

Character Modules

Autor(en): **Fieldhouse, D.J.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **46 (1971)**

PDF erstellt am: **05.08.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-35521>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Character Modules

by D. J. FIELDHOUSE (University of Guelph, Guelph, Ontario, Canada)

1. Introduction

In this paper we use the Bourbaki [2] conventions for rings and modules: all rings are associative but not necessarily commutative and have a 1; all modules are unital.

For any A -module M let $M^* = \text{Hom}_z [M, Q/Z] = [M, Q/Z]_z = [M, Q/Z]$ denote the character module, where Q =rationals and Z =integers. Then $*$ is an exact contravariant zero reflecting functor from \mathbf{A} to \mathbf{A}^{opp} where \mathbf{A} is the category of left (or right) A -modules. Details may be found in Lambek [7], who has shown [6] that M is flat iff M^* is injective. Here we extend this result by showing that $\text{wd } M = \text{injd } M^*$ where wd =weak dimension and injd =injective dimension. For coherent rings we show that $\text{wd } M^* \leq \text{injd } M$ with equality iff the ring is noetherian. Finally we give a new characterization of rings for which pure submodules are always direct summands.

2. The Dimension Theorems

THEOREM 2.1. *For all M we have $\text{wd } M = \text{injd } M^*$*

Proof. Since Q/Z is Z -injective, we have $\text{Ext}^n(N, M^*) \cong (\text{Tor}^n(N, M))^*$ for all N and all $n \geq 0$. (See Cartan-Eilenberg [3] p. 120.) Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wd } M \leq n &\Leftrightarrow \text{Tor}^{n+1}(N, M) = 0 \text{ for all } N \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\text{Tor}^{n+1}(N, M))^* = 0 \text{ for all } N \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(N, M^*) = 0 \text{ for all } N \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{injd } M^* \leq n \end{aligned}$$

We recall that A is left coherent iff every finitely presented left A -module is coherent, which means that all finitely generated submodules are finitely presented. For details see Bourbaki ([2] p. 62–3).

LEMMA. *If A is left coherent then every finitely presented left A -module has a resolution by finitely generated free modules.*

Proof. Clearly it suffices to show that if $O \rightarrow K \rightarrow F \rightarrow M \rightarrow O$ is exact with F finitely generated free and M finitely presented then K is finitely presented. Since M is finitely presented and F is finitely generated we have K finitely generated. But F is finitely presented and hence so is K .

THEOREM 2.2. *Let A be left coherent. Then $\text{wd } M^* \leq \text{injd } M$ for all left A -modules M . Equality holds for all M iff A is left noetherian.*

Proof. Since A is left coherent we have, by Cartan-Eilenberg ([3] p. 120–1), $\text{Tor}^n(M^*, N) \cong (\text{Ext}^n(N, M))^*$ for all M and all finitely presented N . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{injd } M \leq n &\Leftrightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(N, M) = 0 \text{ for all } N \\ &\Leftrightarrow (\text{Ext}^{n+1}(N, M))^* = 0 \text{ for all } N \\ &\Rightarrow \text{Tor}^{n+1}(M^*, N) = 0 \text{ for all finitely presented } N \\ &\Leftrightarrow \text{wd } M^* \leq n \end{aligned}$$

whence $\text{wd } M^* \leq \text{injd } M$.

Suppose equality holds. If $M = \bigoplus_I M_i$ over any index set I then it is easy to see that $M^* = \pi_I M_i^*$. If each M_i is injective then each M_i^* is flat and πM_i^* is flat since A is coherent. Since we have equality M is injective and hence A is noetherian by a criterion of Bass [1]. If A is left noetherian the first isomorphism holds for all finitely generated N . Hence:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wd } M^* \leq n &\Rightarrow \text{Ext}^{n+1}(A/I, M) = 0 \text{ for all left ideals } I \text{ of } A \\ &\Rightarrow \text{injd } M \leq n \end{aligned}$$

and we have equality.

3. Purity

A short exact sequence of left A -modules $E: O \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow E_3 \rightarrow O$ is *pure exact* in the sense of Cohn [4] iff for all (or equivalently for all finitely presented) right A -modules M we have $O \rightarrow M \otimes E_1 \rightarrow M \otimes E_2 \rightarrow M \otimes E_3 \rightarrow O$ exact. We have shown in (5) that this is equivalent to $[N, E_2] \rightarrow [N, E_3]$ being epic for all finitely presented left A -modules N .

THEOREM 3.1. *For any short exact sequence $E: O \rightarrow E_1 \rightarrow E_2 \rightarrow E_3 \rightarrow O$ let E^* denote the corresponding character sequence: $O \leftarrow E_1^* \leftarrow E_2^* \leftarrow E_3^* \leftarrow O$. Then the following are equivalent for any such E .*

- (1) E is pure exact.
- (2) E^* is split exact.
- (3) E^* is pure exact.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2). From Theorem 2.1 we have for $n=0$: $[N, M^*] \cong (N \otimes M)^*$. Since E is pure exact we have $E_1^* \otimes E_1 \rightarrow E_1^* \otimes E_2$ monic

$$\text{whence } (E_1^* \otimes E_1)^* \leftarrow (E_1^* \otimes E_2)^* \text{ epic}$$

$$\text{i.e. } [E_1^*, E_1^*] \leftarrow [E_1^*, E_2^*] \text{ epic and } E^* \text{ splits.}$$

(2) \Rightarrow (3) since every split exact sequence is pure exact. (3) \Rightarrow (1) For all finitely

presented N we have, by Bourbaki ([2] p. 63 Ex.14) $M^* \otimes N \cong [N, M]^*$ for all M and hence

E^* pure exact

$\Rightarrow: E_2^* \otimes N \leftarrow E_3^* \otimes N$ monic

$\Rightarrow: [N, E_2]^* \leftarrow [N, E_3]^*$ monic

$\Rightarrow: [N, E_2] \rightarrow [N, E_3]$ epic

and E is pure exact.

COROLLARY 1. *E is pure exact iff E^* is split exact.*

COROLLARY 2. *A is a ring for which all pure submodules are direct summands iff E split exact $\Leftrightarrow E^*$ split exact.*

Remark: Such rings are called PDS rings, and have been studied in [5].

Recall that a module M is pure simple (resp. indecomposable) iff there are no pure submodules (resp. direct summands) other than O and M .

COROLLARY 3. *A module M is pure simple iff M^* is indecomposable.*

REFERENCES

- [1] BASS, H., *Injective Dimension in Noetherian Rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1962), 18–29.
- [2] BOURBAKI, J., *Algèbre Commutative*, Chap. I.
- [3] CARTAN–EILENBERG, *Homological Algebra*.
- [4] COHN, P. M., *On the Free Product of Associative Rings*, Math. Z. 71 (1959), 380–398.
- [5] FIELDHOUSE, D., *Pure Theories*, Math. Ann. 184 (1969), 1–18.
- [6] LAMBEK, J., *A Module is Flat if and only if its Character Module is Injective*, Canad. Math. Bull. 7 (1964), 279–289.
- [7] LAMBEK, J., *Lectures on Rings and Modules* (Blaisdell, (1966)).

Received September 7, 1970