Zeitschrift:	Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Herausgeber:	Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band:	54 (1979)
Artikel:	On the number of solutions of linear equations in units of an algebraic number field.
Autor:	Györy, K.
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-41597

#### Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften auf E-Periodica. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen sowie auf Social Media-Kanälen oder Webseiten ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. <u>Mehr erfahren</u>

#### **Conditions d'utilisation**

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. La reproduction d'images dans des publications imprimées ou en ligne ainsi que sur des canaux de médias sociaux ou des sites web n'est autorisée qu'avec l'accord préalable des détenteurs des droits. <u>En savoir plus</u>

#### Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. Publishing images in print and online publications, as well as on social media channels or websites, is only permitted with the prior consent of the rights holders. <u>Find out more</u>

# Download PDF: 06.07.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

# On the number of solutions of linear equations in units of an algebraic number field

K. Györy

## 1. Introduction

Let **K** be an algebraic number field of degree n over the field **Q** of rational numbers, and let r denote the number of fundamental units in **K**. As is well-known, many diophantine problems lead to equations of the form

$$\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 = \beta \tag{1}$$

where the coefficients  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\beta$  are non-zero algebraic integers and the variables  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  are units in **K** (see e.g. Siegel [22], [23], Skolem [25], Nagell [15], [17], Mordell [14], Baker [1], [3], Sprindžuk [26], [27], the author [7], [9] and the references mentioned there). We may suppose that in (1)  $m = |N_{K/O}(\beta)| \ge m_k = |N_{K/O}(\alpha_k)|$  for k = 1, 2. It follows from a general theorem of Siegel [22] concerning the Thue equation that the number N of solutions of (1) is finite (and these solutions can be effectively determined by Baker's method, cf. [3]). This result on the finiteness of N has various generalizations, see e.g. Mahler [13] and Lang [11]. From the point of view of some applications of (1) it is crucial to have a good upper bound for N. The best known bound for N is  $3^{2r}c'(n)$  when  $m \ge \min_k c''(n, \alpha_k)$ . It can be deduced from a recent theorem of Choodnovsky ([4], Theorem 2.1, (2)) on the number of solutions of the Thue equation. In [4]  $c'(n)(\ge 1)$  and  $c''(n, \alpha_k)$  are effectively computable in terms of n and  $\alpha_k$  but they are not explicitly computed.

In this paper we give a direct proof for estimating N which enables us to considerably improve the above quoted estimate. Using Baker's method we prove that if m is sufficiently large relative to min  $(m_1, m_2)$  and certain parameters of **K** then  $N \le r+1$ . This upper bound is best possible for  $r \le 1$ . Further, for small values of m our result does not remain valid in general.

We prove our main result in a more general form, for the number of solutions of (1) in S-units  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  of **K**. Our theorem has several applications which will be published in separate papers. It implies e.g. [10] that in our Theorem 1(a) in [6]

there exists no so-called exceptional polynomial f(x). The explicit and good dependence on r in our bound is particularly useful in certain applications.

### 2. The main result

Throughout this paper **K** will denote an algebraic number field of degree  $n \ge 1$ with ring of integers  $\mathbb{Z}_{K}$ . Let  $R_{K}$  and  $h_{K}$  be the regulator and the class number of **K**. Let  $R_{K}^{*} = \max(R_{K}, e)$  and let r be the number of fundamental units in **K**. Denote by S a finite set of normalized valuations  $|\cdots|_{v}$  of **K** containing the set  $S_{\infty}$ of the archimedean valuations. For  $\alpha \in \mathbf{K}$  put  $\|\alpha\|_{v} = |\alpha|_{v}^{n_{v}}$  where  $n_{v} = [\mathbf{K}_{v} : \mathbf{Q}_{v}]$ . Suppose that the non-archimedean valuations of S belong to the prime ideals  $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{s}$  and that these prime ideals lie above rational primes not exceeding  $P(\ge 2)$ .  $U_{S}$  will denote the group of S-units in **K**.  $U_{S}$  obviously coincides with the group  $U_{K}$  of units in **K** for  $S = S_{\infty}$ .

Let  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\beta$  be non-zero algebraic integers in **K** with  $m_k = \prod_{v \in S} ||\alpha_k||_v$ , k = 1, 2 and  $m = \prod_{v \in S} ||\beta||_v$ . Consider the equation

$$\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 = \beta \tag{2}$$

in S-units  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  of **K**. We may suppose without loss of generality that  $m \ge \max(m_1, m_2)$ . It follows from a theorem of Parry [19] on the Thue-Mahler equation that the number of solutions of (2) is finite and can be estimated from above in terms of **K**, S,  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\beta$ .<sup>(1)</sup>

In this paper we derive an upper bound for the number of solutions of (2) in a more direct way, without using the Thue–Mahler equation. This new approach enables us to establish a much more precise result on the equation (2).

THEOREM. Let **K**, S,  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\beta$  be as above. Suppose that

$$\log m > \varepsilon^{-1} \log \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right) (25(r+s+3)n)^{20(r+2)+13s} P^n R_K$$
  

$$\cdot (R_K + h_K \log P)^s (R_K + sh_K \log P) [s(R_K + h_K \log P) + 1] \log (R_K^*(1+sh_K P)) \quad (3)$$

and that  $\min_k (m_k) \le m^{1-\epsilon}$  for some  $\epsilon$  with  $0 < \epsilon \le 1$ . Then the number of solutions of (2) in S-units  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  of **K** is not greater than r+4s+1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In case  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}$  better and explicit estimates can be deduced from a result of Lewis and Mahler [12].

Remark. If in our theorem  $\max_k (\log m_k) \le (\log m)^{1-\varepsilon}$  with some  $\varepsilon$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon \le 1$ , and  $m > C(\varepsilon, n, R_K, h_K, s, P)$  (where C can be expressed explicitly in terms of  $\varepsilon$ , n,  $R_k$ ,  $h_K$ , s and P) then the number of solutions of (2) is at most r + 2s + 1. Further, if in particular  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}$  and  $s \ge 1$ , this bound can be improved to 2s. For s = 1 this result is best possible.

In case  $S = S_{\infty}$  our theorem implies the following

COROLLARY. Let **K**,  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$  and  $\beta$  be defined as above. If

$$\log |N_{K/Q}(\beta)| > \varepsilon^{-1} \log \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right) (25(r+3)n)^{20(r+2)} R_K^2 \log R_K^*$$
(4)

and  $\min_k |N_{K/Q}(\alpha_k)| \leq |N_{K/Q}(\beta)|^{1-\varepsilon}$  for some  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ , then the number of solutions of (2) in units  $x_1, x_2$  of **K** is not greater than r+1.

It is easily verified that for number fields **K** of unit rank  $r \le 1$  the bound r+1 is already best possible.

Nagell proved [16] that for every  $n \ge 5$  there exists a number field **K** of degree n such that  $x_1 + x_2 = 1$  has at least 3(2n-3) solutions in units  $x_1, x_2$  of **K**. In other words, if m is small or  $\beta = \alpha_1 = \alpha_2$  our theorem is not true in general. In these cases we can derive an explicit upper bound for the number of solutions of (2) by using our Lemma 6, but this bound depends on r, s,  $R_K$ ,  $h_K$  and P.

Finally we mention an application of our Corollary. Newman showed [18] that if  $[\mathbf{K}:\mathbf{Q}] = n \ge 4$  and in **K** there is an arithmetic progression  $\eta$ ,  $\eta + \beta$ , ...,  $\eta + k\beta$ consisting of units then  $k \le n-1$ . When  $\beta$  satisfies (4) and r < n-2, our Corollary improves Newman's estimate to  $k \le r+1$ .

## 3. Lemmas

In order to prove our theorem we need some lemmas. We keep the notations of Section 2. We suppose that there are  $r_1$  real conjugate fields to **K** and  $2r_2$ complex conjugates to **K** and that they are chosen in the usual manner: if  $\alpha$  is in **K** then  $\alpha^{(j)}$  is real for  $j = 1, \ldots, r_1$  and  $\alpha^{(j+r_2)} = \overline{\alpha^{(j)}}$  for  $j = r_1 + 1, \ldots, r_1 + r_2$ . Let  $e_j = 1$  if  $1 \le j \le r_1$  and  $e_j = 2$  if  $r_1 + 1 \le j \le r_1 + r_2$ .

As usual,  $|\alpha|$  will denote the maximum of the absolute values of the conjugates of an algebraic number  $\alpha$ . We denote by  $H(\alpha)$  the height (in the usual sense) of  $\alpha$ . LEMMA 1. If  $r \ge 1$ , then there exist independent units  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_r$  in **K** such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r} \max\left(\log \overline{|\eta_i|}, 1\right) < c_1 R_K \tag{5}$$

and the absolute values of the elements of the inverse matrix of  $(e_j \log |\eta_i^{(j)}|)_{1 \le i,j \le r}$  do not exceed  $c_2$ , where

$$c_1 = \left(\frac{6rn^2}{\log n}\right)^r$$
 and  $c_2 = \frac{6r!n^2}{\log n}$ 

*Proof.* This is a special case of Lemma 2 of [9]. It follows from the work [24] of Siegel (combining his argument with a recent result of Dobrowolski [5]).

If  $r \ge 1$ , let  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_r$  be fixed units in  $\mathbb{Z}_K$  with the properties specified in Lemma 1 and let U denote the multiplicative group generated by  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_r$ . In case r=0 let  $U=\{1\}$  and  $c_1=c_2=1$ .

LEMMA 2. Let  $\alpha$  be a non-zero element in **K** with  $|N_{K/Q}(\alpha)| = M$ . There exists a unit  $\varepsilon \in U$  such that

$$|\log | M^{-1/n}(\alpha \varepsilon)^{(j)} || \le \frac{c_1 r}{2} R_{\mathrm{K}}, \qquad j = 1, \dots, n.$$
 (6)

Proof. This is a special case of Lemma 3 in [9].

Let  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m$  be  $m \ge 2$  non-zero algebraic numbers in **K** with heights respectively not exceeding  $A_1, \ldots, A_m$  (with  $\log \log A_i \ge 1$ ). We further suppose that  $A_1 \le A_2 \le \cdots \le A_m = A'$  and we set

$$\Omega' = (\log A_1) \cdots (\log A_{m-1}), \qquad c_3 = (25(m+1)n)^{10(m+1)}$$

and  $T = c_3 \Omega' \log \Omega'$ . Write

$$\Lambda = b_1 \log \alpha_1 + \cdots + b_{m-1} \log \alpha_{m-1} - \log \alpha_m$$

where  $b_1, \ldots, b_{m-1}$  are rational integers with absolute values at most B and all the logarithms have their principal values.

LEMMA 3 (A. J. van der Poorten and J. H. Loxton). If  $\Lambda \neq 0$  and for some  $\delta > 0$ 

$$|\Lambda| < e^{-\delta B}$$
,

then  $B < \delta^{-1}T \log (\delta^{-1}T) \log A'$  or  $B < c_3^{-1/2}T \log (c_3^{-1/2}T) \log A'$  according as  $\delta \le c_3^{-1/2}T$  or  $\delta > c_3^{-1/2}T$ .

*Proof.* This deep result is Theorem 3 in [21]. It is an explicit form of Theorem 2 of Baker [2].

We shall use the following consequence of Lemma 3. Put

 $c_4 = (25(m+2)n)^{10(m+2)}$  and  $T' = c_4 \Omega' \log \Omega'$ .

With the above notation we have

LEMMA 4. If  $0 < \delta < 2mc_4^{-1/2}T'$  and

 $0 < |\alpha_1^{b_1} \cdots \alpha_{m-1}^{b_{m-1}} \alpha_m^{-1} - 1| < e^{-\delta B}$ 

then  $B < 4e\delta^{-1}T' \log (4em\delta^{-1}T') \log A'$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 4 can be deduced from Lemma 3 by a well-known argument. Let  $b_m = -1$ . By taking the principal values of the logarithms we get

$$\log\left(\alpha_{1}^{b_{1}}\cdots\alpha_{m}^{b_{m}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m}b_{i}\log\alpha_{i}+b_{0}\log\left(-1\right)$$

where  $|b_0| \le |b_1| + \cdots + |b_m| \le mB$ . Since  $|\log z| \le 2|z-1|$  for  $|z-1| \le \frac{1}{3}$ , it is clear that Lemma 4 is a direct consequence of Lemma 3.

Let p be a prime ideal of **K** lying above the rational prime p. Following van der Poorten [20], we write  $e_p$  for the ramification index of p and  $f_p$  for its residue class degree, so  $N_{K/Q}(p) = p^{f_p}$ . Let  $g_p = [\frac{1}{2} + e_p/(p-1)]$  and  $G_p = p^{f_p g_p}(p^{f_p} - 1)$ . Let  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_m, \Omega'$  and A' be defined as in Lemma 3 and write  $c_5 = (16(m+1)n)^{12(m+1)}$ ,  $T^* = c_5 G_p \Omega' \log \Omega'$ .

LEMMA 5 (A. J. van der Poorten). If  $0 < \delta^* < 1$  and there exist rational integers  $b_1, \ldots, b_{m-1}$  with absolute values at most B such that

 $\infty > \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}}(\alpha_{1}^{b_{1}} \cdots \alpha_{m-1}^{b_{m-1}}\alpha_{m}^{-1} - 1) > \delta^{*}B$ 

then  $B < (\delta^*)^{-1}T^* \log ((\delta^*)^{-1}T^*) \log A'$ .

Proof. This is Theorem 4 of van der Poorten [20].

We remark that  $G_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq p^n$  if p > 3 and  $G_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq p^{2n}$  if  $p \leq 3$ . Let S be defined as in Section 2 and put  $G_S = \max_{1 \leq i \leq s} G_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$  for  $s \geq 1$  and  $G_S = 1$  for s = 0. Denote by  $\mathcal{N}$  the set of algebraic integers  $\alpha$  in **K** satisfying

 $|N_{K/Q}(\alpha)| \leq N.$ 

With the notation introduced above we have the following

LEMMA 6. Let  $\alpha_1$ ,  $\alpha_2$ ,  $\alpha_3$  be non-zero algebraic integers in **K** with  $\max_{1 \le k \le 3} \overline{|\alpha_k|} \le A$ . If  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$  are non-zero algebraic integers in **K** satisfying

$$\alpha_1 x_1 + \alpha_2 x_2 + \alpha_3 x_3 = 0 \quad and \quad x_1, x_2, x_3 \in \mathcal{N}(U_S \cap \mathbf{Z}_K)$$

$$\tag{7}$$

then for some  $\sigma \in U_S \cap \mathbb{Z}_K$  and  $\rho_k \in \mathbb{Z}_K$  we have

$$x_k = \sigma \rho_k, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3 \tag{8}$$

and

$$\max_{1 \le k \le 3} \overline{|\rho_{k}|} < \exp \{c_{6}G_{s}(\log P)[s(R_{K} + h_{K} \log P) \log (1 + sR_{K}h_{K}) + 1]$$

$$\cdot R_{K}((s+1)R_{K} + sh_{K} \log P)$$

$$\times (R_{K} + h_{K} \log P)^{s}[\log R_{K}^{*} + s \log (1 + R_{K}h_{K} \log P)]^{2}$$

$$\cdot [R_{K} + sh_{K} \log P + \log (AN)]\}, \qquad (9)$$

where  $c_6 = (25(r+s+3)n)^{19r+13s+2rs+36}$ .

As is known, this statement, with weaker estimates, was earlier implicitly proved in several papers. In the special case s = 0 we obtained in [9] a slightly better result. Our Lemma 6 has several applications. By using this lemma we can improve, for example, our estimates established in [8].

Put  $p_i^{h_K} = (\pi_i)$  with some  $\pi_i \in \mathbb{Z}_K$  for i = 1, ..., s. As will be apparent from the proof of Lemma 6, in (8)  $\sigma$  may be chosen in the form  $\eta \pi_1^{a_1} \cdots \pi_s^{a_s}$  where  $\eta \in U_K$  and  $a_1, ..., a_s$  are non-negative rational integers.

Proof of Lemma 6. Since in the case s = 0 we obtained in [9] a better estimate than that occurring in (9), in what follows we suppose s > 0. By hypothesis we have

$$x_k = \delta_k \sigma_k, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3, \tag{10}$$

where

$$|N_{K/O}(\delta_k)| \le N \tag{11}$$

and

$$(\sigma_k) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{1k}} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s^{\boldsymbol{\mu}_{sk}}. \tag{12}$$

Write  $u_{ik} = h_K v_{ik} + r_{ik}$  with  $0 \le r_{ik} < h_K$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_i^{h_K} = (\pi_i)$  with  $\pi_i \in \mathbb{Z}_K$  for i = 1, ..., s. By Lemma 2 we may suppose that

$$\max_{i} \overline{|\pi_{i}|} \leq \exp\left\{\frac{c_{1}r}{2}R_{K} + h_{K}\log P\right\}.$$
(13)

Further, by (10)  $(\delta_k) \mathfrak{p}_1^{r_{1k}} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s^{r_{sk}}$  is a principal ideal with norm at most  $NP^{snh_{\kappa}}$ . Applying again Lemma 2 we may write

$$x_{k} = \varepsilon_{k} \gamma_{k} \pi^{\upsilon_{1k}} \cdots \pi_{s}^{\upsilon_{sk}}, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3, \qquad (14)$$

where  $\varepsilon_k$  is a unit in **K** and  $\gamma_k$  is an algebraic integer satisfying

$$\overline{|\gamma_k|} \le N^{1/n} P^{\mathfrak{sh}_{\kappa}} \exp\left\{\frac{c_1 r}{2} R_{\kappa}\right\}, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3.$$
(15)

Put  $a_i = \min_k v_{ik}$  and  $v'_{ik} = v_{ik} - a_i$  for k = 1, 2, 3 and  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ . Suppose, for convenience, that  $V = \max_{1 \le i \le s} v'_{ik} = v'_{11}$  and  $v'_{13} = 0$ . If  $r \ge 1$ , let  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_r$  be units with the properties specified in Lemma 1. By Lemma 2 we may write

$$\varepsilon_1/\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_1' \eta_1^{w_{11}} \cdots \eta_r^{w_{r1}}, \qquad \varepsilon_2/\varepsilon_3 = \varepsilon_2' \eta_1^{w_{12}} \cdots \eta_r^{w_{r2}}$$
(16)

where  $w_{11}, \ldots, w_{r1}, w_{12}, \ldots, w_{r2}$  are rational integers and  $\varepsilon'_1, \varepsilon'_2$  are units in **K** such that

$$\max\left(\overline{|\varepsilon_1'|}, \overline{|\varepsilon_2'|}\right) \le \exp\left\{\frac{c_1r}{2}R_K\right\}.$$
(17)

(16) and (17) are valid both for  $r \ge 1$  and for r = 0 (when the  $\eta_j$  do not occur in (16)). Put  $\varepsilon'_3 = 1$  and  $\gamma'_k = \varepsilon'_k \gamma_k$ , k = 1, 2, 3. Then we have by (15) and (17)

$$\max_{k} \overline{|\gamma'_{k}|} \leq N^{1/n} P^{sh_{\kappa}} \exp\{c_{1} R_{\kappa}\}.$$
(18)

K. GYÖRY

Consequently

 $x_k = \sigma \rho_k$ 

where  $\sigma = \varepsilon_3 \pi_1^{a_1} \cdots \pi_s^{a_s}$ ,  $\rho_k = \gamma'_k \eta_1^{w_{1k}} \cdots \eta_r^{w_{rk}} \pi_1^{v'_{1k}} \cdots \pi_s^{v'_{sk}}$  and  $w_{13} = \cdots = w_{r3} = 0$ . We shall prove that  $\sigma$  and  $\rho_k$ , k = 1, 2, 3, have the required properties.

From (7) we get

$$\alpha_1 \rho_1 + \alpha_2 \rho_2 + \alpha_3 \rho_3 = 0, \tag{19}$$

whence

$$\Gamma = -\frac{\alpha_2 \rho_2}{\alpha_3 \rho_3} - 1 = \frac{\alpha_1 \rho_1}{\alpha_3 \rho_3} \neq 0.$$
(20)

We are now going to derive an upper bound for  $H = \max(V, W)$  where  $W = \max_{j,k} |w_{jk}|$ . We assume that

$$H > 16(r+1)^2 n^3 c_1 c_2 s R_K (R_K + h_K \log P)^s [R_K + s h_K \log P + \log (AN)].$$
(21)

First suppose  $V \ge \tau H$  where  $\tau = [16rnc_2s(rc_1R_K + h_K \log P) + 1]^{-1}$ . Since

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \alpha_3 \leq \frac{n \log A}{\log 2},$$

it follows from (20) that

$$\infty > \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \Gamma > V - \frac{n \log A}{\log 2},$$

so, by (21),

$$\infty > \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_{1}} \left( -\frac{\alpha_{2} \gamma_{2}'}{\alpha_{3} \gamma_{3}'} \eta_{1}^{\mathfrak{w}_{12}} \cdots \eta_{r}^{\mathfrak{w}_{r^{2}}} \pi_{1}^{\mathfrak{v}_{12}'-\mathfrak{v}_{13}'} \cdots \pi_{s}^{\mathfrak{v}_{s}'_{2}-\mathfrak{v}_{s}'_{3}} - 1 \right) > \frac{\tau}{2} H.$$
 (22)

Let us apply now Lemma 5 with  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\delta^* = \tau/2$ . Write  $A_j = \max(H(\eta_j), e^e)$  for  $j = 1, \ldots, r$  if  $r \ge 1$  and  $A_j = \max(H(\pi_{j-r}), e^e)$  for  $j = r+1, \ldots, r+s$ . Since

$$H(\eta_j) \leq (2 \overline{|\eta_j|})^n$$
 and  $H(\pi_i) \leq (2 \overline{|\pi_i|})^n$ ,

590

so by Lemma 1

$$\log A_j \leq 2n \max \left( \log \overline{|\eta_j|}, 1 \right) < 2nc_1 R_K, \qquad j = 1, \dots, r$$
(23)

and by (13)

$$\log A_j < 2n(c_1 r R_K + h_K \log P), \qquad j = r + 1, \dots, r + s.$$
 (24)

Thus, by Lemma 1 we have

$$\Omega' = \log A_1 \cdots \log A_{r+s} < c_1 (2n)^{r+s} R_K (c_1 r R_K + h_K \log P)^s.$$
(25)

Further, we have by (18)

$$H\left(-\frac{\alpha_{2}\gamma_{2}'}{\alpha_{3}\gamma_{3}'}\right) \leq (\overline{|\alpha_{2}\gamma_{2}'|} + \overline{|\alpha_{3}\gamma_{3}'|})^{n} \leq (2A)^{n}NP^{snh_{\kappa}}\exp\left\{2c_{1}nrR_{\kappa}\right\} = A'$$
(26)

where  $A' \ge A_j$  for each *j*. Define  $T^* = c_7 G_S \Omega' \log \Omega'$  with  $c_7 = (16(r+s+2)n)^{12(r+s+2)}$ . By Lemma 5 we get from (22)

$$H < \frac{2}{\tau} T^* \log\left\{\frac{2}{\tau} T^*\right\} \log A' < \frac{4}{\tau} c_7 G_8 \log\left\{\frac{2}{\tau} c_7 G_8\right\} \Omega' (\log \Omega')^2 \log A'.$$
(27)

Suppose now that  $V < \tau H$  when V < W = H and  $r \ge 1$ . Assume, for convenience, that  $W = |w_{11}|$ . Then we obtain

$$w_{11} \log |\eta_1^{(j)}| + \cdots + w_{r1} \log |\eta_r^{(j)}| = \log |\rho_1^{(j)}| - \log |\gamma_1^{\prime(j)}| - \sum_i v_{i1}^{\prime} \log |\pi_i^{(j)}|$$

for each conjugate with j = 1, ..., r. Suppose that the right sides attain their maximum in absolute value for j = J,  $1 \le J \le r$ . By Lemma 1 we get

$$W \leq 2rc_2 \bigg\{ |\log |\rho_1^{(J)}|| + |\log |\gamma_1'^{(J)}| + \sum_i v_{i1}' |\log |\pi_i^{(J)}| \bigg\}.$$

Thus, by (13), (18) and (21) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\log|\rho_1^{(J)}|| \ge &\frac{1}{2rc_2} W - (\log N + snh_K \log P + c_1 rnR_K) - \tau Wsn(c_1 rR_K + h_K \log P) \\ \ge &\frac{3}{8rc_2} H. \end{aligned}$$

But we have

$$\log |N_{K/Q}(\rho_1)| = \log |N_{K/Q}(\gamma_1')| + \sum_i v_{i1}' \log |N_{K/Q}(\pi_i)|$$
  
$$\leq \log N + \operatorname{snh}_K \log P + c_1 \operatorname{rn}_K + \tau \operatorname{Hsnh}_K \log P \leq \frac{1}{8\operatorname{rc}_2} H.$$

Hence

$$\log |\rho_1^{(g)}| \le -\frac{1}{4r(n-1)c_2}H$$

for some  $1 \le g \le n$ . Further it is easy to see that

$$\log \left| \frac{\alpha_1^{(g)}}{\alpha_3^{(g)} \rho_3^{(g)}} \right| \le \log (2A) + (n-1) \log \overline{|\alpha_3 \rho_3|} \le \frac{1}{8r(n-1)c_2} H$$

Thus we have

$$0 < |\Gamma^{(g)}| = \left| \frac{\alpha_1^{(g)} \rho_1^{(g)}}{\alpha_3^{(g)} \rho_3^{(g)}} \right| < e^{-\delta H}$$
(28)

where  $\delta = (8n^2c_2)^{-1}$ . We can now apply Lemma 4 in a similar way as we applied Lemma 5 before. Write  $c_8 = (25(r+s+3)n)^{10(r+s+3)}$  and  $T' = c_8\Omega' \log \Omega'$ . Since  $\delta \le 2(r+s+1)c_8^{-1/2}T'$ , by Lemma 4 we have

$$H < 32en^2c_2T'\log(32e(r+s+1)n^2c_2T')\log A'$$

and, by (25) and (26), we get

$$H < 32en^{2}c_{2}c_{8}\log(32(r+s+1)n^{2}c_{2}c_{8})\Omega'(\log\Omega')^{2}\log A'.$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

It is easily seen that the right hand sides of (27) and (29) can be estimated from above by

$$(25(r+s+3)n)^{14r+12s+31}G_{S}(\log P) \times [s(R_{K}+h_{K}\log P)\log(1+sh_{K}R_{K})+1] \cdot \Omega'(\log \Omega')^{2}\log A'.$$

So by (25) we have

$$H < c_9 G_8 (\log P) [s(R_K + h_K \log P) \log (1 + sh_K R_K) + 1] R_K (R_K + h_K \log P)^s \cdot [\log R_K^* + s \log (1 + R_K h_K \log P)]^2 [R_K + sh_K \log P + \log (AN)]$$
(30)

592

where  $c_9 = (25(r+s+3)n)^{17.5r+13s+2rs+34.5}$ . Finally, by virtue of (13), (18), (23) and (30)

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{|\rho_k|} &\leq \overline{|\gamma'_k|} \left(\prod_{j=1}^r \overline{|\eta_j|^{n-1}}\right)^H \cdot \left(\prod_{i=1}^s \overline{|\pi_i|}\right)^H \\ &\leq \exp\left\{c_9n(r+1)c_1G_8(\log P)\left[s(R_K+h_K\log P)\log\left(1+sh_KR_K\right)+1\right]\right. \\ &\cdot R_K((s+1)R_K+sh_K\log P)(R_K+h_K\log P)^s\left[\log R_K^*+s\log\left(1+R_Kh_K\log P\right)\right]^2 \\ &\cdot \left[R_K+sh_K\log P+\log\left(AN\right)\right]\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $c_9n(r+1)c_1 \le (25(r+s+3)n)^{19r+13s+2rs+36}$ , (9) is proved.

# 4. Proof of the theorem

If r+s=0 and  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  is a solution of (2) then  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are roots of unity. Assume that  $x'_1$ ,  $x'_2$  is another solution of (2) and  $m_1 \le m^{1-\epsilon}$ . Then we have  $\beta(x'_2 - x_2) = \alpha_1(x_1x'_2 - x'_1x_2)$  and, by taking the norm on both sides, we arrive at a contradiction.

We suppose now that r+s>0 and that (2) is solvable in S-units  $x_1, x_2$ . We first show that we can make certain assumptions without loss of generality. Write  $(\beta) = ap_1^{b_1} \cdots p_s^{b_s}$  where a is an integral ideal in **K** such that  $(a, p_1 \cdots p_s) = 1$ . Putting  $p_i^{h_K} = (\pi_i)$  with some fixed  $\pi_i \in \mathbb{Z}_K$  and  $b_i = h_K w_i + d_i$  with  $0 \le d_i < h_K$ , we obtain  $ap_1^{d_1} \cdots p_s^{d_s} = (\vartheta)$  for some  $\vartheta \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ . Since

$$m \leq |N_{K/Q}(\vartheta)| = mN_{K/Q}(\mathfrak{p}_1^{d_1}\cdots\mathfrak{p}_s^{d_s}) \leq P^{snh_K}\cdot m,$$

it follows from Lemma 2 that an associate  $\vartheta'$  of  $\vartheta$  can be determined such that

$$c_{10}m^{1/n} \leq |\vartheta'^{(l)}| \leq c_{11}m^{1/n}, \qquad l=1,\ldots,n,$$

with

$$c_{10} = \exp\left\{-\frac{c_1 r}{2}R_K\right\}, \quad c_{11} = P^{sh_K} \exp\left\{\frac{c_1 r}{2}R_K\right\}.$$

Now  $\beta = \xi \pi_1^{w_1} \cdots \pi_s^{w_s} \vartheta'$  where  $\xi$  is a fixed unit in **K** and  $\pi_i \not\prec \vartheta'$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ . Since  $\xi \pi_1^{w_1} \cdots \pi_s^{w_s}$  is a fixed S-unit in **K**, multiplying both sides of (2) by  $(\xi \pi_1^{w_1} \cdots \pi_s^{w_s})^{-1}$  and incorporating this S-unit in  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  we get (2) with  $\beta$  replaced by  $\vartheta'$ . So we may suppose without loss of generality that in (2)

$$m \le |N_{K/Q}(\beta)|$$
 and  $c_{10}m^{1/n} \le |\beta^{(l)}| \le c_{11}m^{1/n}, \quad l = 1, ..., n.$  (31)

Similarly, we may assume that in (2)

$$m_k \le |N_{K/Q}(\alpha_k)| \le P^{\operatorname{snh}_{\kappa}} m_k, \quad |\alpha_k^{(l)}| \le c_{11} m_k^{1/n}, \quad l = 1, \dots, n$$
 (32)

and  $\pi_i \not\perp \alpha_k$  for k = 1, 2 and  $i = 1, \ldots, s$ .

Let  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  be an arbitrary but fixed solution of (2) in S-units. Then we have

$$(x_k) = \mathfrak{p}_1^{a_{k_1}} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s^{a_{k_s}}, \qquad k = 1, 2,$$
 (33)

with some rational integers  $a_{k1}, \ldots, a_{ks}$ . Write  $a_{ki} = h_K v_{ki} + a'_{ki}$  with  $0 \le a'_{ki} < h_K$ . Then  $\mathfrak{p}_1^{a'_{k1}} \cdots \mathfrak{p}_s^{a'_{ks}}$  is principal, say  $(\tau_k)$ , and  $\tau_k \in \mathbb{Z}_K$ . By Lemma 2 we may suppose that

$$\overline{|\pi_i|} \le \exp\left\{\frac{c_1 r}{2} R_K + h_K \log P\right\}, \qquad i = 1, \dots, s$$
(34)

and

$$\overline{|\tau_k|} \leq \exp\left\{\frac{c_1 r}{2} R_K + sh_K \log P\right\}, \qquad k = 1, 2.$$

Consequently, there are units  $\kappa_1$ ,  $\kappa_2$  in **K** such that  $x_k = \kappa_k \tau_k \pi_1^{\nu_{k_1}} \cdots \pi_s^{\nu_{k_s}}$ . If  $r \ge 1$ , let  $\eta_1, \ldots, \eta_r$  be units with the properties specified in Lemma 1. Then  $\kappa_k = \kappa'_k \eta_1^{\nu_{k_1}} \cdots \eta_r^{\nu_{k_s}}$  where  $\kappa'_k$  is a unit satisfying

$$\overline{|\kappa_k'|} \le \exp\left\{\frac{c_1 r}{2} R_K\right\}, \qquad k = 1, \ 2.$$

With the notation  $\chi_k = \kappa'_k \tau_k$  we have

$$x_{k} = \chi_{k} \eta_{1}^{y_{k1}} \cdots \eta_{r}^{y_{kr}} \pi_{1}^{v_{k1}} \cdots \pi_{s}^{v_{ks}}$$
(35)

and

$$|\chi_k| \le \exp\{c_1 r R_K + s h_K \log P\}.$$
(36)

We are now going to give an upper bound for the solutions of

$$\alpha_1 \chi_1 \eta_1^{y_{11}} \cdots \eta_r^{y_{1r}} \pi_1^{\upsilon_{11}} \cdots \pi_s^{\upsilon_{1s}} + \alpha_2 \chi_2 \eta_1^{y_{21}} \cdots \eta_r^{y_{2r}} \pi_1^{\upsilon_{21}} \cdots \pi_s^{\upsilon_{2s}} = \beta$$
(37)

in rational integers  $y_{kj}$ ,  $v_{ki}$ . Write  $Y_3 = \prod_{i=1}^s \pi_i^{-\min(v_{1i}, v_{2i}, 0)}$  and multiply both sides of (37) by  $Y_3$ . Putting  $Y_k = Y_3 \eta_1^{y_{k1}} \cdots \eta_r^{y_{kj}} \pi_{1i}^{n_{k1}} \cdots \pi_s^{n_{ks}}$  for k = 1, 2, from (37) we get

$$\alpha_1 \chi_1 Y_1 + \alpha_2 \chi_2 Y_2 = \beta Y_3. \tag{38}$$

We could now apply Lemma 6 to (38) and we should obtain

$$Y_{k} = \eta \rho_{k}, \qquad k = 1, 2, 3; \max_{1 \le k \le 3} \overline{|\rho_{k}|} \le c_{12} m^{c_{13}}$$
 (39)

where  $\eta \in U_K$ ,  $\rho_k \in \mathbb{Z}_K$  and  $c_{12}$ ,  $c_{13}$  are explicit constants. This would imply an explicit upper bound for  $|y_{kj}|$  and  $|v_{ki}|$ . However, we can get a slightly better estimate if we observe that the equation (38) is of the same type as (19). Thus, by (30) we have

$$\max_{k,j,i} (|\mathbf{y}_{kj}|, |v_{ki}|) \le c_{14} \log m \tag{40}$$

where

$$c_{14} = 2 \cdot 3^{n} c_{9} P^{n} (\log P) [s(R_{K} + h_{K} \log P) \log (1 + sR_{K}h_{K}) + 1]R_{K}$$
$$\cdot (R_{K} + h_{K} \log P)^{s} [\log R_{K}^{*} + s \log (1 + h_{K}R_{K} \log P)]^{2}$$

with the constant  $c_0$  occurring in the proof of Lemma 6.

Let  $c_{15} > 0$  be a number determined later. We shall now prove that (37) (i.e. (2)) has at most r+1 solutions

$$x_1 = \chi_1 \eta_1^{y_{11}} \cdots \eta_r^{y_{1t}} \pi_1^{v_{11}} \cdots \pi_s^{v_{1s}}, \qquad x_2 = \chi_2 \eta_1^{y_{21}} \cdots \eta_r^{y_{2r}} \pi_1^{v_{21}} \cdots \pi_s^{v_{2s}}$$

such that

$$\max_{k_1 i} |v_{ki}| \le c_{15} \log m. \tag{41}$$

Write  $\eta_1^{y_{k_1}} \cdots \eta_r^{y_{k_r}} = \varepsilon_k$  in  $x_k$ . Suppose that (37) has at least r+2 solutions  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  with the property (41). Assume that  $m_1 \le m^{1-\varepsilon}$ . Let us order the conjugates of

 $\alpha_1 \varepsilon_1$  in the same way as in Section 3. By (32) we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^{r_1} |(\alpha_1 \varepsilon)^{(i)}| \prod_{i=r_1+1}^{r_1+r_2} |(\alpha_1 \varepsilon_1)^{(i)}|^2 \le P^{\operatorname{snh}_{\kappa}} m_1 \le P^{\operatorname{snh}_{\kappa}} m^{1-\varepsilon}$$
(42)

for each of the r+2 solutions. Hence there exists at least two solutions for which  $|(\alpha_1 \varepsilon_1)^{(l)}|$  is minimal for the same l,  $1 \le l \le r_1 + r_2 = r+1$ . For these two solutions we have

$$|(\alpha_1 \varepsilon_1)^{(l)}| \le P^{sh_{\kappa}} m^{1/n - \varepsilon/n}$$
(43)

and, by (34) and (41),

$$|(\pi_1^{v_{11}}\cdots\pi_s^{v_{1s}})^{(l)}|\leq m^{c_{16}}$$

where

$$c_{16} = c_{15} \left[ sn \left( \frac{c_1 r}{2} R_K + h_K \log P \right) + 1 \right]$$

So, by taking

$$c_{16} = \varepsilon/2n \left( \text{i.e. } c_{15} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2n} \left[ s(n-1) \left( \frac{c_1 r}{2} R_K + h_K \log P \right) + 1 \right]^{-1} \right)$$

we get

$$|\beta^{(l)} - \alpha_{2}^{(l)} x_{2}^{(l)}| = |(\alpha_{1} \varepsilon_{1})^{(l)}| |\chi_{1}^{(l)}| |(\pi_{1}^{\upsilon_{11}} \cdots \pi_{s}^{\upsilon_{1s}})^{(l)}| \le c_{17} m^{1/n + c_{16} - \varepsilon/n} = c_{17} m^{1/n - \varepsilon/2n}$$
(44)

where  $c_{17} = \exp \{c_1 r R_K + 2s h_K \log P\}$ . We deduce from (3), (31) and (44) that

$$|\alpha_{2}^{(l)}x_{2}^{(l)}| \ge |\beta^{(l)}| - c_{17}m^{1/n - \varepsilon/2n} \ge c_{10}m^{1/n} - c_{17}m^{1/n - \varepsilon/2n} \ge \frac{c_{10}}{2}m^{1/n}.$$
(45)

Let  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x'_1 = \chi'_1 \eta_1^{y'_{11}} \cdots \eta_r^{y'_{1r}} \pi_1^{v'_{11}} \cdots \pi_s^{v'_{1s}}$ ,  $x'_2 = \chi'_2 \eta_1^{y'_{21}} \cdots \eta_r^{y'_{2r}} \pi_1^{v'_{21}} \cdots \pi_s^{v'_{2s}}$ denote the two solutions in question. From (44) we obtain

$$|\alpha_{2}^{(l)}x_{2}^{\prime(l)}-\alpha_{2}^{(l)}x_{2}^{(l)}|\leq 2c_{17}m^{1/n-\varepsilon/2n},$$

596

whence, by (45) and (3),

$$\left|\frac{x_{2}^{\prime(l)}}{x_{2}^{(l)}} - 1\right| = |\Gamma^{(l)}| < \exp\left\{-\frac{\varepsilon}{8nc_{14}}(2c_{14}\log m)\right\}$$
(46)

where

$$\Gamma = \frac{\chi'_2}{\chi_2} \eta_1^{y'_{21}-y_{21}} \cdots \eta_r^{y'_{2r}-y_{2r}} \pi_1^{v'_{21}-v_{21}} \cdots \pi_s^{v'_{2s}-v_{2s}} - 1.$$

Here we may suppose that

$$\frac{\chi_2'}{\chi_2} \neq 1.$$

In (46)

$$\frac{x_2^{\prime(l)}}{x_2^{(l)}} - 1 \neq 0,$$

since otherwise we should have  $x'_2 = x_2$  and, from (2),  $x'_1 = x_1$ . Since in view of (40) we have  $|y'_{2i} - y_{2i}|$ ,  $|v'_{2i} - v_{2i}| \le 2c_{14} \log m$  for each *i* and *j*, we may apply Lemma 4 to (46) with  $\delta = \varepsilon (8nc_{14})^{-1}$  and we get

$$2c_{14}\log m \leq \frac{4e}{\varepsilon}(8nc_{14})T'\log\left[\frac{4e(r+s+1)}{\varepsilon}(8nc_{14})T'\right]\log A'$$

where  $T' = c_{18}\Omega' \log \Omega'$ ,  $c_{18} = (25(r+s+3)n)^{10(r+s+3)}$  with the  $\Omega'$  specified in (25) and

$$H\left(\frac{\chi_{2}'}{\chi_{2}}\right) \leq (\overline{|\chi_{2}'|} + \overline{|\chi_{2}|})^{n} \leq (2c_{17})^{n} = A'.$$

$$(47)$$

Thus we have

$$\log m \le \varepsilon^{-1} \log \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right) (25(r+s+3)n)^{20(r+2)+13s} \cdot P^n R_K (R_K + h_K \log P)^s \cdot (R_K + sh_K \log P) [s(R_K + h_K \log P) + 1] \log (R_K^* (1+sh_K P))$$

which contradicts (3).

We shall now prove that (37) has at most 4s solutions  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  for which

$$\max_{k_{1i}} |v_{ki}| > c_{15} \log m \tag{48}$$

with

$$c_{15} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2n} \left[ sn \left( \frac{c_1 r}{2} R_K + h_K \log P \right) + 1 \right]^{-1}$$

Assume that (37) has at least 4s + 1 solutions with the property (48). Then we may assume, for convenience, that there exist three solutions for which  $|v_{11}| > c_{15} \log m$ .

First suppose that for at least two of these solutions, say for  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x'_1$ ,  $x'_2$ ,  $v_{11}$  and  $v'_{11}$  are positive. Since  $\pi_1 \not\mid \beta$ , from (37) we deduce that  $\operatorname{ord}_{\pi_1}(\alpha_2 x_2) \leq 0$ . Further (37) implies  $\operatorname{ord}_{\pi_1}(\beta - \alpha_2 x_2) \geq v_{11}$  and  $\operatorname{ord}_{\pi_1}(\beta - \alpha_2 x_2') \geq v'_{11}$ , whence, by (48),

$$\infty > \operatorname{ord}_{\pi_1}(\alpha_2 x_2' - \alpha_2 x_2) > c_{15} \log m$$

and hence

$$\infty > \operatorname{ord}_{\mathfrak{p}_1} \Gamma \ge \operatorname{ord}_{\pi_1} \left( \frac{x_2'}{x_2} - 1 \right) > \delta^* (2c_{14} \log m)$$

with

$$\delta^* = \frac{c_{15}}{2c_{14}} < 1.$$

Consequently, by Lemma 5 we have

 $2c_{14}\log m < (\delta^*)^{-1}T^*\log((\delta^*)^{-1}T^*)\log A'$ 

where  $T^* = c_{19}G_S\Omega' \log \Omega'$ ,  $c_{19} = [16(r+s+2)n]^{12(r+s+2)}$  and  $G_S$  is defined as in Lemma 6. Thus

$$\log m < \frac{c_{19}}{c_{15}} G_{\mathrm{s}} \Omega' \log \Omega' \log \left[ \frac{2c_{14}}{c_{15}} c_{19} G_{\mathrm{s}} \Omega' \log \Omega' \right] \log \Lambda'$$

$$\leq \varepsilon^{-1} \log \left(\frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right) (25(r+s+3)n)^{20(r+2)+13s} P^n R_K (R_K + h_K \log P)^s \cdot (R_K + sh_K \log P) [s(R_K + h_K \log P) + 1] \log (R_K^* (1+sh_K P))$$

and, in view of (3), this yields a contradiction.

Finally suppose that there exist two solutions, say  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x'_1$ ,  $x'_2$ , for which  $|v_{11}|, |v'_{11}| > c_{15} \log m$  and  $v_{11}, v'_{11}$  are negative. Since  $\pi_1 \neq \alpha_1$ , we can reduce this case to the preceding one by multiplying both sides of (37) by  $x_1^{-1}$  and  $x_1'^{-1}$  respectively. This completes the proof of our theorem.

To prove the Remark stated after our Theorem it is enough to show that there exist no solution  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  with the properties  $|v_{11}| > c_{15} \log m$  and  $v_{11} < 0$ . Indeed, the existence of such a solution  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  would imply

$$\operatorname{ord}_{\pi_1}\left(-\frac{\alpha_1 x_1}{\alpha_2 x_2}-1\right) > c_{15}' \log m$$

which would yield a contradiction in a similar way as in the above proof. If in particular  $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}$ ,  $s \ge 1$  and (2) is solvable then in our above proof (48) must hold. So, in this case the number of solutions is at most 2s.

#### REFERENCES

- BAKER, A., Contributions to the theory of Diophantine equations, Philos. Trans. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A., 263 (1968), 173-208.
- [2] -----, A sharpening of the bounds for linear forms in logarithms II, Acta Arith., 25 (1973), 33-36.
- [3] —, Transcendental number theory, Cambridge, 1975.
- [4] CHOODNOVSKY, G. V., The Gelfond-Baker method in problems of diophantine approximation, Colloquia Math. Soc. János Bolyai, 13. Topics in number theory, Debrecen, 1974; pp. 19-30 (1976).
- [5] DOBROWOLSKI E., On the maximal modulus of conjugates of an algebraic integer, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., 26 (1978), 291-92.
- [6] GYÖRY, K., Sur l'irréductibilité d'une classe des polynômes II, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 19 (1972), 293-326.
- [7] —, Sur une classe des corps de nombres algébriques et ses applications, Publ. Math. Debrecen, 22 (1975), 151–175.
- [8] —, On polynomials with integer coefficients and given discriminant V, p-adic generalizations, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar., 32 (1978), 175–190.
- [9] —, On the solutions of linear diophantine equations in algebraic integers of bounded norm, Ann. Univ. Budapest Eötvös, Sect. Math., to appear.
- [10] —, On the reducibility of a class of polynomials III, 26 (1978), 291-92.
- [11] LANG, S., Diophantine geometry, New York and London, 1962.
- [12] LEWIS, D. J. and MAHLER, K., On the representation of integers by binary forms, Acta Arith., 6 (1961), 333-363.

- [13] MAHLER, K., On algebraic relations between two units of an algebraic field, Algèbre et Théorie des Nombres, Colloques Internationaux du Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, No. 24, pp. 47-55. CNRS, Paris, 1950.
- [14] MORDELL, L. J., Diophantine equations, Academic Press, London and New York, 1969.
- [15] NAGELL, T., Sur une propriété des unités d'un corps algébrique, Arkiv för Mat., 5 (1964), 343-356.
- [16] —, Quelques problèmes relatifs auz unités algébriques, Arkiv för Mat., 8 (1969), 115-127.
- [17] —, Sur un type particulier d'unités algébriques, Arkiv för Mat., 8 (1969), 163-184.
- [18] NEWMAN, M., Units in arithmetic progression in an algebraic number field, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 43 (1974), 266-268.
- [19] PARRY, C. J., The P-adic generalisation of the Thue-Siegel theorem, Acta Math., 83 (1950), 1-100.
- [20] VAN DER POORTEN, A. J., Linear forms in logarithms in the p-adic case, Transcendence Theory: Advances and Applications, pp. 29-57. Academic Press, London and New York, 1977.
- [21] and LOXTON, J. H., Multiplicative relations in number fields, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc., 16 (1977), 83–98. Corregindum and addendum, ibid., 17 (1977), 151–156.
- [22] SIEGEL, C. L., Approximation algebraischer Zahlen, Math. Z., 10 (1921), 173-213.
- [23] —, The integer solutions of the equation  $y^2 = ax^n + bx^{n-1} + \cdots + k$ , J. London Math. Soc. 1 (1926), 66–68.
- [24] —, Abschätzung von Einheiten, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen, Math.-Phys. Kl. II (1969), 71-86.
- [25] SKOLEM, TH., Diophantische Gleichungen, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1938.
- [26] SPRINDŽUK, V. G., Algebraic number fields with large class number (in Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat., 38 (1974), 971–982.
- [27] —, Hyperelliptic diophantine equation and class numbers (in Russian), Acta Arith., 30 (1976), 95-108.

Mathematical Institute Kossuth Lajos University H-4010 Debrecen 10 Hungary

Received January 12, 1979