

# Relative cyclic homology and the Bass conjecture.

Autor(en): **Schafer, James A.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **67 (1992)**

PDF erstellt am: **17.08.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51092>

## **Nutzungsbedingungen**

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

## **Haftungsausschluss**

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

## Relative cyclic homology and the Bass conjecture

JAMES A. SCHAFER

### 0. Introduction

Let  $A$  be an arbitrary ring with unit. If  $P$  is a finitely generated projective  $A$ -module one would like to associate to  $P$  a rank function generalizing the function which assigns to the free  $A$ -module  $A^n$  the integer  $n$ . Since in the commutative case  $n$  is the trace of the identity endomorphism of  $A^n$ , one wishes to define a trace for endomorphisms of finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules in the case of non-commutative  $A$ . This was achieved independently by Hattori and Stallings [6, 11]. Unfortunately in order for the “trace” to have the natural property of a trace function i.e., for the trace of  $ab$  to be equal to the trace of  $ba$ , one is forced to have the trace take values not in  $A$  but in  $A/[A, A]$  where  $[A, A]$  is the subgroup of  $A$  generated by all commutators  $ab-ba$ . The resulting trace function  $\text{tr}_P : \text{End}_A(P) \rightarrow A/[A, A]$  has many of the properties of the trace function in the commutative case, including additivity, commutativity, and linearity. For details, see [2]. We note in particular,

1. *Functoriality*: If  $\alpha : A \rightarrow B$ , then  $\alpha$  induces a map

$$\alpha : A/[A, A] \rightarrow B/[B, B]$$

and if  $u \in \text{End}_A(P)$  then  $\text{tr}_{P \otimes_A B}(u \otimes id) = \alpha_*(\text{tr}_P(u))$ .

2. *Linearity*: Suppose  $P = P_1 \oplus P_2$  and  $u \in \text{End}_A(P)$  restricts to  $u_1 \in \text{End}_A(P_1)$  and to  $u_2 \in \text{End}_A(P_2)$  then

$$\text{tr}_P(u) = \text{tr}_{P_1}(u_1) + \text{tr}_{P_2}(u_2).$$

This last property allows one to note that if the  $P$  is a finitely generated projective  $A$ -module and one defines the rank  $r_P$  of  $P$  to be  $\text{tr}_P(id_P)$ , then if  $P$  is a direct summand of the free  $A$ -module  $F$  and  $e : F \rightarrow F$  is the idempotent defining  $P$ , that is  $P = e(F)$ , then  $r_P = \text{tr}_F(e)$ . Also since  $e \in M_d(A)$  for some  $d$  and the matrix defining  $e$  only involves finitely many elements of  $A$ , we see

from property 1 there exists a finitely generated subring  $A'$  of  $A$  and a finitely generated projective  $A'$ -module so that  $r_p = \alpha_*(r_{p'})$ , where  $\alpha$  is the natural map of  $A'$  into  $A$ .

If  $R$  is any commutative ring and  $G$  an arbitrary group, then it is easy to see for the group ring  $R(G)$ ,  $T(G) = RG/[RG, RG]$  is the free  $R$ -module with one generator for each conjugacy class of  $G$ . (For  $\hat{g}, h \in G$ ,  $gh - hg = h^{-1}g'h - g'$  where  $g = h^{-1}g'$ ). One denotes the component of  $r_p$  on the conjugacy class  $s$  by  $r_p(s)$ . The *Bass Conjecture* [3] is then as follows:

*Let  $G$  be an arbitrary group and  $R$  any subring of the complex numbers  $\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $D = \{d \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \exists a \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ with } a/d \in \mathbb{Q} \cap R\}$ . If  $1 \neq s \in G$  is such that  $\text{order}(s) \notin D$ , then  $r_p(s) = 0$  for any finitely generated projective  $RG$ -module  $P$ .*

This paper is concerned with showing how relative cyclic homology can be used to obtain results on this conjecture. In the first section we describe the relative cyclic homology of a pair of  $k$ -algebras  $(A, S)$  as defined by L. Kadison [7]. If  $S = k1_A$ , this is nothing more than ordinary cyclic homology of  $A$ . The second and third sections are concerned with the case  $(A, S) = (kG, kH)$  where  $k$  is a field of characteristic zero and  $H$  is a normal subgroup of the group  $G$ . Here we generalize to the case  $HC_*(kG, kH)$  a result of Burghelea [4] calculating  $HC_*(kG)$ . We are much indebted to Marciniak's [9] algebraic proof of this result of Burghelea. Finally we use this calculation to obtain results on the Bass conjecture. In particular we show

**THEOREM.** *If  $s$  has an infinite order in  $G/G_n$  where  $G_n$  is the  $n$ th term of the lower central series for  $G$ , then  $r_p(s) = 0$  for any finitely generated projective  $QG$ -module  $P$ .*

## 1. Relative tensor products and relative cyclic homology

Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra and  $S$  a subalgebra containing  $k \cdot 1_A$ . The  $n$ -fold circular tensor product of  $A$  over  $S$ ,  $\hat{\otimes}_S^n A$  or  $A \hat{\otimes}_S A \cdots \hat{\otimes}_S A$  ( $n$  factors), is defined to be the ordinary  $n$ -fold tensor product  $\hat{\otimes}_S^n A$  modulo the  $k$ -submodule generated by  $\{(sa_0) \otimes \cdots \otimes a_n - a_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes (a_n s)\}$  for all  $s \in S$  and  $a_i \in A$ . This of course can be more generally defined for  $S$  bimodules and seen in the case  $n = 2$  is easily seen to be the ordinary tensor product over  $S \otimes_k S^{op}$ . Note the 1-fold tensor product of  $A$  over  $S$  is nothing more than  $A/[A, S]$ . For details see [7].

Given a  $k$ -algebra  $A$  and a subalgebra  $S$  containing  $k \cdot 1_A$  define a cyclic set  $Z_*(A, S)$  as follows.  $Z_n(A, S) = \hat{\otimes}_S^n A$  and the maps are

$$\begin{aligned}
 d_i(a_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} a_n) &= a_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} a_i \hat{\otimes} a_i a_{i+1} \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} a_n, & 0 \leq i < n, \\
 &= a_n a_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} a_{n-1}, & i = n, \\
 s_i(a_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} a_n) &= a_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} a_i \hat{\otimes} 1 \hat{\otimes} a_{i+1} \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} a_n, & 0 \leq i \leq n,
 \end{aligned}$$

and  $t_n(a_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} a_n) = a_n \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} a_0$ .

The first two maps clearly form a simplicial  $k$ -module for  $Z_*(A, S)$  and the proof the addition of  $t_n$  gives a cyclic set is exactly as in the non-relative case if one recalls the tensor product is a circular tensor product.

One now defines the cyclic homology of the pair  $(A, S)$  in the usual way when one has a cyclic set, i.e., one forms the Tsygan double complex from the cyclic set and then defines cyclic homology as the homology of this double complex. Recall the Tsygan complex  $W_{*,*}$  is formed as follows. Let  $T_p = (-1)t_p$ ,  $W_{p,q} = Z_p$ ,  $p, q \geq 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
 d'_{p,q} &= \sum_{i=0}^q (-1)^i d_i : Z_q \rightarrow Z_{q-1}, & p \text{ even,} \\
 d'_{p,q} &= \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} (-1)^i d_i : Z_q \rightarrow Z_{q-1}, & p \text{ odd,} \\
 d''_{p,q} &= 1 - T_p : Z_p \rightarrow Z_p, & q \text{ odd,} \\
 d''_{p,q} &= 1 + T_p + T_p^2 + \cdots + T_p^p : Z_p \rightarrow Z_p, & q \text{ even.}
 \end{aligned}$$

The odd columns are acyclic and the even columns give the Hochschild homology of the complex. Note that everything is functorial in the pair  $(A, S)$ .

## 2. The complex $Z_*(kG, kH)$

Let  $G$  be a group and  $H$  be an arbitrary subgroup. Let  $H$  act on  $G$  by conjugation ( ${}^\rho g = \rho^{-1}g\rho$ ) and let  $(G)_H$  be the orbit space. Denote by  $\Lambda_H$  the free  $k$ -module with one generator for each element of  $(G)_H$  i.e., for every  $H$ -conjugacy class of  $G$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Suppose  $H$  is normal in  $G$ , then  $G/H$  acts (on the right) of  $\Lambda_H$  by conjugation.*

*Proof.* If  $g \equiv_H g'$  i.e.  $g' = h^{-1}gh$  for some  $h \in H$  then  ${}^\rho g' \equiv_H {}^\rho g$  since by normality  $h\rho = \rho h'$ ,  $h' \in H$  and so

$${}^\rho g' = (h')^{-1} \rho^{-1} g \rho h' = (h')^{-1} {}^\rho g h'.$$

Therefore  $G$  acts on  $\Lambda_H$  and clearly  $H$  acts trivially. □

We will continue with the assumption that  $H$  is normal in  $G$ . For  $g \in G$ , let  $\bar{g}$  denote the image of  $g$  in  $\Lambda_H$  and  $\tilde{g}$  denote the image of  $g$  in  $G/H$ .

Let  $S_*(G/H)$  denote the homogeneous Bar construction for  $G/H$ . Define a simplicial set,  $S_*(kG, kH)$  as  $S_n(kG, kH) = \Lambda_H \otimes_{G/H} S_n(G/H)$ ,

$$d_i(\bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)) = \bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \hat{\tilde{x}}_i, \dots, \tilde{x}_n), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$s_i(\bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)) = \bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_i, \tilde{x}_i, \dots, \tilde{x}_n), \quad 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

Define a map  $\tau_n : S_n(kG, kH) \rightarrow S_n(kG, kH)$  by

$$\tau_n(\bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)) = \bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{g}^{-1}\tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_{n-1}), \quad n \geq 0.$$

**PROPOSITION 2.** *With respect to the above maps,  $S_*(kG, kH)$  forms a cyclic  $k$ -module.*

*Proof.* It is immediate that these maps form a cyclic  $k$ -module as soon as one sees that  $\tau_n$  is well-defined. As for this it is clear that changing  $x_i$  in its coset modulo  $H$  affects nothing, while if  $\bar{g} = \bar{g}'$ , then there exists  $h \in H$  with  $g' = h^{-1}gh$ , and hence  $g' = h^{-1}gh = h^{-1}(ghg^{-1})g = h'g$ . Therefore  $\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}'$  in  $G/H$ .  $\square$

Define a map

$$\alpha_n : \Lambda_H \otimes_{G/H} S_n(G/H) \rightarrow Z_n(kG, kH)$$

as follows.

$$\alpha_0(\bar{g} \otimes_{G/H} (\tilde{x}_0)) = x_0^{-1}gx_0 \text{ modulo } [kG, kH],$$

$$\alpha_n(\bar{g} \otimes_{G/H} (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)) = x_n^{-1}gx_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1}x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1}x_n.$$

**THEOREM 1.**  $\alpha_*$  is an isomorphism of cyclic  $k$ -modules.

*Proof.* (i)  $\alpha_*$  is well defined.

(a)  $\alpha_*$  is independent of the  $H$ -conjugacy class of  $g$ . Let  $g' = h^{-1}gh$ .

For  $\alpha_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_0^{-1}g'x_0 &= x_0^{-1}h^{-1}ghx_0 \\ &= x_0^{-1}h^{-1}x_0x_0^{-1}gx_0x_0^{-1}hx_0 \\ &= x_0^{-1}gx_0(x_0^{-1}hx_0)x_0^{-1}h^{-1}x_0 \text{ mod } [kG, kH] \\ &= x_0^{-1}gx_0 \text{ mod } [kG, kH]. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\alpha_n, n \geq 1$ , recall the right hand side in the definition for  $\alpha_n$  is the circular tensor product of  $kG$  over  $kH$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_n^{-1} g' x_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n \\
&= x_n^{-1} h^{-1} g h x_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n \\
&= x_n^{-1} h^{-1} x_n (x_n^{-1} g x_0) x_0^{-1} h x_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n \\
&= (x_n^{-1} g x_0) (x_0^{-1} h x_0) \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n (x_n^{-1} h^{-1} x_n) \\
&= (x_n^{-1} g x_0) (x_0^{-1} h x_0) \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-2}^{-1} x_{n-1} x_{n-1}^{-1} h^{-1} x_{n-1} \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n \\
&= (x_n^{-1} g x_0) (x_0^{-1} h x_0) (x_0^{-1} h^{-1} x_0) \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n \\
&= x_n^{-1} g x_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n.
\end{aligned}$$

(b)  $\alpha_*$  is independent of the coset representatives of  $G/H$ . For  $\alpha_0$ , if  $x'_0 = h x_0$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
x'_0{}^{-1} g x'_0 &= x_0^{-1} h^{-1} g h x_0 \\
&= x_0^{-1} h^{-1} x_0 x_0^{-1} g x_0 x_0^{-1} h x_0 \\
&= x_0^{-1} g x_0 \text{ mod } [kG, kH].
\end{aligned}$$

For  $\alpha_n, n \geq 1$  if  $x'_i = h x_i, i = 0, \dots, n-1$  then

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_n^{-1} g x_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{i-1}^{-1} h x_i \hat{\otimes} x_i^{-1} h^{-1} x_{i+1} \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n \\
&= x_n^{-1} g x_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{i-1}^{-1} h x_i x_i^{-1} h^{-1} x_i \hat{\otimes} x_i^{-1} x_{i+1} \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n
\end{aligned}$$

with an obvious modification for  $i = 0$ . For  $i = n$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& x_n^{-1} h^{-1} g x_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} h x_n \\
&= x_n^{-1} h^{-1} g x_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n (x_n^{-1} h x_n) \\
&= (x_n^{-1} h x_n) x_n^{-1} h^{-1} g x_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n \\
&= x_n^{-1} g x_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n.
\end{aligned}$$

(c) The map is linear in both variables and since

$$(\bar{g}\rho, (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)) \rightarrow x_n^{-1} \rho^{-1} g \rho x_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n$$

as does  $(\bar{g}, \rho(\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n))$  we obtain a well defined  $k$ -linear map

$$\alpha_* : \Lambda_H \otimes_{G/H} S_*(G/H) \rightarrow Z_*(kG, kH).$$

(ii) To show  $\alpha_*$  is an isomorphism of  $k$ -modules one constructs an inverse as follows. Define a map

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_* : Z_*(kG, kH) &\rightarrow \Lambda_H \otimes_{G/H} S_*(G/H) \\ \text{by } \beta_0(g \bmod [kG, kH]) &= \bar{g} \otimes_{G/H} (\tilde{I}) \quad \text{for } g \in G, \\ \beta_n(y_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} y_n) &= \overline{y_1 \cdots y_n y_0} \otimes_{G/H} (\tilde{I}, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_1 \tilde{y}_2, \dots, \tilde{y}_1 \cdots \tilde{y}_n), \quad n \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

This is well defined. For  $\beta_0$  because  $gh - hg \rightarrow (\overline{gh} - \overline{hg}) \otimes (\tilde{I}) = \overline{gh} \otimes (\tilde{I}) - \overline{hg} \otimes (\tilde{I}) = 0$  since  $gh \equiv_H hg$ . For  $\beta_n$ , let  $y_i$  be replaced by  $hy_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, n$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n(y_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} hy_i \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} y_n) &= \\ \overline{y_1 \cdots (hy_i) \cdots y_n y_0} \otimes_{G/H} (\tilde{I}, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_1 \tilde{y}_2, \dots, \tilde{y}_1 \cdots \tilde{h}\tilde{y}_i, \dots, \tilde{y}_1 \cdots \tilde{h}\tilde{y}_i \cdots \tilde{y}_n), \\ \beta_n(y_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} y_{i-1} h \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} y_n) &= \\ \overline{y_1 \cdots (y_{i-1} h) \cdots y_n y_0} \otimes_{G/H} (\tilde{I}, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_1 \tilde{y}_2, \dots, \tilde{y}_1 \cdots \tilde{y}_{i-1} \tilde{h} \cdots, \dots, \tilde{y}_1 \cdots \tilde{y}_n). \end{aligned}$$

The terms to the right of the tensor product sign are equal since these are elements of the coset space  $G/H$  and not  $G$ , while the terms to the left of the tensor product are equal for  $i \neq 1$  by associativity of the product in  $G$  and for  $i = 1$  since  $hy_1 \cdots y_0 \equiv_H y_1 \cdots y_0 h$ .

Both compositions are the identity. For  $n = 0$ ,  $\beta_0 \alpha_0(\bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{x}_0)) = \beta_0(x_0^{-1} g x_0 \bmod [kG, kH]) = x_0^{-1} g x_0 \otimes (\tilde{I}) = \bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{x}_0)$ , while  $\alpha_0 \beta_0(g \bmod [kG, kH]) = \alpha_0(\bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{I})) = g \bmod [kG, kH]$ . For  $n > 0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_n \alpha_n(\bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)) &= \beta_n(x_n^{-1} g x_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n) \\ &= \overline{x_0^{-1} g x_0} \otimes (\tilde{I}, \tilde{x}_0^{-1} \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_0^{-1} \tilde{x}_2, \dots, \tilde{x}_0^{-1} \tilde{x}_n) \\ &= \bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n \beta_n(y_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} y_n) &= \alpha_n(\overline{y_1 \cdots y_n y_0} \otimes_{G/H} (\tilde{I}, \tilde{y}_1, \tilde{y}_1 \tilde{y}_2, \dots, \tilde{y}_1 \cdots \tilde{y}_n)) \\ &= (y_1 \cdots y_n)^{-1} y_1 \cdots y_n y_0 \hat{\otimes} y_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} y_n \\ &= y_0 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} y_n. \end{aligned}$$

(iii)  $\alpha_*$  is an isomorphism of cyclic  $k$ -modules. The calculation that  $\alpha_*$  commutes with  $d_i$  and  $s_i$  is immediate as is the calculation that  $\alpha_*$  commutes with  $t_n$  which we give anyway.

$$\begin{aligned} t_n \alpha_n(\bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)) &= t_n(x_n^{-1} g x_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n) \\ &= x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n \hat{\otimes} x_n^{-1} g x_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-2}^{-1} x_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n \tau_n(\bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)) &= \alpha_n(\bar{g} \otimes (\tilde{g}^{-1} \tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_{n-1})) \\ &= x_{n-1}^{-1} x_n \hat{\otimes} x_n^{-1} g x_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1} x_1 \hat{\otimes} \cdots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-2}^{-1} x_{n-1}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

$S_*(kG, kH)$  is clearly functorial in mappings of pairs of groups  $(G, H)$  with  $H$  normal in  $G$  and it is obvious  $\alpha_*$  is a natural equivalence of the functors  $S_*(kG, kH)$  and  $Z_*(kG, kH)$ .

### 3. The cyclic homology of the complex $S_*(kG, kH)$

In this section we more or less follow Marciniak's algebraic proof [9] of Burghlelea's calculation [4] of the cyclic homology of  $kG$  keeping track of the modifications demanded in the relative case.

Let  $T(G)$  denote the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of  $G$ . For  $c \in T(G)$ , let  $\Lambda_c$  denote the  $k$ -submodule of  $\Lambda_H$  generated by  $[g]$  for  $g \in c$ . It is obvious  $\Lambda_c$  is a  $G/H$  submodule of  $\Lambda_H$  and  $\Lambda_H \cong \Sigma \Lambda_c$  as  $k(G/H)$ -modules. Moreover it is clear from the definitions that  $d_i, s_i$  and  $\tau_n$  respect this decomposition, i.e., as cyclic  $k$ -modules

$$S_*(kG, kH) \cong \sum_{T(G)} \Lambda_c \hat{\otimes}_{G/H} S_*(G/H)$$

where the maps defining the cyclic structure on the right hand side of the equation are given by the same formulas as on the left. Again this isomorphism is functorial in the pair  $(G, H)$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be an arbitrary group and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  a central element. Define a cyclic  $k$ -module,  $\mathcal{L}_*(\Gamma, \gamma)$  by  $\mathcal{L}_n(\Gamma, \gamma) = k \otimes_{\Gamma} S_n(\Gamma)$  with  $d_i$  and  $s_i$  induced from  $S_*(\Gamma)$  and

$$\tau_n(1 \otimes (\gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n)) = 1 \otimes (\gamma^{-1} \gamma_n, \gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{n-1}).$$

The proof this is a cyclic  $k$ -module is immediate and clearly this construction is functorial in pairs  $(\Gamma, \gamma)$  with  $\gamma$  central in  $\Gamma$ .



For each  $c \in T(G)$  choose  $z \in c$  and let  $\text{Stab}(\bar{z})$  denote the isotropy group of  $\bar{z} \in (G)_H$  contained in  $\tilde{G} = G/H$ . Since there is a bijection of the right coset space  $\text{Stab}(\bar{z}) \backslash \tilde{G} \rightarrow c$  induced by  $\tilde{g} \rightarrow \bar{z}^g$ , it follows immediately that this map induces an isomorphism

$$k(\text{Stab}(\bar{z}) \backslash \tilde{G}) \rightarrow \Lambda_c$$

of right  $G/H$ -modules. Since  $k \hat{\otimes}_{\text{Stab}(z)} k(\tilde{G}) \cong k(\text{Stab}(\bar{z}) \backslash \tilde{G})$  as right  $\tilde{G}$ -modules via the map  $1 \otimes \tilde{g} \rightarrow (\text{Stab}(\bar{z}))\tilde{g}$ , we obtain for each  $n$  an isomorphism

$$(k \otimes_{\text{Stab}(z)} k(G/H)) \otimes_{G/H} S_n(G/H) \rightarrow \Lambda_c \otimes_{G/H} S_n(G/H).$$

Since the left hand side is isomorphic to  $k \hat{\otimes}_{\text{Stab}(z)} S_n(G/H)$  we have an isomorphism of  $k$ -modules

$$k \otimes_{\text{Stab}(z)} S_n(G/H) \rightarrow \Lambda_c \otimes_{G/H} S_n(G/H)$$

given by  $1 \otimes_{\text{Stab}(z)} (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n) \rightarrow \bar{z} \otimes_{G/H} (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)$ . If one defines a cyclic structure on  $k \otimes_{\text{Stab}(z)} S_n(G/H)$  by inducing the simplicial structure from  $S_n(G/H)$  and the cyclic map being defined to be

$$\tau_n(1 \otimes_{\text{Stab}(z)} (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n)) = 1 \otimes (\tilde{z}^{-1} \tilde{x}_n, \tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_{n-1}),$$

one sees immediately that the above map is an isomorphism of cyclic  $k$ -modules. Consider the map of cyclic  $k$ -modules

$$\rho : \mathcal{Z}_n(\text{Stab}(\bar{z}), \tilde{z}) = k \otimes_{\text{Stab}(z)} S_n(\text{Stab}(\bar{z})) \rightarrow k \otimes_{\text{Stab}(z)} S_n(G/H)$$

induced by the inclusion of  $\text{Stab}(\bar{z}) \rightarrow G/H$ . We wish to show  $\rho$  induces an isomorphism on cyclic homology and this will follow from the following observation.

*Observation.* One knows that if one has a map of filtered differential complexes which includes an isomorphism on any level of the associated spectral sequences then it induces an isomorphism on homology. In particular by applying this remark to the vertical filtration on the associated Tsygan complexes of two cyclic sets one concludes that a map of cyclic sets inducing an isomorphism in Hochschild homology induces an isomorphism in cyclic homology. (This follows immediately from the natural Connes sequence relating Hochschild and cyclic homology. However it is more useful in this follow as one can apply it also to the associated horizontal filtration of the Tsygan complex as in [9].)

**PROPOSITION 1.**  $\rho : \mathcal{L}_n(\text{Stab}(\bar{z}), \tilde{z}) \rightarrow k \otimes_{\text{Stab}(z)} S_n(G/H)$  induces an isomorphism in cyclic homology.

*Proof.* Since both  $S_*(\text{Stab}(\bar{z}))$  and  $S_*(G/H)$  are  $k(\text{Stab}(\bar{z}))$ -projective resolutions of  $k$ , the Hochschild homology of both sides is  $H_*(\text{Stab}(\bar{z}), k)$ , and  $\rho$  induces an isomorphism on Hochschild homology since the inclusion map induces a chain lift of the  $id_k$ . □

**PROPOSITION 2.** Let  $z \in G$ , then  $\text{Stab}(\bar{z}) = C_G(z)H/H \subseteq G/H$ , where  $C_G(z)$  denotes the centralizer of  $z$  in  $G$ .

*Proof.* Immediate. □

Combining the above maps we obtain the following. Let  $\{z\}$  be a set of representatives of the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of  $G$ . For each  $z \in \{z\}$  we have a map of cyclic  $k$ -modules

$$\rho_z : \mathcal{L}_*(C_G(z)H/H, Hz) \rightarrow Z_*(kG, kH)$$

given by  $1 \otimes (\tilde{x}_0, \dots, \tilde{x}_n) \rightarrow x_n^{-1}zx_0 \hat{\otimes} x_0^{-1}x_1 \hat{\otimes} \dots \hat{\otimes} x_{n-1}^{-1}x_n$ .

The above results give

**PROPOSITION 3.** Let  $H$  be normal in  $G$ , then the map

$$\bigoplus \rho_z : \sum \mathcal{L}_*(C_G(z)H/H, Hz) \rightarrow Z_*(kG, kH)$$

induces an isomorphism on cyclic homology.

*Remarks on functoriality.* It is clear that if  $f : (G, H) \rightarrow (G', H')$ , then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}_*(C_G(z)H/H, Hz) & \xrightarrow{\rho_z} & Z_*(kG, kH) \\ \downarrow \tilde{f} & & \downarrow f \\ \mathcal{L}_*(C_G(fz)H'/H, H'fz) & \xrightarrow{\rho_{fz}} & Z_*(kG', kH') \end{array} \text{ commutes,}$$

where the map  $f$  naturally induces both a map  $\tilde{f} : G/H \rightarrow G'/H'$  and a map  $\tilde{f} : (G)_H \rightarrow (G')_{H'}$  which is  $\tilde{f}$  equivariant. Hence we obtain a map  $\tilde{f} : \text{Stab}(\bar{z}) = C_G(z)H/H \rightarrow \text{Stab}(\tilde{f}\bar{z}) = C_{G'}(\tilde{f}z)H'/H'$  inducing the  $\tilde{f}$  above. Unfortunately summing over the  $G$ -conjugacy classes is not possible as the map  $\tilde{f}$  is in general not one-to-one. However if we fix the group  $G$  and a set of representatives  $\{z\}$  of the conjugacy classes of  $G$  we obtain a well defined natural transformation  $\bigoplus \rho_z$  of the

functors  $\mathcal{L}_*(N) = \Sigma \mathcal{L}_*(C_G(z)N/N, Nz)$  and  $Z_*(N) = Z_*(kG, kN)$  defined on the category of normal subgroups of  $G$  and inclusion maps and which induces isomorphisms in cyclic homology.

We now have all the ingredients for the following

**THEOREM.** *Let  $N$  be normal in  $G$  and let  $k$  be a field of characteristic zero, then*

$$HC_*(kG, kN) \cong \bigoplus_{c \in T^0(N)} H_*(G_c, k) \otimes HC_*(k) \oplus \bigoplus_{c \in T^\infty(N)} H_*(G_c, k)$$

where  $T^0(N)$  (resp.  $T^\infty(N)$ ) =  $G$ -conjugacy classes  $[z]$  of  $G$  such that  $Nz$  is of finite (resp. infinite) order in  $G/N$ , and  $G_c = C_G(z)N/(z)N \cong \text{Stab}(\bar{z})/(Nz)$ .

*Proof.* We have seen we can calculate  $HC_*(kG, kN)$  from the direct sum over the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of the cyclic  $k$ -modules  $\mathcal{L}_*(C_G(z)N/N, Nz)$ . We can now compute the cyclic homology of these cyclic sets as in Marciniak [9] or Burghelea [4]. □

#### 4. Applications to the traces of projective modules

Let  $A$  be a  $k$ -algebra. The Chern character is a natural transformation  $ch_n : K_n(A) \rightarrow HC_n(A)$  which in dimension zero coincides with the Stong-Hattori trace for finitely generated projective  $A$ -modules. Karoubi [8] has produced a lifting of  $ch_n$ ,  $ch'_n : K_n(A) \rightarrow HC_{n+2i}(A)$  commuting with the natural map  $S : HC_{n+2}(A) \rightarrow HC_n(A)$ . Let  $S$  be a  $k$ -subalgebra of  $A$  containing  $k1_A$ . We have a natural map  $HC_*(A) \rightarrow HC_*(A, S)$  and we have the

**PROPOSITION.** *The natural map  $HC_0(A) \rightarrow HC_0(A, S)$  is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* It is immediate from the definitions that both sides are  $A/[A, A]$  and the induced map is induced from the identity of  $A$ . □

Consider the following commutative diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & HC_{2n}(A) & \longrightarrow & HC_{2n}(A, S) \\
 & & \downarrow S^n & & \downarrow S^n \\
 ch_0^n \nearrow & & & & \\
 K_0(A) & \xrightarrow{ch_0} & HC_0(A) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & HC_0(A, S)
 \end{array}$$

Letting  $(A, S)$  be  $(kG, kN)$  for  $N$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  and using the above theorem computing the cyclic homology of the pair  $(kG, kN)$  one sees if one has

vanishing theorems for some components of  $HC_*(kG, kN)$  one would obtain vanishing theorems for traces of finitely generated projective  $kG$ -modules on certain conjugacy classes. To this end we recall a theorem of Eckmann, used for the same purpose in the non relative case. Recall  $hd_Q(G) = \sup \{k \mid H_k(G, A) \neq 0 \text{ for some } QG\text{-module } A\}$ .

**THEOREM (Eckmann [5]).** *Let  $G$  have  $hd_Q(G) = n < \infty$ , and suppose  $G$  belongs to one of the following classes: (a) nilpotent groups; (b) torsion free solvable groups; (c) linear groups, i.e., subgroups of  $GL_n(F)$  where  $F$  is a field of characteristic zero; (d) groups of cohomological dimension  $\leq 2$ . Then if  $x$  is a central element of infinite order,  $H_j(G/(x), Q) = 0$  for  $j \geq n$  ( $n = 2$  in case d). Using this result we have the immediate*

**THEOREM.** *Let  $z \in G$ . Suppose  $\exists N$  normal in  $G$  such that (i)  $Nz$  is of infinite order in  $G/N$ , (ii)  $hd_Q(G/N) < \infty$ , (iii)  $G/N$  is one of the types (a)–(d), then if  $P$  is any finitely generated projective  $QG$ -module  $r(P)_z = 0$ .*

*Remark.* By applying Eckmann's result directly, i.e., the above result in the case  $N = (1)$ , one only obtains  $\sum_{Ny = Nz} r(P)_y = 0$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $G_n$  be the  $n$ th term of the lower central series for  $G$ . Suppose  $z$  has an infinite order in  $G/G_n$ , then for any finitely generated projective  $QG$ -module  $P$ ,  $r(P)_z = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $G/G_n$  is nilpotent, any finitely generated subgroup is polycyclic and hence has finite Hirsch number. But, on the class of solvable groups,  $hd_Q(G)$  equals the Hirsch number [11] and therefore since homology commutes with direct limits and any group is the direct limit of its finitely generated subgroups we have  $hd_Q(G/G_n) < \infty$ . Hence the result.  $\square$

It is amusing that while the corollary to the last theorem says something about nilpotent quotients of  $G$ , the following result says something about nilpotent subgroups of  $G$ .

**COROLLARY 2.** *Suppose  $G$  is a split extension of a finitely generated torsion free nilpotent group  $N$  and an arbitrary group  $A$ . If  $1 \neq z \in N$  and  $P$  is any finitely generated projective  $QG$ -module, then  $r(P)_z = 0$ .*

*Proof.*  $N$  has an embedding in  $GL_n(Z)$  as a group of unipotent matrices ([13], p. 23). By a result of Swan [12] (see [13], p. 22), there exists  $\varphi : G \rightarrow GL_m(Z)$  such that  $\ker(\varphi) \cap N = (1)$ . Hence  $z$  has infinite order in  $G/\ker \varphi \subseteq GL_m(Z)$ . Any

unipotent subgroup of  $GL_m(\mathbb{Z})$  is conjugate in  $GL_m(\mathbb{Q})$  to a subgroup of the group of upper triangular matrices with diagonal entries equal to one and such a group has cohomological dimension  $\leq n(n-1)$ . By a result of Alperin and Shalen [1]  $G/\ker \varphi$  has finite virtual cohomological dimension and hence  $hd_{\mathbb{Q}}(G/\ker \varphi) < \infty$ . Hence  $G/\ker \varphi$  is a linear group with  $hd_{\mathbb{Q}} < \infty$  and the result follows from the theorem. □

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. ALPERIN and P. SHALEN, *Linear Groups of finite cohomological dimension*, Inventiones math. 66 (1982), 89–98.
- [2] H. BASS, *Euler characteristics and characters of discrete groups*, Inventiones mathematicae, 35 (1976), 155–196.
- [3] H. BASS, *Traces and Euler characteristics*, In: *Homological Group Theory* (ed. C. T. C. Wall), London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 36 (Cambridge University Press, 1979), pp. 1–26.
- [4] D. BURGHELEA, *The cyclic homology of group rings*, Comment. Math. Helv. 60 (1985), 354–365.
- [5] B. ECKMANN, *Cyclic homology of groups and the Bass conjecture*, Comment. Math. Helv. 61 (1986), 193–202.
- [6] A. HATTORI, *Rank element of a projective module*, Nagoya J. Math. 25 (1965), 113–120.
- [7] L. KADISON, *Cyclic homology of extension algebras with application to matrix algebras, algebraic K-theory, and nest algebras of operators*. Ph.D. thesis, U. of Cal. (Berkeley), 1989.
- [8] M. KAROUBI, *Homologie cyclique et K-théorie algébrique*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris 297 (1983), 447–450.
- [9] Z. MARCINIAK, *Cyclic homology of group rings*, In: *Geometric and Algebraic Topology*, Banach Center Publications, vol. 18, Warsaw, 1986, pp. 305–312.
- [10] U. STAMMBACH, *On the weak homological dimension of group rings of solvable groups*, J. London Math Soc. (2) 2 (1970), pp. 567–570.
- [11] J. STALLINGS, *Centerless groups – an algebraic formulation of Gottlieb’s theorem*, Topology 4 (1965), 129–134.
- [12] R. G. SWAN, *Representations of polycyclic groups*, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 18 (1967) 573–574.
- [13] B. A. F. WEHRFRITZ, *Infinite Linear Groups*, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, Springer-Verlag, 1973.

*Department of Mathematics*  
*University of Maryland 20740*  
*College Park, Maryland*  
*USA*

Received July 19, 1990