

Extrinsic Bounds on ... of ... on a Compact Manifold

Autor(en): **Bleeker, David D. / Weiner, Joel L.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **51 (1976)**

PDF erstellt am: **01.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-39462>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Extrinsic Bounds on λ_1 of Δ on a Compact Manifold

DAVID D. BLEECKER and JOEL L. WEINER

1. Introduction

Although the Laplacian of a Riemannian manifold M is an intrinsic object (as well as the first nonzero eigenvalue λ_1), upper bounds on λ_1 may be computed in terms of extrinsic quantities (e.g. principal curvatures) of M relative to some isometric embedding of M into some euclidean space E^m . In order to convey some idea of the results we obtained, the following is a special case of Theorem I: For a compact orientable surface S immersed in E^3 , λ_1 is bounded above by the average of the sum of the squares of the principal curvatures over S . Equality is achieved only in the case of a constant curvature sphere. Theorem I actually applies to manifolds with arbitrary dimension immersed with arbitrary codimension. A somewhat sharper result is found in Theorem II with the additional assumption that the mean curvature vector is parallel.

The primary means of obtaining these results is the minimum principle [1, p. 186] for λ_1 , namely $\lambda_1 = \inf \{ \int_M |df|^2 / \int_M f^2 : \int_M f = 0, f \in C^1(M) \}$, and the infimum is achieved only for f such that $\Delta f = \lambda_1 f$. To obtain interesting results, we choose f such that df contains some geometric information, $\int_M f = 0$, and then state $\lambda_1 \leq \int_M |df|^2 / \int_M f^2$. Actually we consider a parameterized family of such f say f_a , $a \in A$, where A is a manifold endowed with a measure and integrate both sides of $\lambda_1 \int_M f_a^2 \leq \int_M |df_a|^2$ over A to eliminate the parameter.

2. Definitions and Statements of Main Results

In general we follow the notation of [3]. Let $x: M \rightarrow \bar{M}$ be a isometric immersion and let ∇ and $\bar{\nabla}$ be the covariant differentiations on M and \bar{M} respectively. For vector fields X and Y tangent to M , we define the vector field $h(X, Y)$ by

$$\bar{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y + h(X, Y) \quad [\text{equation of Gauss}].$$

The normal bundle valued (symmetric) 2-tensor h on M is called the second fundamental form. For a normal vector field N on M we set

$$\bar{\nabla}_X N = -A_N(X) + D_X N \quad [\text{equation of Weingarten}],$$

$-A_N(X)$ and $D_X N$ being the tangential and normal parts of $\bar{\nabla}_X N$. We have

$$\langle A_N(X), Y \rangle = \langle h(X, Y), N \rangle.$$

The mean curvature vector field η is defined by $\eta(p) = 1/n$ trace $h = 1/n \sum_{i=1}^n h(e_i, e_i) = 1/n \sum_{\alpha=n+1}^m (\text{trace } A_\alpha) e_\alpha$ where $A_\alpha \equiv A_{e_\alpha}$ and (e_i) is an o.n. frame of $T_p M$ and (e_α) is an o.n. frame of $T_p M^\perp$. We shall adopt the convention that latin indices run from 1 to n and greek from $n+1$ to m . Of course, we set $|A_\alpha|^2 = \sum_i \langle A_\alpha(e_i), A_\alpha(e_i) \rangle$ and $|A|^2 \equiv \sum_\alpha |A_\alpha|^2$.

THEOREM I. *Let M be a compact orientable n -manifold isometrically immersed in euclidean space E^m . Then $\lambda_1 \leq [\text{vol}(M)]^{-1} \int_M |A|^2$ with equality only in the case where M is a constant curvature sphere isometrically embedded in an $n+1$ dimensional subspace of E^m .*

THEOREM II. *With M as above and assuming η is parallel in the normal bundle, $\lambda_1 \leq [\text{vol}(M)]^{-1} \int_M |A_e|^2$, where $e = \eta/H$ and $H \equiv |\eta|$.*

3. Proof of Inequality in Theorem I

Let $x : M \rightarrow E^m$ be an isometric immersion. Now $dx \equiv (dx_1)\bar{e}_1 + \dots + (dx_m)\bar{e}_m$ where $(\bar{e}_1, \dots, \bar{e}_m)$ form a standard o.n. basis of E^m and $x(p) = x_1(p)\bar{e}_1 + \dots + x_m(p)\bar{e}_m$, $p \in M$. Thus the dx_i 's are 1-forms on M . We let $(dx_i)\bar{e}_i \wedge (dx_j)\bar{e}_j = (dx_i \wedge dx_j)(\bar{e}_i \wedge \bar{e}_j)$ and extend this product in the natural way to $\Lambda^*(M) \otimes \Lambda^*(E^m)$ (i.e. by linearity and $(\alpha \otimes e) \wedge (\beta \otimes f) = (\alpha \wedge \beta) \otimes (e \wedge f)$). In this context $1/n! dx \wedge \dots \wedge dx$ (n times) $= (dV)f$ for some $f \in \Lambda^0(M) \otimes \Lambda^n(E^m)$ where dV is the volume element of M . For an oriented n -plane π of E^m with o.n. basis (a_1, \dots, a_n) we define the function f_π on M by $f_\pi(p) = (a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) \cdot f(p)$ or perhaps more succinctly by $f_\pi dV = (a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) \cdot 1/n! dx \wedge \dots \wedge dx$ (n times). We note that $f_\pi dV = d[(a_1 \wedge \dots \wedge a_n) \cdot 1/n! x \wedge dx \wedge \dots \wedge dx]$ is exact, whence $\int_M f_\pi = 0$.

To derive a formula for f_π more amenable to calculating df_π , we note that we may write (locally) $dx = \sum w_i e_i$ where e_i is an o.n. frame field of M defined on a neighborhood of some point of M (here we identify vectors tangent to M with

their images under x_*) and the w_i are the one-forms on M dual to the e_i . This is because dx , considered as an E^m -valued one-form on M , is the identity map under the above mentioned identification. Thus $1/n! dx \wedge \cdots \wedge dx = (w_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge w_n)(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n) = dV(e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n)$. Thus $f = e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n$ and $f_\pi = (a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_n) \cdot (e_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge e_n) = (a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{n+p}) \cdot (e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p})$ where $n + p = m$ and (a_1, \dots, a_m) and (e_1, \dots, e_m) are oriented o.n. bases of E^m . To avoid writing $a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{n+p}$ repeatedly we replace this expression by \mathcal{A} . Now $df_\pi = d(\mathcal{A} \cdot e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p})$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{A} \cdot e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge de_{\alpha} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{A} \cdot e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \sum_i w_{\alpha i} e_i \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\ &= -\sum_{\alpha, i} h_{ij}^{\alpha} (\mathcal{A} \cdot e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_i^{\alpha} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p}) w_j \end{aligned}$$

where $[(de_{\alpha})(X)]^T = -A_{e_{\alpha}}(X) = \sum_i w_{\alpha i}(X) e_i = -\sum_i h_{ij}^{\alpha} w_j(X) e_i$ for X tangent to M . Note $de_{\alpha}(X) \perp e_{\alpha}$. Here the super α indicates the α -th slot in the product.

$$\begin{aligned} |df_{\pi}|^2 &= \sum_j \left(\sum_{\alpha, i} -h_{ij}^{\alpha} \mathcal{A} \cdot e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_i^{\alpha} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{j, i, l, \alpha, \beta} h_{ij}^{\alpha} h_{lj}^{\beta} (\mathcal{A} \cdot e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_i^{\alpha} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p}) \\ &\quad \times (\mathcal{A} \cdot e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_l^{\beta} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p}). \end{aligned}$$

By the minimum principle for λ_1 we have $\lambda_1 \int_M f_{\pi}^2 \leq \int_M |df_{\pi}|^2$. However, the unwieldy expression for $|df_{\pi}|^2$ leaves much to be desired. Hence we integrate both sides of the inequality with respect to $\pi \in G(p, n) =$ oriented p -planes in $n + p$ -space. To this end we apply Fubini's Theorem obtaining $\lambda_1 \int_M \int_G f_{\pi}^2 d\pi dV \leq \int_M \int_G |df_{\pi}|^2 d\pi dV$. In integrating $|df_{\pi}|^2$ with respect to π we consider h_{ij}^{α} , h_{lj}^{β} and the e 's to be fixed and $\mathcal{A} = a_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{n+p}$ to vary. We need only consider

$$\int_G (\mathcal{A} \cdot e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_i^{\alpha} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p}) (\mathcal{A} \cdot e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_l^{\beta} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p}) d\pi.$$

Upon reflection (pardon pun) we see that the above is 0 unless $i = l$ and $\alpha = \beta$, for otherwise $\{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_i^{\alpha}, \dots, e_{n+p}\} \neq \{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_l^{\beta}, \dots, e_{n+p}\}$ and reflection of E^{n+p} , in a hyperplane perpendicular to a vector in one set but not in the other,

induces an isometry of G under which the integrand changes sign. Thus the above integral is

$$\delta_{il} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \int_G (\mathcal{A} \cdot e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_i^\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p})^2 d\pi = \delta_{il} \delta_{\alpha\beta} \int_G f_\pi^2 d\pi$$

and $\int_G |df_\pi|^2 d\pi = \sum_{\alpha,i,j} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2 \int_G f_\pi^2 d\pi$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 \int_G f_\pi^2 d\pi \int_M dV &= \lambda_1 \int_M \int_G f_\pi^2 d\pi dV \leq \int_M \int_G |df_\pi|^2 d\pi dV \\ &= \int_G f_\pi^2 d\pi \int_M \sum_{\alpha,i,j} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2 dV. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $\int_G f_\pi^2 d\pi$ gives us the desired result

$$\lambda_1 \text{vol}(M) \leq \int_M \sum_{\alpha,i,j} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2 dV = \int_M |A|^2.$$

4. Equality in Theorem I

In this section we show equality holds in Theorem I only in the case where M is a constant curvature sphere isometrically embedded in an $n+1$ dimensional subspace, E^{n+1} , of E^{n+p} .

If we assume equality holds in Theorem I, then for almost all $\pi \in G(n, p)$

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\int_M |d(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{E})|^2 dV}{\int_M |\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{E}|^2 dV}$$

where $\mathcal{A} = \pi^\perp$ and $\mathcal{E} = e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p}$. Thus, for almost all $\pi \in G(n, p)$, the minimum principle implies that $\mathcal{A} \cdot \Delta \mathcal{E} = \Delta(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{E}) = \lambda_1(\mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{A} \cdot (\lambda_1 \mathcal{E})$; hence $\Delta \mathcal{E} = \lambda_1 \mathcal{E}$. We suppose $\lambda_1 = n$, taking a homothetic transformation of E^{n+p} if necessary.

We now compute $\Delta \mathcal{E}$ at a point $m \in M$. Let e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{n+p} be a frame field in a neighborhood of $x(m)$ such that e_1, \dots, e_n are tangent to M and hence e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+p} are normal to M . Suppose that e_1, \dots, e_n are parallel in TM at m , and e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{n+p} are parallel in TM^\perp at m . In fact, we may assume $D_{e_i} D_{e_i} e_\alpha = 0$,

$1 \leq i \leq n, n+1 \leq \alpha \leq n+p$, by supposing that e_i and e_α are obtained from $e_i(m)$ and $e_\alpha(m)$ by parallel translation along geodesics through m in TM and TM^\perp , respectively.

Now, $\Delta \mathcal{E} = -\sum_{i=1}^n e_i e_i \mathcal{E}$. In a neighborhood of m , we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_i \mathcal{E} &= \sum_{\alpha=n+1}^{n+p} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge de_\alpha(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\ &= -\sum_{\alpha} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge A_\alpha(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} + \sum_{\alpha} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{e_i}(e_\alpha) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \end{aligned}$$

using Weingarten's equation; we have set $A_{e_\alpha} = A_\alpha$. At m ,

$$\begin{aligned} e_i e_i \mathcal{E} &= \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge A_\alpha(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge A_\beta(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge A_\alpha(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{e_i} e_\beta \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \nabla_{e_i} A_\alpha(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge h(e_i, A_\alpha(e_i)) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{e_i} e_\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge A_\beta(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{e_i} e_\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{e_i} e_\beta \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge A_{D_{e_i} e_\alpha}(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge D_{e_i} D_{e_i} e_\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p}. \end{aligned}$$

In the preceding equation, the third and fourth terms arise from Gauss' equation. Also, note that the second, fifth, sixth, seventh and eighth terms vanish since $D_{e_i} e_\alpha = 0$ and $D_{e_i} D_{e_i} e_\alpha = 0$ at m . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta \mathcal{E} &= -\sum_i \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge A_\alpha(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge A_\beta(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\ &\quad + \sum_i \sum_{\alpha} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \nabla_{e_i} A_\alpha(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\ &\quad + \sum_i \sum_{\alpha} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge h(e_i, A_\alpha(e_i)) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p}. \end{aligned}$$

We now consider each term on the right-hand side of the preceding equation separately.

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\sum_i \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge A_\alpha(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge A_\beta(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\
& = -\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \sum_{i,j,k} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge h_{ij}^\alpha e_j \wedge \cdots \wedge h_{ik}^\beta e_k \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\
& = -\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \sum_{j < k} \left[\sum_i h_{ij}^\alpha h_{ik}^\beta - h_{ik}^\alpha h_{ij}^\beta \right] e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_j^\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k^\beta \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\
& = -\sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \sum_{j < k} \langle R^\perp(e_j, e_k) e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_j^\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k^\beta \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p}
\end{aligned}$$

where R^\perp is the curvature tensor of TM^\perp .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_i \sum_\alpha e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \nabla_{e_i} A_\alpha(e_i) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\
& = \sum_\alpha \sum_{i,j} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \langle \nabla_{e_i} A_\alpha(e_j), e_i \rangle e_j \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\
& \stackrel{(*)}{=} \sum_\alpha \sum_{i,j} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \langle \nabla_{e_j} A_\alpha(e_i), e_i \rangle e_j \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\
& = \sum_\alpha \sum_j e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge n dH_\alpha(e_j) e_j \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\
& = n \sum_\alpha e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \nabla H_\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p},
\end{aligned}$$

where (*) follows from the Equation of Codazzi, which holds since e_α is parallel in TM^\perp at m . Also H_α and ∇H_α denote the mean curvature and the gradient of the mean curvature in the direction of e_α .

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_i \sum_\alpha e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge h(e_i, A_\alpha(e_i)) \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\
& = \sum_i \sum_{\alpha, \beta} e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \langle h(e_i, A_\alpha(e_i)), e_\beta \rangle e_\beta \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\
& = \sum_i \sum_\alpha \langle A_\alpha(e_i), A_\alpha(e_i) \rangle e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} = |A|^2 \mathcal{G}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta \mathcal{G} & = |A|^2 \mathcal{G} + n \sum_\alpha e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \nabla H_\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p} \\
& \quad - \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta} \sum_{j < k} \langle R^\perp(e_j, e_k) e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle e_{n+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_j^\alpha \wedge \cdots \wedge e_k^\beta \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{n+p}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $\Delta \mathcal{E} = n\mathcal{E}$ if and only if the following hold:

- 1) $|A|^2 = n$.
- 2) $\nabla H_\alpha = 0$, for all α ; this is equivalent to η being parallel in TM^\perp .
- 3) $\langle R^\perp(e_j, e_k)e_\alpha, e_\beta \rangle = 0$, for all j, k, α, β ; that is, TM^\perp is flat.

We will show that 1) and 2) imply $x(M) = S^n \subset E^{n+1} \subset E^{n+p}$. We set $e_{n+1} = -\eta/H$. Then $\Delta(x/nH) = e_{n+1}$ since $\Delta x = -n\eta$. Thus $\int_M (a \cdot e_{n+1}) dV = 0$ for all unit vectors a in E^{n+p} . Also $de_{n+1} = -A_{n+1}$ since e_{n+1} is parallel in TM^\perp . Using the minimum principle for λ_1 again, we have

$$n \leq \int_M |d(a \cdot e_{n+1})|^2 / \int_M (a \cdot e_{n+1})^2 = \int_M |a \cdot A_{n+1}|^2 / \int_M (a \cdot e_{n+1})^2$$

or

$$n \int_M (a \cdot e_{n+1})^2 \leq \int_M |a \cdot A_{n+1}|^2.$$

We integrate this inequality over all $a \in S^{n+p-1}$, use Fubini's Theorem, and obtain

$$nV \leq \int_M |A_{n+1}|^2.$$

But $|A_{n+1}|^2 \leq |A|^2 = n$. Thus $|A_{n+1}|^2 = |A|^2 = n$. Let e_{n+2}, \dots, e_{n+p} be an orthonormal basis of the complement of e_{n+1} in TM^\perp . Then $|A_{n+2}| = |A_{n+3}| = \dots = |A_{n+p}| = 0$. Thus $A_{n+2} = \dots = A_{n+p} = 0$. Let \mathcal{F} be the $p-1$ plane in \mathcal{E} orthogonal to e_{n+1} . It is easy to show that $d\mathcal{F} = 0$ since $\mathcal{F} = e_{n+2} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{n+p}$ and $A_\alpha = 0$ for $\alpha = n+2, \dots, n+p$. Hence $\mathcal{F} = \text{const}$. Thus $x : M \rightarrow E^{n+1}$ where E^{n+1} is a $(n+1)$ -plane orthogonal to \mathcal{F} .

We must now prove that if $x : M^n \rightarrow E^{n+1}$ is an immersion for which $|A|^2 = n$ and $H = \text{constant}$ then x embeds M as a standard sphere in E^{n+1} . Consider $y = x + \eta : M \rightarrow E^{n+1}$. Then $\Delta y = \Delta x + \Delta \eta = -n\eta + n\eta = 0$ since $\Delta \eta = |A|^2 \eta = n\eta$. By Hopf's Theorem $y = \text{constant}$. Thus the image of x is in a sphere with center y and radius $|\eta| = H$. Clearly then $H = 1$. Since M is compact $x(M) = S^n$. For $n \geq 2$, this is enough to imply x is an embedding. For $n = 1$ we use the fact that M and its image have the same length, 2π , to prove x is an embedding.

It is natural now to ask what can be said about an isometric immersion $x : M \rightarrow E^{n+p}$ of a compact orientable n -dimensional manifold M into E^{n+p} when $\Delta \mathcal{E} = \lambda \mathcal{E}$, where λ is a constant, not necessarily λ_1 . We will characterize such x when $n = 1, 2$, or $p = 1$, or M has nonnegative sectional curvature.

PROPOSITION. *Let M be a compact orientable n -dimensional manifold. Suppose $x: M \rightarrow E^{n+p}$ is an isometric immersion with $\Delta \mathcal{E} = \lambda \mathcal{E}$, where λ is a constant. Then the following hold:*

- i) *if $n = 1$, x is a covering map onto a circle in a 2-plane of E^{1+p} ;*
- ii) *if $n > 1$, and $p = 1$, then x embeds M as standard sphere in an $(n+1)$ -plane of E^{n+p} and $\lambda = \lambda_1$;*
- iii) *if $n = 2$, then M has constant nonnegative Gaussian curvature, and is characterized in iv);*
- iv) *if M has nonnegative sectional curvature, then, identifying M with its image, M is a product submanifold, $M^{n_1} \times \cdots \times M^{n_k}$, where M^{n_i} is an n_i -sphere of radius r_i in an $n_i + 1$ -plane of E^{n+p} ; moreover, $\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i/r_i^2$.*

Proof. As above, $\Delta \mathcal{E} = \lambda \mathcal{E}$ if and only if 1) $|A|^2 = \lambda$, 2) η is parallel in TM^\perp , and 3) TM^\perp is flat.

One can easily show that i) follows from 2).

The argument in the last paragraph of the proof of Theorem I proves ii).

The scalar curvature $r = n^2 H^2 - |A|^2$. Hence for $n = 2$, M has constant Gaussian curvature. Since 2) and 3) hold we may conclude from Lemma 2.5 [2, p. 108] and Theorem 2.1 [2, p. 106] of Chen that either x maps M into a 3-plane, E^3 , of E^{2+p} or x maps M into a 3-sphere, S^3 , in a 4-plane of E^{2+p} . Moreover, M has constant mean curvature relative to S^3 . If x maps M into E^3 then clearly M is a standard 2-sphere. If x maps M into S^3 as a minimal surface, then M is a standard sphere or is flat by a theorem of Lawson [4]. If x maps M into S^3 with constant nonzero mean curvature then M is a standard sphere or is flat by a theorem of Klotz and Osserman [2, p. 118].

Finally, iv) follows from 2) and 3) and the fact that M has nonnegative sectional curvature by a theorem of Erbacher, Yano and Ishihara [2, p. 139]. One may easily compute $\lambda = |A|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k n_i/r_i^2$.

5. Proof of Theorem II

In proving Theorem II we use the fact that for the immersion $x: M \rightarrow E^m$, we have $\Delta x \equiv (\Delta x_1, \dots, \Delta x_m) = -n\eta$ where Δ is the laplacian on M , $n = \dim M$, and $\eta =$ mean curvature vector of $M \subset E^m$. Thus for any unit vector $a \in E^m$, $\Delta(x \cdot a) = n\eta \cdot a$ and since $\int_M \Delta f = 0$ for any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have $\int_M \eta \cdot a = 0$. By the minimum principle for λ_1 we have $\lambda_1 \int_M (\eta \cdot a)^2 \leq \int_M |d(\eta \cdot a)|^2$. Now $d(\eta \cdot a)(X) = X(\eta \cdot a) = (-A_\eta(X) + D_X \eta) \cdot a = -A_\eta(X) \cdot a = -HA_e(X) \cdot a$ since $D_X \eta = 0$. Thus $|d(\eta \cdot a)|^2 = \sum_i H^2 (A_e(e_i) \cdot a)^2$ and $\int_{S^{m-1}} |d(\eta \cdot a)|^2 da = H^2 \sum_i |A_e(e_i)|^2 \int_{S^{m-1}} (v \cdot a)^2 da$ where v is a fixed unit vector. Thus integrating

both sides of the above inequality with respect to “ a ” and applying Fubini, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 H^2 \int_{S^{m-1}} (v \cdot a)^2 da \int_M dV &= \lambda_1 \int_{S^{m-1}} \int_M (\eta \cdot a)^2 dV da \\ &\leq \int_{S^{m-1}} \int_M |d(\eta \cdot a)|^2 dV da = H^2 \int_{S^{m-1}} (v \cdot a)^2 da \int_M \sum_i |A_e(e_i)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

and dividing by $H^2 \int_{S^{m-1}} (v \cdot a)^2 da$ gives us $\lambda_1 \text{vol}(M) \leq \int_M |A_e|^2$.

Remark. There are indications that in certain cases the upper bound of Theorem I is not so good, especially when M is close to being “extrinsically creased.” For example, if one considers a family of oblate spheroids in E^3 with fixed intrinsic diameter but with minor axes approaching 0, the upper bounds of Theorem I approach infinity. However, by a result of Cheeger, λ_1 is bounded by $k[\text{diam } M]^{-2}$ for some large constant k depending only on the dimension of M [1, p. 189].

REFERENCES

- [1] M. BERGER, *Le Spectre d'une Variété Riemannienne*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1971.
- [2] B. CHEN, *Geometry of Submanifolds*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1973.
- [3] S. KOBAYASHI and K. NOMIZU, *Foundations of Differential Geometry*, vol. II, Interscience Publishers, New York, 1964.
- [4] H. B. LAWSON, JR., Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces, *Ann. of Math.*, 89, 187–197.

Received March 24, 1976

