

Partitions of Graphs into Coverings and Hypergraphs into Transversals

Autor(en): **Werra, D. de**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **49 (1974)**

PDF erstellt am: **16.08.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-37986>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Partitions of Graphs into Coverings and Hypergraphs into Transversals

D. DE WERRA

Abstract

A covering of a multigraph G is a subset of edges which meet all vertices of G . Partitions of the edges of G into coverings C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k are considered.

In particular we examine how close the cardinalities of these coverings may be. A result concerning matchings is extended to the decomposition into coverings. Finally these considerations are generalized to the decompositions of the vertices of a hypergraph into transversals (a transversal is a set of vertices meeting all edges of the hypergraph).

Introduction

In this note a multigraph $G = (X, U)$ consists of a finite non-empty set X of vertices and a set U of edges.

A *covering* C in G is a subset of edges such that each vertex of G is adjacent to at least one edge of C . Given a multigraph G we will consider partitions of the edges of G into coverings C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k . (Such a partition exists only if each vertex x has degree at least k , i.e. if any x is adjacent to at least k edges). The cardinality of C_i will be denoted by c_i .

We will first examine the following question: given a multigraph G when does a given finite sequence $c_1 \geq c_2 \geq \dots \geq c_k \geq 0$ represent the cardinalities of a partition of U into coverings?

A similar problem concerning partitions into matchings (i.e. subsets of nonadjacent edges) has been solved in [1] and [2].

In §2 the problem is formulated in terms of hypergraphs; we now have partitions of the vertices into transversals and we examine in particular how close the cardinalities of transversals in a partition can be.

All notions not defined here can be found in [3].

§1. Partitions into Coverings

Let us call *covering index* $i(G)$ of G the largest k for which there exists a partition of the edges of G into k coverings C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k .

To each such partition we associate a sequence c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k where c_i is the cardinality of C_i and where the indices are chosen in such a way that $c_1 \leq c_2 \leq \dots \leq c_k$.

We may now formulate a theorem which is quite similar to the matching case.

THEOREM 1. *If the sequence c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k corresponds to a partition of the edges of G into coverings, then any sequence $\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2, \dots, \bar{c}_k$ with*

$$\bar{c}_1 \leq \bar{c}_2 \leq \dots \leq \bar{c}_k$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \bar{c}_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^r c_i \quad r=1, \dots, k$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \bar{c}_i = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i$$

corresponds also to a partition of the edges of G into coverings.

Proof. We only have to prove that any couple of coverings C_i, C_j with $c_i - c_j = K \geq 2$ may be replaced by two disjoint coverings \bar{C}_i, \bar{C}_j with $c_i - c_j = K - 2$ and $\bar{C}_i \cup \bar{C}_j = C_i \cup C_j$; then by repeated transformations of this type we will obtain any sequence $\bar{c}_1, \bar{c}_2, \dots, \bar{c}_k$ satisfying the above condition.

Let G_{ij} be the graph formed by the edges of $C_i \cup C_j$; in G_{ij} we construct an alternating chain (i.e. its edges belong alternately to C_i and C_j) and extend it as far as possible (it may happen that this chain goes through the same vertex several times). We remove it from G_{ij} and we construct another alternating chain which is as long as possible in the remaining graph. We remove it and continue until we obtain an alternating chain Q starting and ending with edges in C_i (such a chain must exist since $c_i - c_j = K \geq 2$). We interchange the edges of $Q \cap C_i$ and $Q \cap C_j$ and obtain two subsets \bar{C}_i, \bar{C}_j with $\bar{c}_i - \bar{c}_j = K - 2$. \bar{C}_i and \bar{C}_j are still coverings: at each endpoint of Q there were (before the interchange) more edges of C_i than of C_j (i.e. at least 2 edges of C_i), so after the interchange \bar{C}_i and \bar{C}_j have at least one edge at each endpoint of Q as well as at any other vertex of G .

Now if we are interested in knowing how close the cardinalities of coverings in a partition can be, we have the following immediate consequence of the theorem.

COROLLARY. *For any $k \leq i(G)$, there exists a partition of the edges of G into coverings C_1, C_2, \dots, C_k with cardinalities c_1, c_2, \dots, c_k satisfying: $|c_i - c_j| \leq 1$ $i, j = 1, \dots, k$.*

Remark. The proof of Theorem 1 may be adapted to the case of p -bounded colorations [4] for which a similar result holds.

§2. Transversals in Hypergraphs

A hypergraph $H = (X, U)$ consists of a finite set X of vertices and a family U of nonempty edges E_j ($j = 1, \dots, m$) satisfying $\bigcup_{j=1}^m U_j = X$.

A transversal is a subset T of vertices such that $T \cap E_j \neq \emptyset$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$.

$H(p)$ will denote any hypergraph in which any vertex belongs to at most p edges.

Let T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k be a partition of the vertices of a hypergraph $H(p)$ into transversals and let t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k be their cardinalities. If $p=1$, all edges are disjoint; in this case it is easy to obtain from T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k a partition $\bar{T}_1, \bar{T}_2, \dots, \bar{T}_k$ with $|\bar{t}_i - \bar{t}_j| \leq 1$, $i, j=1, \dots, k$.

Hence we will assume that $p \geq 2$ in the remainder of the note.

LEMMA. Any two transversals T_i, T_j of $H(p)$ with $t_j > (p-1)t_i + 1$ may be replaced by two transversals \bar{T}_i, \bar{T}_j with $\bar{t}_i \leq \bar{t}_j \leq (p-1)\bar{t}_i + 1$.

Proof. Consider the subhypergraph $H_{ij} = \langle T_i \cup T_j \rangle$ spanned by $T_i \cup T_j$ (its edges are $(T_i \cup T_j) \cap E_r$ for $r=1, \dots, m$).

We will associate to H_{ij} a graph G_{ij} whose vertices are those of $T_i \cup T_j$; its edges which will be called *heavy edges* are obtained as follows:

initially there are no heavy edges. We examine consecutively all edges E of H_{ij} (note that for each E , $T_i \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $T_j \cap E \neq \emptyset$)

a) if in edge E no pair of vertices x, y with $x \in T_i \cap E$ and $y \in T_j \cap E$ is joined by a heavy edge, then we pick up one such pair (x, y) and it becomes a heavy edge.

b) if in edge E there is already a pair x, y with $x \in T_i \cap E$ and $y \in T_j \cap E$ which is a heavy edge, we simply examine the next edge of H_{ij} .

By construction, G_{ij} is bipartite; besides no vertex in G_{ij} has a degree greater than p (since no vertex belongs to more than p edges of H_{ij}).

Assume now that $t_j = t_i + M > (p-1)t_i + 1$. G_{ij} has at most $t_i \cdot p$ edges and $2t_i + M \geq t_i \cdot p + 2$ vertices, hence it cannot be connected.

So there must exist a connected component G'_{ij} of G_{ij} with $t'_i < t'_j = t'_i + L \leq (p-1) \times t'_i + 1$ where t'_i and t'_j are the cardinalities of the subsets T'_i and T'_j of vertices of G'_{ij} belonging to T_i and T_j respectively.

We now interchange the vertices of T'_i and T'_j , thus T_i and T_j are replaced by subsets \bar{T}_i, \bar{T}_j . We have to show that \bar{T}_i and \bar{T}_j are transversals of H_{ij} and consequently of $H(p)$.

Notice that each edge of H contains exactly one heavy edge of G_{ij} and possibly isolated vertices of G_{ij} (it may occur that a heavy edge belongs to several edges of H).

So changing the colour of an isolated vertex of G_{ij} will still give two transversals \bar{T}_i, \bar{T}_j . Furthermore by interchanging the colours of the vertices in a connected component of G_{ij} we also obtain transversals: all edges containing a heavy edge of G'_{ij} will still be met by \bar{T}_i and \bar{T}_j and the edges containing only nonadjacent vertices of G'_{ij} must contain a heavy edge of another component of G_{ij} ; hence they will also be met by \bar{T}_i and \bar{T}_j .

Finally observe that

$$0 < L \leq (p-2)t'_i + 1 \leq (p-2)t_i + 1 < M$$

So the cardinalities \bar{t}_i and \bar{t}_j satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} t_i < \bar{t}_i = \bar{t}_i + L < t_i + M = t_j \\ t_i = t_j - M < t_j - L = \bar{t}_j < t_j \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \max(\bar{t}_i, \bar{t}_j) < t_j \\ \min(\bar{t}_i, \bar{t}_j) > t_i \end{aligned}$$

Let us choose the indices so that $\bar{t}_j \geq \bar{t}_i$; if we still have $\bar{t}_j > (p-1)\bar{t}_i + 1$, we may repeat the interchange procedure; we will ultimately obtain transversals \bar{T}_i, \bar{T}_j satisfying

$$\bar{t}_i \leq \bar{t}_j \leq (p-1)\bar{t}_i + 1.$$

We denote by q_H the greatest number k of transversals T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k in a partition of H .

THEOREM 2. *For any $k \leq q_H$, there exists a partition of the vertices of $H(p)$ into transversals T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k with cardinalities t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k satisfying: $\max_i(t_i) \leq (p-1)\min_i(t_i) + 1$.*

Proof. The theorem follows directly from the previous lemma: as long as we have in the partition two transversals T_i, T_j satisfying $t_j > (p-1)t_i + 1$ we perform the interchange procedure described in the lemma. Finally we will obtain a partition with cardinalities $t_1 \geq t_2 \geq \dots \geq t_k$ satisfying $(p-1)t_k + 1 \geq t_1$.

Remark. The partitioning problem of §1 is in fact a problem of transversals in the dual hypergraph H of G : each edge of G is a vertex of H ; to each vertex x of G we associate an edge E_x ; it contains all vertices corresponding to edges of G which are adjacent to x . Clearly no vertex of H belongs to more than 2 edges. Coverings in G correspond to transversals in H .

Since $p=2$, interchanges may be performed whenever $|t_j - t_i| > 1$, this means that Theorem 1 holds.

REFERENCES

- [1] FOLKMAN, J. and FULKERSON, D. R., *Edge colorings in bipartite graphs*, in: Combinatorial Mathematics and its applications (University of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, 1969).
- [2] DE WERRA, D. *On some combinatorial problems arising in scheduling*, Canadian Op. Res. Soc. J. 8, No. 3, Nov. 71, p. 165-175.
- [3] BERGE, C. *Graphes et hypergraphes*, Dunod, Paris, 1970.
- [4] DE WERRA, D. *Equitable colorations of graphs*, Revue française d'informatique et de recherche opérationnelle, No R-3, (1971), 3-8.

Received 20. 7. 1973

*Département de Mathématiques
EPFL,
26, Av. de Conr,
1007, Lausanne,
Switzerland*