

The first k -invariant, Quillen's space $BG+$ and the construction of Kann and Thurston.

Autor(en): **Huebschmann, Johannes**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **55 (1980)**

PDF erstellt am: **17.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-42378>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

The first k -invariant, Quillen's space BG^+ and the construction of Kan and Thurston

JOHANNES HUEBSCHMANN

1. Outline

In this paper we shall use the interpretation of group cohomology in terms of crossed n -fold extensions [7].

Let Y be a connected CW-complex with a single 0-cell; we assume $\pi_k(Y) = 0$ for $1 < k < n$, $n \geq 2$ (nothing is assumed for $n = 2$). Then we have an exact sequence

$$e_Y : 0 \longrightarrow \pi_n(Y) \xrightarrow{\partial_n} \pi_n(Y, Y^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \pi_{n-1}(Y^{n-1}, Y^{n-2}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-2}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_2} \pi_2(Y^2, Y^1) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} \pi_1(Y^1) \longrightarrow \pi_1(Y) \longrightarrow 1$$

where each ∂_j is obtained from the exact homotopy sequences of the corresponding pairs of spaces in the obvious way. Now the action of $\pi_1(Y^1)$ on $\pi_2(Y^2, Y^1)$ (on $\pi_2(Y, Y^1)$ in case $n = 2$) and that of $\pi_1(Y)$ on the remaining groups turn e_Y into a crossed n -fold extension [7§3] (that the relative π_2 is a crossed $\pi_1(Y^1)$ -module is due to J. H. C. Whitehead, see [6 p. 39]). In view of the main Theorem of [7§7], e_Y represents a class $[e_Y] \in H^{n+1}(\pi_1(Y), \pi_n(Y))$.

THEOREM 1. *The class $[e_Y]$ is the first (non-trivial) k -invariant of Y .*

This offers an answer to a question in [2 p. 301]. Furthermore, we obtain, as a consequence, a description of the n 'th cohomology group which recovers the description in [5 p. 75] (Theorem 14.1'):

COROLLARY. *Let A be a $\pi_1(Y)$ -module, and let the crossed n -fold extension*

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_n(Y) \rightarrow C_{n-1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_1 \rightarrow F \rightarrow \pi_1(Y) \rightarrow 1$$

represent $[e_Y]$, with C_{n-2}, \dots, C_1, F free (e_Y itself is such a representative). Then

the group $H^n(Y, A)$ (local coefficients) is the cokernel of the induced map $\text{Hom}_G(C_{n-2}, A) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_G(C_{n-1}, A)$ ($C_0 = F$); here $G = \pi_1(Y)$ resp. $G = F$ (in low dimensions), and $\text{Hom}_G(F, A) = \text{Der}(F, A)$.

We shall use Theorem 1 to determine the first k -invariant of Quillen's $()^+$ -construction: Let G be a group, and let E be a perfect normal subgroup. Now E has a universal central extension [11 p. 43]

$$e : 0 \rightarrow H_2(E) \rightarrow X \rightarrow E \rightarrow 1.$$

Using the universal property of e , we extend the action of G on E to a unique action of G on X , turning X into a crossed G -module, whence we obtain a crossed 2-fold extension

$$e_{(G, E)} : 0 \longrightarrow H_2(E) \longrightarrow X \xrightarrow{\partial} G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$$

where $Q = G/E$. In view of the main Theorem in [7§7], $e_{(G, E)}$ represents a class $[e_{(G, E)}] \in H^3(Q, H_2(E)) = H^3(\pi_1(BG^+), \pi_2(BG^+))$. Here BG^+ is Quillen's space [10].

THEOREM 2. *The class $[e_{(G, E)}]$ is the first k -invariant of BG^+ .*

By a recent result of Kan and Thurston [8], to any connected space Y there may be associated a group G together with a map $\chi : BG \rightarrow Y$ such that (i) the kernel E of the induced map $G \rightarrow \pi_1(Y)$ is perfect, and (ii) the map χ extends to a (possibly weak) h -equivalence $BG^+ \rightarrow Y$. Theorem 2 shows how the first k -invariant of Y is determined by G and E . Note that $[e_{(G, E)}]$ may be non-zero. As to the vanishing of $[e_{(G, E)}]$ we have

THEOREM 3. *The class $[e_{(G, E)}]$ is zero, and Q (resp. G) acts trivially on $H_2(E)$ if and only if the induced map $H_2(E) \rightarrow H_2(G)$ is a split injection (i.e. admits a left inverse).*

A particular example arises in algebraic K -theory: If Λ is a ring with unit, in view of the above, the class of

$$e_\Lambda : 0 \rightarrow K_2(\Lambda) \rightarrow \text{St}(\Lambda) \rightarrow GL(\Lambda) \rightarrow K_1(\Lambda) \rightarrow 1$$

is the first k -invariant of $BGL(\Lambda)^+$. For commutative rings, this was also announced in [3].

THEOREM 4. *The class $[e_\Lambda] \in H^3(K_1(\Lambda), K_2(\Lambda))$ is zero.*

I am indebted to K. Dennis who provided me with the crucial argument for the proof of Theorem 4. In fact, he has shown that the induced map $H_2(E(\Lambda)) \rightarrow H_2(GL(\Lambda))$ is a split injection [4 Cor. 8]. Hence Theorem 4 is a consequence of Theorem 3. I am also grateful to K. Brown; he read an earlier version of the paper and conjectured Theorem 3.

2. Proofs

Proof of Theorem 1. It is known [1] that the first (non-trivial) k -invariant of Y is the Eilenberg- Mac Lane invariant $l_Y \in H^{n+1}(\pi_1(Y), \pi_n(Y))$ [5]. If \mathbf{C} is the crossed standard resolution of $\pi_1(Y)$ [7§9], we may lift the identity map of $\pi_1(Y)$ to

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccccc}
 \mathbf{C} : \cdots & \longrightarrow & C_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & C_n & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & F & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(Y) & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 & & \downarrow \xi & & \downarrow & & & & \downarrow & & \parallel & & \\
 e_Y : 0 & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(Y) & \longrightarrow & \pi_n(Y, Y^{n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \cdots & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(Y^1) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(Y) & \longrightarrow & 1.
 \end{array}$$

Now ξ is Eilenberg–Mac Lane’s cocycle. This, together with the main Theorem in [7 §7] proves Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 2. In view of Theorem 1, the Eilenberg–Mac Lane class resp. the first k -invariant l_{BG^+} is represented by

$$\hat{e} : O \rightarrow \pi_2(BG^+) \rightarrow \pi_2(BG^+, (BG^+)^1) \rightarrow \pi_1((BG^+)^1) \rightarrow \pi_1(BG^+) \rightarrow 1.$$

But \hat{e} is clearly equivalent to

$$e^+ : 0 \rightarrow \pi_2(BG^+) \rightarrow \pi_2(BG^+, BG) \rightarrow \pi_1(BG) \rightarrow \pi_1(BG^+) \rightarrow 1,$$

since there is an obvious morphism $(1, \dots, 1) : \hat{e} \rightarrow e^+$ of crossed 2-fold extensions. We complete the proof by showing that \hat{e} and $e_{(G, E)}$ are essentially the same crossed 2-fold extension:

The group $\pi_2(BG^+, BG)$ is $\pi_1(Z)$, Z the homotopy fibre of $BG \rightarrow BG^+$. Since Z is acyclic (this is just the universal property of the $()^+$ -construction), $H_1(\pi_1(Z)) = 0 = H_2(\pi_1(Z))$. It follows from Lemma 2 in [9] (p. 215) that

$$0 \rightarrow \pi_2(BG^+) \rightarrow \pi_2(BG^+, BG) \rightarrow E \rightarrow 1$$

is the universal central extension of E .

Proof of Theorem 3. By the Theorem in [7 §10], $[e_{(G, E)}]$ is zero if and only if there is a group extension $1 \rightarrow X \xrightarrow{i} D \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$ together with a morphism $(1, \alpha) : (X, D, j) \rightarrow (X, G, \partial)$ of crossed modules inducing the identity map of Q . It follows that $[e_{(G, E)}]$ is zero if and only if there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & H_2(E) & \longrightarrow & X & \longrightarrow & E \longrightarrow 1 \\
 & & \parallel & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & H_2(E) & \longrightarrow & D & \longrightarrow & G \longrightarrow 1,
 \end{array} \tag{*}$$

such that conjugation in D induces the crossed G -structure on X ; here i denotes the inclusion $E \subset G$.

It is clear that Q (resp. G) acts trivially on $H_2(E)$ if $H_2(E) \rightarrow H_2(G)$ is a split injection. Hence we may assume that Q acts trivially on $H_2(E)$. Consider now the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^2(G, H_2(E)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H_2(G), H_2(E)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 H^2(E, H_2(E)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Hom}(H_2(E), H_2(E))
 \end{array} \tag{**}$$

with the obvious maps. Inspection of (**) shows that we have a diagram (*) if and only if $H_2(E) \rightarrow H_2(G)$ is a split injection. Hence the condition of the Theorem is necessary. Now, if we have a diagram (*), conjugation in D induces an action of G on X ; since this one extends the action of G on E given by conjugation, it agrees with that one we used to define the crossed G -structure on X , as there is only one such G -action on X . This last fact is a consequence of the universal property of the universal central extension. It follows that the condition of the Theorem is also sufficient.

Remark. The same arguments, applied to the Kan–Thurston construction, may be used to prove the following classical result (which seems to be folk-lore):

THEOREM. *For a given connected space Y , the Hurewicz map $\pi_2(Y) \rightarrow H_2(Y)$ is a split injection if and only if $\pi_1(Y)$ acts trivially on $\pi_2(Y)$ and if the first k -invariant of Y is zero.*

REFERENCES

- [1] ADAMS J. F., *Four applications of the self obstruction invariants*, J. London Math. Soc. 31 (1956), 148–159.
- [2] BROWN R. and SPENCER C. B., *\mathcal{G} -groupoids, crossed modules and the fundamental groupoid of a topological group*, Proc. Kon. Ned. Akad. v. Wet. 79 (1976), 296–302.
- [3] CONRAD B., *A k -invariant for $BGL(n, R)^+$* , Notices AMS 25 (1978) A-328.
- [4] DENNIS R. K., *In search of new “homology” functors having a close relationship to K -theory*, preprint 1976.
- [5] EILENBERG S. and MAC LANE S., *Homology of spaces with operators. II*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 65 (1949), 49–99.
- [6] HILTON P. J., *An introduction to homotopy theory*, Cambridge 1953.
- [7] HUEBSCHMANN J., *Crossed n -fold extensions of groups and cohomology*, Comm. Math. Helv. 55 (1980).
- [8] KAN D. M. and THURSTON W. P., *Every connected space has the homology of a $K(\pi, 1)$* , Topology 15 (1976), 253–258.
- [9] KERVAIRE M., *Multiplicateurs de Schur et K -théorie*, pp. 212–225 of *Essays on Topology and Related Topics*, dedicated to G. de Rham (ed. A. Haefliger and R. Narasimhan) Springer 1970.
- [10] LODAY J. L., *K -théorie algébrique et représentations de groupes*, Ann. scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4^e série 9 (1976), 309–377.
- [11] MILNOR J., *Introduction to Algebraic K -theory*, Annals of Mathematics Studies Number 72, Princeton University Press 1971.
- [12] QUILLEN D. G., *Cohomology of groups*, Actes Congres intern. Math. Nice 1970 t.2, 47–51. Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1971.

*Mathematisches Institut der Universität
Im Neuenheimer Feld 288
D-69 Heidelberg
W-Germany*

Received November 14, 1978/October 16, 1979