

# Poincaré Duality and Groups of Type (FP).

Autor(en): **Farrell, F. Thomas**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **50 (1975)**

PDF erstellt am: **11.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-38804>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## Poincaré Duality and Groups of Type (FP)

F. THOMAS FARRELL

### 0. Introduction

This paper continues our study of the groups  $H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  begun in [3]. (Here  $\Gamma$  is a group and  $k$  is an arbitrary field.) There we generally restricted ourselves to the case  $n=2$ ; here we allow  $n$  to be arbitrary, but usually require  $\Gamma$  to satisfy rather strong finiteness conditions.

In particular our main result (Theorem 1) applies only to *groups of type (FP) over  $k$* . (See section 1 for the definition of this term.) It states that if the first non-vanishing  $H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  contains a non-zero finite-dimensional (over  $k$ ) sub- $k\Gamma$ -module, then  $H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  has dimension 1 and the remaining  $H^i(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  vanish.

As a consequence we obtain the following extension of some results from [3].

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely presented, torsion-free group, then any sub- $k\Gamma$ -module of  $H^2(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  has dimension 0, 1, or  $\infty$ .*

Our second application shows that  $\Gamma$  satisfies Poincaré duality under weaker assumptions than were previously known. Namely Theorem 3 states the following. If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely presented group of type (FP) and the first non-vanishing  $H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$  is finitely generated (as an abelian group), then  $\Gamma$  is a Poincaré duality group.

This paper is an extension of some observations of A. Borel and J-P. Serre. They had obtained, previous to my work, the following facts about groups  $\Gamma$  of type (FP) such that  $H^i(\Gamma, k\Gamma)=0$  for all  $i \neq n$ :

(a)  $\dim H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma)=0, 1, \text{ or } \infty$ ;

(b) if  $H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  has a proper  $k\Gamma$ -subspace of finite codimension, then  $H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  has no non-zero finite-dimensional  $k\Gamma$ -subspace.

They had also obtained results in the case where  $k$  is replaced by  $\mathbf{Z}$ .

I wish to thank Professor Serre for communicating their results to me and for encouraging me in my own work.

### 1. Preliminaries

*Notation.* Throughout this paper  $k$  denotes an arbitrary field and  $\Gamma$  a group. Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two  $k$ -vector spaces, then the collection of linear transformations from  $V$  to

$W$  is denoted by  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$ , and  $V \otimes W$  expresses the tensor product of  $V$  with  $W$  over  $k$ . If  $V$  and  $W$  are  $k\Gamma$ -modules, then  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  and  $V \otimes W$  are also  $k\Gamma$ -modules where the  $\Gamma$ -structures are defined by the equations

$$(\gamma \cdot f)(x) = \gamma f(\gamma^{-1}x), \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma \cdot (x \otimes y) = \gamma x \otimes \gamma y$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $f \in \text{Hom}(V, W)$ ,  $x \in V$  and  $y \in W$ . If  $V$  is a  $k\Gamma$ -module (or  $k$ -vector space), then the *dimension of  $V$* , abbreviated  $\dim V$ , refers to the dimension of the underlying  $k$ -vector space.

LEMMA 1. *If  $V$  and  $W$  are two  $k\Gamma$ -modules with  $W$  free and  $0 < \dim V < \infty$ , then  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  is free. In fact,  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  is  $k\Gamma$ -isomorphic to the direct sum of  $s$ -copies of  $W$  where  $s = \dim V$ .*

*Proof.* Our argument is modeled after that of Proposition 1 on page 149 of [8]. Since  $W$  is free, it contains a  $k$ -subspace  $X$  such that  $W$  can be expressed as the following direct sum.

$$W = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \cdot X.$$

Because  $\dim V$  is finite,  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  is the direct sum of the  $k$ -subspaces  $\text{Hom}(V, \gamma \cdot X)$ ; but  $\text{Hom}(V, \gamma \cdot X) = \text{Hom}(\gamma^{-1} \cdot V, \gamma \cdot X) = \gamma \cdot \text{Hom}(V, X)$ . Hence if  $Y$  denotes  $\text{Hom}(V, X)$  given the trivial  $\Gamma$ -structure, then  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  is  $k\Gamma$ -isomorphic to  $k\Gamma \otimes Y$ . If we also give  $X$  the trivial  $\Gamma$ -structure, then  $Y$  is isomorphic to  $s$ -copies of  $X$ . Therefore  $\text{Hom}(V, W)$  is  $k\Gamma$ -isomorphic to  $s$ -copies of  $k\Gamma \otimes X$ . But this completes our proof since  $W$  is  $k\Gamma$ -isomorphic to  $k\Gamma \otimes X$ .

LEMMA 2. *If  $V$  and  $W$  are two  $k\Gamma$ -modules, then*

$$\text{Ext}_{k\Gamma}^n(V, W) \cong H^n(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(V, W))$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* This lemma is well-known. (Compare [7], page 272, exercises 4–6.) Hence we only sketch its proof.

Denote the functors  $A \mapsto H^n(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(A, W))$  by  $E^n(A)$ . (Here  $A$  is a  $k\Gamma$ -module and  $n \geq 0$ .) Then the  $E^n$  satisfy the axiomatic description ([7], Theorem 10.1) of the functors  $A \mapsto \text{Ext}_{k\Gamma}^n(A, W)$ .

The only axiom which is difficult to verify is that

$$E^n(F) = 0 \quad \text{for } n > 0 \quad \text{and all free modules } F.$$

To do this one proves first, by an argument similar to that in the proof of Lemma 1, that  $\text{Hom}(F, W)$  is *co-induced over  $k$* : that is,  $k\Gamma$ -isomorphic to  $\text{Hom}(k\Gamma, X)$  for

some  $k$ -vector space  $X$  with trivial  $\Gamma$ -structure. Then one shows that  $H^n(\Gamma, A) = 0$  when  $A$  is co-induced over  $k$  and  $n > 0$ . (Compare [8], Proposition 1, page 120.)

We next recall some well-known facts about dual modules. The dual of a  $k\Gamma$ -module  $M$  is the  $k\Gamma$ -module  $M^* = \text{Hom}_{k\Gamma}(M, k\Gamma)$ . If  $P$  is a finitely generated, projective, right  $k\Gamma$ -module and  $A$  is a left  $k\Gamma$ -module, then  $P^*$  is finitely generated and projective, and

$$P \otimes_{k\Gamma} A \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Hom}_{k\Gamma}(P^*, A)$$

are naturally isomorphic.

Given a chain complex of  $k\Gamma$ -modules of finite length  $K: K_n \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K_0$ , where each  $K_i$  is finitely generated and projective, we can form its dual cochain complex  $K^*: K_0^* \rightarrow K_1^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K_n^*$ . Given, in addition, a  $k\Gamma$ -module  $A$ , we can form chain complexes

$$K \otimes_{k\Gamma} A: K_n \otimes_{k\Gamma} A \rightarrow K_{n-1} \otimes_{k\Gamma} A \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K_0 \otimes_{k\Gamma} A,$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_{k\Gamma}(K^*, A): \text{Hom}_{k\Gamma}(K_n^*, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k\Gamma}(K_{n-1}^*, A) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{k\Gamma}(K_0^*, A).$$

By the above remarks,  $K \otimes_{k\Gamma} A$  and  $\text{Hom}_{k\Gamma}(K^*, A)$  are isomorphic chain complexes. Denote the  $i$ -th homology group of  $K \otimes_{k\Gamma} A$  by  $C_i$  and the  $i$ -th cohomology group of  $K^*$  by  $C^i$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Under the above assumptions, there exists a spectral sequence with*

$$E_2^{pq} \cong H^p(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(C^{n-q}, A))$$

*and converging to  $C_{n-p+q}$ .*

*Proof.* Proposition 1 is a special case of the spectral universal coefficient theorem. (See [4], page 100, Theorem 5.4.1.) In order to fit with Godement's notation, let

$$L_i = K_{n-i}^*, \quad M^0 = A, \quad \text{and} \quad M^i = 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad i \neq 0.$$

Then Theorem 5.4.1 of [4] posits the existence of a spectral sequence with  $E_2^{pq} = \text{Ext}_{k\Gamma}^p(C^{n-q}, A)$  and converging to  $H^{p+q}(\text{Hom}_{k\Gamma}(L, A))$ . But Lemma 2 states that  $\text{Ext}_{k\Gamma}^p(C^{n-q}, A) \cong H^p(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(C^{n-q}, A))$ . On the other hand  $H^{p+q}(\text{Hom}_{k\Gamma}(L, A))$  and  $H_{n-p+q}(\text{Hom}_{k\Gamma}(K^*, A))$  are identical, and by the remarks preceding the statement of Proposition 1,  $H_{n-p+q}(\text{Hom}_{k\Gamma}(K^*, A))$  and  $C_{n-p+q}$  are isomorphic. Concatenating this information completes the proof of Proposition 1.

We say that  $\Gamma$  is a group of type  $(n - \text{FP})$  over  $k$  if  $k$  with the trivial  $\Gamma$ -structure has a resolution of finite length  $0 \rightarrow P_s \rightarrow P_{s-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$  by projective  $k\Gamma$ -modules such that  $P_i$  is finitely generated for all  $i \leq n$ . When  $n = \infty$  we say more simply that  $\Gamma$



is a group of type (FP) over  $k$ . Moreover, if  $n = \infty$  and  $k$  is replaced by  $\mathbf{Z}$  in the above definition, then we say that  $\Gamma$  is a group of type (FP).

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $\Gamma$  is a group of type (FP) over  $k$  and  $A$  is a  $k\Gamma$ -module, then there exists a spectral sequence (whose differentials  $d_r$  have bidegree  $(r, r-1)$ ) with*

$$E_2^{pq} \cong H^p(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(H^q(\Gamma, k\Gamma), A))$$

and converging to  $H_{q-p}(\Gamma, A)$ .

*Proof.* Consider a resolution of  $k$   $0 \rightarrow K_n \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$  by finitely generated, projective modules  $K_i$ , and let  $K$  denote the chain complex  $K_n \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K_0$ . Applying Proposition 1 to the complex  $K$  and the  $k\Gamma$ -module  $A$ , we obtain a spectral sequence with  $E_2^{pq} \cong H^p(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(H^{n-p}(\Gamma, k\Gamma), A))$  and converging to  $H_{n-p+q}(\Gamma, A)$ . Then let  $E_s^{pq}$  be  $E_s^{p, n-q}$  and we are done.

The next corollary partially recovers the ‘‘inverse duality’’ discovered by Bieri. (See [1], Remark following Proposition 5.3.)

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be a group of type (FP) over  $k$  such that  $H^i(\Gamma, k\Gamma) = 0$  for all  $i \neq n$ . If  $C$  denotes  $H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$ , then*

$$H_s(\Gamma, A) \cong H^{n-s}(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(C, A))$$

for every integer  $s$  and every  $k\Gamma$ -module  $A$ .

*Proof.* Under the above assumptions, the spectral sequence of Corollary 1 collapses and yields that  $H_{n-p}(\Gamma, A)$  is isomorphic to  $H^p(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(C, A))$ . The result now follows by substituting  $n-s$  for  $p$  in this isomorphism.

*Remark.* Prior to my work, Borel and Serre had observed (private communication) that Bieri-Eckmann duality [2] could be recovered from a spectral sequence (constructed under the same hypotheses as Corollary 1) with  $E_{pq}^2 \cong H_p(\Gamma, H^q(\Gamma, k\Gamma) \otimes A)$  and converging to  $H^{q-p}(\Gamma, A)$ . This spectral sequence is obtainable in a manner analogous to the one from Proposition 1 by making use of the spectral K nneth formula ([4], page 102, Theorem 5.5.1) together with the natural isomorphism between  $P^* \otimes_{k\Gamma} A$  and  $\text{Hom}_{k\Gamma}(P, A)$  valid for any pair of left  $k\Gamma$ -modules, provided that  $P$  is finitely generated and projective.

## 2. The Main Theorem

We now come to the main result of this paper.

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $H^i(\Gamma, k\Gamma) = 0$  for all  $i < n$  and that  $H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  contains a non-zero finite-dimensional sub- $k\Gamma$ -module. If  $\Gamma$  is of type  $(n\text{-FP})$  over  $k$ , then we conclude the following:*

- (a)  $\Gamma$  is of type (FP) over  $k$ ;
- (b)  $H^i(\Gamma, k\Gamma) = 0$  for all  $i \neq n$ ;
- (c)  $\dim H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma) = 1$ .

*Proof.* For  $n=0$  this result is well-known. Hence we may assume that  $n > 0$ .

Consider a projective resolution of  $k$  with minimal length  $m$

$$0 \rightarrow K_m \xrightarrow{d_m} K_{m-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0,$$

where  $K_i$  is finitely generated for all  $i \leq n$ . Clearly  $m \geq n$ , and we intend to show that  $m = n$ . Let  $K$  be the chain complex  $K_n \rightarrow K_{n-1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K_0$ , and  $A$  be a  $k\Gamma$ -module. Applying Proposition 1 to this pair and noting that the resulting spectral sequence collapses, we obtain an isomorphism between  $H^p(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(C^n, A))$  and  $C_{n-p}$  for all  $p$ . Recall that  $C^i$  is the  $i$ -th cohomology group of  $K^*$  and that  $C_i$  is the  $i$ -th homology group of  $K \otimes_{k\Gamma} A$ . In particular,  $C_i$  and  $H_i(\Gamma, A)$  are isomorphic for all  $i < n$ ; consequently,

- (i)  $H^m(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(C^n, A)) = 0$  if  $m > n$ , and
- (ii)  $H^n(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(C^n, A)) \cong H_0(\Gamma, A)$ .

By the hypotheses of Theorem 1,  $H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  contains a sub- $k\Gamma$ -module  $V$  such that  $0 < \dim V < \infty$ . Since  $H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  is a sub- $k\Gamma$ -module of  $C^n$ , we see that  $V$  is also a sub- $k\Gamma$ -module of  $C^n$ . Applying the functor  $\text{Hom}(\ , A)$  to the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow C^n \rightarrow C^n/V \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain a new short exact sequence of  $k\Gamma$ -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^n/V, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(C^n, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V, A) \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, applying the functor  $H^*(\Gamma, \ )$  to this sequence, we obtain the exact sequence

$$H^m(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(C^n, A)) \rightarrow H^m(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(V, A)) \rightarrow H^{m+1}(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(C^n/V, A)).$$

Since  $k$  has a projective resolution of length  $m$ ,  $H^{m+1}(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(C^n/V, A))$  must vanish, and hence the above sequence degenerates into the following epimorphism:

$$(iii) \quad H^m(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(C^n, A)) \rightarrow H^m(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(V, A)) \rightarrow 0.$$

Suppose that  $m > n$ . (We intend to show that this assumption leads to a contradiction.) Then, by (i) and (iii),  $H^m(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(V, A)) = 0$  for every  $k\Gamma$ -module  $A$ . This fact, in conjunction with Lemma 1, yields that  $H^m(\Gamma, W) = 0$  for every free (hence, also every projective) module  $W$ . In particular  $H^m(\Gamma, K_m)$  vanishes, which implies that  $d_m: K_m \rightarrow K_{m-1}$  is a split- $k\Gamma$ -monomorphism. Therefore  $K_{m-1}/d_m K_m$  is projective (and finitely generated if  $m-1 = n$ ), and

$$0 \rightarrow K_{m-1}/d_m K_m \rightarrow K_{m-2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow K_0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 0$$

is a projective resolution of  $k$  with length  $m-1$  whose first  $n+1$ -terms (starting with  $K_0$ ) are finitely generated. But this is a contradiction. Hence  $m = n$ , which proves assertions (a) and (b) of Theorem 1.

Since  $H_0(\Gamma, k\Gamma) = k$  we obtain, using (ii) and (iii), the following inequality:

(iv)  $\dim H^n(\Gamma, \text{Hom}(V, k\Gamma)) \leq 1$ . But Lemma 1 states that  $\text{Hom}(V, k\Gamma)$  is the direct sum of  $s$ -copies of  $k\Gamma$  where  $s = \dim V$ . This fact, together with the inequality (iv), implies that  $\dim H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma) = 1$ , which completes the proof of Theorem 1.

One says that  $\Gamma$  is a group of type (VFP) over  $k$  if  $\Gamma$  contains a subgroup of finite index of type (FP) over  $k$ .

**ADDENDUM.** *If we replace in the hypotheses of Theorem 1 (n-FP) by (VFP), then conclusions (b) and (c) remain true.*

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the following well-known fact: *If  $\Gamma'$  is a subgroup of finite index in  $\Gamma$ , then  $H^i(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  and  $H^i(\Gamma', k\Gamma')$  are isomorphic  $k\Gamma'$ -modules for all integers  $i$ .*

### 3. Applications

Our first application of Theorem 1 is to extend some results from [3].

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $\Gamma$  is a finitely presented, torsion-free group, then any sub- $k\Gamma$ -module of  $H^2(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  has dimension 0, 1, or  $\infty$ .*

The proof of Theorem 2 depends on the following elementary lemma.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $l$  be a subfield of  $k$ , and  $A$  a  $l\Gamma$ -module. If  $A \otimes_l k$  contains a sub- $k\Gamma$ -module  $V$  such that*

$$0 < \dim_k V < \infty,$$

*then  $A$  contains a sub- $l\Gamma$ -module  $W$  such that*

$$\dim_k V \leq \dim_l W < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Regarding  $k$  as a vector space over  $l$ , let  $f: k \rightarrow l$  be a non-zero linear functional. Then define a  $l\Gamma$ -homomorphism  $g: A \otimes_l k \rightarrow A$  by composing  $\text{id} \otimes f: A \otimes_l k \rightarrow A \otimes_l l$  with the natural isomorphism from  $A \otimes_l l$  to  $A$ . Let  $W = g(V)$ , then one easily checks that  $W$  satisfies the conclusion of Lemma 3.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Because of Theorem 5.1 of [3], it suffices to consider the case where  $k$  has characteristic 0. Since  $\Gamma$  is finitely presented,  $H^2(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  and  $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbf{Q}\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} k$  are isomorphic  $k\Gamma$ -modules. (Here  $\mathbf{Q}$  denotes the rational numbers.) Let  $V$  be a sub- $k\Gamma$ -module of  $H^2(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  such that  $0 < \dim_k V < \infty$ . By Lemma 3,  $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbf{Q}\Gamma)$  contains a sub- $\mathbf{Q}\Gamma$ -module  $W$  such that  $\dim_k V \leq \dim_{\mathbf{Q}} W < \infty$ ; hence to prove Theorem 2, we need only show that  $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} W = 1$ .

But because of Theorem 5.3 of [3], we may assume that  $\Gamma$  is a group of type (2-FP) over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Since  $H^0(\Gamma, \mathbf{Q}\Gamma) = 0$ , Theorem 1 implies Theorem 2 provided we can show that  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbf{Q}\Gamma)$  vanishes.

To do this we assume its opposite, i.e.  $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbf{Q}\Gamma) \neq 0$ , and show that this assumption leads to a contradiction. As a consequence of Lemma 3.5 of [10] and section 5.1 of [9],  $\Gamma$  has infinitely many ends. Hence by the Main Theorem of [9],  $\Gamma$  is a non-trivial free product of subgroups  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ ; both of which are finitely presented by a result of Stallings ([11], Lemma 1.3). By the ‘‘Mayer-Vietoris’’ sequence ([6] or [10], Theorem 2.3),  $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbf{Q}\Gamma)$  is  $\mathbf{Q}\Gamma$ -isomorphic to the direct sum of  $H^2(\Gamma_1, \mathbf{Q}\Gamma)$  and  $H^2(\Gamma_2, \mathbf{Q}\Gamma)$ . Therefore one of these modules, say  $H^2(\Gamma_1, \mathbf{Q}\Gamma)$  to be specific, contains a non-zero finite-dimensional sub- $\mathbf{Q}\Gamma$ -module. But this is impossible, since

$$H^2(\Gamma_1, \mathbf{Q}\Gamma) \cong H^2(\Gamma_1, \mathbf{Q}\Gamma_1) \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}\Gamma_1} \mathbf{Q}\Gamma$$

as  $\mathbf{Q}\Gamma$ -modules. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

One says that  $\Gamma$  is *virtually torsion-free* if  $\Gamma$  contains a torsion-free subgroup of finite index. Then the following extension of Theorem 2 is easily proven.

**ADDENDUM.** *If  $\Gamma$  is finitely presented and virtually torsion-free, then any sub- $k\Gamma$ -module of  $H^2(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  has dimension 0, 1, or  $\infty$ .*

Our second application is the following result.

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a finitely presented group of type (FP), and let  $n$  be the smallest integer such that  $H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma) \neq 0$ . If  $H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$  is a finitely generated abelian group, then  $\Gamma$  is an  $n$ -dimensional Poincaré duality group.*

*Remark.* Such an integer  $n$  exists, since for groups of type (FP)  $H^i(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$  cannot vanish for all  $i$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Gamma$  is a group of type (FP), it is also of type (FP) over  $k$ . Furthermore  $H^i(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  is  $k$ -isomorphic to the direct sum of  $H^i(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma) \otimes k$  and  $\text{Tor}(H^{i+1}(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma), k)$ , and  $H^i(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma) \otimes k$  is embedded as a sub- $k\Gamma$ -module of  $H^i(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  via this isomorphism. (Here, and for the rest of this paper,  $\otimes$  and  $\text{Tor}$  are over  $\mathbf{Z}$ .)

Suppose  $H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$  has  $p$ -torsion for some prime  $p$ . Then by the above discussion, we have the following facts:

- (a)  $H^i(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}_p\Gamma) = 0$  for all  $i < n - 1$ ;
- (b)  $H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}_p\Gamma) \neq 0$ ; and
- (c)  $0 < \dim_{\mathbf{Z}_p} H^{n-1}(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}_p\Gamma) < \infty$ .

(Here  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  denotes the field with  $p$ -elements.) But these facts contradict Theorem 1. Thus  $H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$  is a free abelian group of rank  $s$  where  $0 < s < \infty$ .

Therefore  $H^i(\Gamma, k\Gamma) = 0$  for all  $i < n$ ; and  $H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma)$  contains a sub- $k\Gamma$ -module of

dimension  $s$ . Now by a second application of Theorem 1, we have  $\dim H^n(\Gamma, k\Gamma) = 1$  and  $H^i(\Gamma, k\Gamma) = 0$  for all  $i \neq n$ . Consequently we have  $s = 1$  and both  $H^i(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma) \otimes k$  and  $\text{Tor}(H^i(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma), k)$  vanish for all  $i \neq n$ . By setting  $k$  equal to  $\mathbf{Q}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}_p$  respectively, we see that  $H^i(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma) = 0$  for all  $i \neq n$ . And since  $s = 1$ ,  $H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$  is infinite cyclic. Hence  $\Gamma$  satisfies the conditions of [5] to be an  $n$ -dimensional Poincaré duality group.

ADDENDUM. *The conclusion of Theorem 3 remains true when the hypothesis*

“ $H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$  is a finitely generated abelian group”

is replaced by the following two assumptions:

(a)  $H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$  contains a non-zero finitely generated (as an abelian group) sub- $\Gamma$ -module, and

(b)  $H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$  is a free abelian group.

*Proof.* Let  $A$  be a non-zero sub- $\Gamma$ -module of  $H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$  such that  $A$  is finitely generated as an abelian group. By assumption (b),  $A \otimes \mathbf{Q}$  is a non-zero finite-dimensional sub- $\mathbf{Q}\Gamma$ -module of  $H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$ . But  $H^i(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$  and  $H^i(\Gamma, \mathbf{Q}\Gamma)$  are isomorphic  $\mathbf{Q}\Gamma$ -modules for all  $i \geq 0$ . Therefore Theorem 1 implies  $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma) \otimes \mathbf{Q} = 1$ . This fact, together with (b), yields that  $H^n(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$  is infinite cyclic. Now apply Theorem 3 to complete the proof.

#### 4. Appendix

We mention a consequence of Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 3. *If  $\Gamma$  is finitely presented and virtually torsion-free, then any sub- $\Gamma$ -module of  $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$  is either*

- (a) zero,
- (b) an infinite cyclic abelian group, or
- (c) not finitely generated as an abelian group.

(This result extends Corollary 5.2 of [3].)

*Proof.* Corollary 3.7 of [10] implies that  $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$  is a torsion-free abelian group. Thus it suffices to show that  $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}} A \otimes \mathbf{Q} = 1$  when  $A$  is a non-zero finitely generated (as an abelian group) sub- $\Gamma$ -module of  $H^2(\Gamma, \mathbf{Z}\Gamma)$ . But this follows from the addendum to Theorem 2 where we specify  $k$  to be  $\mathbf{Q}$ .

**Note added in proof:** 1) There are analogues to our results in the theory of homology manifolds, namely in the work of P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd (Michigan Math. J. 6 (1959), 33–43).

2) K. Brown has recently found an elegant new proof for Theorem 1 which avoids the use of spectral sequences.

## REFERENCES

- [1] BIERI, R., *On groups of finite cohomological dimension and duality groups over a ring*, to appear.
- [2] BIERI, R. and ECKMANN, B., *Groups with homological duality generalizing Poincaré duality*, Invent. Math. 20 (1973), 103–124.
- [3] FARRELL, F. T., *The second cohomology group of  $G$  with  $\mathbb{Z}_2G$  coefficients*, to appear in Topology.
- [4] GODEMENT, R., *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux*, Hermann, Paris 1958.
- [5] JOHNSON, F. E. A. and WALL, C. T. C., *On groups satisfying Poincaré duality*, Ann. of Math. 69 (1972), 592–598.
- [6] LYNDON, R. C., *Cohomology theory of groups with a single defining relation*, Ann. of Math. 52 (1950), 650–665.
- [7] MACLANE, S., *Homology*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften 114, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, Springer 1967.
- [8] SERRE, J-P., *Corps locaux*, Hermann, Paris 1962.
- [9] STALLINGS, J., *On torsion free groups with infinitely many ends*, Ann. of Math. 88 (1968), 312–334.
- [10] SWAN, R., *Groups of cohomological dimension one*, J. of Algebra 12 (1969), 312–334.
- [11] WALL, C. T. C., *Finiteness conditions for CW-complexes*, Ann. of Math. 81 (1965), 56–69.

*Dept. of Mathematics*  
*Pennsylvania State University*  
*Pennsylvania*

Received July 15, 1974

