, 2r]}$ . Specifically in dimensions less than  $p^2 r$  we have

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{P}^i \mathcal{P}^j q & j < p-1 & pj \leq i < (p-1)(j+1) \\ \mathcal{P}^i \mathcal{P}^j \beta q & j < p-1 & pj \leq i \leq (p-1)(j+1) \\ \mathcal{P}^{(p-1)(j+1)} \beta \mathcal{P}^j q & j < p-1 & \\ \beta \mathcal{P}^{(p-1)(j+1)} \beta \mathcal{P}^j q & 0 < j < p-1 & \end{array}$$

In dimensions  $< 2pr$  this reduces to

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mathcal{P}^i q & i < p & \mathcal{P}^i \mathcal{P}^1 q & p \leq i < 2(p-1) \\ \mathcal{P}^i \beta q & i \leq p-1 & \mathcal{P}^i \mathcal{P}^1 \beta q & p \leq i \leq 2(p-1) \end{array}$$

We call these classes Wu generators; their relation to Wu classes is given by MILNOR in dimensions  $< pr$  and in the remaining cases will be given in § 9. We have thus verified Theorem 1 for  $B^{[0, 2r]}$  and listed the generators which survive to  $B^{[0, 3r]}$ .

### 3. The inductive step

Consider the fibring  $K(Z_p, mr) \rightarrow B^{[0, mr]} \rightarrow B^{[0, (m-1)r]}$  for  $m < p$ . According to MILNOR, the  $k$ -invariant  $h_m \in H^{mr+1}(B^{[0, mr]}; Z_p)$  restricts to  $(m \mathcal{P}^1 \beta - (m-1) \beta \mathcal{P}^1) u_{m-1}$  in  $K(Z_p, (m-1)r)$ . According to Toda

$$A \xrightarrow{m \mathcal{P}^1 \beta - (m-1) \beta \mathcal{P}^1} A \xrightarrow{(m-1) \mathcal{P}^1 \beta - (m-2) \beta \mathcal{P}^1} A$$

is exact, so in the stable range the generating classes in  $B^{[0, mr]}$  which restrict non-trivially to  $K(Z_p, (m-1)r)$  are precisely those in the image of transgression from  $K(Z_p, mr)$ . By the stable range here we mean dimensions less than  $p(m-1)r$ . This is the standard "stable range mod  $p$ " in the sense of  $\mathcal{C}$ -theory for  $K(Z_p, (m-1)r)$  since that space is  $(m-1)r-1$ -connected. Equivalently, this is the range in which  $A \rightarrow H^*(Z_p, (m-1)r; Z_p)$  given by  $\alpha \rightarrow \alpha u_{(m-1)r}$  is a monomorphism, and onto a generating set.

This observation together with the basic Proposition gives Theorem 1 for  $B^{[0, n]}$ ,  $n < (p-1)r$ . The generators which survive are in fact precisely those already listed in  $B^{[0, 2r]}$ .



#### 4. The first exotic class

The next homotopy group after  $\pi_{(p-1)r}$  is not  $\pi_{pr}$  but rather  $\pi_{pr-1}$ . GITLER and STASHEFF [1] have shown the  $k$ -invariant is zero, i.e.  $B^{[0, pr-1]} \simeq B^{[0, (p-1)r]} \times K(Z_p, pr-1)$ . A generator in cohomology corresponding to the fundamental class in  $K(Z_p, pr-1)$  has been called  $e_1$ . Thus for  $B^{[0, pr-1]}$ , Theorem 1 is true if it is true for  $B^{[0, (p-1)r]}$ .

#### 5. The $k$ -invariant $h_p$

The homotopy group  $\pi_{pr}$  is  $Z_{p^2}$ . We wish to show that  $h_p \in H^{pr+1}(B^{[0, pr-1]}; Z_{p^2})$  comes from a class which reduced mod  $p$  restricts to  $\beta \mathcal{P}^1 u_{p-1}$  in  $K(Z_p, (p-1)r)$ . Let us go down to  $B^{[0, (p-2)r]}$  and look at  $\beta \mathcal{P}^1 h_{p-1}$ . Since  $h_{p-1}$  restricts to  $(-\mathcal{P}^1 \beta + 2\beta \mathcal{P}^1) u_{p-2}$ ,  $\beta \mathcal{P}^1 h_{p-1}$  restricts to zero and hence comes from a primitive element in  $H^*(B^{[0, (p-3)r]}; Z_p)$ . The only primitive elements are generators and their  $p^i$ -powers; these never occur in dimensions congruent to 2 mod  $r$ . (This remark will continue to be true up to at least dimension  $p^2 r$ .) Thus  $\beta \mathcal{P}^1 h_{p-1} = 0$ . Let  $V_p$  be a class which restricts to  $\beta \mathcal{P}^1 u_{p-1}$ . Since  $H^{pr+1}(B^{[0, pr-1]}; Z_p) \approx H^{pr+1}(B^{[0, (p-1)r]}; Z_p)$  via the projection map, Milnor's proof (3.10) goes through to show  $V_p$  can be chosen so that  $h_p$  reduced mod  $p$  is the image of  $V_p$ .

Because  $\pi_{pr}$  is  $Z_{p^2}$ , we find it necessary to pay attention to higher order torsion. In particular we are interested in the second order Bockstein  $\beta_2 h_p$ .  $\beta_2$  can be thought of as a secondary operation based on  $\beta \beta = 0$ . Alternatively we make use of the integral Bockstein  $\bar{\beta}$  for the sequence  $0 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z \rightarrow Z_p \rightarrow 0$  and the integral secondary Bockstein  $\bar{\beta}_2$ . That is  $\bar{\beta}_2 = 1/p \bar{\beta}$  when such division by  $p$  is possible. Of course  $\bar{\beta}_2$  is well defined only modulo  $\bar{\beta}$ . The mod  $p$  secondary Bockstein  $\beta_2$  is just the mod  $p$  reduction of  $\bar{\beta}_2$  and is well defined modulo  $\beta$ . Since the homotopy groups of  $B_G$  are all finite,  $H^*(B^{[0, mr]}; Z)$  contains no elements of infinite order. Every element therefore has non-trivial  $\beta_i$  for some  $i$  or is in the image of  $\beta_i$  for some  $i$ .

The next section will be devoted to computing  $\beta_2 h_p$ . The reader who wishes to proceed to section 7 should take our word for it that:

**THEOREM.** In the fibring  $K(Z_p, (p-1)r) \rightarrow B^{[0, (p-1)r]} \rightarrow B^{[0, (p-2)r]}$ , we have  $i^* \beta_2 V_p = \lambda \beta \mathcal{P}^1 \beta u_{p-1}$ ,  $\lambda \neq 0 \in Z_p$ .

#### 6. Computation of $\beta_2 h_p$

The following result is of some use in computing secondary Bocksteins.

**PROPOSITION.** Let  $K(Z_p, q) \xrightarrow{i} Y \xrightarrow{v} X$  be a fibre space induced by  $v \in H^{q+1}(X; Z_p)$ . Let  $u \in H^n(X; Z_p)$  be a class such that  $p^* \beta u = 0$ . Let  $\theta$  be a stable primary cohomology operation such that  $\theta v = \beta u \in H^{n+1}(X; Z_p)$ . Then  $i^* \beta_2 p^* u = \beta \theta i_q$  modulo  $i^* \beta H^n(Y; Z_p)$ .

*Proof.*  $K(Z_{p^2}, n)$  can be represented as a fibre space  $K(Z_p, n) \rightarrow K(Z_{p^2}, n) \rightarrow K(Z_p, n)$  induced by  $\beta \iota_n$ . Since  $p^* \beta u = 0$ , a map representing  $u$  can be lifted to give a map of fibrings:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K(Z_p, q) & \xrightarrow{w} & K(Z_p, n) & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \searrow \beta & \\ Y & \longrightarrow & K(Z_{p^2}, n) & \xrightarrow{\beta_2} & K(Z_p, n+1) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ X & \xrightarrow{u} & K(Z_p, n) & & \end{array}$$

The map  $\beta_2$  is characterized by the commutativity of the triangle. Let  $w$  be the induced map of fibres. From the diagram we see  $i^* \beta_2 p^* u = \beta w$ . Transgression is natural with respect to maps of fibrings, so  $\tau w = u^* \tau \iota_n = u^* \beta \iota_n = \beta u = \theta v$ . On the other hand  $\tau \iota_q = v$  so  $\tau(\theta \iota_q) = \theta v$ . Thus  $w - \theta \iota_q$  pulls back to  $H^*(Y; Z_p)$ . Now  $\beta_2 p^* u$  is well defined modulo  $i^* \beta H^*(Y; Z_p)$ . On the other hand we have shown  $\beta w = \beta \theta \iota_q$  modulo  $\beta i^* H^*(Y; Z_p)$  so we are done.

This result may well be known to the practitioners of the art of secondary operations. Of course it is true of more general secondary operations. If  $\beta_2$  is replaced by an operation  $\psi$  based on the relation  $\alpha \gamma = 0$  in the Steenrod algebra, then we assume  $p^* \gamma u = 0$  and  $\theta v = \gamma u$  and conclude  $i^* \psi p^* u = \alpha \theta \iota_q$  modulo  $i^* \alpha H^*(Y; Z_p)$ .

We apply the proposition to  $K(Z_p, 2r) \rightarrow B^{[0, 2r]} \rightarrow B^{[0, r]}$  with  $u = \mathcal{P}^{p-1} \beta q$ . We conclude  $i^* \beta_2 p^* \mathcal{P}^{p-1} \beta q = \beta \mathcal{P}^{p-2} \beta u_2$ .

In lower dimensions, the torsion is easy to handle. MILNOR lists explicit generators for  $H^*(B^{[0, mr]})$  and we can see they are paired by  $\beta$ , i.e. as far as generators are concerned:  $\ker \beta = \text{image } \beta$ . Thus the first higher order torsion is  $\beta_2(q^p) \neq 0$ . In  $B^{[0, 2r]}$  we have  $y$  which restricts to  $\mathcal{P}^{p-2} u_2$  and  $\beta y$  which restricts to  $\beta \mathcal{P}^{p-2} u_2$ . However in  $B^{[0, 2r]}$ , the restriction of the transgression of  $\mathcal{P}^{p-3} u_3$  is  $-2\beta \mathcal{P}^{p-2} u_2$  so that in  $B^{[0, 3r]}$ ,  $\beta y$  must come from  $B^{[0, r]}$ .

LEMMA.  $y$  can be chosen so that in  $B^{[0, 3r]}$   $\beta y$  can be used in place of  $\mathcal{P}^{p-1} \beta q$  as a generator.

*Proof.* In  $B_G$  we have the  $Wu$  formula  $\beta q_p = \mathcal{P}^{p-1} \beta q_1 + \text{poly}(q_i, \beta q_i, i < p)$ . We know that  $q_p$  must be of the form  $\lambda y + \mu \beta e_1 + \text{poly}(q_i, \beta q_i, i < p)$ . By changing our choice of  $y$  we can assume  $q_p = \lambda y + \mu \beta e_1$ . Since  $\beta q_p \neq 0$  or since  $q_p \neq 0$  in  $B_U$ , we know  $\lambda$  can be assumed to be 1. Thus we have

$$\beta y = \mathcal{P}^{p-1} \beta q_1 + \text{poly}(q_i, \beta q_i, i < p). (*)$$

This establishes the lemma.

We study this relation further. Since in  $B^{[0, 2r]}$ ,  $\beta y$  restricts to  $\beta \mathcal{P}^{p-2} u_2$ , this relation (\*) does not hold in  $B^{[0, 2r]}$  but must hold in  $B^{[0, 3r]}$ . Therefore in  $B^{[0, 3r]}$  we have  $\bar{\beta} \mathcal{P}^{p-1} \beta q_1 = -\bar{\beta} \text{poly}(q_i, \beta q_i, i < p)$ . Since the left hand side is primitive, the right hand side must be also and therefore is zero; so  $\bar{\beta} \mathcal{P}^{p-1} \beta q_1$  lifts to zero in  $B^{[0, 3r]}$ . Now

in  $B^{[0, 2r]}$  we have seen  $\beta_2 \mathcal{P}^{p-1} \beta q$  restricts to  $\beta \mathcal{P}^{p-2} \beta u_2$ . In other words,  $\beta \mathcal{P}^{p-1} \beta q$  is  $p$  times something, call it  $z$ , which restricts to  $\lambda_2 \bar{\beta} \mathcal{P}^{p-2} \beta u_2$  where  $\lambda_2$  is not divisible by  $p$ . For  $\bar{\beta} \mathcal{P}^{p-1} \beta q$  to lift to zero in  $B^{[0, 3r]}$ , it must be killed by the transgression of some multiple of  $\bar{\beta} \mathcal{P}^{p-3} u_3$ , since there is no other potential assassin. Now  $\beta \mathcal{P}^{p-2} \beta u_2$  is killed by  $\mathcal{P}^{p-3} \beta u_3$  but there is no integral class in  $K(Z_p, 3r)$  which can kill  $\bar{\beta} \mathcal{P}^{p-2} \beta u_2$  so  $z$  survives to  $B^{[0, 3r]}$  but its mod  $p$  reduction lifts to zero, i.e.  $z$  lifts to  $p$  times something which must restrict non-trivially to the fibre, which is to say to  $\lambda_3 \bar{\beta} \mathcal{P}^{p-3} \beta u_3$  for some  $\lambda_3$  not divisible by  $p$ .

LEMMA.  $\beta_2 \mathcal{P}^{p-m} h_m$  restricts to  $\lambda_{m-1} \beta \mathcal{P}^{p-m+1} \beta u_{m-1}$  for  $\lambda \neq 0 \in Z_p$ .

*Proof.*  $\mathcal{P}^{p-m} h_m$  restricts to  $\beta \mathcal{P}^{p-m+1} u_{m-1}$ , but  $\bar{\beta} \mathcal{P}^{p-m+1} u_{m-1}$  transgresses to  $\bar{\beta} \mathcal{P}^{p-m+1} h_{m-1}$  which by induction is non-trivial. Therefore  $\mathcal{P}^{p-m} h_m$  is not the reduction of an integral class and so  $\bar{\beta} \mathcal{P}^{p-m} h_m$  must be non-trivial. Since  $\beta \mathcal{P}^{p-m} h_m$  is zero,  $\bar{\beta} \mathcal{P}^{p-m} h_m$  must be divisible by  $p$ . In this dimension, the image of  $B^{[0, (m-2)r]}$  is all of order  $p$  ( $p$  times  $\bar{\beta}_2 \mathcal{P}^{p-m+1} h_{m-1}$  has been killed by  $\bar{\beta} \mathcal{P}^{p-m+1} u_{m-1}$ ) so the only classes which can possibly have order greater than  $p$  are those which restrict non-trivially to the fibre, i.e. to  $\lambda_{m-1} \bar{\beta} \mathcal{P}^{p-m+1} \beta u_{m-1}$ . Since the fibre has no more than  $p$ -torsion in this dimension, the class in  $B^{[0, (m-1)r]}$  has order at most  $p^2$ . Therefore  $\beta_2 \mathcal{P}^{p-m} h_m$  is non-zero and restricts to  $\lambda_{m-1} \beta \mathcal{P}^{p-m+1} \beta u_{m-1}$ .

For  $m=p$ , we have a slight modification due to the fact that  $h_p$  is a class of  $B^{[0, pr-1]}$ , not  $B^{[0, (p-1)r]}$ . We can still show  $\beta_2 V_p$  restricts to  $\lambda_{p-1} \beta \mathcal{P}^1 u_{p-1}$ . Since some choice of  $V_p$  lifts to  $h_p$ ,  $\beta_2 h_p$  is the image of  $\beta_2 V_p$ .

### 7. $H^*(B^{[0, n]})$ for $n=(p-1)r$ and $pr-1$

So far we have used Toda's exact sequence for the Steenrod algebra and the fact that the Steenrod algebra approximates  $H^*(Z_p, n; Z_p)$ . What of  $H^*(Z_{p^2}, n; Z_p)$ ? The description is formally the same as for  $(Z_p, n)$  except that for every element  $\theta$  of the Steenrod algebra such that  $\theta \beta \iota_n$  is a generator of  $H^*(Z_p, n; Z_p)$ , the class  $\theta \beta_2 \iota_n$  appears as a generator of  $H^*(Z_{p^2}, n; Z_p)$ , which thus stably is additively isomorphic to  $A/A\beta + (A/A\beta) \beta_2$ . Consider

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A/A\beta + A/A\beta & \xrightarrow{\beta \mathcal{P}^1 - \beta \mathcal{P}^1 \beta} & A & \xrightarrow{-\mathcal{P}^1 \beta + 2 \beta \mathcal{P}^1} & A \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H^*(Z_{p^2}, pr) & \xrightarrow{i^* \tau} & H^*(Z_p, (p-1)r) & \xrightarrow{\tau} & H^*(Z_p, (p-2)r) \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are as before except for the first which is  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2) \rightarrow \alpha_1 \iota_{pr-1} / \lambda_{p-1} (\alpha_2 \beta_2 \iota_{pr})$ . Our computations of  $h_{p-1}$ ,  $h_p$  and  $\beta_2 h_p$  give commutativity of the diagram. The top line is exact by TODA. [There is a crucial misprint in Toda but the dual sequence (Proposition 1.1) is correct and gives the above.] We conclude that in the stable range (i.e. dimension less than  $p(p-1)r$ ) the generators in  $B^{[0, (p-1)r]}$  which

restrict non-trivially to the fibre are the transgressions of generators in  $K(Z_{p^2}, pr)$ . Thus we have verified Theorem 1 for  $n=(p-1)r$ , and the surviving generators remain the same. Since the  $k$ -invariant for  $\pi_{pr-1}$  is trivial, we easily check Theorem 1 for  $n=pr-1$ .

### 8. $n \geq pr$

In  $B^{[0, (p-1)r]}$  we can compute directly that the restrictions of  $\mathcal{P}^1 \beta_2 h_p$  and  $\lambda_{p-1} \beta \mathcal{P}^1 h_p$  agree. Milnor's remarks again apply to show  $(\mathcal{P}^1 \beta_2 - \lambda_{p-1} \beta \mathcal{P}^1) h_p = 0$ . We set  $V_{p+1} = \mathcal{P}^1 \beta_2 u_p - \lambda_{p-1} \beta \mathcal{P}^1 u_p$  and would like to show that  $h_{p+1}$  restricts to a non-zero multiple of  $V_{p+1}$ .

Unfortunately the next homotopy group is not  $\pi_{(p+1)r}$  but rather  $\pi_{(p+1)r-2}$ . If  $\beta$  is a generator of  $\pi_{pr-1}$  and  $\alpha_1$  is a generator of the stable group  $\pi_{n+r-1}(S^n)$ , then  $\pi_{(p+1)r-2}(B_G)$  is generated by  $\beta \alpha_1$  [4, II: Theorem 4.15]. Since  $\alpha_1$  is detected by  $\mathcal{P}^1$ , the  $k$ -invariant for  $\pi_{(p+1)r-2}$  must restrict to  $\mathcal{P}^1 e_1$  in  $K(Z_p, pr-1)$ . [A direct cohomological argument can be derived from Toda.] Since  $H^*(B^{[0, pr]})$  has no cohomology in dimensions congruent to  $-1 \pmod r$  except for classes involving  $e_1$ ,  $\mathcal{P}^1 e_1$  and  $qe_1$  are the only classes in dimension  $(p+1)r-1$ . Since the  $k$ -invariant is primitive and  $e_1$  is, the  $k$ -invariant must be  $\mathcal{P}^1 e_1$ .

Modulo classes which restrict non-trivially to  $K(Z_{p^2}, pr)$  or  $K(Z_p, (p+1)r-2)$ ,  $H^*(B^{[0, (p+1)r-2]})$  is freely generated by the images of generators of  $H^*(B^{[0, (p-1)r]})$  and classes corresponding to an additive basis of  $(A/A\mathcal{P}^1) e_1$ .

Now let us look at  $h_{p+1}$ , the  $k$ -invariant for  $\pi_{(p+1)r}$ .

LEMMA.  $h_{p+1}$  can be used as a generator of  $H^*(B^{[0, (p+1)r-2]})$  in place of a class which restricts to  $V_{p+1}$ .

*Proof.* We apply Milnor's argument (3.10) after first noting that  $H^{(p+1)r+1}(K(Z_p, (p+1)r-2)) = 0$  so that  $h_{p+1}$  is in the image of  $B^{[0, pr]}$ . If  $h_{p+1}$  were not the image of  $\bar{V}_{p+1}$  for some  $\bar{V}_{p+1}$  which restricts to  $(\mathcal{P}^1 \beta_2 - \lambda_{p-1} \beta \mathcal{P}^1) u_p$ , it would be the image of a primitive class in  $B^{[0, pr-1]}$ . The only such classes are  $\beta \mathcal{P}^1 \beta e_1$  and possibly polynomials in  $\beta \mathcal{P}^1 y$  and the other Wu generators. Since  $h_{p+1}$  is in dimension  $(p+1)r+1$ , every term of the polynomial must contain a bockstein. In  $B_U^{[0, (p+1)r-1]}$ , Bocksteins are zero in dimensions  $< (p+1)r$  since they are zero in  $B_U$  and in dimension  $(p+1)r$  as observed by MILNOR. Milnor's argument (Lemma 3) again shows  $h_{p+1}$  does not go to zero in  $B_U^{[0, (p+1)r-1]}$  so the lemma follows.

To compute  $H^*(B^{[0, pr]})$ , we turn to Toda's exact sequence

$$A \xrightarrow{\beta \mathcal{P}^1, \mathcal{P}^1} A/A\beta + A/A\beta \xrightarrow{\beta \mathcal{P}^1 - \beta \mathcal{P}^1 \beta} A$$

and conclude in the stable range that the generators in  $B^{[0, pr]}$  which restrict non-trivially to  $K(Z_p, pr)$  are the transgressions of generators of  $K(Z_p, (p+1)r)$ . From here on the argument is very similar to Milnor's for  $B^{[0, mr]}$ ,  $2 \leq m < p$ , simplified by

being in the stable range and by using Toda's sequence. In general for  $2 \leq m < p$ ,  $h_{p+m}$  restricts to some non-zero multiple of

$$(m \mathcal{P}^1 \beta - (m - 1) \beta \mathcal{P}^1) u_{p+m-1} \quad \text{in} \quad K(Z_p, (p + m - 1)r).$$

Finally we consider the exotic group  $\pi_{2pr-3}$  generated by  $\beta \beta_1$  where  $\beta_1 \in \pi_{pr-2+n}(S^n)$  corresponds to  $\beta$ .  $\beta_1$  is detected by a secondary operation  $\varphi$  based on the relation  $\mathcal{P}^{p-1} \mathcal{P}^1 = 0$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}^1 e_1$  has been killed by  $\pi_{(p+1)r-2}$ ,  $\varphi e_1$  is defined and is the only primitive class in this dimension (congruent to  $-1 \pmod r$ ). It is therefore the  $k$ -invariant.

From Toda's exact sequence [4; I: Prop. 1.6]

$$A \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}^1} A \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}^{p-1}} A \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}^1} A$$

we can conclude that in dimensions less than  $2pr$ ,  $H^*(B^{[0, 2pr-3]})$  is freely generated by

$$\begin{array}{llll} \mathcal{P}^i q & i < p - 1 & \mathcal{P}^i \mathcal{P}^1 q & p \leq i < 2(p - 1) \quad y, \mathcal{P}^1 y, \beta \mathcal{P}^1 y \\ \mathcal{P}^i \beta q & i \leq p - 1 & \mathcal{P}^i \mathcal{P}^1 \beta q & p \leq i \leq 2(p - 1) \end{array}$$

and  $\{\theta e_1\}$  where  $\theta$  runs over an additive basis of  $A/A\mathcal{P}^1$ . Since the next homotopy group is  $\pi_{2pr}$ , the same statement holds for  $H^*(B_G)$  in dimensions  $< 2pr$ .

### 9. Wu classes

**THEOREM 2.** In dimensions  $< 2pr$ ,  $H^*(B_G)$  is freely generated by the Wu classes  $q_i$ , their Bocksteins  $\beta q_i$  and  $\{\theta e_1\}$  where  $\theta$  runs over an additive basis of  $A/A\mathcal{P}^1$ .

*Proof.* As MILNOR has observed,  $q_{j+1}$  can replace  $\mathcal{P}^j q$  as a generator for  $j < p - 1$ . By the same reasoning,  $q_{i+j+1}$  can replace  $\mathcal{P}^i \mathcal{P}^j q$  for  $j < p - 1$  since  $\mathcal{P}^i q_{j+1} = (-1)^i \binom{(j+1)(p-1)-1}{i} q_{i+j+1} + \text{polynomial in lower } q_k$  and the binomial coefficient is non-zero for  $pj \leq i < (p-1)(j+1)$ ,  $j < p - 1$ . This gives us  $q_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq p - 1$  and  $p + 2 \leq k \leq 2p - 1$ . The Wu class  $q_p$  is independent of  $q_i$  for  $i < p$  in  $B_U$  and hence must be of the form  $\lambda y + \text{poly}(q_i, \beta q_i, \beta e_1)$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$ , so  $q_p$  can replace  $y$ . Again  $\mathcal{P}^1 q_p = q_{p+1}$  modulo lower  $q_k$  so  $q_{p+1}$  can be used in place of  $\mathcal{P}^1 y$ . Similar computations show that  $\mathcal{P}^i \mathcal{P}^j \beta q_1$  can be replaced by  $\beta q_{i+j+1}$  so as to give us  $\beta q_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq p$  and  $p + 2 \leq k \leq 2p$ . The remaining  $\beta q_{p+1}$  appears to replace  $\beta \mathcal{P}^1 y$ .

### 10. The case $p = 3$

Certain modifications are necessary when  $p = 3$ . The computation of  $H^*(B^{[0, 2r]}; Z_p)$  is altered because  $\beta V_3 = 0$  and  $\beta_2 V_3$  must be used instead, but the general remarks about  $h_p$  still apply and the classes  $y, \mathcal{P}^1 y, \beta \mathcal{P}^1 y$  appear just as for  $p > 3$ . The results are isomorphic.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] S. GITLER and J. STASHEFF, *The first exotic class of  $BF$* , *Topology* 4 (1965), 257–266.
- [2] J. MILNOR, *On characteristic classes for spherical fibre spaces*, *Comment. Math. Helv.* 43 (1968), 51–77.
- [3] H. TODA, *On iterated suspensions I*, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* 5-1 (1965), 87–142.
- [4] H. TODA,  *$p$ -primary components of homotopy groups, I. Exact sequences in Steenrod algebra; IV. Compositions and toric constructions*, *Memoirs Coll. Sci., Univ. of Kyoto [A]* 31 (1958), 129–142 and 32 (1959), 297–332.