

The growth of entire and harmonic functions along asymptotic paths.

Autor(en): **Rossi, John / Weitsman, Allen**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **60 (1985)**

PDF erstellt am: **18.09.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-46296>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

The growth of entire and harmonic functions along asymptotic paths

JOHN ROSSI¹ and ALLEN WEITSMAN

1. Introduction

In a recent paper of Lewis and the two authors [5], the following generalization of a theorem of Huber [4] is proved.

THEOREM A. *Let f be a transcendental entire function. Then there exists a path Γ from 0 to ∞ such that*

$$\lim_{\substack{z \rightarrow \infty \\ z \in \Gamma}} \frac{\log |f(z)|}{\log |z|} = \infty \tag{1.1}$$

$$l(\Gamma(z)) \leq |f(z)|^{\varepsilon(z)} \quad (0 \leq \varepsilon(z) \rightarrow 0, z \rightarrow \infty) \tag{1.2}$$

where $l(\Gamma(z))$ is the length of Γ from 0 to z and

$$\int_{\Gamma} \frac{1}{|f|^\lambda} |dz| < \infty \quad (\text{for all } \lambda > 0). \tag{1.3}$$

In [7], one of the authors has proved.

THEOREM B. *Let f be an entire function such that for some $K > 0$ at least one of the level curves $|f| = K$ tends to ∞ . Then there exists a path Γ from 0 to ∞ such that*

$$\log |f(z)| > |z|^{1/2 - \varepsilon(z)} \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$l(\Gamma(z)) \leq (\log |f(z)|)^{c + 2 + \varepsilon(z)} \tag{1.5}$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant and $0 \leq \varepsilon(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

¹ Research carried out as a NATO Postdoctoral Fellow at Imperial College, London.

In this paper we prove

THEOREM 1. *Let f be as in Theorem B. Then there exists a path Γ from 0 to ∞ such that (1.4) holds and*

$$\int_{\Gamma} (\log |f|)^{-(2+\lambda)} |dz| < \infty \quad (\text{for all } \lambda > 0). \quad (1.6)$$

Whereas (1.1) and (1.2) imply (1.3), we note that because of the presence of c , (1.4) and (1.5) do not imply (1.6). The constant c is a by-product of the proof of Theorem B. We use a totally different approach in proving Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 1. *Let u be a nonconstant harmonic function in \mathbb{C} . Then there exists a path Γ from 0 to ∞ such that (1.4) and (1.6) hold with $\log |f|$ replaced by u .*

The proof of Corollary 1 is immediate from Theorem 1. Indeed, if u is any such harmonic function and v is its harmonic conjugate in \mathbb{C} then $f = e^{u+iv}$ is transcendental and entire with $u = \log |f|$. Clearly by the harmonicity of u every level curve of $|f| = 1$ ($u = 0$) extends to ∞ .

We also prove

THEOREM 2. *Let f be an entire function of order $\rho \leq \infty$ such that for some $K > 0$ the set $\{z : |f| > K\}$ contains at least two components. Then there exists a path Γ from 0 to ∞ such that*

$$\log |f(z)| > |z|^{\lfloor \rho/(2\rho-1) \rfloor - \varepsilon(z)} \quad (0 \leq \varepsilon(z) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } z \rightarrow \infty) \quad (1.7)$$

and

$$\int_{\Gamma} (\log |f|)^{-\lfloor (2\rho-1)/\rho \rfloor + \lambda} |dz| < \infty \quad (\text{for all } \lambda > 0). \quad (1.8)$$

(We note that by hypothesis and an easy application of the Ahlfors, Denjoy, Carleman method, $\rho \geq 1$ and thus $(2\rho-1)/\rho \geq \frac{1}{2}$.)

Examples in Eremenko [3 p. 681] show that $\varepsilon(z)$ cannot be replaced by 0 in (1.4) and (1.6).

By modifying his examples slightly, we can find an entire function f of order ρ , $1 \leq \rho \leq \infty$ such that

$$\int_{\Gamma} (\log |f(z)|)^{-(2\rho-1)/\rho} |dz| = \infty$$

for every path Γ on which $|f| > 1$. This shows that (1.5) and (1.7) are “sharp” independent of (1.4) and (1.6).

Barth, Brannan and Hayman [2, Theorem 2] show that $\varepsilon(z)$ cannot be replaced by 0 in (1.4) where $\log |f| = u$ is harmonic. Brannan has pointed out in private communication that their example can be modified to show that (1.5) is also “sharp” for harmonic functions. Specifically one can construct a harmonic function u such that

$$\int_{\Gamma} u(z)^{-2} |dz| = \infty$$

for all paths Γ where $u > 0$.

2. Preliminary lemmas

Let D be an unbounded regular plane domain. We let $\theta^*(r) = \infty$ if $\{|z| = r\} \subseteq D$. Otherwise we let $r\theta^*(r)$ equal the length of the longest arc in the intersection of $\{|z| = r\}$ and D . Recall that a set G has log density one if $(\log r)^{-1} \int_{G \cap [1, r]} dt/t \rightarrow 1$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. We state

LEMMA 1. *Let D be as above and suppose*

$$\inf_G \overline{\lim}_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in G}} \theta^*(r) = \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \quad (\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < \infty) \quad (2.1)$$

where the inf is taken over all sets G of log density one. Then there exists $v > 0$ harmonic in D such that for all $z \in D$

$$v(z) \geq |z|^{\alpha - \varepsilon(|z|)} \quad (0 \leq \varepsilon(|z|) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow \infty). \quad (2.2)$$

We remark that without the log density statement, (2.2) was proved in [2] with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

Before we prove Lemma 1 we need the following lemma which asserts that the inf in (2.1) is attained.

LEMMA 2. *There exists a set G of log density one such that*

$$\overline{\lim}_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in G}} \theta^*(r) = \frac{\pi}{\alpha}. \quad (2.3)$$

Proof. Let $\text{l.m.}(E) = \int_E dt/t$ for any measurable set $E \subseteq [0, \infty)$. By (2.1) we may find G_n , $n=1, 2, \dots$ such that

$$\theta^*(r) \leq \frac{\pi}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{n} \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\text{l.m.}(G_n \cap [1, r]) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \log r \quad (2.5)$$

provided $r \in G_n$, $r \geq r_n$. We may choose r_n so large that

$$\frac{1}{n-1} \log r_n \geq \log r_{n-1}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (2.6)$$

Define $G = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} G_n \cap [r_n, r_{n+1}]$. To see that \log dens $G = 1$, choose $\varepsilon > 0$ and let N be such that $3/N < \varepsilon$. Suppose $r \in G$ and $r_n \leq r < r_{n+1}$ for some $n \geq N+1$. We have by (2.5) and (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{l.m.}(G \cap [1, r]) &\geq \text{l.m.}(G_{n-1} \cap [r_{n-1}, r_n]) + \text{l.m.}(G_n \cap [r_n, r]) \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{n-1}\right) \log r_n - \log r_{n-1} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \log r - \log r_n \\ &= -\frac{1}{n-1} \log r_n - \log r_{n-1} + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \log r \\ &\geq -\frac{2}{n-1} \log r_n + \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \log r \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{3}{n-1}\right) \log r \\ &\geq \left(1 - \frac{3}{N}\right) \log r \\ &\geq (1 - \varepsilon) \log r. \end{aligned}$$

Since ε was arbitrary G has log density one.

Furthermore given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists N such that $1/N < \varepsilon$ and if $r \geq r_N$ we

have by (2.4) and the definition of G that $\theta^*(r) \leq (\pi/\alpha) - \varepsilon$. This implies

$$\overline{\lim}_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in G}} \theta^*(r) \leq \frac{\pi}{\alpha}. \quad (2.7)$$

Since G has log density one, (2.7) and (2.1) imply (2.4). Lemma 2 is now proved.

Proof of Lemma 1. We denote by $\eta_i(r)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$ any nonnegative sequences such that $\eta_i(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Then with G as in Lemma 2, we have

$$\theta^*(r) \leq \frac{\pi}{\alpha - \eta_1(r)} \quad (r \in G). \quad (2.8)$$

Also if $a \in E$ where E is compact in \mathbb{C} and $|a| \geq 1$

$$\text{l.m. } (G \cap [|a|, r]) \geq [1 - \eta_2(r)] \log \frac{r}{|a|} \quad (2.9)$$

uniformly in E .

By (2.8) we have

$$\int_{G \cap [|a|, r]} \frac{\eta_1(t)}{t} dt \leq \eta_3(r) \log \frac{r}{|a|}. \quad (2.10)$$

uniformly in E .

Let D_R be any component of $D \cap \{|\zeta| < R\}$. Pick $z \in D_R$ with $|z| < R/4$ and let $\omega_R(z)$ be the harmonic measure of $\{|\zeta| = R\} \cap \partial D_R$ with respect to z and D_R . Then by an inequality found in [8, p. 116] we have

$$\omega_R(z) \leq 9\sqrt{2} \exp \left\{ -\pi \int_{2|z|}^{R/2} \frac{dt}{t\theta^*(t)} \right\}. \quad (2.11)$$

By (2.8)–(2.11) we have for $z \in E$ compact in \mathbb{C}

$$\omega_R(z) \leq K \left(\frac{|z|}{R} \right)^{\alpha - \eta_4(R)} \quad (2.12)$$

where K is a constant depending only on E .

Let $\phi(r)$ be any convex increasing function of $\log r$ such that

$$\frac{\log \phi(r)}{\log r} \rightarrow \alpha \quad (r \rightarrow \infty) \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\phi(2r) \leq \frac{r^{\alpha - \eta_4(r)}}{(\log r)^2}. \quad (2.14)$$

We now employ a technique similar to the one used in Lemma 1 of [2]. Let D_R be as above. Then there exists a unique function $v_R(z)$ harmonic in D_R , continuous in \bar{D}_R such that for $z \in \partial D_R$

$$v_R(z) = \phi(|z|). \quad (2.15)$$

Let $R_n = 2^n$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and define D_{R_n} as before making sure that $D_{R_{n+1}} \supseteq D_{R_n}$. Let $\omega_{n,\nu}$, $n \geq \nu$ be the harmonic measure in D_{R_n} of the portion of ∂D_{R_n} in $\{|\zeta| \geq R_\nu\}$. Then for all $z \in D_{R_n}$, $|z| \leq R_\nu/4$, we have

$$\omega_{n,\nu}(z) \leq \omega_{R_\nu}(z). \quad (2.16)$$

Choose R_k to be the smallest radius greater than $4|z|$. Then for $z \in D_{R_n} \cap \{|z| \leq R_k/4\}$, $n \geq k$, we have by (2.12), (2.16) the definition of ϕ , and the fact that $|z| \geq R_k/8$,

$$\begin{aligned} v_{R_n}(z) &\leq \phi(R_k) + \sum_{\nu=k}^{n-1} \phi(R_{\nu+1}) \omega_{n,\nu}(z) \\ &\leq \phi(8|z|) + k|z|^\alpha \sum_{\nu=k}^{n-1} \phi(R_{\nu+1}) R_\nu^{-\alpha + \eta_4(R_\nu)} \\ &\leq \phi(8|z|) + k|z|^\alpha \left(1 + \sum_{\nu=k}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(\nu+1)^2} \right) \\ &\leq k_1 |z|^\alpha \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

where $k_1 > 0$ is a constant depending only on the compact set $|z| \leq R_k/4$.

Since ϕ is a convex function of $\log r$, we have that $\phi(|z|) - v_{R_n}(z)$ is subharmonic in D_{R_n} and equal to 0 on ∂D_{R_n} . Thus for $z \in D_{R_n}$ we have

$$v_{R_n}(z) \geq \phi(|z|). \quad (2.18)$$

Also if $m \geq n$ and $z \in D_{R_n}$ we have

$$v_{R_m}(z) \geq v_{R_n}(z). \quad (2.19)$$

By (2.17)–(2.19), v_{R_n} is an increasing sequence of harmonic functions uniformly bounded on compact sets. By Harnack's Theorem $v(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_{R_n}(z)$ is harmonic in D . Thus (2.2) follows easily from (2.13) and (2.18).

3. Proof of Theorem 1 when f has no zeros

We assume first that f has no zeros. Then every level curve of $\log |f| = 1$ extends to ∞ . Thus if D is any component of $\{z : \log |f| > 1\}$, D is simply connected and contains no full circle $|z| = r$ for $r \geq r_0$. Thus we may find a function v harmonic in D satisfying (2.2) for $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$. Now let $z_0 \in D$. We can find $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\log |f(z_0)| - \delta v(z_0) > 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Define $w = \delta v$ and let w^* be the harmonic conjugate of w in D . Then $\phi = e^{w+iw^*}$ is analytic in D with no zeros such that

$$\log |\phi| = w \quad (3.2)$$

satisfies (2.2) (for possibly another $\varepsilon(z)$).

Set $F = f/\phi$ in D . By (2.2), (3.1) and (3.2) $\log F$ has boundary values on ∂D not exceeding 1 and is greater than 1 at $z_0 \in D$. Thus every component \mathcal{F}_R , $R \geq 1$ of $\{z : |F| > R\}$ is nonempty and contained in D .

To construct our path Γ we will use extremal length arguments in each \mathcal{F}_R . We define extremal length as in [1, p. 11]. Let \mathcal{G} be a family of curves. The extremal length $\lambda(\mathcal{G})$ of \mathcal{G} is defined as

$$\lambda(\mathcal{G}) = \sup_{\rho} \frac{L^2(\rho)}{A(\rho)}$$

where

$$L(\rho) = \inf_{\gamma \in \mathcal{G}} \int_{\gamma} \rho |dz|, \quad A(\rho) = \iint_{\mathbb{C}} \rho^2 dx dy$$

and $\rho \geq 0$ ranges over all measurable functions for which $A(\rho) \neq 0, \infty$.

To get the construction started let $R_0 > e$ be such that $F' \neq 0$ when $|F| = R_0$ and take a component $\mathcal{F}_{R_0} \subseteq D$ with $\zeta_0 \in \partial\mathcal{F}_{R_0}$ arbitrarily chosen. It follows from the Cauchy–Riemann equations that $\arg F$ is then monotone on $\partial\mathcal{F}_{R_0}$ so that for some $\eta > 0$ a branch of the function $w = \log F$ maps a neighborhood of an arc of $\partial\mathcal{F}_{R_0}$ containing ζ_0 univalently to a neighborhood of a segment

$$T_0 = \{w = \log R_0 + iv : \psi_0 - \eta \leq v \leq \psi_0 + \eta\}$$

with the arc of $\partial\mathcal{F}_{R_0}$ and the segment T_0 corresponding. By replacing F by F^K where K is a sufficiently large positive integer we may assume that η is arbitrarily large. This modification of F will in no way affect our method and so we assume that $\eta = e$ in the definition of T_0 .

Recall the function $\varepsilon(r)$ in (2.2). Fix $\lambda_0 > 0$ such that

$$(2 + 4e) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(2\pi \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r dr}{[e^j - 1 + \log R_0 + r^{2-\varepsilon(r)}]^{4+2\lambda_0}} \right)^{1/2} \leq 1. \quad (3.4)$$

This is possible since the left side of (3.4) converges for every $\lambda_0 > 0$.

With ψ_0 as chosen, we let Q_0 be the square in the w -plane defined by $Q_0 = \{(s, t_0) : \log R_0 < s < 2e + \log R_0, \psi_0 - e < t_0 < \psi_0 + e\}$. Set $\gamma = \gamma_{t_0} = \{(s, t_0) : \log R_0 \leq s < s'\}$ where t_0 ranges between $\psi_0 - e$ and $\psi_0 + e$ and $s' \leq \log R_0 + 2e$. The point s' is chosen to be $\log R_0 + 2e$ if the inverse $h(w)$ of $\log F$ can be uniquely continued on γ_{t_0} from $\log R_0$ to $\log R_0 + 2e$. Otherwise s' is chosen so that (s', t_0) is the first point on the horizontal segment γ_{t_0} where h cannot be continued uniquely. Since $s' > \log R_0$ and since h cannot tend to $\partial\mathcal{F}_{R_0} \subseteq D$ this can only happen if either there exists a point $z_1 \in \mathcal{F}_{R_0}$ such that $\log F(z_1) = (s', t_0)$ and $F'(z_1) = 0$ or if $h \rightarrow \infty$ as $w \rightarrow (s', t_0)$.

By taking unions over all such horizontal segments and their preimages in the z -plane, we obtain a measurable set $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{R_0}$ which maps 1–1 under $\log F$ to a subset \tilde{Q}_0 of Q_0 . Let \mathcal{G} be the family of *all* horizontal segments in Q_0 connecting both sides of Q_0 . Since Q_0 is a square this implies [1, p. 12] that $\lambda(\mathcal{G}) = 1$. Furthermore since the curves in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ are no “longer” than those in \mathcal{G} , we have in the notation of [1, p. 12] that $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} < \mathcal{G}$ and so $\lambda(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) \leq 1$. Let \tilde{C} be the collection of the images under h of those curves in $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ which extend all the way across Q_0 . Then $\tilde{C} = h(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) - C_1 - C_2$ where C_1 are the curves which run into points where $F' = 0$ and C_2 are the unbounded curves. But the number of curves in C_1 is countable and the curves in C_2 extend to ∞ . Thus it is easy to see [6, Theorems 2.13 and 2.14] that $\lambda(\tilde{C}) = \lambda(h(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}))$. Since $(\log F)' \neq 0$ on $h(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$, it is easy to show that $\lambda(h(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})) = \lambda(\tilde{\mathcal{G}})$. This gives

$$\lambda(\tilde{C}) \leq 1. \quad (3.5)$$

On \tilde{C} we take (in (3.3)) $\rho = \rho_0 = (\log |f|)^{-2-\lambda_0}$ and $\rho = 0$ off \tilde{C} . Clearly $A(\rho) \neq 0$. To show that $A(\rho) \neq \infty$ we have by (2.2), (3.2) and the fact that the union of the \tilde{C} lies in $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{R_0}$

$$\begin{aligned} A(\rho_0) &\leq \iint_{\mathcal{F}} (\log |f|)^{-4-2\lambda_0} r \, dr \, d\theta \\ &\leq \iint_{\mathcal{F}} (\log R_0 + \delta v)^{-4-2\lambda_0} r \, dr \, d\theta \\ &\leq 2\pi \int_0^\infty (\log R_0 + r^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon(r)})^{-4-2\lambda_0} r \, dr \\ &< \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

Let us define for R and λ positive

$$K(R, \lambda) = \left(2\pi \int_0^\infty (R + r^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon(r)})^{-4-2\lambda} r \, dr \right)^{1/2}. \tag{3.7}$$

Thus it follows by (3.3), (3.6) and (3.7) that there exists in \tilde{C} a curve $\tilde{\beta}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{R_0}$ that joins a point $z \in \partial\mathcal{F}_{R_0}$ to $\partial\mathcal{F}_{e^{2e}R_0}$ for some component $\mathcal{F}_{e^{2e}R_0} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{R_0}$ of the set $\{z : |F| > e^{2e}R_0\}$. Furthermore

$$\int_{\tilde{\beta}_0} (\log |f|)^{-2-\lambda_0} |dz| \leq 2K(\log R_0, \lambda_0). \tag{3.8}$$

We let $\tilde{\beta}_0$ correspond to γ_{t_0} in Q_0 . Then a similar procedure is applied to the rectangle $S_0 = \{(s, t) : e + \log R_0 < s < 2e + \log R_0, t_0 < t < t_0 + 2e^2\}$ in the w plane where the bottom of S_0 corresponds to half of $\tilde{\beta}_0$ under a branch h of $(\log F)^{-1}$. Here we consider the family of vertical segments $\gamma = \gamma_{s_0} = \{(s_0, t) : t_0 - e^2 < t < t_0 + e^2\}$ in S_0 . As before we obtain a family $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ whose union is mapped 1-1 onto a set $\xi \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{e^e R_0}$. Since S_0 is a rectangle of length $2e^2$ and width e we obtain with \tilde{C} as before

$$\lambda(\tilde{C}) = \lambda(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}) \leq \lambda(\mathcal{G}) = \frac{2e^2}{e} = 2e.$$

So in \mathcal{E} we again get a curve $\tilde{\alpha}_0$ whose image γ_{s_0} under $\log F$ is a vertical segment joining the two sides of S_0 and

$$\int_{\tilde{\alpha}_0} (\log |f|)^{-2-\lambda_0} |dz| \leq 4eK(\log R_0, \lambda_0). \tag{3.9}$$

We now cut $\tilde{\beta}_0$ off where it joins $\tilde{\alpha}_0$ at $\log R_1 (\geq \log R_0 + e)$ and obtain the first piece $\beta_0 \subseteq \tilde{\beta}_0$ of our curve Γ . With λ_0 still fixed we continue with the square

$$Q_1 = \{(s, t) : \log R_1 < s < 2e^2 + \log R_1, t_0 < t < t_0 + 2e^2\}$$

and obtain a curve $\tilde{\beta}_1$ on which $F' \neq 0$ joining $\tilde{\alpha}_0$ to the boundary of a component $\mathcal{F}_{e^{2e^2}R_1} \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{R_1}$ of the set $\{z : |F| > e^{2e^2}R_1\}$. Then (3.6) becomes

$$\int_{\tilde{\beta}_1} (\log |f|)^{-2-\lambda_0} |dz| \leq 2K(\log R_1, \lambda_0).$$

We now cut $\tilde{\alpha}_0$ off where it joins $\tilde{\beta}_1$ and obtain the second piece α_0 of Γ . Let $\tilde{\beta}_1$ correspond to γ_{t_1} in Q_1 and define the rectangle

$$S_1 = \{(s, t) : e^2 + \log R_1 < s < 2e^2 + \log R_1, t_1 < t < t_1 + 2e^3\}.$$

Again we find that the extremal length of the vertical lines joining the two sides of S_1 is $2e$. So we again obtain a curve $\tilde{\alpha}_1$ such that

$$\int_{\tilde{\alpha}_1} (\log |f|)^{-2-\lambda_0} |dz| \leq 4eK(\log R_1, \lambda_0).$$

This process is continued yielding a curve $\beta_0 \cup \alpha_0 \cup \beta_1 \cup \alpha_1 \cup \cdots \cup \beta_n \cup \tilde{\alpha}_n$ extending from $\partial\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{R_0}$ to the boundary of a component \mathcal{F}_{R_n} where

$$\log R_n \geq e^n - 1 + \log R_0 \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.10)$$

Our construction yields

$$\int_{\tilde{\beta}_i} (\log |f|)^{-2-\lambda_0} |dz| \leq 2K(\log R_i, \lambda_0)$$

and

$$\int_{\tilde{\alpha}_i} (\log |f|)^{-2-\lambda_0} |dz| \leq 4eK(\log R_i, \lambda_0).$$

Adding these contributions and taking into account (3.4), (3.7) and (3.10) we

obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\beta_0 \cup \alpha_0 \cup \dots \cup \beta_n \cup \tilde{\alpha}_n} (\log |f|)^{-2-\lambda_0} |dz| &\leq (2+4e) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} K(\log R_j, \lambda_0) \\ &\leq (2+4e) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} K(e^j - 1 + \log R_0, \lambda_0) \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

independent of n . We keep λ_0 fixed until N is so large that

$$(2+4e) \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(2\pi \int_0^{\infty} \frac{r dr}{(e^j - 1 + \log R_N + r^{1/2-\varepsilon(r)})^{4+\lambda_0}} \right)^{1/2} \leq \frac{1}{2}. \quad (3.11)$$

At this point we change λ_0 to $\lambda_0/2$ with (3.11) playing the role of (3.4). We then continue from the arc $\tilde{\alpha}_n$ where $|F| = R_N$ in place of the original arc γ_0 on $|F| = R_0$. In the general case we obtain a sequence

$$0 = N_0 < N_1 < \dots < N_j$$

such that

$$\log R_{N_j} \geq e^{N_j - N_{j-1}} + \log R_{N_{j-1}} \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.12)$$

The N_j are chosen such that

$$(4+2e) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K(e^n - 1 + \log R_{N_j}, \lambda_0/(j+1)) \leq 2^{-j} \quad (3.13)$$

with $\beta_{N_j} \cup \alpha_{N_j} \cup \dots \cup \beta_{N_{j+1}} \cup \tilde{\alpha}_{N_{j+1}}$ extending from $\partial \mathcal{F}_{R_{N_j}}$ to $\partial \mathcal{F}_{R_{N_{j+1}}}$ and satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\beta_{N_j} \cup \alpha_{N_j} \cup \dots \cup \beta_{N_{j+1}} \cup \alpha_{N_{j+1}}} (\log |f|)^{-2-\lambda_0/(j+1)} |dz| \\ \leq (4+2e) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K(e^n - 1 + \log R_{N_j}, \lambda_0/(j+1)) \end{aligned}$$

Let $\Gamma = \beta_0 \cup \alpha_0 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k \cup \alpha_k \cup \dots$. Then since $\log |f| > 1$ in D and hence on Γ we have

$$\int_{\Gamma} (\log |f|)^{-2-\lambda} |dz| < \int_{\Gamma} (\log |f|)^{-2-\lambda'} |dz|$$

if $\lambda > \lambda'$. Thus it follows from (3.13) and (3.14) that Γ satisfies (1.6) for all $\lambda > 0$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1—general case

When f has zeros the proof in §3 must be modified slightly. First of all by hypothesis there exists a component D of $\{z : \log |f(z)| > \log K\}$ such that $\theta^*(r) \leq 2\pi$ for $r \geq r_0$, where we can assume $K > e$. Thus we can still find v satisfying (2.2) and (3.1). Since D is not necessarily simply connected, we can only define a local conjugate of $w = \delta v$ and so our function F is now multivalued. However $|F|$ and $\log |F|$ are single valued and subharmonic in \mathbb{C} . Thus we see that \mathcal{F}_R is again nonempty for all $R \geq K$.

We then proceed as before taking γ_0 to be a level curve of $|F| = R_0$ extending to infinity, where $F' \neq 0$ and find a curve $\tilde{\beta}_0$. We remark that $\tilde{\beta}_0$ never intersects a level curve $|F| = R$, $R_0 \leq R \leq R_0 + 2e$ which forms a loop. In fact inside such a loop $|F| < R$ so if β_0^* is the portion of $\tilde{\beta}_0$ joining R_0 to R , β_0^* must pass through some point z_0 where $|F(z_0)| > R$. This is impossible since β_0^* is the image under h of the horizontal segment beginning at $\log R_0$ and ending at $\log R$. Hence we can find an $\tilde{\alpha}_0$ as before. We now continue as in §3.

5. Proof of Theorem 2

To prove Theorem 2 we need the following.

LEMMA 3. *Let f be entire of order $\frac{1}{2} < \rho < \infty$. If D is any component of $\{z : |f(z)| > K\}$, $K > e$ then*

$$\sup_G \lim_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in G}} \theta^*(r) \geq \frac{\pi}{\rho} \quad (5.1)$$

where the sup is taken over all sets G of log density one.

Proof. Suppose on the contrary that the left side of (5.1) equals π/ρ_1 , $\rho_1 > \rho$. As in Lemma 2 we may find a set G of log density one where the sup on the left side of (5.1) is attained. Thus for $r \geq r_0$, $r \in G$

$$\theta^*(r) \leq \frac{\pi}{\rho_2} \quad (\rho_1 \geq \rho_2 > \rho). \quad (5.2)$$

Let $z \in D$ and choose R such that $|z| < R/4$. With the notation of (2.11) we

have

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega_R(z) &\leq 9\sqrt{2} \exp \left\{ -\rho_2 \int_{G \cap [2|z|, R/2]} \frac{dt}{t} \right\} \\
&\leq 9\sqrt{2} \exp \left\{ -\rho_2(1 - \varepsilon_m) \log \left(\frac{R}{|z|} \right) \right\} \\
&= 9\sqrt{2} \left(\frac{|z|}{R} \right)^{\rho_2(1 - \varepsilon_m)}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

where (since $\log \text{dens } G = 1$) $\varepsilon_m \rightarrow 0$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus by (2.12) we have for fixed $z \in D_R$

$$\begin{aligned}
1 \leq \log |f(z)| &\leq \log K + \log M(R, f) \omega_R(z) \\
&\leq K_1 \log M(R, f) \left(\frac{|z|}{R} \right)^{\rho_2(1 - \varepsilon_m)}
\end{aligned}$$

where $K_1 > 0$ is constant. Then

$$\log M(R, f) \geq \frac{1}{K_1} \left(\frac{R}{|z|} \right)^{\rho_2(1 - \varepsilon_m)}.$$

Since z is fixed this implies that f has order at least $\rho_2 > \rho$, a contradiction. Thus (5.1) holds and Lemma 3 is true.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let D_1 be a component of $\{|f| > K\}$ and suppose

$$\inf_G \overline{\lim}_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in G}} \theta_1^*(r) = \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \quad \left(\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < \infty \right) \tag{5.4}$$

where the inf is taken over all sets G , $\log \text{dens } G = 1$ and θ_1^* corresponds to θ^* for D_1 . Since there exists another component D_2 of $\{|f| > K\}$, (5.4) implies

$$\sup_G \overline{\lim}_{\substack{r \rightarrow \infty \\ r \in G}} \theta_2^*(r) \leq 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{\alpha} \tag{5.5}$$

where θ_2^* corresponds to θ^* for D_2 .

By Lemma 3 we must have

$$\frac{\pi}{\rho} \leq 2\pi - \frac{\pi}{\alpha}$$

or

$$\alpha \geq \frac{\rho}{2\rho - 1}. \quad (5.6)$$

By Lemma 1, (5.4) and (5.6) we may find a function v harmonic in D_1 such that for all $z \in D_1$

$$v(z) \geq |z|^{[\rho/(2\rho-1)] - \varepsilon(|z|)} \quad (0 \leq \varepsilon(|z|) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } |z| \rightarrow \infty). \quad (5.7)$$

We now define ϕ and F as in the proof of Theorem 1. The proof of Theorem 2 now follows in the same way as that of Theorem 1 using $\rho/(2\rho-1)$ instead of $\frac{1}{2}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. AHLFORS, *Lectures on quasiconformal mappings*, Van Nostrand, 1966.
- [2] K. BARTH, D. BRANNAN and W. K. HAYMAN, *The growth of harmonic functions along an asymptotic path*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. 37 (1978) 363–384.
- [3] A. EREMENKO, *Growth of entire and subharmonic functions on asymptotic curves*, Sibirsk Mat. Z. 21 (1980) 39–51, Eng Trans: Siberian Math. J. (1981) 673–683.
- [4] A. HUBER, *On subharmonic functions and differential geometry in the large*, Comment. Math. Helv. 32 (1957) 13–72.
- [5] J. LEWIS, J. ROSSI and A. WEITSMAN, *On the growth of subharmonic functions along paths*, Ark. Mat. 22 (1984) 109–119.
- [6] M. OHTSUKA, *Dirichlet Problem, Extremal length and Prime Ends*, Van Nostrand, 1970.
- [7] J. ROSSI, *The length of asymptotic paths of harmonic functions*, J. London Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [8] M. TSUJI, *Potential theory in modern function theory*, Maruzen, 1959.

Dept. of Mathematics
Virginia Polytechnic Institute
Blacksburg, Va 24061 U.S.A.

Dept. of Mathematics
Purdue University,
W. Lafayette, In, U.S.A.

Received October 28, 1983