## Homotopy splittings involving G and G/O.

Autor(en): Priddy, Stewart<br>Objekttyp: Article<br>Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici

Band (Jahr): 53 (1978)

PDF erstellt am:
16.07.2024

Persistenter Link: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-40780

## Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.
Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.
Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## Homotopy splittings involving $G$ and $G / O$

Stewart Priddy ${ }^{1}$

## Introduction

In this note we show that in a strong sense $S G$ and $G / O$ are factors in the spaces $Q B D_{8}$ and $Q B O_{2}$ respectively, where $D_{8}$ is the dihedral group of order 8 . All spaces (throughout the note) are localized at 2 . These results can be thought of as analogous to the theorem of D. S. Khan and the author [KP] which states that $Q_{0} S^{0}$ is a factor in $\mathrm{QRP}^{\infty}$. In particular, here, as in [KP], the transfer is used to construct the required splittings. Additional difficulties arise in the present work, however, because the infinite loop space structure of $S G$ is markedly more complicated than that of $Q_{0} S^{0}$. Also, in the case of $G / O$ we must use the Becker-Gottlieb transfer [BG].

To state our results precisely, we recall that $Q S^{0}=\lim \Omega^{n} S^{n}$ has components $Q_{k} S^{0}, k \in \mathbf{Z}$, and that $S G=Q_{1} S^{0}$. We shall denote by $*$ and \# the loop and composition products of $Q S^{0}$. If $\mathscr{S}_{n}$ is the $n$-th symmetric group then there is a well-known map $\varphi_{n}: B \mathscr{S}_{n} \rightarrow Q_{n} S^{0}[\mathrm{BKP}, \mathrm{P} 1]$. Since $D_{8} \approx \mathscr{S}_{2} \int \mathscr{S}_{2} \subset \mathscr{S}_{4}$ one has two natural maps $\mathrm{BD}_{8} \rightarrow \mathrm{SG}$, namely the composites

$$
\delta_{1}: B D_{8} \rightarrow B \mathscr{S}_{4} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{4}} Q_{4} S^{*} \xrightarrow{*[-3]} S G
$$

and

$$
\delta_{2}: B D_{8} \rightarrow B \mathscr{S}_{4} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{4}} Q_{4} S^{0} \xrightarrow{*[-1]} Q_{3} S^{0} \xrightarrow{(\not[33]-1} S G
$$

where [ $n$ ] denotes the basepoint of $Q_{n} S^{0}$ (\#[3] is an equivalence at 2).
Let $\delta=\delta_{1}$ or $\delta_{2}$ and let $Q(\delta): Q B D_{8} \rightarrow S G$ denote the induced infinite loop map.

THEOREM A. There is a map $t: S G \rightarrow Q B D_{8}$ such that $S G \xrightarrow{\mathrm{I}} Q B D_{8} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{O}^{(8)}} S G$ is an equivalence at 2.

[^0]The affirmative solution of the Adams' conjecture [Q], [S] provides a map $\gamma: B O \rightarrow G / O$ such that

commutes up to homotopy, where $\tau$ is the homotopy fibre of $B J$. By abuse of notation, we shall let $Q(\gamma): Q B O_{2} \rightarrow G / O$ denote the restriction of the induced infinite loop map.

THEOREM B. There is a map $T: G / O \rightarrow Q B O_{2}$ such that the composite $G / O \xrightarrow{T} \mathrm{QBO}_{2} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Q}(\gamma)} \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{O}$ is an equivalence at 2.

The paper is organized as follows: In Sections 1 and 2 we recall the necessary preliminaries on symmetric groups, the transfer and $H_{*} S G$ (throughout all (co-) homology groups are taken with simple coefficients in $\mathbf{Z} / 2$ ). The proof of Theorems A and B are given in Sections 3 and 4 respectively.

By way of background we mention other splittings derived from the transfer. Segal [ Sg ] has shown that $B U$ is a factor in $Q B U_{1}$. Becker and Gottlieb [BG2] have shown that $B O$ and $B S p$ are factors in $Q B O_{2}$ and $Q B S p_{1}$ respectively.

## §1. Preliminaries on symmetric groups and the transfer

Consider the symmetric group $\mathscr{S}_{2^{k}}$ and 2-Sylow subgroup $\mathscr{S}\left(2^{k}, 2\right)=$ $\mathscr{S}_{2}\left\lceil\cdots \mathfrak{S _ { 2 }}\right.$, the $k$-fold wreath product. The transfer homomorphism

$$
t r_{*}: H_{*}\left(B \mathscr{S}_{2^{k}}\right) \rightarrow H_{*}\left(B \mathscr{C}\left(2^{k}, 2\right)\right)
$$

in mod-2 homology was studied in [KP2]. We shall recall those results needed for our work.

Two basic operations useful in describing the homology of symmetric groups are the wreath product $\mathscr{S}_{k} \backslash \mathscr{S}_{l}\left(\mathscr{S}_{k} \backslash G=\mathscr{S}_{k} \circ G^{k}\right.$, the semi-direct product with $\mathscr{S}_{k}$ acting by permuting factors) and the ordinary product $\mathscr{S}_{k} \times \mathscr{S}_{l}$. One has inclusions of subgroups

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathscr{S}_{k}\left\lceil\mathscr{S}_{l}\right. & \rightarrow \mathscr{S}_{k l}  \tag{1.1}\\
\mathscr{S}_{k} \times \mathscr{S}_{l} & \rightarrow \mathscr{S}_{k+l} \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let $e_{i} \in H_{i} B \mathscr{S}_{2}=\mathbf{Z} / 2$ denote the non-zero element. If $H_{*}(B G)$ has as $\mathbf{Z} / 2$-vector space basis $x_{0}=1, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots$ then $H_{*}\left(B \mathscr{S}_{2} \backslash G\right)$ has as basis

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x_{i} \mid x_{j}=e_{0} \otimes x_{i} \otimes x_{j} & i<j \\
e_{i} \backslash x_{j}=e_{i} \otimes x_{j} \otimes x_{j} & i>0
\end{array}
$$

If $I=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}\right)$ is a sequence of non-negative integers let $\hat{e}_{I}=$ $e_{i_{1}}\left\{\cdots\left\{e_{i_{k}} \in H_{*} B \mathscr{P}\left(2^{k}, 2\right)\right.\right.$. Let $s: \mathscr{S}\left(2^{k}, 2\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{S}_{2^{k}}$ denote inclusion and let $e_{I}=$ $\varsigma_{*} \hat{e}_{I}$. The length $l(I)$ of $I$ is defined to be $k . I$ is said to be allowable if $0<i_{1} \leq i_{2} \leq \cdots \leq i_{k}$.

Nakaoka [N] has shown that $H_{*}\left(B \mathscr{S}_{2 m}\right)$ is spanned by

$$
\left\{e_{I_{1}} * \cdots * e_{I_{1}} * e_{0}^{p} \mid 2 m=\sum 2^{l\left(I_{\mathrm{F}}\right)}+2 p\right\}
$$

where $*$ is the commutative pairing induced by (1.2). Furthermore these monomials form a basis if the sequences $I_{j}$ are required to be allowable.

THEOREM 1.3 [KP2] Let $x=e_{i_{1}} * \cdots * e_{i_{\mathrm{p}}} * e_{I_{1}} * \cdots * e_{I_{1}} \in H_{*} B \mathscr{S}_{2^{k}}$ with $l\left(I_{j}\right) \geq 2$ then
i) $\operatorname{tr}_{*}(x)=\hat{e}_{i_{1}}\left|\hat{e}_{i_{2}}\right| \cdots\left|\hat{e}_{i_{\mathrm{p}}}\right| \hat{e}_{I_{1}}|\cdots| \hat{e}_{I_{l}}+\hat{e}_{x}$ where $\hat{e}_{x}=\sum \hat{e}_{i_{1}}|\cdots| \hat{e}_{i_{\mathrm{p}}}\left|\hat{e}_{I_{1}^{\prime}}\right| \cdots \mid \hat{e}_{I_{1}^{\prime}}$, the summation being taken over certain elements of the form indicated (or permutations thereof) with $l\left(I_{j}\right)=l\left(I_{j}\right)$. Furthermore
ii) $s_{*}\left(\hat{e}_{x}\right)=0$.

Remark 1.4. The $\hat{e}_{i}$ 's occurring in $\hat{e}_{x}$ can be rearranged into successive even groupings, e.g. $\hat{e}_{i_{1}}\left|\hat{e}_{i_{2}}\right| \hat{e}_{I_{1}^{\prime}}\left|\hat{e}_{i_{3}}\right| \cdots\left|\hat{e}_{i_{6}}\right| \hat{e}_{I_{2}^{\prime}}|\cdots| \hat{e}_{I_{i^{\prime}}}$. This fact is obvious for $k=2$, for a general $k$ it follows from an easy induction argument using the commutative law $x|y=y| x$ in $H_{*}(B \mathscr{P}\{G)$.

## §2. Preliminaries on $H_{*} S G$

The structure of $H_{*} S G$ as an algebra over the Dyer-Lashof algebra is quite complicated. In this section we shall recall several results of Madsen [Md], May [M1], and Milgram [Mg] needed for our work.

Let $Q_{i}: H_{k} Q S^{0} \rightarrow H_{2 k+i} Q S^{0}$ denote the Dyer-Lashof operations derived from the loop product $*$. Then

$$
H_{*} Q S^{0}=\mathbf{Z} / 2\left[[-1],[1], Q_{I}[1] \mid I \text { allowable }\right]
$$

The weight function $\omega: H_{*} \mathrm{QS}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}^{+}$is defined by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
w\left(Q_{I}[1]\right)=2^{l(I)} & w([i])=0 \\
w(x * y)=w x+w y & w\left(\sum x_{i}\right)=\min \left\{w x_{i}\right\}
\end{array}
$$

It is known that \# does not decrease weight [M1;5.6], i.e.

$$
w(x y) \geq w x+w y
$$

(on the level of homology we denote the \# product by juxaposition). Let $u_{i}=Q_{i}[1] *[-1], x_{I}=Q_{I}[1] *\left[1-2^{l(I)}\right]$ where $l(I) \geq 2$ then the fundamental result of Milgram $[\mathrm{Mg}]$ states

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{*} S G=E\left[u_{1}, u_{2}, \ldots\right] \otimes \mathbf{Z} / 2\left[x_{(0, a)}, x_{I} \mid a>0, I \text { allowable }\right] \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

There are several connections between $*$-decomposable elements of $H_{*} Q S^{0}$ and \#-decomposable elements of $H_{*} S G$. Let $I_{k}$ be the set of positive dimensional elements of $H_{*} Q_{k} S^{0}, I=\sum I_{k}$. If $x, y, z \in I$ then by [M1; 6.6ii and p. 137]
i) $x * y * z *[1-w] \in I_{1} \# I_{1} \quad$ where $\quad w=w(x * y * z)$
ii) $Q_{a}[1] * Q_{b}[1] *[-3]+Q_{a}[1] Q_{b}[1] *[-3] \in I_{1} \# I_{1}$
also

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{a}[1] Q_{b}[1]=\sum_{l(I)=2} Q_{I}[1] \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sum is taken over certain $I$ with $l(I)=2[\mathrm{Mg}, 6.2]$.
Let $A$ be the subalgebra of $H_{*} Q_{0} S^{0}$ generated by $Q_{I}[1] *\left[-2^{l(I)}\right], l(I) \geq 2$ and let $B$ be the subalgebra of $H_{*} S G$ generated by $x_{I}, l(I) \geq 2$ then $B=A *[1]$. Further if $\bar{A}, \bar{B}$ denote the augmentation ideals then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{*} Q_{0} S^{0} * \bar{A} *[1]=H_{*} S G \# \bar{B} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see $[\mathrm{Mg} ; 6.1]$ ) and

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{a}[-1]=Q_{a}[1] *[-4]+\alpha \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ is a $*$-decomposable element of $H_{*} Q_{0} S^{0} * \bar{A} *[-2]$ (see $[\mathrm{Mg} ; \S 4]$, [P2; 2.1]).

Let $\tilde{Q}_{i}: H_{k} S G \rightarrow H_{2 k+i} S G$ denote the Dyer-Lashof operations associated with the composition product \#. The following result is due to Madsen [Md; 4.13] (see also [M1, 6.12]): let $I=(J, K), l(K)=2$ then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{Q}_{J}\left(x_{k}\right) \equiv x_{I}+\sum_{2 \leq l(M)<l(I)} \quad x_{M} \quad \bmod \quad I_{1} \# I_{1} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we recall

$$
\begin{equation*}
(x *[i])(y *[j])=\sum x^{\prime} y^{\prime} * x^{\prime \prime}[j] * y^{\prime \prime}[i] *[i j] \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(see $[\mathrm{Mg} ; 2.2],[\mathrm{M} 1 ; 1.5]$ ).

LEMMA 2.8. $Q_{a}[1] * Q_{b}[1] *[-3] \equiv u_{a} u_{b}+\sum_{l(I)=2} x_{I}$ modulo $I_{1} \# \bar{B}$
Proof. By (2.7),

$$
u_{a} u_{b}=\sum_{\substack{i+j=a \\ k+l=b}} Q_{i}[1] Q_{k}[1] * Q_{j}[-1] * Q_{l}[-1] *[1]
$$

Thus by (2.5), $u_{a} u_{b}=\sum Q_{i}[1] Q_{k}[1] *\left(Q_{j}[1] *[-4]+\alpha_{j}\right) *\left(Q_{i}[1] *[-4]+\beta_{l}\right) *$ [1] where $\alpha_{j}, \beta_{l}$ are $*$-decomposable elements of $H_{*} Q_{0} S^{0} * \bar{A} *[-2]$. Thus $u_{a} u_{b}=Q_{a}[1] * Q_{b}[1] *[-3]+Q_{a}[1] Q_{b}[1] *[-3]+\gamma$ where $\gamma \in I_{0} * \bar{A} *[1]$. By (2.2) (ii) $\gamma \in I_{1} \# I_{1}$, and by (2.4) $\gamma \in H_{*} S G \# \bar{B}$ and so $\gamma \in I_{1} \# \bar{B}$. This completes the proof by (2.3).

LEMMA 2.9. If $x \in I_{k}, w(x)=l$ then $x[3]=x *[2 k]+\alpha$ where $w(\alpha)=2 l$, $\alpha \in\left(I_{k} * I_{2 k}\right) \cap(\bar{A} *[3 k])$

Proof. By the distributive law, we have $x[3]=x([1] *[2])=\sum x_{i}^{\prime}[1] * x_{i}^{\prime \prime}[2]=$ $x *[2 k]+\alpha$ where

$$
\alpha=\sum_{\operatorname{deg} x_{i}^{\prime}>0} x_{1}^{\prime} * x_{i}^{\prime \prime}[2] \in\left(I_{k} * I_{2 k}\right) \cap(\bar{A} *[3 k]), w(\alpha)=2 l .
$$

LEMMA 2.10. If $x, y, z \in I$ and $x * y * z \in H_{*} Q_{3} S^{0} * \bar{A}$ then $x * y * z \in$ $I_{1} \# \bar{B} \#[3]$

Proof. The proof proceeds by downward induction on weight. Let $l=$ $w(x * y * z), x \in I_{k}, y \in I_{m}, z \in I_{n}$. By (2.2) (i) and (2.4) $x * y * z *[-2] \in I_{1} \# \bar{B}$
hence multiplying by [3]

$$
x[3] * y[3] * z[3] *[-6] \in I_{1} \# \bar{B} \#[3] .
$$

Using Lemma 2.9 to evaluate this term we have $(x *[2 k]+\alpha) *(y *[2 m]+\beta) *$ $(z *[2 n]+\gamma) *[-6]=x * y * z+3$-fold $*$-decomposable terms in $H_{*} Q_{3} S^{0} * \bar{A}$ of weight greater than $l$. Thus by induction $x * y * z \in I_{1} \# \bar{B} \#[3]$. Q.E.D.

## LEMMA 2.11.

i) $\left(Q_{a}[1] *[1]\right)\left[\frac{1}{3}\right] \equiv u_{a}+x_{(0, a / 2)}$ modulo $I_{1} \# \bar{B}$
ii) $\left(Q_{a} Q_{b}[1] *[-1]\right)\left[\frac{1}{3}\right] \equiv x_{(a, b)}$ modulo $I_{1} \# \bar{B}$
iii) $\left(Q_{a}[1] * Q_{b}[1] *[-1]\right)\left[\frac{1}{3}\right] \equiv u_{a} u_{b}+\sum_{l(I)=2} x_{I}$ modulo $I_{1} \# \bar{B}$

Proof. Since $\left[\frac{1}{3}\right]$ has inverse [3] we can establish these equations by applying [3] to both sides $(\xi(x)=x * x)$
i) $u_{a}[3]+x_{(0, a / 2)}[3]=\left(Q_{a}[1] *[-1]\right)[3]+\left(\xi Q_{a / 2}[1] *[-3]\right)[3]$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =Q_{a}[3] *[-3]+\xi Q_{a / 2}[3] *[-9] \\
& =Q_{a}[1] *[1]+\sum_{0<i<a / 2} Q_{a-2 i}[1] * \xi Q_{i}[1] *[-3]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
+\xi Q_{a / 2}[1] *[-1]+\xi\left\{Q_{a / 2}[1] *[4]\right.
$$

$$
\left.+\sum_{j>0} Q_{(a / 2)-2 j}[1] * \xi Q_{j}[1]\right\} *[-9]
$$

$$
=Q_{a}[1] *[1]+\sum_{0<i<a / 2} Q_{a-2 i}[1] * \xi Q_{i}[1] *[-3]
$$

$$
+\sum_{j>0} \xi Q_{(a / 2)-2 j}[1] * \xi^{2} Q_{j}[1] *[-9]
$$

By Lemma 2.10 all of these terms except the leading one belong to $I_{1} \# \bar{B} \#[3]$
ii) Using the Cartan formula we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{a} Q_{b}[3] & =Q_{a} Q_{b}([1] *[2])=Q_{a}\left(\sum_{i \geq 0} \xi Q_{i}[1] * Q_{b-2 i}[1]\right) \\
& =\sum_{i, j} \xi\left(Q_{i} Q_{i}[1]\right) * Q_{a-2 j} Q_{b-2 i}[1] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{(a, b)}[3] & =\left(Q_{a} Q_{b}[1] *[-3]\right)[3]=Q_{a} Q_{b}[3] *[-9] \\
& =\sum_{i, j \geqslant 0} \xi\left(Q_{j} Q_{i}[1]\right) * Q_{a-2 j} Q_{b-2 i}[1] *[-9] \\
& =Q_{a} Q_{b}[1] *[-1]+\sum_{\substack{i>0 \\
\text { or } j>0}} \xi\left(Q_{j} Q_{i}[1]\right) * Q_{a-2 j} Q_{b-2 i}[1] *[-9] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Each of the trailing terms belongs to $I_{1} \# \bar{B} \#[3]$ by Lemma 2.10 and (2.4).
iii) From Lemma 2.8 we have
$\left(Q_{a}[1] * Q_{b}[1] *[-3]\right)[3] \equiv u_{a} u_{b}[3]+\sum x_{I}[3] \bmod I_{1} \# \bar{B} \#[3]$
However $\quad Q_{a}[3] * Q_{b}[3] *[-9]=\left(Q_{a}[1] *[4]+\alpha\right) *\left(Q_{b}[1] *[4]+\beta\right) *[-9]=$ $Q_{a}[1] * Q_{b}[1] *[-1]+3$-fold $*$-decomposable elements in $H_{*} Q_{3} S^{0} * \bar{A}$ which belong to $I_{1} \# \bar{B} \#[3]$ by Lemma 2.10. Thus $Q_{a}[1] * Q_{b}[1] *[-1] \equiv$ $u_{a} u_{b}[3]+\sum x_{I}[3] \bmod I_{1} \# \bar{B} \#[3]$. Q.E.D.

## §3. Proof of Theorem A

Consider the composite

$$
\sum^{\infty} B \mathscr{S}_{2^{k}} \xrightarrow{\tau} \sum^{\infty} Q B D \xrightarrow{d} \sum^{\infty} S G \quad\left(D=D_{8}\right)
$$

where $\tau=\sum^{\infty} \beta \circ \sum^{\infty} u \circ \operatorname{tr}^{\prime}, d=\sum^{\infty} Q(\delta)$ and $\operatorname{tr}^{\prime}: \sum^{\infty} B \mathscr{P}_{2^{k}} \rightarrow \sum^{\infty} B \mathscr{P}\left(2^{k}, 2\right)$ is the stable transfer [KP]. u:B $\mathscr{P}\left(2^{k}, 2\right) \rightarrow B \mathscr{S}_{2^{k-2}}$ १. $\mathscr{S}_{2}$ \{ $\mathscr{S}_{2}$ is inclusion. $\beta: B \mathscr{S}_{2^{k-2}}\left\{\mathscr{S}_{2}\right\} \mathscr{S}_{2}=E \mathscr{S}_{2^{k-2}} \times_{\mathscr{S}_{2}^{k-2}}(B D)^{2^{k-2}} \rightarrow Q B D$ is the restriction of the Dyer-Lashof map

$$
E \mathscr{S}_{2^{k-2}} \times_{\mathscr{S}_{2} k-2}(Q B D)^{2^{k-2}} \rightarrow Q B D
$$

Recall that in homology $\operatorname{tr}^{\prime}$ is equivalent to $\operatorname{tr}[\mathrm{KP} ; 1.7]$
LEMMA 3.1. $d \circ \tau$ is a homotopy equivalence at 2 in a range of dimensions which increases with $k$.

We can now obtain Theorem A in the following manner: Lemma 3.1 implies that $d_{*}: \pi_{*} \sum^{\infty} Q B D \rightarrow{ }_{2} \pi_{*} \sum^{\infty} S G$ is a surjection. Now arguing as in Adams
[A, p. 50] one shows that

$$
\left\{\sum^{\infty} X, \sum^{\infty} B D^{n}\right\} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{adj} \delta}\left\{\sum^{\infty} X, B^{\infty} S G\right\}
$$

is surjective for any CW-complex $X$ of dimension $<2 n$, where $\delta$ is defined in the Introduction and superscript $n$ denotes the $n$-skeleton. Now applying this to $X=S G^{n}$ we see that the composite

$$
\sum^{\infty} S G^{n} \rightarrow \sum^{\infty} S G \xrightarrow{\alpha} B^{\infty} S G
$$

(where $\alpha$ is the stable adjoint of $S G \xrightarrow{\text { id }} S G$ ) factors as

$$
\sum^{\infty} S G^{n} \rightarrow \sum^{\infty} B D^{n} \xrightarrow{\text { adj } \delta} B^{\infty} S G
$$

Thus upon applying $\Omega^{\infty}$ and including $S G^{n} \subset \Omega^{\infty} \sum^{\infty} S G^{n}$ we obtain the homotopy commutative diagram


Although there is no (obvious) compatibility in these diagrams with increasing $n$, the use of inverse limits [A] shows (since all homotopy groups in sight are finite) that there is a homotopy commutative diagram

which completes the proof. It remains to consider the

Proof of Lemma 3.1. There is a well-known homology equivalence $H_{*} B \mathscr{S}_{\infty} \approx$ $H_{*} Q_{0} S^{0}$ [BKP] also $H_{*} B \mathscr{S}_{2^{k}} \approx H_{*} B \mathscr{S}_{\infty}$ in a range [ N ]. These facts, together with the obvious equivalence $Q_{0} S^{0} \simeq S G$ as spaces, show that it is enough to prove that $d_{*}{ }^{\circ} \tau_{*}$ is surjective in a range. We do this first for $\delta=\delta_{1}$. Because Theorem 1.3 is
our main tool we shall re-express $d \circ \tau$ as

$$
\sum^{\infty} B \mathscr{S}_{2^{k}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{tr}^{\prime}} \sum^{\infty} B \mathscr{P}\left(2^{k}, 2\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}^{\prime}} \sum^{\infty} S G
$$

where $\mathrm{d}^{\prime}$ is the composite $d \circ \sum^{\infty} \beta \circ \sum^{\infty} u$.
If $x=u_{i_{1}} u_{i_{2}}, \ldots, u_{i_{m}} x_{I_{1}} x_{I_{2}}, \ldots, x_{I_{n}}$ we shall write

$$
\begin{aligned}
a(x)=m+n, b(x) & =k\left(k \text { is the number of terms } x_{I_{I}} \text { with } l\left(I_{j}\right)=2\right) \\
c(x) & =n\left(n \text { is the number of terms } x_{I_{J}} \text { with } l\left(I_{j}\right) \geq 2\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As usual we extend these definitions to sums by setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a(x+y)=\min \{a(x), a(y)\} \quad c(x+y)=\min \{c(x), c(y)\} \\
& b(x+y)=\min \{b(x), b(y)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $I_{1}^{v}=I_{1} \# \cdots \# I_{1}$ ( $v$-factors).
Step 1. $d_{*}^{\prime} \operatorname{tr}_{*}^{\prime}$ is surjective modulo $I_{1}^{2}$
i) Consider $x=u_{a}$ and let $2 N=2^{k}-2$ then by Th. 1.3

$$
d_{*}^{\prime} \operatorname{tr}_{*}^{\prime}\left(e_{a} * e_{0}^{N}\right)=d_{*}^{\prime}\left(\hat{e}_{a} \mid \hat{e}_{0}^{N}\right)=u_{a}
$$

ii) Consider $x=x_{(a, b)}$ and let $2 N=2^{k}-4$ then by Th. 1.3

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{*}^{\prime} \operatorname{tr}_{*}^{\prime}\left(e_{(a, b)} * e_{0}^{N}\right) & =d_{*}^{\prime}\left(\hat{e}_{(a, b)}\left|\hat{e}_{0}^{N}+\sum \hat{e}_{\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)}\right| \hat{e}_{0}^{N}\right) \\
& =x_{(a, b)}+\sum_{\|} x_{\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)}=x_{(a, b)}
\end{aligned}
$$

iii) Consider $x=x_{I}, I=(J, K), l(K)=2$. Let $2 p=2^{k}-2^{l(I)}$ then by Th. 1.3

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{*}^{\prime} \operatorname{tr}_{*}^{\prime}\left(e_{I} * e_{0}^{p}\right) & =d_{*}^{\prime}\left(\hat{e}_{I}\left|\hat{e}_{0}^{p}+\sum \hat{e}_{I^{\prime}}\right| \hat{e}_{0}^{p}\right) \quad\left(I^{\prime}=\left(J^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)\right) \\
& =\tilde{Q}_{J}\left(x_{K}\right)+\sum \tilde{Q}_{J^{\prime}}\left(x_{K^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \equiv x_{I}+\sum_{\substack{\|}} x_{I^{\prime}}+\sum_{2 \leq l(M)<l(I)} x_{M} \quad \bmod \quad I_{1}^{2} \quad \text { (by 2.6) }
\end{aligned}
$$

The terms $x_{M} \in \operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{t r}_{\boldsymbol{*}}^{\prime}\right)$ mod $I_{1}^{2}$ by induction on length starting with length 2 which is covered by ii).

Taken together i), ii), and iii) prove Step 1.
Step 2. $d_{*}^{\prime} \operatorname{tr}_{*}^{\prime}$ is surjective: Assume by induction that $x \in \operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{\prime} \operatorname{tr}_{*}^{\prime}\right) \bmod I_{1}^{v}$ for all $x$ such that $a(x)<v$. Now consider $x$ such that $a(x)=v$ say $x=u_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{i_{2 p}} x_{I_{1}}$, $\ldots, x_{I_{k}} x_{I_{k+1}}, \ldots, x_{I_{k+n}}$ where $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{2 p}, v=2 p+k+n, l\left(I_{j}\right)=2$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $l\left(I_{j}\right)>2$ for $k<j<k+n$. Let $s=w x$ and set $e=e_{i_{1}} * \cdots * e_{i_{2 p}} * e_{I_{1}} * \cdots * e_{I_{k+n}}$, by Theorem 1.3 we have (with $I_{j}=\left(J_{j}, K_{j}\right), l\left(K_{j}\right)=2$ )

$$
\begin{align*}
& d_{*}^{\prime} \operatorname{tr}_{*}^{\prime}(e)=d_{*}\left(\hat{e}_{i_{1}}|\cdots| \hat{e}_{i_{2 p}}\left|\hat{e}_{I_{1}}\right| \cdots\left|\hat{e}_{I_{k}}\right| \hat{e}_{I_{k+1}}|\cdots| \hat{e}_{I_{k+n}}\right.  \tag{3.3}\\
&+\sum \hat{e}_{i_{1}}|\cdots| \hat{e}_{i_{2 p}}\left|\hat{e}_{I_{1}^{\prime}}\right| \cdots\left|\hat{e}_{I_{k}^{\prime}}\right| \hat{e}_{I_{k+1}^{\prime}}|\cdots| \hat{e}_{I_{k+n}^{\prime}} \\
&=\left(Q_{i_{1}}[1] * Q_{i_{2}}[1] *[-3]\right) \cdots\left(Q_{i_{2 p-1}}[1] * Q_{i_{2 p}}[1] *[-3]\right) \\
& x_{I_{1}} \cdots x_{I_{k}} \cdot \tilde{Q}_{J_{k+1}}\left(x_{K_{k+1}}\right) \cdots \tilde{Q}_{J_{k+n}}\left(x_{K_{k+n}}\right) \\
&+\sum\left(Q_{i_{1}}[1] * Q_{i_{2}}[1] *[-3]\right) \cdots\left(Q_{i_{2 p-1}}[1] * Q_{i_{2 p}}[1] *[-3]\right) . \\
& x_{I_{1}} \cdots x_{I_{k}^{\prime}} \cdot \tilde{Q}_{J_{k_{k+1}}^{\prime}}\left(x_{K_{k+1}}\right) \cdots \tilde{Q}_{J_{k+n}^{\prime}}\left(x_{K_{k+n}}\right) \\
&=u_{i_{1}} u_{i_{2}} \cdots u_{i_{2 p-1}} u_{i_{2_{2}}} x_{I_{1}} \cdots x_{I_{k}} x_{I_{k+1}} \cdots x_{I_{k+n}} \\
&+\sum u_{i_{1}} \cdots u_{i_{i_{p}}} x_{I_{1}^{\prime}} \cdots x_{I_{k}} x_{I_{k+1}^{\prime}} \cdots x_{I_{k+n}} \\
&+\alpha_{e}+\beta_{e}+\gamma_{e}+\delta_{e}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a\left(\alpha_{e}\right) \geq v \\
& \alpha\left(\beta_{e}\right) \leq v, b\left(\beta_{e}\right)>k \\
& \alpha\left(\gamma_{e}\right)=v, b\left(\gamma_{e}\right)=k, c\left(\gamma_{e}\right)>k+n \\
& \alpha\left(\delta_{e}\right)=v, b\left(\gamma_{e}\right)=k, c\left(\gamma_{e}\right)=k+n, w\left(\gamma_{e}\right)<s
\end{aligned}
$$

The third equality of (3.3) results from (2.6) and Lemma 2.8: The term $\alpha_{e}$ occurs because of the \#-decomposable elements introduced by (2.6) and Lemma 2.8; the term $\beta_{e}$ occurs because the factors $Q_{a}[1] * Q_{b}[1] *[-3]$ can give rise (by Lemma 2.8 ) to monomials of lesser $a$-value but higher $b$-value; the term $\gamma_{e}$ occurs because the \#-decomposable terms introduced from Lemma 2.8 can increase the $c$-value without changing (by 2.6) the $a$ or $b$-values; the term $\delta_{e}$ occurs because the factors $\tilde{Q}_{J}\left(x_{k}\right)$ can give rise (from 2.6) to monomials of lesser weight.

From our analysis of (3.3) we have
LEMMA 3.4. $b\left(d_{*}^{\prime} t r_{*}^{\prime}(e)\right) \geq k$, i.e. $d_{*}^{\prime} t r^{\prime}$ does not decrease the number of factors of length 2 .

Finally we claim $\sum u_{i_{1}} \cdots u_{i_{2 p}} x_{I_{i}^{i}} \cdots x_{I_{k}+n}=0$. By Theorem 1.3(ii)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{*}\left(\sum \hat{e}_{i_{1}}|\cdots| \hat{i}_{i_{2 p}}\left|\hat{e}_{I_{i}}\right| \cdots \mid \hat{e}_{I_{k+n}}\right) \\
& =\sum e_{i_{1}} * \cdots * e_{i_{i_{p}}} * e_{I_{i}} * \cdots * e_{I_{k+n}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

There are no relations in the $*$-product except commutativity and $e_{M} * e_{M}=$ $e_{(0, M)}$. Since commutativity also holds in $H_{*} S G$ and $\tilde{Q}_{0}\left(x_{M}\right)=x_{M} \cdot x_{M}$ the claim follows. We need not consider the relation $u_{j} u_{j}=0$ since we are assuming $i_{1}<\cdots<i_{2 p}$.

Now among those $x$ with $a(x)=v$ consider those with maximum $b$-value and among those ones with maximum $c$-value and among those ones with minimum $w$-value. Such $x \in \operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{\prime} t r_{*}^{\prime}\right) \bmod I_{1}^{v+1}$ by 3.3 (we observe that no terms $\beta_{e}$ can occur by induction and Lemma 3.4). Now proceed by upward induction on the $w$-value and then downward induction on the $c$-value. We now must consider lowering the value of $b$ which will introduce terms of the form $\beta_{e}$. However by Lemma 3.4 and induction we may assume such elements are in $\operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{\prime} t r_{*}^{\prime}\right) \bmod I_{1}^{v+1}$. Thus we may proceed by downward induction on $b$ until we have $x \in \operatorname{Im}\left(d_{*}^{\prime} t r_{*}^{\prime}\right) \bmod I_{1}^{v+1}$ for all $x$ with $a(x)=v$. This completes the induction. To complete Step 2 we must also consider elements $x=u_{i_{1}}, \ldots, u_{i_{2 p-1}} x_{I_{1}}, \ldots, x_{I_{k+n}}$ but the proof is entirely analogous.

It remains to consider $\delta=\delta_{2}$, however by Lemma 2.11 we can use the same argument. Q.E.D.

## §4. Proof of Theorem B

From the affirmative solution of the Adams' conjecture we have a homotopy commutative diagram

where the horizontal maps from the usual fibre sequence. Let $e: G / O \rightarrow B S O$ denote the map obtained from the $K O$-orientation of Spin bundles [ABS]. Madsen-Tornehave-Snaith [MST] have shown that $e$ is an infinite loop map (the range of $e$ is actually $B S O_{\otimes}$ but by the theorem of Adams and the author [AP] we may ignore this point). Further $e \gamma \simeq \rho^{3}$ an equivalence at 2 . Let $C \xrightarrow{\varphi} G / O$ be the homotopy fibre of $e, C$ is usually called the cokernel of $J$. We recall the splitting of Sullivan [S], [MST; 5.5], [M2; V.4.7]

$$
g: C \times B S O \xrightarrow{\approx} G / O, \quad g=\varphi \cdot \gamma .
$$

Since $\tilde{K} O^{*}(C)=0[\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{S} 1]$ there is a lifting $\psi$ (unique up to homotopy)


Now let $T_{G}$ be the composite

$$
T_{G}: S G \xrightarrow{t} Q B D \xrightarrow{i} Q B O_{2}
$$

where $t$ is the transfer of (3.2) and $i$ is induced by the standard orthogonal representation of $D$ on $\mathbf{R}^{2}$.

Set $t_{C}=T_{G} \circ \psi: C \rightarrow Q B O_{2}$. Let

$$
T_{B}: B O \rightarrow Q B O_{2}
$$

be the map induced by Becker and Gottlieb transfer [ $\mathrm{S} 2 ; \mathrm{I}(3.5)]$ and set $t_{\mathrm{B}}=$ $T_{B} j: B S O \rightarrow Q B O_{2}$ where $j: B S O \rightarrow B O$ is inclusion. Finally let $T=$ $u \circ\left(t_{C} \times t_{B}\right) \circ \mathrm{g}^{-1}: G / O \rightarrow \mathrm{QBO}_{2}$ where $u: \mathrm{QBO}_{2} \times Q B O_{2} \rightarrow Q B O_{2}$ is the loop product.

Theorem B is equivalent to

THEOREM 4.1. $G / O \xrightarrow{T} \mathrm{QBO}_{2} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Q}(\gamma)} \mathrm{G} / \mathrm{O}$ is an equivalence at 2.

Before giving the proof of Theorem 4.1 we prepare some necessary lemmas. Brumfiel and Madsen [BM, Lemma A.1] have shown that the following diagram
is homotopy commutative


Let

$$
\chi=p_{1} \circ \mathrm{~g}^{-1}: G / O \rightarrow C \times B S O \rightarrow C
$$

where $p_{1}$ is projection.
LEMMA 4.3. $\chi Q(\gamma) t_{C} \simeq i d_{C}$.
Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi Q(\gamma) t_{C} & =\chi Q(\gamma) T_{G} \psi \\
& =\chi Q(\gamma) i t \psi \\
& \left.\simeq \chi \pi Q\left(\delta_{2}\right) t \psi \quad \text { by } 4.2\right) \\
& =\chi \pi \psi \quad \text { (by 3.2) } \\
& =\chi \varphi=i d_{C} \quad \text { Q.E.D. }
\end{aligned}
$$

LEMMA 4.4. $e Q(\gamma) t_{\mathrm{B}}$ is an equivalence.
Proof. We will show that in mod-2 cohomology $\left(e Q(\gamma) t_{\mathrm{B}}\right)^{*}\left(w_{2}\right) \neq 0$. From this and the action of the Steenrod algebra it follows that $\left(e Q(\gamma) t_{B}\right)^{*}\left(w_{i}\right)=$ $w_{i}$ + decomposables and thus that $e Q(\gamma) t_{B}$ is an equivalence. Snaith [S2,] has observed that if $k: B O_{2} \rightarrow B O$ denotes inclusion then

$$
\mathrm{BO}_{2} \xrightarrow{k} \mathrm{BO} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{B}}} \mathrm{QBO}_{2}
$$

is the standard inclusion $\mathrm{BO}_{2} \rightarrow \mathrm{QBO} \mathrm{O}_{2}$. Hence $T_{\mathrm{B}}^{*}\left(w_{2}\right)=w_{2}$. It is well-known (and easy to prove from 4.0 or 4.2 ) that $\gamma^{*}$ is non-zero on the bottom (2dimensional) class in $H^{*} G / O$. Since $e \gamma$ is an equivalence $e^{*}\left(w_{2}\right) \neq 0$. Thus $\left(e Q(\gamma) T_{B}\right)^{*}\left(w_{2}\right) \neq 0$ and the result follows. Q.E.D.

Let $R_{B}=e Q(\gamma)\left(t_{C} \cdot t_{B}\right): C \times B S O \rightarrow B S O$

LEMMA 4.5 i) $\chi \times e: G / O \rightarrow C \times B S O$ is an equivalence.
ii) $R_{B} \simeq e Q(\gamma) t_{B} p_{2}$.

Proof. i) $(\chi \times e) g=\chi g \times e g$ where we recall $g=\varphi \cdot \gamma$ is an equivalence. $e g=$ $e(\varphi \cdot \gamma) \simeq e \varphi \cdot e \gamma \simeq e \gamma p_{2}$ since $\tilde{K} O^{*}(C)=0$ implies $e \varphi \simeq 0 . \chi g=p_{1} g^{-1} g=p_{1}$. This completes the proof of i) since $e \gamma$ is an equivalence ii). $e Q(\gamma)\left(t_{C} \cdot t_{B}\right) \simeq$ $e Q(\gamma) t_{C} \cdot e Q(\gamma) t_{B} \simeq e Q(\gamma) t_{B} p_{2}$ since $\tilde{K} O^{*}(C)=0$ implies $e Q(\gamma) t_{C} \simeq 0$. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $R=(\chi \times e) Q(\gamma)\left(t_{C} \cdot t_{B}\right), R_{C}=\chi Q(\gamma)\left(t_{C} \cdot t_{B}\right)$ then $R=R_{C} \times R_{B}$. Let $x \oplus y \in \pi_{k} C \oplus \pi_{k} B S O$ then $R(x \oplus y)=R_{C}(x \oplus y) \oplus R_{B}(x \oplus y)$. By Lemma 4.5ii) $R_{B}(x \oplus y)=e Q(\gamma) t_{B}(y)$. By Lemma $4.3 \quad R_{C}(x)=x$. Hence $R(x \oplus y)=x+\chi Q(\gamma) t_{C}(y) \oplus e Q(\gamma) t_{B}(y)$ and so $R$ is an isomorphism since $e Q(\gamma) t_{\mathrm{B}}$ is an equivalence by Lemma 4.4. Thus $R$ and hence $R g^{-1}=$ $(\chi \times e) Q(\gamma) T$ is an equivalence. This completes the proof by Lemma 4.5i).
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