

Acyclic groups of automorphisms.

Autor(en): **De La Harpe, Pierre / McDuff, Dusa**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **58 (1983)**

PDF erstellt am: **10.08.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-44588>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Acyclic groups of automorphisms

PIERRE DE LA HARPE and DUSA MCDUFF⁽¹⁾

1. Introduction

A discrete group Γ is said to be acyclic if its Eilenberg–MacLane homology groups $H_i(\Gamma)$ with coefficients in the trivial Γ -module \mathbf{Z} are zero for all $i > 0$. In this paper we show that certain groups, such as the group $GL(V)$ of all continuous linear automorphisms of an infinite dimensional Hilbert space V , are acyclic. This is a folk theorem which was surely known long ago to experts in the field such as Quillen and Segal. However it seems worthwhile to publish a proof in view of the recent interest shown in such questions. For example, Herman pointed out in [He] that the group of diffeomorphisms of a compact manifold admits a canonical representation in $GL(V)$. Therefore, if $GL(V)$ had carried non-trivial cohomology, one might have been able to define non-trivial characteristic classes for groups of diffeomorphisms. See also section 2.6 in [Ma] and the concluding remark of [H2].

We will consider the following groups.

1. The group $\Sigma(X)$ of all permutations of an infinite set X .
2. The group $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ of measure preserving automorphisms of a Lebesgue measure space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ where μ is infinite and non-atomic. (As usual one identifies two automorphisms which agree μ -a.e.)
3. The group $GL(W)$ of all linear automorphisms of an infinite dimensional vector space W .
4. The group $GL(V)$ of all continuous linear automorphisms of an infinite dimensional Hilbert space V over the real, complexes or quaternions, as well as the group $U(V)$ of invertible isometries of V .
5. The group $GL(M)$ of invertible elements in a properly infinite von Neumann algebra M , and the subgroup $U(M)$ of unitary elements.

THEOREM. *The groups defined above are acyclic.*

The above list is by no means complete. One could add many “classical

¹ Partially supported by NSF grant no MCS 79 05795 A02.

groups” in the sense of [H3], and also the group of continuous linear automorphisms of an infinite dimensional topological vector space E for suitable E . The Banach spaces c_0 and l_p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, are possible candidates: see proposition 2.a.2 in [LT]. However Douady [D] constructs a Banach space E for which the group of connected components of $GL(E)$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z} . It follows that $GL(E)$ is not perfect and hence not acyclic. Therefore the above theorem does not hold for $GL(E)$ where E is an arbitrary Banach space. See also [St]. For acyclic groups of a quite different nature from those of our list, see [BDH] and [BDM].

Here is one consequence of the theorem.

COROLLARY. *Let G be one of the groups above and let A be a finitely generated abelian group. Then any extension*

$$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow \tilde{G} \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$$

is trivial.

Proof. Any non-trivial normal subgroup of G is of uncountable index. (See Appendix 1.) In particular any homomorphism from G to $\text{Aut}(A)$ is trivial and so G acts trivially on A in the above extension. Our main theorem implies that $H^2(G; A)$ is zero. Hence the extension is a semi-direct product. Again using the fact that the action of G on A is trivial, we see that the product is direct. ■

A notable feature of the groups in 2, 4 and 5 is that they are contractible when given their natural topologies. (See [Ke] for $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, [DD] for $U(V)$ and $U(M)$ with the strong topology, [Ku] for $GL(V)$ and $U(V)$ with the uniform topology, and [BW] for $GL(M)$ and $U(M)$ with the uniform topology.) There are many other contractible groups of automorphisms which are acyclic when considered as discrete groups: for example, the group of compactly supported homeomorphisms of \mathbf{R}^n [M], and the group of diffeomorphisms of \mathbf{R}^n which are the identity near the origin [Se]. On the other hand, Sah pointed out that the universal cover $\widetilde{SL(2, \mathbf{R})}$ of $SL(2, \mathbf{R})$ is contractible as a topological group but is not acyclic as a discrete group [SW]. The main tool which we use in proving acyclicity is the infinite repetition argument of Mather [M] and Wagoner [W]. (See also [BDH] §4 and [Be] ch. 3.) There are several contractible groups which are more “flexible” than $\widetilde{SL(2, \mathbf{R})}$, but are still not large enough for this argument to be used. We have in mind groups such as $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, where Ω has finite measure, or the group of compactly supported homeomorphisms of \mathbf{R}^n which preserve Lebesgue measure, for $n > 2$. These groups are known to be perfect [F1], [F2], and it would be interesting to know if they are acyclic. One could also consider much bigger groups such as the group of all homeomorphisms of a Hilbert cube or a Hilbert

space. These are shown to be contractible in [Re]. The groups $GL(M)$ and $U(M)$, where M is a finite continuous von Neumann factor, are not contractible. They are discussed further in section 4.

The theorem is not hard to prove. We first show that the subgroup G_F of elements in G which are the identity on an appropriately defined “flag” F is acyclic. Then we show, using a technique due to Segal (§2 in [Se]), that this forces the whole group G to be acyclic. The first of these two steps uses the infinite repetition argument of [M] and [W] and, in the general case, an elegant algebraic trick due to Quillen [Q2]. The second step works essentially because the Tits building (or partially ordered set) formed by the flags is contractible. We give the proof for $GL(V)$ in full detail, and in section 4 sketch the modifications needed for the other groups.

We discuss in Appendix 1 the results about normal subgroups of G needed for the corollary above. Though these are old results, we indicate for $GL(W)$ and $GL(V)$ a proof much shorter than the originally published ones. Doing this, we again show that G is perfect, namely that $H_1(G)$ is trivial. This is what our main result and proof reduce to when cleared from homological machinery.

Finally Appendix 2 describes a result due to Quillen according to which the monoids (or semi-groups) related to our groups are contractible and hence acyclic.

The authors thank Charles Kratzer, Han Sah and Pierre Vogel for instructive conversations.

2. Subgroups of $GL(V)$

In this section and the next one, V denotes an infinite dimensional Hilbert space. Let F be a *flag* in V : we mean by this that F is a nested sequence $S_1 \supset S_2 \supset S_3 \supset \dots$ of closed subspaces of $V = S_0$ such that S_{i-1}/S_i is isomorphic to V for each $i \geq 1$. Define

$$G_i = \{g \in GL(V) \mid g = \text{id on } S_i\}$$

and

$$G'_i = \{g \in G_i \mid g(S_i^\perp) = S_i^\perp\}$$

for each $i \geq 0$. Define also G_∞ to be the union of the G_i 's and G'_∞ that of the G'_i 's. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 1 = G_0 & \subset & G_1 & \subset & \dots & \subset & G_i & \subset & \dots & \subset & G_\infty \\ & & \parallel & & \cup & & \cup & & \cup & & \\ & & G'_0 & \subset & G'_1 & \subset & \dots & \subset & G'_i & \subset & \dots & \subset & G'_\infty. \end{array}$$

For $g \in G_\infty$, observe that $g = \text{id}$ on $S_\infty = \bigcap S_i$. For notational convenience, we assume $S_\infty = \{0\}$. (But proposition 1 as well as its consequences in section 3 and the variations of section 4 would obviously hold without this assumption.) The result of this section is:

PROPOSITION 1. *The groups G'_∞ and G_∞ are acyclic.*

We shall recall the following facts from §2 in [W]. A *flabby* group is a group Γ such that there exist homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mu : \Gamma \times \Gamma &\rightarrow \Gamma \quad (\text{direct sum}) \\ \tau : \Gamma &\rightarrow \Gamma \quad (\text{infinite repetition}) \end{aligned}$$

with the following properties: For any finite subset $\Phi \subset \Gamma$, there are elements a, b, c in Γ satisfying

- (1) $g\mu 1 = aga^{-1}$, $1\mu g = bgb^{-1}$ where 1 is the identity element in Γ ,
- (2) $g\mu\tau(g) = c\tau(g)c^{-1}$

for all $g \in \Phi$.

LEMMA 2 (Wagoner). *A flabby group is acyclic.*

Sketch of proof. Any inner automorphism of Γ acts trivially on homology. By (1), this implies first that μ induces a (non associative) ring structure $\mu_* : H_*(\Gamma) \otimes H_*(\Gamma) \rightarrow H_*(\Gamma)$ on homology, with two-sided unit the number 1 in $H_0(\Gamma) = \mathbf{Z}$. By (2), this implies also that $\mu(\text{id} \times \tau)\Delta$ and τ act the same way on homology, where $\Delta : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \times \Gamma$ is the diagonal map.

Let i be an integer, $i > 0$, and assume inductively that $H_n(\Gamma)$ is trivial for $0 < n < i$ (this holds trivially if $i = 1$). Choose $z \in H_i(\Gamma)$. By the Künneth formula

$$\Delta_*(z) = z \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes z \in H_i(\Gamma) \otimes H_0(\Gamma) + H_0(\Gamma) \otimes H_i(\Gamma) = H_i(\Gamma \times \Gamma)$$

so that

$$(\mu(\text{id} \times \tau)\Delta)_*(z) = \mu_*(z \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \tau_*(z)) = z + \tau_*(z) \in H_i(\Gamma).$$

As this must coincide with $\tau_*(z)$ one has $z = 0$. Hence $H_i(\Gamma)$ is trivial. ■

LEMMA 3. *The group G'_∞ is flabby.*

Proof. Let T_0^0 be a Hilbert space isomorphic to V . For any pair (j, k) of positive integers, let T_j^k be a copy of T_0^0 . We identify V and $T = \bigoplus_k \bigoplus_j T_j^k$ in such

a way that

$$S_i = \bigoplus_k \bigoplus_{j=i}^{\infty} T_j^k$$

(where \bigoplus_k means $\bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty}$). For each $j \geq 0$ define an isometry ρ_j from $\bigoplus_k T_j^k$ onto T_j^0 and an isometry (shift) σ_j from $\bigoplus_k T_j^k$ onto $\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} T_j^k$ with $\sigma_j(T_j^k) = T_j^{k+1}$ for all $k \geq 0$. Denote by ρ the isometry $\bigoplus_j \rho_j$ from T onto $\bigoplus_j T_j^0$ and by σ the shift $\bigoplus_j \sigma_j$. Define the maps

$$\mu: \begin{cases} GL(T) \times GL(T) \rightarrow GL(T) \\ (g, h) \rightarrow \rho g \rho^* + \sigma h \sigma^* \end{cases}$$

and

$$\tau: \begin{cases} GL(T) \rightarrow GL(T) \\ g \rightarrow \sum_k \sigma^k \rho g \rho^* \sigma^{*k} \end{cases}$$

(The series converges strongly, and ρ^* is the adjoint of ρ ; in view of section 4, it is appropriate to define ρ^* by $\rho^*(\xi) = \eta$ if $\eta = \rho(\xi) \in \text{Im}(\rho)$ and $\rho^*(\xi) = 0$ if $\xi \perp \text{Im}(\rho)$.)

It is easy to check that μ and τ are homomorphism because ρ and σ are isometries with orthogonal complementary ranges. Similarly $\mu(\text{id} \times \tau)\Delta = \tau$. For each $i \geq 0$ one has $\mu(G'_i \times G'_i) \subset G'_i$ and $\tau(G'_i) \subset G'_i$ because $\rho_j \rho_j^* + \sigma_j \sigma_j^*$ coincides with the identity on $\bigoplus_k T_j^k$ for $j \geq i$. It follows that μ and τ induce homomorphisms $G'_\infty \times G'_\infty \rightarrow G'_\infty$ and $G'_\infty \rightarrow G'_\infty$, denoted below by μ and ρ again. Requirement (2) in the definition of a flabby group obviously holds (with $c = 1$).

Consider some integer $i \geq 0$. Let a_i be an invertible isometry of T which acts as $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{i-1} \rho_j$ on $\bigoplus_k \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i-1} T_j^k$, as the identity on $\bigoplus_k \bigoplus_{j=i+1}^{\infty} T_j^k$, and (thus) maps in some way $\bigoplus_k T_i^k$ onto

$$\left(\bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i-1} T_j^k \right) \oplus \left(\bigoplus_k T_i^k \right).$$

One has $a_i \in G'_{i+1} \subset G'_\infty$ and $a_i g a_i^* = g \mu 1$ for all $g \in G'_i$. Similarly, let b_i be an invertible isometry of T which acts as $\bigoplus_{j=0}^{i-1} \sigma_j$ on $\bigoplus_k \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i-1} T_j^k$ and as the identity on $\bigoplus_k \bigoplus_{j=i+1}^{\infty} T_j^k$. Then $b_i \in G'_{i+1}$ and $b_i g b_i^* = 1 \mu g$ for all $g \in G'_i$. It follows that requirement (1) above holds. ■

We know thus that G'_∞ is acyclic. The reader who is interested in $U(V)$ and not in $GL(V)$ may skip the end of this section since $G_\infty \cap U(V) = G'_\infty \cap U(V)$.

Let us now recall what we need from a result due to Quillen (theorem 1' of [Q2]). Let A be a \mathbf{Q} -algebra with unit, let Γ be the group of invertible (2×2) -matrices over A which have the form $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, let Γ' be the subgroup of Γ consisting of diagonal matrices and let $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ be the homomorphism defined by

$$\pi \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If R is a $\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]$ -module, we denote by $H_i(\Gamma, R)$ the i^{th} Eilenberg–MacLane homology group of Γ with coefficients in R ; moreover R is assumed to have the trivial $\mathbf{Z}[\Gamma]$ -structure if there is no strong reason for any other one (such as $R = H_t(N; \mathbf{K})$ below).

LEMMA 4 (Quillen). *Let \mathbf{K} be a field which is either finite or the rationals. Then π induces an isomorphism on $H_*(-; \mathbf{K})$.*

Proof. Let N be the subgroup of Γ consisting of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, which is isomorphic to the additive group of the algebra A . As N is torsion-free and abelian, $H_*(N; \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to the additive group $\bigwedge_{\mathbf{Z}} N$. (This holds for finitely generated free abelian groups, as one checks knowing homology of compact tori; this holds in general because N and the inductive limit of finitely generated subgroups of N have the same homology.) It follows that $H_*(N; \mathbf{K}) \approx (\bigwedge_{\mathbf{Z}} N) \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{K}$ for any field \mathbf{K} . In particular $H_*(N; \mathbf{K}) = H_0(N; \mathbf{K}) = \mathbf{K}$ if \mathbf{K} is finite (because N is divisible) and $H_*(N; \mathbf{Q}) = \bigwedge_{\mathbf{Q}} A$. (This is a highly degenerate form of the results described in §8 of [Q2].)

Consider the Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence

$$E_{s,t}^2 = H_s(\Gamma'; H_t(N; \mathbf{K})) \Rightarrow H_{s+t}(\Gamma; \mathbf{K})$$

corresponding to the extension

$$0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma' \rightarrow 1.$$

If \mathbf{K} is a finite field, one has $H_t(N; \mathbf{K}) = 0$ for $t > 0$ and $H_0(N; \mathbf{K}) = \mathbf{K}$. The spectral sequence therefore degenerates, giving the desired result.

Suppose $\mathbf{K} = \mathbf{Q}$. Make \mathbf{Q}^* act on Γ by

$$\lambda \cdot \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & \lambda b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}^*$ acts on the exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & N & \rightarrow & \Gamma & \rightarrow & \Gamma' \rightarrow 1 \\ & & \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \text{id} \\ 0 & \rightarrow & N & \rightarrow & \Gamma & \rightarrow & \Gamma' \rightarrow 1 \end{array}$$

and consequently also on the spectral sequence. As $\lambda \in \mathbf{Q}^*$ acts on $H_t(N; \mathbf{Q}) = \Lambda_{\mathbf{Q}}^t(N \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Q})$ by multiplying by λ^t , and acts trivially on Γ' , it follows that λ acts on $E_{s,t}^2$ by multiplying by λ^t . Assume $\lambda \neq \pm 1$; as the differentials commute with the \mathbf{Q}^* -action and as $\lambda^t \neq \lambda^{t'}$ for $t \neq t'$, all differentials are zero. It follows that

$$E_{s,t}^2 = E_{s,t}^{\infty} \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0.$$

Now $\bigoplus_{s+t=n} E_{s,t}^{\infty}$ is the graded object associated to the natural filtration of $H_n(\Gamma; \mathbf{Q})$ for each integer $n \geq 1$. Since \mathbf{Q}^* acts on Γ by inner automorphisms, the induced action on $H_n(\Gamma; \mathbf{Q})$ is trivial; thus \mathbf{Q}^* acts trivially on each $E_{s,t}^{\infty}$. Hence $E_{s,t}^{\infty} = 0$ for any (s, t) with $s \geq 0$ and $t > 0$. This shows that $H_s(\Gamma'; \mathbf{Q}) = H_s(\Gamma; \mathbf{Q})$ for any $s \geq 0$. ■

COROLLARY 5 (a universal coefficient argument). *The homomorphism $\pi: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma'$ induces an isomorphism on $H_*(-) = H_*(-; \mathbf{Z})$.*

Proof. We know that π induces an isomorphism for $H_*(-; R)$ if R is the additive group of a finite field. Using direct products and extensions of the coefficients, one checks the same holds for R a finite abelian group. As homology commutes with inductive limits of coefficients, this holds also when $R = \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$. Using the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

and the fact that π_* is an isomorphism for $R = \mathbf{Q}$ and $R = \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$, one proves the claim. ■

The proof of Proposition 1. We use again the notations defined earlier in this section, and we denote by $L(V)$ the algebra of all bounded operators on V . For each $i > 0$ the spaces S_i^\perp and S_i are both isomorphic to V . It follows that G_i is isomorphic to

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mid a, b \in L(V) \text{ with } a \text{ invertible} \right\}$$

and that G'_i consists of matrices in G_i with $b = 0$. Quillen's argument shows that the inclusion of G'_i in G_i induces an isomorphism $H_*(G'_i) \approx H_*(G_i)$. It follows that the inclusion of G'_∞ in G_∞ induces also an isomorphism $H_*(G') \approx H_*(G)$, so that the proof of proposition 1 is complete. ■

Let us end this section by two observations. First the groups of our main theorem are not flabby. Consider for example $G = U(V)$ with V an infinite dimensional separable complex Hilbert space, and suppose there exists a "direct sum" homomorphism $\mu : G \times G \rightarrow G$ with property (1) preceding lemma 2; we shall reach a contradiction.

Choose an orthonormal basis $(e_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of V and a sequence $(\lambda_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ of pairwise distinct numbers in the interval $]-\pi, \pi[$. Define $r \in G$ by $r(e_j) = \exp(i\lambda_j)e_j$ for $j \in \mathbb{N}$. The centralizer of r in G is the abelian group T of unitary operators which are diagonal with respect to the chosen basis.

Consider the homomorphism $\mu_1 : G \rightarrow G$ given by $g \mapsto \mu(g, 1)$. By hypothesis $\mu_1(g)$ is conjugate to g . Therefore, μ_1 is injective and, because its image commutes with $\mu(1, r)$, the centralizer of $\mu(1, r)$ is not abelian. But there exists $b \in G$ with $\mu(1, r) = brb^{-1}$. Therefore the centralizer of $\mu(1, r)$ is the abelian group bTb^{-1} . This contradiction shows that G is not flabby.

The second observation is that there are plenty of (non trivial) G -modules R with non trivial $H_*(G, R)$ or $H^*(G, R)$. Consider for example a subgroup G_1 of G and a G_1 -module R_1 . Let $R = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}G_1}(\mathbb{Z}G, R_1)$, where $\mathbb{Z}G$ is considered as a left $\mathbb{Z}G_1$ -module and as a right $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module; then R is naturally a G -module (namely a left $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module). A standard result known as Shapiro's lemma states that $H^n(G_1, R_1)$ is naturally isomorphic with $H^n(G, R)$ for all $n \geq 0$; see for example §34.2 in [Bab]. Choose in particular a finite cyclic subgroup G_1 of G and let R_1 be a trivial G_1 -module isomorphic to G_1 as abelian group. Then $H^n(G, R) \neq 0$ for all $n > 0$.

This is quite a general construction. Indeed, let Γ be any group with more than one element. One shows by induction from a (possibly infinite) cyclic subgroup of Γ that there exists a Γ -module M and an integer $n > 0$ with $H^n(\Gamma; M) \neq 0$.

3. The set of flags

Let Gr be the set of those closed subspaces S of V which are isomorphic to V/S . (Thus Gr is the set of points in a Grassmannian space.)

LEMMA 6. *Let $\{S_1, \dots, S_p\}$ be a finite subset of Gr . There exist $S'_1, \dots, S'_p \in Gr$ with $S'_m \subset S_m$ ($1 \leq m \leq p$) and $S'_m \perp S'_n$ ($1 \leq m < n \leq p$).*

Proof. Any subspace of V whose codimension is strictly smaller than the dimension of V intersects non trivially any element of Gr . One may thus choose unit vectors as follows

$$v_{1,1} \in S_1, v_{2,1} \in S_2 \cap \{v_{1,1}\}^\perp, \dots, v_{p,1} \in S_p \cap \{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{p-1,1}\}^\perp$$

and in general

$$\begin{aligned} v_{1,i} &\in S_1 \cap \{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{p,1}, \dots, v_{1,i-1}, \dots, v_{p,i-1}\}^\perp, \\ &\dots, \\ v_{p,i} &\in S_p \cap \{v_{1,1}, \dots, v_{p,1}, \dots, v_{1,i}, \dots, v_{p-1,i}\}^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

(The index i runs over \mathbf{N}^* if V is separable and over some suitable infinite set if V is "larger".) Define S'_m to be the closed linear span of the $v_{m,i}$'s. Then S'_1, \dots, S'_p have the desired properties. ■

LEMMA 7. *Let $S_1, \dots, S_p \in Gr$ and let $h_1, \dots, h_p \in GL(V)$. There exist $S'_1, \dots, S'_p \in Gr$ with $S'_m \subset S_m$ ($1 \leq m \leq p$), $S'_m \perp S'_n$ and $h_m(S'_m) \perp h_n(S'_n)$ ($1 \leq m < n \leq p$).*

Proof. By Lemma 6 there exist $S''_1, \dots, S''_p \in Gr$ with $S''_m \subset S_m$ ($1 \leq m \leq p$) and $S''_m \perp S''_n$ ($1 \leq m < n \leq p$). Define $T_m = h_m(S''_m)$ ($1 \leq m \leq p$). There exist also $T'_1, \dots, T'_p \in Gr$ with $T'_m \subset T_m$ ($1 \leq m \leq p$) and $T'_m \perp T'_n$ ($1 \leq m < n \leq p$). Define $S'_m = h_m^{-1}(T'_m)$ ($1 \leq m \leq p$). ■

Now consider the set \mathfrak{F} of flags $F = \{S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \dots\}$ with $\bigcap S_i = \{0\}$ as defined in section 2. Let $F = \{S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \dots\}$, $F' = \{S'_1 \supset S'_2 \supset \dots\}$ and $h \in GL(V)$. We write $F' \leq F$ if $S'_i \subset S_i$ for all i . If $S'_i \perp S_i$, we write $F' \perp F$. If in addition $S_1 \oplus S'_1 \in Gr$, the spaces $S_1 \oplus S'_1 \supset S_2 \oplus S'_2 \supset \dots$ form a flag which we call $F' \oplus F$. Finally the flag $\{h(S_1) \supset h(S_2) \supset \dots\}$ is called $h(F)$.

We may reformulate lemma 7 for flags.

LEMMA 8. Let $F_1, \dots, F_p \in \mathfrak{F}$ and let $h_1, \dots, h_p \in GL(V)$. There exist $F'_1, \dots, F'_p \in \mathfrak{F}$ with $F'_m \leq F_m$ ($1 \leq m \leq p$), $F'_m \perp F'_n$ and $h_m(F'_m) \perp h_n(F'_n)$ ($1 \leq m < n \leq p$).

Proof. Let $F_m = \{S_{m,1} \supset S_{m,2} \supset \dots\}$ and write $T_{m,i} = S_{m,i}^\perp \cap S_{m,i-1}$ where $S_{m,0} = V$ ($1 \leq m \leq p$ and $i \geq 1$). Then $S_{m,i} = \bigoplus_{j=i+1}^\infty T_{m,j}$. The result now follows by applying lemma 7 to the spaces $T_{1,j}, \dots, T_{p,j}$ for each $j \geq 1$. ■

We review now the Milnor construction for classifying space (see e.g. [Hu], chap. 4, §11). Given any (discrete) group Γ , let $E\Gamma$ be the simplicial complex whose p -simplices are the ordered subsets $(\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_p)$ of Γ . We denote by $|E\Gamma|$ the topological space obtained by realizing $E\Gamma$. It is well-known and easy to see that $|E\Gamma|$ is contractible (compare the proof of lemma 10 below). Moreover the group Γ acts freely on $|E\Gamma|$ by multiplication on the left. Thus the quotient space $B\Gamma = \Gamma \backslash |E\Gamma|$ is a model (the “infinite join” model) for the classifying space of the group Γ . In particular this means that the groups $H_i(\Gamma)$ ($i \in \mathbf{N}$) are just the integral homology groups of the space $B\Gamma$.

For the rest of this section, we will write G for $GL(V)$, E for $EGL(V)$ and B for $BGL(V)$. For each flag $F = \{S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \dots\}$ in \mathfrak{F} , let G_F be the subgroup of G containing those operators which agree with the identity on S_i for i large enough, and let E_F be the subcomplex of E defined as follows: a k -simplex (g_0, \dots, g_k) of E is in E_F if g_0, \dots, g_k agree on S_i for i large enough. (For short, we will say that g_0, \dots, g_k agree on F .) Let $F, F' \in \mathfrak{F}$. If $F' \leq F$, observe that $G_F \subset G_{F'}$ and that E_F is a subcomplex of $E_{F'}$. If $F \perp F'$ and if $F \oplus F' \in \mathfrak{F}$, then $G_{F \oplus F'} = G_F \cap G_{F'}$.

LEMMA 9. For any $F \in \mathfrak{F}$, the complex E_F is G -invariant and the quotient $G \backslash |E_F|$ is naturally isomorphic to BG_F .

Proof. “Naturally” means that, if $F, F' \in \mathfrak{F}$ with $F' \leq F$, then the map $BG_F \rightarrow BG_{F'}$ induced by $G_F \hookrightarrow G_{F'}$ is just the inclusion of BG_F in $BG_{F'}$ (both are subspaces of B).

The space $|E_F|$ is not connected. Indeed two 0-simplices (g) and (g') define points lying in the same connected component if and only if there is a sequence of 1-simplices in E_F of the form

$$(g, g_1), (g_1, g_2), \dots, (g_m, g').$$

This holds if and only if g and g' agree on F , namely if and only if g and g' belong to the same right coset of G_F in G . It follows that connected components of $|E_F|$

are parametrized by G/G_F . The coset G_F corresponds to $|E'_F|$, where E'_F is the subcomplex of E_F consisting of simplices (g_0, \dots, g_k) where g_0, \dots, g_k agree with the identity on F .

It is clear that E_F is G -invariant. It follows from the discussion above that $G \setminus |E_F|$ may be identified with $G_F \setminus |E'_F|$, which is nothing but the infinite join model BG_F for the classifying space of G_F . ■

Let E_* be the union of the E_F 's over $F \in \mathfrak{F}$; it is a subcomplex of E which is invariant by G . Let $B_* = G \setminus |E_*|$; it is a subspace of B which is the union of the $G \setminus |E_F|$'s over F in \mathfrak{F} .

LEMMA 10. *The space E_* is contractible.*

Proof. Let $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p$ be simplices in E_* . Choose

$$F_1 = \{S_{1,1} \supset S_{1,2} \supset \dots\}, \dots, F_p = \{S_{p,1} \supset S_{p,2} \supset \dots\}$$

in \mathfrak{F} with $\sigma_m \in E_{F_m}$. There is an integer k such that the vertices in σ_m agree on $S_{m,k}$; denote by h_m their common restriction on $S_{m,k}$ ($1 \leq m \leq p$). Let F'_1, \dots, F'_p be as in lemma 8: one has $\sigma_m \in E_{F'_m}$ ($1 \leq m \leq p$). Then the cone on $\sigma_1 \cup \dots \cup \sigma_p$ with vertex h_0 is in E_* .

It follows that, for any finite subcomplex K of E_* , there exists a subcomplex L of E_* containing K and contracting to a point. Hence $|E_*|$ itself is contractible (see e.g. corollary 7.6.24 in [Sp]). ■

LEMMA 11. *The inclusion $B_* = \bigcup_{F \in \mathfrak{F}} BG_F \rightarrow B = BG$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Proof. Since the quotient maps $|E| \rightarrow B$ and $|E_*| \rightarrow B_*$ are covering maps, this follows immediately from the two previous lemmas. ■

The following lemma holds for $p = 1$ by section 2.

LEMMA 12. *Let $F_1, \dots, F_p \in \mathfrak{F}$. Then $BG_{F_1} \cup \dots \cup BG_{F_p}$ is contained in an acyclic subspace of B_* .*

Proof. Choose any flag $F_0 \in \mathfrak{F}$. By Lemma 8 there exist $F'_0, F'_1, \dots, F'_p \in \mathfrak{F}$ with $F'_m \leq F_m$ ($0 \leq m \leq p$) and $F'_m \perp F'_n$ ($0 \leq m < n \leq p$); in particular $F'_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F'_p$ is a flag in \mathfrak{F} . As $BG_{F_m} \subset BG_{F'_m}$ ($1 \leq m \leq p$), it suffices to check that $BG_{F'_1} \cup \dots \cup BG_{F'_p}$ is acyclic. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that $F_m \perp F_n$ ($1 \leq m < n \leq p$) and that $F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F_p \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Let us assume as induction hypothesis that, in this situation, both

$$BG_{F_1} \cup \cdots \cup BG_{F_{p-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad BG_{F_1 \oplus F_{p-1}} \cup \cdots \cup BG_{F_{p-2} \oplus F_{p-1}}$$

are acyclic. (When $p=2$, the former works by proposition 1 and the latter is vacuous.)

Consider first the Mayer–Vietoris homology sequence of the subcomplexes

$$BG_{F_1 \oplus F_p} \cup \cdots \cup BG_{F_{p-2} \oplus F_p} \quad \text{and} \quad BG_{F_{p-1} \oplus F_p}$$

of B_* with intersection

$$BG_{F_1 \oplus (F_{p-1} \oplus F_p)} \cup \cdots \cup BG_{F_{p-2} \oplus (F_{p-1} \oplus F_p)}.$$

By the induction hypothesis, two of any three consecutive terms in this sequence vanish. Hence all terms vanish and

$$BG_{F_1 \oplus F_p} \cup \cdots \cup BG_{F_{p-1} \oplus F_p}$$

is acyclic.

Consider now the Mayer–Vietoris sequence of the subcomplexes

$$BG_{F_1} \cup \cdots \cup BG_{F_{p-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad BG_{F_p}$$

of B_* with intersection

$$BG_{F_1 \oplus F_p} \cup \cdots \cup BG_{F_{p-1} \oplus F_p}.$$

From the previous step and from the induction hypothesis it follows that

$$BG_{F_1} \cup \cdots \cup BG_{F_p}$$

is acyclic. ■

THEOREM 13. *The group G is acyclic.*

Proof. The homology of a complex is generated by that of its finite subcomplexes. Thus lemma 12 implies that B_* is an acyclic space, and lemma 11 that G is acyclic. ■

4. Variations

Unitary group $U(V)$ of an infinite dimensional Hilbert space V .

The proof that $U(V)$ is acyclic is much simpler than for $GL(V)$ since section 2 may be reduced to Lemmas 2 and 3. Section 3 is unchanged.

Symmetric group $\Sigma(X)$ of an infinite set X

Here a flag is a nested sequence $\{S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \dots\}$ of subsets of $X = S_0$ such that $S_{i-1} - S_i$ is equipotent with X for each $i \geq 1$ and such that $\bigcap S_i = \emptyset$. Define

$$\Sigma_i = \{g \in \Sigma(X) \mid g = \text{id on } S_i\}$$

for each $i \geq 0$ (no distinction here between Σ'_i and Σ_i) and $\Sigma_\infty = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} \Sigma_i$. The argument of Lemma 3 shows that Σ_∞ is a flabby group. Read “disjoint union” instead of “direct sum”, “injection” instead of “isometry”. The adjoint ρ^* of an injection ρ is defined only on the image of ρ by $\rho^* \rho = \text{id}$; then a formula like $\rho g \rho^* + \sigma h \sigma^*$ is clear because $\rho g \rho^*$ is a permutation of some subset of X and $\sigma h \sigma^*$ is a permutation of its complement. The group Σ_∞ is consequently acyclic.

Let Gr be the set of those subsets S of X equipotent with their complements $S^\perp = X - S$. For two subsets S_1, S_2 of X , read $S_1 \cap S_2 = \emptyset$ for $S_1 \perp S_2$. Lemmas 7 and 8 may then be repeated without change and all of section 3 with minor changes only. It follows that $\Sigma(X)$ is acyclic.

Automorphism group $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ of a Lebesgue space $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a Lebesgue space where the measure μ is infinite and non atomic. A flag is now a nested sequence $F = \{S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \dots\}$ of measurable subsets of $\Omega = S_0$ such that $S_{i-1} - S_i$ has infinite measure for each $i \geq 1$ and such that $\bigcap S_i$ has measure zero. Comments for $\Sigma(X)$ above apply to $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$, with the understanding that everything in view is now measurable. Therefore $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ is also acyclic.

Let $(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ be a Lebesgue measure space. Let X be the set of atoms in $\tilde{\Omega}$, let $X = \bigsqcup_j X_j$ be the partition of X according to the masses of the atoms, and let $\Omega = \tilde{\Omega} - X$. Then the sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(\tilde{\Omega}) \rightarrow \prod_j \Sigma(X_j) \rightarrow 1$$

is exact (and splits). Suppose $\mu(\Omega) = \infty$, and suppose that X is not empty. Then $\mathcal{A}(\tilde{\Omega})$ is clearly acyclic if and only if each X_j is either one point or an infinite set.

Automorphisms of an infinite dimensional vector space W over a (possibly skew) field \mathbf{F}

Case (i): Char $\mathbf{F} = 0$.

A flag is in this case a nested sequence $\{S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \dots\}$ of subspaces of $W = S_0$ such that S_{i-1}/S_i is isomorphic to W for each $i \geq 1$ and such that $\bigcap S_i = \{0\}$. As in Lemma 3 we may identify W with $\bigoplus_k \bigoplus_j T_j^k$, where each $T_j^k \cong W$, in such a way that $S_i = \bigoplus_k \bigoplus_{j=i}^\infty T_j^k$ for all i . Then the subspace $R_i = \bigoplus_k \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i-1} T_j^k$ complements S_i .

Define

$$G_i^W = \{g \in GL(W) \mid g = \text{id on } S_i\},$$

$$G_i^{W'} = \{g \in G_i^W \mid g(R_i) = R_i\}.$$

One checks as in Lemma 3 that $G_\infty^{W'}$ is flabby. When Char $\mathbf{F} = 0$, Lemma 4 and 5 show that $G_\infty^{W'}$ is acyclic.

In Lemmas 6 to 8, understand $S'_m \perp S'_n$ as $S'_m \cap S'_n = \{0\}$, and $v \in S \cap \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}^\perp$ as $v \in S$ with v not in the linear span of $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$. Then section 3 holds for $GL(W)$, which is consequently an acyclic group. All our arguments allow the field \mathbf{F} to be non-commutative.

Case (ii): Char $\mathbf{F} = p > 0$.

The arguments of section 2 show that $\tilde{H}_*(G_\infty^W; \mathbf{K}) = 0$ if Char $\mathbf{K} \neq$ Char \mathbf{F} (where \tilde{H}_* denotes reduced homology). It follows that $\tilde{H}_*(GL(W); \mathbf{K}) = 0$ when Char $\mathbf{K} \neq$ Char \mathbf{F} . Therefore, in order to show that $GL(W)$ is acyclic, it will suffice to prove that $\tilde{H}_*(GL(W); \mathbf{K}) = 0$ when \mathbf{K} is the algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$ of the finite field \mathbf{k} with p elements. To do this we need

LEMMA 14. *For each flag F and integer $d > 0$ there is a subgroup G_F^d of $GL(W)$ which contains G_F and is such that $H_j(G_F^d; \bar{\mathbf{k}}) = 0$ for $0 < j < d$.*

Proof. Quillen proves the following lemma in [Q2] §9.

LEMMA. *Let $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$ be an algebraically closed field and d an integer > 0 . Then there exists an order D in a number field of degree d over \mathbf{Q} with the following properties: Given any D -module N , let the group of units D^* act on it by multiplication, and let the group homology $H_*(N, \bar{\mathbf{k}})$ be endowed with the induced action of D^* . Then for each t , $H_t(N, \bar{\mathbf{k}})$ is a direct sum of one-dimensional representations of D^* over $\bar{\mathbf{k}}$. Furthermore, $H_t(N, \bar{\mathbf{k}})$ does not contain the trivial representation for $0 < t < d$.*

Let D be as in this lemma. The choice of a basis over \mathbf{Z} for D gives rise to a ring homomorphism

$$\rho_0: D \rightarrow M_d(\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow M_d(\mathbf{F})$$

where $M_d(A)$ is the ring of d -by- d matrices over A and where $M_d(\mathbf{Z}) \rightarrow M_d(\mathbf{F})$ is reduction mod p . Let F be the flag $\{S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \cdots\}$. For each pair (j, k) of positive integers, let now T_j^k be a copy of \mathbf{F}^d . We identify W and $T = \bigoplus_k \bigoplus_j T_j^k$ in such a way that $S_i = \bigoplus_k \bigoplus_{j=i}^{\infty} T_j^k$, and we denote by R_i "the" complement $\bigoplus_k \bigoplus_{j=0}^{i-1} T_j^k$ of S_i . Define a ring homomorphism $\rho_i: D \rightarrow GL(W)$ by setting

$$\rho_i(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \rho_0(\lambda) & \text{in } T_j^k \text{ for } j \geq i, \text{ all } k \\ \text{id} & \text{in the other } T_j^k. \end{cases}$$

Now put

$$G_i^d = \{g \in GL(W) \mid g = \rho_i(\lambda) \text{ in } S_i \text{ for some } \lambda \in D^*\}$$

and let $G_F^d = \bigcup_{i \geq 1} G_i^d$. Clearly $G_F \subset G_F^d$. We must show that $H_j(G_F^d; \bar{\mathbf{k}}) = 0$ for $0 < j < d$.

Let

$$G_i^{d'} = \{g \in G_i^d \mid g(R_i) = R_i\}.$$

and consider the induced D^* -action on the spectral sequence of the extension $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G_i^d \rightarrow G_i^{d'} \rightarrow 1$. It follows from the lemma that each E_{st}^r , $2 \leq r \leq \infty$, breaks up into a sum of one dimensional representations preserved by the differentials. Since D^* acts trivially on the abutment, the subspaces on which D^* acts trivially form a spectral sequence which converges to $H_*(G_i^d; \bar{\mathbf{k}})$. By the lemma, the terms E_{st}^2 of this sequence vanish when $0 < t < d$. Hence $H_j(G_i^d; \bar{\mathbf{k}}) \cong H_j(G_i^{d'}; \bar{\mathbf{k}})$ for $0 < j < d$.

Now note that $G_i^{d'}$ is the product of G_i' with $\rho_i(D^*)$. But $\rho_i(D^*)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the group of units of $D/pD \cong \mathbf{k}_d$, where \mathbf{k}_d is the field of order p^d . Hence $\rho_i(D^*)$ has order prime to p . Therefore $\tilde{H}_*(\rho_i(D^*); \bar{\mathbf{k}}) = 0$ which implies that $H_*(G_i^{d'}; \bar{\mathbf{k}}) \cong H_*(G_i'; \bar{\mathbf{k}})$. Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_i^d & \xrightarrow{\alpha_3} & G_{i+1}^d \\ \alpha_2 \uparrow & & \nearrow \alpha_4 \\ G_i^{d'} & & \\ \alpha_1 \uparrow & & \\ G_i' & & \end{array}$$

We have seen that the inclusions α_1 and α_2 induce an isomorphism on $H_j(-; \bar{\mathbf{k}})$, $0 < j < d$. Since α_4 factors through a group isomorphic to G'_∞ , it induces the zero map on $\tilde{H}_j(-; \bar{\mathbf{k}})$. Hence α_3 must induce the zero map on $H_j(-; \bar{\mathbf{k}})$, $0 < j < d$. This implies that

$$H_j(G_F^d; \bar{\mathbf{k}}) = \lim_i H_j(G_i^d; \bar{\mathbf{k}}) = 0, \quad 0 < j < d. \quad \blacksquare$$

To finish the proof of the theorem we must find an appropriate substitute for Lemma 12. If F_1, \dots, F_n are disjoint flags such that $F_1 \oplus \dots \oplus F_n$ is also a flag, choose groups $G_{F_i}^d$ as above and, for each subset $\{i_1, \dots, i_k\}$ of $\{1, \dots, n\}$, set

$$G_{F_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus F_{i_k}}^d = G_{F_{i_1}}^d \cap \dots \cap G_{F_{i_k}}^d.$$

The proof of Lemma 14 shows that these groups G_F^d , for $F = F_{i_1} \oplus \dots \oplus F_{i_k}$, are acyclic. The inductive argument of Lemma 12 then readily shows that

$$H_j(BG_{F_1}^d \cup \dots \cup BG_{F_n}^d; \bar{\mathbf{k}}) = 0 \quad 0 < j < d - 2n.$$

Clearly, this suffices to show that the inclusion $B_* \hookrightarrow B$ annihilates $\tilde{H}_*(-; \bar{\mathbf{k}})$.

Properly infinite von Neumann algebras

Let M be a properly infinite von Neumann algebra, faithfully represented in $L(V)$ for some complex Hilbert space V . A flag is a nested sequence $\{S_1 \supset S_2 \supset \dots\}$ of closed subspaces of $V = S_0$ with $\bigcap S_i = \{0\}$ such that the orthogonal projection P_i from V onto S_i is in M and such that $P_{i-1} - P_i$ is equivalent to the identity for each $i \geq 1$. It is easy to choose every operator appearing in sections 2 and 3 in the algebra M . Therefore the appropriately defined groups G'_∞ and G_∞ are acyclic, as well as $U(M)$ and $GL(M)$.

It is likely that the argument applies to a large class of infinite C^* -algebras. Let B be such an algebra, let $M(B)$ be its multiplier algebra, let $U(B)$ be the subgroup of the unitary group $U(M(B))$ consisting of those elements g for which $g - 1 \in B$, and let $U(B)_0$ be the connected component of $U(B)$ with respect to the norm topology. There are many cases in which $U(B)_0$ is known to be contractible for the norm topology [Mi]; in these cases, $U(B)_0$ and the similarly defined “general linear group” $GL(B)_0$ should “often” be acyclic.

Finite von Neumann algebras

Let M be a finite continuous factor, and let $U(M)$ be the group of unitaries in M . When given the norm topology, $U(M)$ has a fundamental group isomorphic to

the additive group of the real numbers: this was first proved in [AS], but it follows also essentially from Bott periodicity as formulated in theorem 1.11 of chapter III of [Ka]. Indeed

$$\pi_i(U(M)_{\text{norm}}) \approx \begin{cases} \mathbf{R} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd, } i \geq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } i \text{ is even, } i > 0 \end{cases}$$

(See III.7.7 in [Ka], or theorem 5 in [Br]; both state the analogous “stable fact”, but the isomorphism holds also as above.) Let

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \tilde{U}(M) \rightarrow U(M) \rightarrow 1$$

be the (topological) universal covering of $U(M)$. It is known that $U(M)$ is perfect (indeed simple up to centre [FH]). One may conjecture that $\tilde{U}(M)$ is also perfect, namely that the short exact sequence above is still a covering in the algebraic sense of [Ker], and thus that there exists a surjective homomorphism of $H_2(U(M))$ onto \mathbf{R} . In any event it seems very unlikely that the group $U(M)$ is acyclic.

Appendix 1. About normal subgroups

If X is an infinite countable set, $\Sigma(X)$ has exactly two non trivial normal subgroups: the group $\Sigma_f(X)$ of permutations of X with finite support and its derived group $A_f(X)$ of even permutations [SU]. If X is any infinite set, normal subgroups of $\Sigma(X)$ which are neither trivial nor $A_f(X)$ are in bijection (via supports) with infinite cardinals smaller than the cardinal of X [B].

If $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ is a Lebesgue measure space with μ infinite and non atomic, $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ has exactly one non trivial normal subgroup consisting of those bi-measurable transformations α with support $\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \alpha(\omega) \neq \omega\}$ of finite measure [F1], [Ei].

If W is an infinite dimensional vector space over a field \mathbf{F} , normal subgroups of $GL(W)$ have been studied in [R]; we present hereafter part of these results with different proofs inspired by [And], [Ep] and [Hi].

LEMMA A1. *The group $GL(W)$ is perfect.*

Proof. If I is a set and if $(W_i)_{i \in I}$ is a family of copies of W , we write any element in $GL(\bigoplus W_i)$ as an $(I \times I)$ -matrix with coefficients in $\text{End}(W)$. If I is countable, we may identify $\bigoplus W_i$ and W .

In $GL(W \oplus W \oplus W)$ one has

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

for each $x \in \text{End}(W)$. It follows that any element of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ in $GL(W \oplus W)$ is a product of two commutators. In $GL(\bigoplus_{i \in N} W_i)$, one may apply the infinite repetition argument used in section 2. We write $\gamma_1 \sim \gamma_2$ if two elements γ_1, γ_2 in a group Γ are conjugate. For any $x \in GL(W)$ one has

$$\begin{pmatrix} x & & & & \\ & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & x & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & x & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & & \\ & x & & & \\ & & 1 & & \\ & & & x & \\ & & & & \ddots \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

in $GL(\bigoplus_{i \in N} W_i)$. It follows that any element of the form $\begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ in $GL(W \oplus W)$ is a commutator.

Let $g \in GL(W)$. Choose sequences (u_i) and (v_i) of vectors in W as follows:

$$u_1 \in W - \{0\} \quad u'_1 = g(u_1) \quad v_1 \in W - \text{span}(u_1, u'_1)$$

and in general

$$u_{i+1} \in W - \text{span} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & v_1 & g^{-1}(v_1) \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ u_i & v_i & g^{-1}(v_i) \end{pmatrix} \quad u'_{i+1} = g(u_{i+1})$$

$$v_{i+1} \in W - \text{span} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & u'_1 & v_1 \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ u_i & u'_i & v_i \\ u_{i+1} & u'_{i+1} & \cdot \end{pmatrix}.$$

(The index i runs over N^* if the dimension of W is countable and over some

suitable set otherwise.) Define

$$\begin{aligned} U &= \text{span}(u_1, u_2, \dots) & V_1 &= \text{span}(v_1, v_3, \dots) \\ V_2 &= \text{span}(v_2, v_4, \dots) & V &= V_1 \oplus V_2. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that $U \cap V = \{0\}$ and $g(U) \cap V = \{0\}$. Thus there exists $t \in GL(W)$ with $tu'_i = u_i$ and $tv_{2i} = v_{2i}$ for each i . As $t = \text{id}$ on V_2 one has $t \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in GL(W \oplus W)$; as $tg = \text{id}$ on U one has $tg \sim \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix} \in GL(W \oplus W)$. It follows from the beginning of the proof that g is a product of commutators in $GL(W)$. ■

The proof above shows also the following *fragmentation lemma*: any element in $GL(W)$ may be written as a product of finitely many elements similar to $\begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ in $GL(W \oplus W)$. Indeed, it remains to be checked that $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ has this property, and this is clear if one looks at

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & y \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & y \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

in $GL(W \oplus W \oplus W)$.

Let N_{\max} be the normal subgroup of $GL(W)$ containing those elements of the form $\lambda + X$ with λ a homothety and X an endomorphism of W with rank strictly smaller than the dimension of W . Let $g \in GL(W)$ with $g \notin N_{\max}$. Let us check that there exists a subspace V of W with V isomorphic to W/V and with $V \cap g(V) = \{0\}$.

One may choose a sequence (v_i) of vectors in W as follows:

$$v_1 \in W - \{0\} \quad \text{with} \quad g(v_1) \in W - \text{span}(v_1)$$

and in general

$$v_{i+1} \in W - \text{span} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & g(v_1) \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ v_i & g(v_i) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad g(v_{i+1}) \in W - \text{span} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 & g(v_1) \\ \cdot & \cdot \\ v_i & g(v_i) \\ v_{i+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Indeed, suppose one cannot find v_{i+1} . Let

$$F = \text{span} \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \cdots v_i \\ g(v_1) \cdots g(v_i) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then $v \in W - F$ implies $g(v) \in \text{span}(F, v)$; for any $u \in F$, one has also $g(v+u) \in \text{span}(F, v)$; hence $g(u) \in \text{span}(F, v)$. It follows that F is invariant by g and that g induces a homothety on W/F . But this is ruled out by hypothesis.

Then $V = \text{span}(v_1, v_2, \dots)$ has the desired properties.

PROPOSITION A2. *Any non trivial normal subgroup of $GL(W)$ is contained in N_{\max} .*

Proof. Let N be a normal subgroup of $GL(W)$ and assume that $N \not\subseteq N_{\max}$. There exist $f \in N$ and a subspace V of W with V isomorphic to W/V and with $f(V) \cap V = \{0\}$. We may thus view N as a normal subgroup of $GL(W \oplus W)$ containing an element f of the form $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

By the fragmentation lemma, it is enough to check that N contains any element of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$. Consider $r, s \in GL(W)$ and define $g = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & r \end{pmatrix}$, $h = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & s \end{pmatrix}$. As N is normal, N contains $\hat{h} = hfh^{-1}f^{-1}$ and $g\hat{h}g^{-1}\hat{h}^{-1}$. By a straightforward matrix computation, the latter is of the form

$$g\hat{h}g^{-1}\hat{h}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & * \\ 0 & rsr^{-1}s^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

As $GL(W)$ is perfect, it follows that, for any $k \in GL(W)$, there exists $z \in \text{End}(W)$ with $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix} \in N$.

Let now $a, b \in GL(W)$ with $a + b = 1$. (One may define a as an infinite direct sum of automorphisms of a vector space of dimension two, each represented by $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, and similarly for b with $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.) There exist $x, y \in \text{End}(W)$ with

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & y \\ 0 & b^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

in N . Then

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -xa \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z(a-1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in N$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z(a-1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & z(b-1) \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in N.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & z \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -z \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix} \in N$$

the proof is complete. ■

It would be easy to prove by similar arguments all of theorem B (and thus also theorem A) in [R].

Let now V be an infinite dimensional Hilbert space over the reals, complexes or quaternions and $GL(V)$ be as in the introduction. Let $GE(V, C)$ be the normal subgroup of $GL(V)$ containing those elements of the form $\lambda + x$ with λ a homothety and X a compact operator (we assume V to be separable). It is quite easy to check that $GL(V)$ is perfect (see problems 191 and 192 in [Hal]). There is a fragmentation lemma which follows straightforwardly from polar decomposition and spectral theorem. Any $g \in GL(V)$ with $g \notin GE(V, C)$ is similar to an element of the form $\begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in $GL(V \oplus V)$: this is corollary 3.4 in [BP] or theorem 1 in [AnS]. Hence the proof above applies, and is very much simpler than that of [H1]. The subgroup of $GL(V)$ containing all bijective isometries of V can be handled either as in [H1] or as suggested in [H3], and we have proved the following result.

PROPOSITION A3. *Any non trivial normal subgroup of $GL(V)$ is contained in $GE(V, C)$. Any non trivial normal subgroup of $U(V)$ is contained in $UE(V, C) = U(V) \cap GE(V, C)$.*

For normal subgroups of $GL(M)$ and $U(M)$, when M is a properly infinite von Neumann algebra, see [H3] and papers reviewed there.

COROLLARY A4. *Let G be one of the groups described in the introduction and let N be a non trivial normal subgroup of G . Then N is of uncountable index in G .*

Let G be as above and let N_{\max} be the maximal normal subgroup of G . There are cases for which we have information about the homology of N_{\max} : see works

by Nakaoka and Priddy [P] if $G = \Sigma(X)$ and $N_{\max} = \Sigma_f(X)$ with X infinite countable, the papers on group cohomology in [E] if $G = GL(W)$, or [BHS] if $G = GL(V)$. In each case our main theorem provides corresponding information about the homology of the quotient G/N_{\max} .

Appendix 2. About monoids of monomorphisms

Each of the acyclic groups of automorphisms considered above is the group of units in a corresponding monoid (or semigroup) of monomorphisms. For example, $\Sigma(X)$ is the group of units in the monoid $M(X)$ formed by all injective maps from X to X . One can form the classifying space BM of a monoid in exactly the same way as that of a group; see [Se]. In particular, the Eilenberg–MacLane homology groups $H_i(M; \mathbf{Z})$ are just the integral homology groups of the space BM . Quillen pointed out in an unpublished version of [Q1] that the classifying spaces of monoids such as $M(X)$ are contractible. Of course, this implies that the monoids are acyclic.

Here is a sketch of his argument. Say two homomorphisms $f, g: M \rightarrow M$ are semi-conjugate if there is $m \in M$ such that $mf(n) = g(n)m$ for all $n \in M$. The argument is based on the fact that two homomorphisms which are semi-conjugate induce homotopic maps on BM ; see [Q1] §1. Choose $p \in M(X)$ so that the image $p(X)$ of X under p is in Gr . Define $f: M(X) \rightarrow M(X)$ by $f(n)(x) = pnp^{-1}(x)$ if $x \in p(X)$ and by $f(n)(x) = x$ otherwise. Then f is semi-conjugate both to the identity homomorphism and to the trivial homomorphism which takes every $n \in M(X)$ to the identity element. It follows that $BM(X)$ is contractible.

REFERENCES

- AnS J. H. ANDERSON and J. G. STAMPFLI, *Commutators and compressions*, Israel J. Math. 10 (1971) 433–441.
- And R. D. ANDERSON, *On homeomorphisms as products of conjugates of a given homeomorphism and its inverse*. In “Topology of 3-manifolds and related topics”, M. K. Fort ed. (Prentice Hall 1962) 231–234.
- AS H. ARAKI, M. SMITH and L. SMITH, *On the homotopical significance of the type of von Neumann algebra factors*, Commun. math. Phys. 22 (1971) 71–88.
- B R. BAER, *Die Kompositionsreihe der Gruppe aller eindeutigen Abbildungen einer unendlichen Menge auf sich*, Studia Math. 5 (1935) 15–17.
- Bab A. BABAKHANIAN, *Cohomological methods in group theory*, Dekker 1972.
- Be A. J. BERRICK, *An approach to algebraic K-theory*, Pitman 1982.
- BDH G. BAUMSLAG, E. DYER and A. HELLER, *The topology of discrete groups*, J. Pure and Appl. Alg. 16 (1980) 1–47.
- BDM G. BAUMSLAG, E. DYER and C. F. MILLER, *On the integral homology of finitely presented groups*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1981) 321–324.

- Br M. BREUER, *A homotopy theoretic proof of the additivity of the trace*, Rocky Math. J. 10 (1980) 185–198. (Section 4 has to be corrected, but theorem 5 is correct as such.)
- BP A. BROWN and C. PEARCY, *Structure of commutators of operators*, Ann. of Math. 82 (1965) 112–127.
- BHS L. G. BROWN, P. DE LA HARPE and C. SCHOCHET, *Perfection du groupe de Fredholm*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. A, 290 (1980) 151–154.
- BW J. BRÜNING and W. WILLGERODT, *Ein Verallgemeinerung eines Satzes von N. Kuiper*, Math. Ann. 220 (1976) 47–58.
- DD J. DIXMIER and A. DOUADY, *Champs continus d'espaces hilbertiens et de C^* -algèbres*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 91 (1963) 227–284.
- D A. DOUADY, *Un espace de Banach dont le groupe linéaire n'est pas connexe*, Indag. Math. 27 (1965) 787–789.
- Ei S. J. EIGEN, *On the simplicity of the full group of ergodic transformations*, Israel J. Math. 40 (1981) 345–349.
- Ep D. B. A. EPSTEIN, *The simplicity of certain groups of homeomorphisms*, Compositio Math. 22 (1970) 165–173.
- E *Algebraic K-theory*, Evanston 1976, Lecture Notes in Math. 551 (Springer 1976);
- FH T. FACK and P. DE LA HARPE, *Sommes de commutateurs dans les algèbres de von Neumann finies continues*, Ann. Inst. Fourier 30 (1980) 49–73.
- F1 A. FATHI, *Le groupe des transformations de $[0, 1]$ qui préservent la mesure de Lebesgue est un groupe simple*, Israel J. Math. 29 (1978) 302–308.
- F2 A. FATHI, *Structure of the group of homeomorphisms preserving a good measure on a compact manifold*, Ann. scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. (4) 13 (1980) 45–93.
- Hal P. R. HALMOS, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Van Nostrand 1967.
- H1 P. DE LA HARPE, *Sous-groupes distingués du groupe unitaire et du groupe général linéaire d'un espace de Hilbert*, Comment. Math. Helv. 51 (1976) 241–257.
- H2 P. DE LA HARPE, *Les extensions de $\mathfrak{gl}(E)$ par un noyau de dimension finie sont triviales*, J. Functional Analysis 33 (1979) 362–373.
- H3 P. DE LA HARPE, *Classical groups and classical Lie algebras of operators*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 38¹ (Amer. Math. Soc. 1982) 477–513.
- He M. HERMAN, see *Problèmes in Journées sur la géométrie de la dimension infinie*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, supp. 46 (1976) 189–190.
- Hi G. HIGMAN, *On infinite simple permutation groups*, Publ. Math. Debrecen 3 (1953–4) 221–226.
- Hu D. HUSEMOLLER, *Fibre bundles*, McGraw Hill 1966.
- Ka M. KAROUBI, *K-theory, an introduction*, Springer 1978.
- Ke M. KEANE, *Contractibility of the automorphism group of a nonatomic measure space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 26 (1970) 420–422.
- Ker M. KERVAIRE, *Multiplicateurs de Schur et K-théorie*. In “Essays on Topology and related Topics”, Mémoires dédiés à Georges de Rham, A. Haefliger and R. Narasimhan ed., Springer 1970.
- Ku N. KUIPER, *The homotopy type of the unitary group of a Hilbert space*, Topology 3 (1965) 19–30.
- LT J. LINDENSTRAUSS and L. TZAFRIRI, *Classical Banach spaces I, sequence spaces*, Springer 1970.
- Ma G. W. MACKEY, *Mathematical foundations of quantum mechanics*, Benjamin 1963.
- M J. MATHER, *The vanishing of the homology of certain groups of homeomorphisms*, Topology 10 (1971) 297–298.
- Mi J. A. MINGO, *On the contractibility of the unitary group of the Hilbert space over a C^* -algebra*. Thesis, Halifax 1981.
- P S. B. PRIDDY, *On $\Omega^\infty S^\infty$ and the infinite symmetric group*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. 22 (Amer. Math. Soc. 1971) 217–220.
- Q1 D. QUILLEN, *Higher algebraic K-theory: I*. In “Algebraic K-theory I, Battelle Institute conference 1972”, Lecture Notes in Math. 341 (Springer 1973) 85–147.

- Q2 D. QUILLEN, *Characteristic classes of representations*. In "Algebraic K-theory, Evanston 1976", Lecture Notes in Math. 551 (Springer 1976) 189–216.
- Re P. L. RENZ, *The contractibility of the homeomorphism group of some product spaces*, Math. Scand. 28 (1971), 182–188.
- R A. ROSENBERG, *The structure of the infinite general linear group*, Ann. of Math. 68 (1958) 278–294.
- SW C.-H. SAH and J. B. WAGONER, *Second homology of Lie groups made discrete*, Comm. Alg. 5 (1977) 611–642.
- SU J. SCHREIER and S. ULAM, *Über die Permutationsgruppe der natürlichen Zahlenfolge*, Studia Math. 4 (1933) 134–141.
- Se G. SEGAL, *Classifying spaces related to foliations*, Topology 17 (1978) 367–382.
- Sp E. H. SPANIER, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw Hill 1966.
- St J. STERN, *Le groupe des isométries d'un espace de Banach*, Studia Math. 54 (1979) 139–149.
- W J. B. WAGONER, *Delooping classifying spaces in algebraic K-theory*. Topology 11 (1972) 349–370.

*Section de mathématiques,
C.P. 124, 1211 Genève 24*

*Department of Mathematics
SUNY, Stony Brook, NY 11794*

Received May 24, 1982