

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Band: 68 (1993)

Artikel: Groups with no infinite perfect subgroups and aspherical 2-complexes.
Autor: Dyer, Michael N.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-51773>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 18.10.2024

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

Groups with no infinite perfect subgroups and aspherical 2-complexes

MICHEAL N. DYER

Abstract. The purpose of this paper is to generalize a theorem of J. F. Adams. He showed in [A] that if X is a subcomplex of an aspherical 2-complex and the fundamental group G of X has no non-trivial perfect subgroups, then X is aspherical. We weaken the hypothesis on G to “no infinite perfect subgroups.”

1. Introduction

In [W], J. H. C. Whitehead, asked the following question: *Is a subcomplex of an aspherical 2-complex aspherical?*

A $[G, 2]$ -complex X is a connected two-dimensional CW-complex with fundamental group $\pi_1 X \cong G$. If N is a subgroup of $\pi_1 X$, let X_N denote the covering of X corresponding to N . For any group G , let $H_i G$ denote the i th homology of G with coefficients in the integers \mathbb{Z} . A group G is said to be *perfect* if the abelianization $H_1 G$ of G is trivial; G is *superperfect* if $H_1 G = H_2 G = 0$.

A $[G, 2]$ -complex X is *aspherical* iff its second homotopy group $\pi_2 X$ vanishes. If X is a $[G, 2]$ -complex which is a subcomplex of an aspherical 2-complex, then J. F. Adams showed in [A] that X is aspherical provided G has no non-trivial perfect subgroups. In this note we show that X is aspherical provided G is finitely presented and has no *infinite* perfect subgroups.

The idea of the proof is to show that if X is a $[G, 2]$ -complex and G is a finitely presented group which has a finite, non-trivial, normal, superperfect subgroup P such that $Q = G/P$ has cohomological dimension 1 or 2, then the Hurewicz homomorphism $\pi_2 X \rightarrow H_2 X_P$ is non-trivial.

2. Basic definitions

If X is a connected 2-complex and N is a subgroup of $\pi_1 X$ then X is *N -Cockcroft* if the Hurewicz homomorphism $\pi_2 X = \pi_2(X_N) \rightarrow H_2(X_N)$ is trivial. The N -Cockcroft property has been extensively studied in [Bo, BD, BDS, D, GH, H].

Let N be a subgroup of G . Then we say that G is *N -Cockcroft* if there is a $[G, 2]$ -complex X and an isomorphism $\varphi : G \rightarrow \pi_1 X$ such that X is φN -Cockcroft.

The following is the main theorem of this paper.

2.1 THEOREM. *Let P be a non-trivial, finite, superperfect, normal subgroup of a finitely presented group G such that $Q = G/P$ has cohomological dimension 1 or 2. Then G is not P -Cockcroft.*

Note that the theorem is false if $Q = 1$. In this case, $G = P$ is finite and superperfect. Let G be the binary icosahedral group. In this case, G admits a presentation with 2 generators and 2 relators. The realization of this presentation as a $[G, 2]$ -complex has $H_2X = 0 = H_1X$, so X is P -Cockcroft.

If G is a group, the *maximal perfect subgroup* PG of G is defined as the normal subgroup of G generated by all perfect subgroups; it is also the intersection of the (transfinite) derived series of G .

2.2 COROLLARY. *Let G be a finitely presented group with maximal perfect subgroup PG finite. Then any $[G, 2]$ -complex X which is the subcomplex of an aspherical 2-complex is aspherical.*

Proof. If G is finite, the result is well known (see [BD]). Hence we will assume that Q is infinite. If the $[G, 2]$ -complex X is a subcomplex of an aspherical 2-complex and X is not aspherical, then by the main theorem of [BDS], we see that there must exist a superperfect, normal, non-trivial subgroup P of G such that G is P -Cockcroft and the quotient Q has $\text{cd } Q \leq 2$. The group Q is infinite, so the cohomological dimension of Q is 1 or 2. But the maximal perfect subgroup of G is finite, so P is infinite. The theorem then says that G cannot be P -Cockcroft. Thus X must be aspherical. \square

3. Two lemmas

In this section we will prove two lemmas preliminary to giving a proof of the theorem.

Let G be a group and let C be a projective $\mathbb{Z}G$ -resolution of the trivial $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module \mathbb{Z} . To each integer $i \geq 0$ we have an associated kernel $K_i = \ker \{\partial_i : C_i \rightarrow C_{i-1}\}$ ($C_{-1} = \mathbb{Z}$). For any $[G, 2]$ -complex X , let \tilde{X} be the universal covering of X . Then $C_*\tilde{X}$, the cellular chain complex of \tilde{X} , can be thought of as a partial resolution (of length two) of free left $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules. For any $[G, 2]$ -complex X , the kernel $K_1 = \ker \{\partial_1 : C_1\tilde{X} \rightarrow C_0\tilde{X}\}$ is called the *relation module determined by X* .

For any left $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module M , we let M^G denote the subgroup of elements fixed by the action of G ; we let $M_G = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}G} M = M/IG \cdot M$ (IG is the augmentation ideal in $\mathbb{Z}G$) be M with the G -action killed.

3.1 LEMMA. *If P is a finite, normal subgroup of a group G and $Q = G/P$, then $H^i(G, \mathbb{Z}G) \cong H^i(G, \mathbb{Z}Q) \cong H^i(Q, \mathbb{Z}Q)$ for all $i > 0$. The first isomorphism is induced by $\mathbb{Z}G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}Q$ and the second by $G \rightarrow Q$.*

Proof. Because P is finite, we have $H^j(P, \mathbb{Z}G) = 0$ for $j > 0$. By using the Lyndon–Hochschild–Serre spectral sequence, we see that $H^i(G, \mathbb{Z}G) \cong H^i(Q, \mathbb{Z}G^P)$ for $i > 0$. But clearly $\mathbb{Z}G^P \cong \bigoplus_{a \in Q} (\mathbb{Z}P)_a^P \cong \bigoplus_{a \in Q} (\mathbb{Z})_a \cong \mathbb{Z}Q$ as a $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -module. \square

3.2 LEMMA. *Let X be a $[G, 2]$ -complex and suppose P is a superperfect, normal subgroup of $\pi_1 X$ such that the Hurewicz map from $\pi_2 X = \pi_2 X_P \rightarrow H_2 X_P$ is trivial (i.e., G is P -Cockcroft with respect to X). Let $K_1 = \ker \{\partial_1 : C_1 \tilde{X} \rightarrow C_0 \tilde{X}\}$ be the relation module determined by X , where \tilde{X} is the universal covering of X . Then $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1 = \ker \{\partial_1(X_P) : C_1(X_P) \rightarrow C_0(X_P)\} \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} C_2 \tilde{X}$ is a relation module for $Q = (\pi_1 X)/P$. Furthermore, the surjection $G \rightarrow Q$ induces an isomorphism $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1) \cong H^2(Q, \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1)$.*

Proof. Because P is a subgroup of $\pi_1 X$ we have $C_i X_P \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} C_i \tilde{X}$. That P is superperfect and G is P -Cockcroft with respect to X implies that

$$0 \rightarrow C_2 X_P \rightarrow C_1 X_P \rightarrow C_0 X_P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence of free $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -modules (a free resolution of the trivial module \mathbb{Z}). Tensoring the exact sequence (of $\mathbb{Z}G$ -modules) $0 \rightarrow \pi_2 X \rightarrow C_2 \tilde{X} \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow 0$ with $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P}$ – and using the fact that X is P -Cockcroft, we see that $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1 \cong C_2 X_P$.

The isomorphism $H^2(G, \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1) \cong H^2(Q, \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1)$ follows from the LHS spectral sequence for the extension

$$1 \rightarrow P \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q \rightarrow 1$$

together with the facts that P is superperfect and that $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1$ is a trivial $\mathbb{Z}P$ -module. \square

4. Proof of Theorem 2.1

From now on we assume that X is a $[G, 2]$ -complex with fundamental group equal to G . We let P be a finite, superperfect, normal subgroup of G so that the Hurewicz map $\pi_2 X \rightarrow H_2 X_P$ is trivial. We let $Q = G/P$ have cohomological dimension 1 or 2 and K_1 be the relation module determined by X . The proof by contradiction is given in a series of steps as follows.

STEP 1 is devoted to the proof of the following claim. Let p be the order of the finite group P and consider the inclusion $K_1^P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1 = K_{1P}$.

CLAIM. *If P is superperfect, then the image of K_1^P inside $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1$ is $p \cdot \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1$.*

Proof of the Claim. Let $F_2 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$ be a partial resolution of \mathbb{Z} over $\mathbb{Z}P$ by finitely generated free modules. Let L_1 denote the kernel of the map $\partial_1 : F_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}P$. Then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F_2^P & \xrightarrow{\partial_1^P} & F_1^P \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\
 & L_1^P & \\
 & \downarrow & \\
 & L_{1P} & \\
 \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\
 F_{2P} & \xrightarrow{\partial_{1P}} & F_{1P}
 \end{array}$$

The group P is finite implies that the vertical arrows are monomorphisms. The two outer vertical arrows are clearly multiplication by p because the modules are free. The group P is perfect implies that ∂_{1P} and ∂_1^P are epimorphisms and hence $L_{1P} = F_{1P}$ and $L_1^P = F_1^P$. Thus the interior vertical arrow has image which is multiplication by p . Now one uses Schanuel's lemma and a simple argument to show that the same is true of $K_1^P \rightarrow K_{1P}$. This completes the proof of the claim.

Hence the $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -module $A = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1 / K_1^P = \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1 / p \cdot \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1$. If we write $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1 \cong \mathbb{Z}Q^\alpha (= \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} C_2 \tilde{X}$; this follows from lemma 3.2), then $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_p Q^\alpha$.

STEP 2. The following diagram is commutative, with top and vertical sequences exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 H^1(Q, A) & \longrightarrow & H^2(Q, K_1^P) & \xrightarrow{f'} & H^2(Q, \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1) & \longrightarrow & H^2(Q, A) \longrightarrow H^3(Q, K_1^P) \\
 & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow j \cong & & \parallel \\
 & & H^2(G, K_1) & \xrightarrow{f} & H^2(G, \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1) & & 0 \quad (4.1) \\
 & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow & & \\
 \mathbb{Z}_p \cong H^2(P, K_1)^Q & \longrightarrow & H^2(P, \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1)^Q & = & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & & & \\
 & & 0 & & & &
 \end{array}$$

The horizontal maps f and f' are induced by $K_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1$ and $K_1^P \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1$, respectively. By using a dimension shifting argument one shows that $H^2(P, K_1) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ has trivial $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -action. The fact that $p \cdot A = 0$ shows that $p \cdot H^2(Q, A) = 0$ also. The vertical sequences come from the LHS-spectral sequence. The left-most vertical sequence is exact, because $\text{cd } Q \leq 2$ and $H^1(P, K_1) = 0$ (this is a consequence of the finiteness of P). The fact that $H^2(P, \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1) = 0$ follows because P is superperfect and $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1$ is a trivial $\mathbb{Z}P$ -module. We observe that the map f' is an isomorphism modulo torsion; that is to say, the kernel and the cokernel of f' are torsion groups. The group $H^3(Q, K_1^P) = 0$ because Q is two dimensional. By lemma 3.2, $\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1$ is a free $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -module, so j is an isomorphism, by lemma 3.1.

STEP 3. Let M be any $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module and $\rho(M) : M \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} M$ be the natural surjection. We will show that $\rho(K_1) : K_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1$ induces a split epimorphism

$$f : H^2(G, K_1) \rightarrow H^2(G, \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1).$$

We will show that there is a map $s : H^2(G, \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1) \rightarrow H^2(G, K_1)$ such that fs is an isomorphism.

Now $H^2(G, C_2\tilde{X}) \cong H^2(G, \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}P} K_1)$, by lemma 3.1; the isomorphism is induced by $\rho(K_1)\partial_2$, where $\partial_2 : \mathbb{Z}G^\alpha = C_2\tilde{X} \rightarrow K_1$. This last follows because $\rho(K_1)\partial_2 = (1 \otimes \partial_2)\rho(C_2\tilde{X})$. The map $1 \otimes \partial_2$ is an isomorphism because G is P -Cockcroft and $\rho(C_2\tilde{X})$ induces an isomorphism on $H^2(G, -)$ by lemma 3.1. Thus the map ∂_2 induces a map $g : H^2(G, C_2\tilde{X}) \rightarrow H^2(G, K_1)$ whose composite gf is induced by the natural map $\mathbb{Z}G^\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}Q^\alpha$. Thus gf is an isomorphism, again by 3.1. Hence f is a split epimorphism and the map s can be chosen as $s = \partial_{2*}(\rho(K_1)\partial_2)_*^{-1}$.

STEP 4. We will show that, if $i : H^2(G, K_1^P) \rightarrow H^2(G, K_1)$ is the map in diagram 4.1, then $\text{im } s = \text{im } i$.

First we observe that, by definition, $\text{im } s = \text{im } \partial_{2*}$. Let $K_2 = \ker \partial_2$ and consider the long exact sequence arising from the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K_2 \rightarrow C_2\tilde{X} \rightarrow K_1 \rightarrow 0$;

$$\cdots \rightarrow H^2(G, C_2\tilde{X}) \xrightarrow{\partial_{2*}} H^2(G, K_1) \rightarrow H^3(G, K_2) \rightarrow H^3(G, C_2\tilde{X}) = 0.$$

The group $H^3(G, C_2\tilde{X}) = 0$ by 3.1 and the fact that $\text{cd } Q \leq 2$ (3.2).

The commutativity of the diagram below (where we identify $H^3(P, K_2)$ with $H^2(P, K_1)$) shows that $\text{im } i = \text{im } \partial_{2*} = \text{im } s$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & H^2(G, K_1^P) & & & & \\
 & & \downarrow i & & & & \\
 H^2(G, C_2 \tilde{X}) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{2*}} & H^2(G, K_1) & \longrightarrow & H^3(G, K_2) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \downarrow h & & \downarrow \cong & & \\
 & & H^2(P, K_1)^Q & \longrightarrow & H^3(P, K_2)^Q & & \\
 & & & \cong & & &
 \end{array} \tag{4.2}$$

STEP 5. We show that $\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes H^2(Q, \mathbb{Z}Q) = 0$.

The map fi (see 4.1) is an isomorphism because $\ker f \cap \text{im } i = \ker f \cap \text{im } s = 0$. This implies $f' = j^{-1}fi$ is an isomorphism. Thus, $H^2(Q, A) = 0$ and hence $\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes H^2(Q, \mathbb{Z}Q) = H^2(Q, \mathbb{Z}_p Q) = 0$.

STEP 6. The contradiction.

Case 1 (Q is free). The same proof above works (by simply reducing the dimension of the cohomology groups and the kernels by one in 4.1 and 4.2) to show that $\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes H^1(Q, \mathbb{Z}Q) = 0$. But this is impossible because $H^1(Q, \mathbb{Z}Q)$ is known to be free abelian and non-trivial [Sw, corollary 3.7]. Thus, G is P -Cockcroft and Q free leads to a contradiction.

Case 2: ($\text{cd } Q = 2$). Because P is finite and $\text{cd } Q = 2$ we have that $\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes H^2(Q, \mathbb{Z}Q) = 0$ by step 5.

Because G is finitely presented, so is Q . We observe that ([BE], theorem 5.2) Q is a free product of duality groups of dimension 1 or 2. Let R be one of the factors, and define $D = H^2(Q, \mathbb{Z}Q)$ and $E = H^2(R, \mathbb{Z}R)$. Let q be any prime divisor of p . The fact that $\mathbb{Z}_p \otimes D = 0$ implies that $\mathbb{Z}_q \otimes D = 0$. This in turn implies that $\mathbb{Z}_q \otimes E = 0$. If R is a duality group of dimension 2, we have, for any $\mathbb{Z}_q Q$ -module M , $H^2(R, M) \cong \mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}R} (M \otimes D)$. But because M is a \mathbb{Z}_q -module, we have $M \otimes D \cong \mathbb{Z}_q \otimes M \otimes D = 0$. Hence, the cohomological dimension of $R \leq 1$ over the ring \mathbb{Z}_q . This, together with the fact that R is torsion-free, shows that $\text{cd } R = 1$. Hence R is free and so Q is free. This brings us back to case 1. Hence no such group G can be P -Cockcroft. This finishes the proof of Theorem 2.1. \square

REFERENCES

[A] J. F. ADAMS, *A new proof of a theorem of W. H. Cockcroft*, J. London Math. Soc., 30 (1955), 482–488.
 [BE] R. BIERI and B. ECKMANN, *Groups with homological duality generalizing Poincaré duality*, Inventiones Math., 20 (1973), 103–124.

- [Bo] W. BOGLEY, *Unions of Cockcroft complexes* (preprint 1992).
- [BD] J. BRANDENBURG and M. DYER, *On J. H. C. Whitehead's aspherical question I*, *Comm. Math. Helvetici*, 56 (1981), 431–446.
- [BDS] J. BRANDENBURG, M. DYER, and R. STREBEL, *On J. H. C. Whitehead's aspherical question II*, *Contemporary Math.*, 20 (1983), 65–78.
- [D] M. DYER, *Cockcroft 2-complexes* (preprint 1991).
- [GH] N. GILBERT and J. HOWIE, *Threshold subgroups for Cockcroft 2-complexes* (preprint 1992).
- [H] J. HARLANDER, *Minimal Cockcroft subgroups* (to appear in *Glasgow J. Math.*).
- [HS] P. HILTON and U. STAMMBACH, *A course in homological algebra*, *Graduate Texts in Math.*, Springer-Verlag.
- [Sw] R. SWAN, *Groups of cohomological dimension one*, *Journal of Algebra*, 12 (1969), 585–601.
- [W] J. H. C. WHITEHEAD, *On adding relations to homotopy groups*, *Annals of Math.* 42 (1941), 409–428.

University of Oregon
Eugene, Oregon 97403, USA

Received July 21, 1992

Buchanzeigen

Numerical Analysis for Integral and Related Operator Equations, Siegfried Prössdorf, Bernd Silbermann, Birkhäuser Verlag, 542 p.p., Sfr. 228.-.

1. Auxiliary material – 2. Approximation theory – 3. Approximation methods for Fredholm integral equations – 4. Functions of shifts on Banach spaces and their finite sections – 5. Spline approximation methods for classes of convolution equations – 6. Singular integral equations and pseudodifferential equations on curves – 7. Polynomial approximation methods for the solution of singular integral equations with piecewise continuous coefficients on the unit circle – 8. Polynomial approximation methods for singular integral equations in Zygmund-Hölder spaces 9. Polynomial approximation methods for singular integral equations on intervals – 10. Spline approximation and quadrature methods for the solution of singular integral equations on closed curves – 11. Mellin techniques in the numerical analysis of singular integral equations – 12. Spline approximation and quadrature methods for singular integral equations on an interval. Graded meshes – 13. Spline approximation method for pseudodifferential equations on closed curves – Reference.

Analysis 1, Christian Blatter, Springer Verlag, 1991, 385 p.p., DM. 38.-.

1. Grundbegriffe – 2. Zahlen und Vektoren – 3. Funktionen und Folgen – 4. Grundlegende Existenzsätze – 5. Reihen – 6. Die Exponentialfunktion – 7. Differentialrechnung – 8. Differentialgleichungen I – 9. Integralrechnung 10. Anwendungen der Integralrechnung- Sachverzeichnis.

Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 137, ***p*-adic functional analysis**, José M. Bayod, N De Grande-De Kimpe, J. Martínez-Maurica Edts., Marcel Dekker, inc. 1992, 256 p.p., \$114.50.-.

Preface – Contributors – Distance from an isometry to the Banach-Stone maps: **Jesús Araujo** – Pseudocompact and *P*-spaces in non-archimedean functional analysis: **Jesús Araujo, P. Fernández-Ferreirós and J. Martínez-Maurica** – Extension of isometries with values in nonarchimedean fields: **José M. Bayod** – Weak *c'*-compactness in (strongly) polar Banach spaces over a non archimedean, densely valued field: **Sabine Borrey** – $C(E,F)$ as a dual space: **N. De Grand-De Kimpe** – Non integrally closed algebras $H(D)$: **A. Escassut und Bertin Diarra** – Continuous operators which commute with translations, on the space of continuous functions on Z_p : **Lucien van Hamme** – Dyadic frames for intermittency. Perturbed models: **O. Iordache** – Non-archimedean Δ -nuclear spaces: **A. K. Katsaras** – The Locally *K*-convex spaces $C^n(X)$, $C^\infty(X)$: **Samuel Navarro** – The Hahn-Banach extension property in *p*-adic analysis: **C. Pérez-García** – Banach algebra of *p*-adic valued almost periodic functions: **G. Rangan, M. S. Saleemullah** – The axiom of choice in *p*-adic functional analysis: **A. S. M. van Rooij** – The equation $y' = \omega y$ and the meromorphic products: **Marie-Claude Sarmant, Alain Escassut** – The *p*-adic Krein-smulian Theorem: **W. H. Schikhof** – Topological fields and nonarchimedean analysis, Niel shell – Open problems: **A. C. M. van Rooij and C. H. Schikhof** – Appendix A: the space $L^1(K)$ is not ultrametrizable: **José M. Bayod** – Appendix B: Zero sequences in *p*-adic compactoids: **W. H. Schikhof**.

Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, vol 28, **Geometry I**, R. V. Gamkrelidze (Ed.), Springer-Verlag, 1991, 264 p.p., DM 136.-.

Preface – 1. Introduction: A Metamathematical View of Differential Geometry – 2. The Geometry of Surfaces – 3. The Field Approach of Riemann – 4. The Group Approach of Lie and Klein. The Geometry of Transformation Groups – 5. The Geometry of Differential Equations – 6. Geometric Structures – 7. The Equivalence Problem, Differential Invariants and Pseudogroups – 8. Global Aspects of Differential Geometry.

Algorithms and Combinatorics 4, **Greedoids**, Bernhard Korte, László Lovász, Rainer Schrader, Springer Verlag, 1991, 211 p.p., DM 128.-.