

Zeitschrift: Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici
Band: 69 (1994)

Artikel: On Galois descent for Hochschild and cyclic homology.
Autor: Lorenz, Martin
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-52271>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 18.10.2024

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

On Galois descent for Hochschild and cyclic homology

MARTIN LORENZ*

Abstract. Let G be a finite group acting by automorphisms on an algebra S over some commutative ring k . We show that if the action of G restricted to the center of S is Galois in the sense of [C-H-R], then $HH_*(S^G) \cong HH_*(S)^G$. An analogous result holds for cyclic homology, provided the order of G is invertible in k .

Introduction

Let G be a finite group acting by automorphisms on an algebra S over some commutative ring k . Then G acts on the Hochschild homology $HH_*(S)$ and on the cyclic homology $HC_*(S)$ of S . The relationship between the invariants of this action on the one hand and the cyclic or Hochschild homology of the algebra of invariants S^G on the other is rather opaque. In the special situation where the action of G on S is Galois in the sense of [C-H-R] and the order of G is invertible in k , the obvious “induction” map $HH_*(S^G) \rightarrow HH_*(S)^G$ is at least surjective (see §4 below for a marginally more general formulation). It need however *not* be injective as the explicit computations of $HH_0(A_1(\mathbb{C})^G)$ for certain Galois actions on the Weyl algebra $S = A_1(\mathbb{C})$ in [A-H-V] show. In these examples, $HH_0(A_1(\mathbb{C})^G)$ is nonzero while $HH_0(A_1(\mathbb{C})) = 0$. Our goal in this article is to prove that, if the action of G restricted to the *center* of S is Galois (in which case the action will be called centrally Galois), then induction from S^G to S does in fact yield an isomorphism

$$HH_*(S^G) \cong HH_*(S)^G.$$

This is achieved in §6, and a corresponding result for cyclic homology quickly follows by the usual application of the 5-lemma to the Connes-Gysin sequence,

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 19D55, 18G60, 16W20, 16E40.

Key words and phrases. group action, Galois action, centrally Galois action, cyclic homology, Hochschild homology, algebra of invariants, skew group ring.

* The author was supported in part by a grant from the NSF.

provided the order of G is invertible in k . For commutative S , both isomorphisms have been obtained in [W-G] as a consequence of a general result on étale extensions of commutative algebras. Our approach, instead, is to analyze the homology $HH_*(T)$ of the skew group ring $T = S * G$ that is associated with the given action of G on S . Using a description of $HH_*(T)$ in terms of certain hyperhomology groups ([Lo]), we show that restriction from T to S yields an isomorphism $HH_*(T) \cong HH_*(S)^G$. The above isomorphism then follows by means of a Morita isomorphism between $HH_*(S^G)$ and $HH_*(T)$.

Notations and conventions

Our general reference concerning Hochschild and cyclic homology is [L] whose notation we will follow here. All algebras considered in this article are over some commutative base ring k and \otimes denotes \otimes_k . Bimodules are understood to have identical k -operations on both sides. In addition, we will keep the following notations throughout this article.

- S will be a unital k -algebra;
- G denotes a finite group acting by k -algebra automorphisms on S ; this action will be denoted $s \mapsto s^g$ ($s \in S, g \in G$);
- $R = S^G$ is the subalgebra of G -invariants in S ;
- $T = S * G$ will denote the skew group ring of G over S .

Thus T is an associative algebra which is additively isomorphic to the ordinary group ring $S[G]$ but whose multiplication is determined by the rule $sg = gs^g$ ($s \in S, g \in G$). As S - S -bimodule, T is the direct sum of the subbimodules Sg for $g \in G$. Finally, S can be viewed as R - T -bimodule via $r \cdot s \cdot s'g = (rss')^g$ ($r \in R, s, s' \in S, g \in G$). Similarly, S can be made into a T - R -bimodule.

Proofs

1. *Maps on Hochschild homology*

Let A and B be k -algebras and let ${}_A P_B$ be an A - B -bimodule such that P_B is finitely generated and projective. Then there is a k -linear map on Hochschild homology

$$HH_*^P : HH_*(A) \rightarrow HH_*(B)$$

which is obtained as follows (see [Lo], §§1.2 and 1.4). Choose dual bases for P , that is, elements $p_i \in P, q_i \in P_B^* = \text{Hom}_B(P_B, B)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, r$) with $p = \sum_{i=1}^r p_i q_i(p)$ for all $p \in P$. Then the map

$$\Phi^P : C(A) \rightarrow C(B)$$

which on $C_n(A) = A^{\otimes(n+1)}$ is defined by

$$\Phi_n^P(a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{(i_0, \dots, i_n)} q_{i_0}(a_0 p_{i_1}) \otimes q_{i_1}(a_1 p_{i_2}) \otimes \dots \otimes q_{i_n}(a_n p_{i_0})$$

is a chain map whose homotopy type is independent of the choice of the dual bases $\{p_i\}, \{q_i\}$ for P . Thus the induced map on homology, $HH_*^P = H_*(\Phi^P)$, is well-defined and only depends on the isomorphism type of the A - B -bimodule P . Furthermore, if C is another k -algebra and ${}_B Q_C$ is a B - C bimodule which is finitely generated and projective over C then

$$HH_*^{P \otimes_B Q} = HH_*^Q \circ HH_*^P.$$

2. Special cases

The following special cases will be of particular interest for our purposes.

(a) *Action of G on $HH_*(S)$.* Taking $A = B = S$ in §1 and $P = Sg \subseteq T$ for $g \in G$, we obtain maps $HH_*^{Sg} : HH_*(S) \rightarrow HH_*(S)$ which yield a right action of G on $HH_*(S)$. The map HH_*^{Sg} is afforded by the chain map

$$\Phi_g = \Phi^{Sg} : C(S) \rightarrow C(S), \quad s_0 \otimes s_1 \otimes \dots \otimes s_n \mapsto s_0^g \otimes s_1^g \otimes \dots \otimes s_n^g.$$

(b) *Induction from R to S and from S to T .* Using $A = R, B = S$ and $P = {}_R S_S$ we obtain an induction map

$$\text{Ind}_R^S = H_*^{R^S S} : HH_*(R) \rightarrow HH_*(S).$$

The canonical corresponding chain map $\Phi^{R^S S} : C(R) \rightarrow C(S)$ simply comes from the inclusion $R \hookrightarrow S$, which makes it clear that

$$\text{Im}(\text{Ind}_R^S) \subseteq HH_*(S)^G,$$

where $HH_*(S)^G$ denotes the G -invariants in $HH_*(S)$. (Alternatively, this follows from the fact that ${}_R S_S \otimes_S Sg \cong {}_R S_S$ as R - S -bimodules.) Similarly, the embedding $S \hookrightarrow T$ yields an induction map $\text{Ind}_S^T : HH_*(S) \rightarrow HH_*(T)$ which is easily seen to factor through the canonical epimorphism of $HH_*(S)$ onto the G -coinvariants $HH_*(S)_G$ (cf. [Lo], §2.3). Thus we obtain a map

$$\overline{\text{Ind}}_S^T : HH_*(S)_G \rightarrow HH_*(T).$$

(c) *Restriction from T to S .* With $A = T$, $B = S$, and $P = {}_T T_S$ we obtain a restriction map

$$\text{Res}_S^T = H_*^{T^T S} : HH_*(T) \rightarrow HH_*(S).$$

Since the multiplication of T gives a T - S -isomorphism $T \otimes_S Sg \cong Tg = T$, we deduce that $HH_*^{Sg} \circ \text{Res}_S^T = \text{Res}_S^T$. Thus

$$\text{Im}(\text{Res}_S^T) \subseteq HH_*(S)^G.$$

By [Lo], Lemma 2.3(a), one has

$$\text{Res}_S^T \circ \overline{\text{Ind}}_S^T = \overline{\text{tr}} : HH_*(S)_G \rightarrow HH_*(S)^G,$$

where $\overline{\text{tr}}$ is the G -trace map on $HH_*(S)$ (see the Appendix).

We remark that the above inclusion $\text{Im}(\text{Res}_S^T) \subseteq HH_*(S)^G$ can be sharpened to

$$\text{Im}(\text{Res}_S^T) = \text{tr}(HH_*(S)).$$

In fact, using the dual bases $p_g = g$ and $q_g(\sum x s_x) = s_g$ ($g \in G$) of T_S one computes that the chain map $\Phi^{T^T S}$ maps the element $g_0 s_0 \otimes g_1 s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n s_n \in C(T)$ to 0 if $g_0 \cdots g_n \neq e$ (so Res_S^T vanishes on the components $HH_*(T)_{[g]}$ with $g \neq e$; cf. [Lo], §2.2) and to

$$\text{tr}(s_0^{g_0^{-1}} \otimes s_1^{g_1^{-1} g_0^{-1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n^{g_n^{-1} \cdots g_0^{-1}})$$

otherwise. This fact will however not be needed in the proofs of our main results.

3. Galois actions

The action of G on S is called *Galois* if $T = TtT$, where $t = \sum_{g \in G} g \in T$. The latter condition is equivalent with the existence of elements $x_i, y_i \in S$ ($i = 1, \dots, n$)

such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i^g = 0 \quad \text{for all } e \neq g \in G. \quad (*)$$

In this case, S is finitely generated and projective as R -module (on either side; e.g., [P], §29, Exercises 3 and 4). Thus from §1 we infer the existence of a map

$$H_*^{T^{S_R}} : HH_*(T) \rightarrow HH_*(R).$$

LEMMA. *Suppose that the action of G on S is Galois.*

(a) $\text{Ind}_R^S \circ H_*^{T^{S_R}} = \text{Res}_S^T.$

(b) *If there exists an $z \in S$ with $\text{tr}(z) = 1$ then $H_*^{T^{S_R}}$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. (a) The map $S \otimes_R S \rightarrow T, s \otimes s' \mapsto sts'$ is a T - T -bimodule isomorphism (see [Co]). Thus the left hand side in (a) is equal to $H_*^{R^{S_S}} \circ H_*^{T^{S_R}} = H_*^{T^S \otimes_R S_S} = H_*^{T^{T_S}}$, which proves (a).

(b) In this case, the bimodules ${}_T S_R$ and ${}_R S_T$ yield a Morita equivalence between R and T . Specifically, the map $S \otimes_T S \rightarrow R, s \otimes s' \mapsto \text{tr}(ss')$ is an R - R -bimodule isomorphism (see [Co]). Therefore, $H_*^{R^{S_T}}$ is inverse to $H_*^{T^{S_R}}$. \square

In view of §2, part (a) of the lemma implies the following inclusions for Galois actions:

$$\text{tr}(HH_*(S)) = \text{Im}(\text{Res}_S^T) \subseteq \text{Im}(\text{Ind}_R^S) \subseteq HH_*(S)^G.$$

4. Module structures

Let M be an S - S -bimodule. Then the Hochschild homology of S with coefficients in M , $H(S, M)$, becomes a module over the center $Z(S)$ of S by means of the action of $Z(S)$ on the chain complex $C(S, M)$ which, for a given $z \in Z(S)$ is defined by (cf. [L], 1.1.5)

$$\lambda_z(m \otimes s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n) = (zm) \otimes s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n.$$

This yields the structure map

$$\phi : Z(S) \rightarrow \text{End}_k(H_*(S, M)), \quad \phi(z) = H_*(\lambda_z).$$

Similarly, one can consider the right action of $Z(S)$ on $C(S, M)$ that is given by

$$\rho_z(m \otimes s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n) = (mz) \otimes s_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n.$$

However, by [L], E.1.1.2, λ_z and ρ_z are homotopic and, consequently, they yield the same map on homology:

$$\phi(z) = H_*(\rho_z).$$

In the special case where $M = S$, the actions of G (as in §2(a)) and $Z(S)$ on $HH_*(S)$ combine to give a right $Z(S) * G$ -module structure on $HH_*(S)$. Indeed, the chain maps ρ_z and Φ_g satisfy $\rho_{zg} = \Phi_g \circ \rho_z \circ \Phi_{g^{-1}}$ for all $g \in G, z \in Z(S)$. Therefore, the Lemma in the Appendix has the following immediate consequence.

LEMMA. *Assume that there exists $z \in Z(S)$ with $\text{tr}(z) = 1$. Then the trace map $\bar{\text{tr}} : HH_*(S)_G \rightarrow HH_*(S)^G$ is an isomorphism and $H_n(G, HH_*(S)) = 0$ holds for all $n > 0$.*

We remark that the Lemma implies in particular that, if the action of G on S is Galois and there exists $z \in Z(S)$ with $\text{tr}(z) = 1$, then all inclusions at the end of §3 are equalities.

5. Centrally Galois actions

We will call the action of G on S *centrally Galois* if the restricted action on the center $Z(S)$ of S is Galois or, equivalently, if the elements x_i, y_i in §3 can be chosen to belong to $Z(S)$. In this case, by [C-H-R], Lemma 1.6, there also exists an element $z \in Z(S)$ with $\text{tr}(z) = 1$. In particular, the Lemmas in §§3 and 4 apply. Furthermore, we have the following vanishing result for the Hochschild homology of S with coefficients in the bimodules $Sg \subseteq T$.

LEMMA. *Suppose that the action of G on S is centrally Galois. Then $H_*(S, Sg) = 0$ holds for all $e \neq g \in G$.*

Proof. We use the maps λ_z and ρ_z of §4 in the special case where $M = Sg$. It follows from $sgz^g = zsg$ that $\rho_{zg} = \lambda_z$, and hence the structure map $\phi : Z(S) \rightarrow \text{End}_k(H_*(S, Sg))$ satisfies $\phi(z) = \phi(z^g)$ for all $z \in Z(S)$. Applying ϕ to the equations (*) in §3, we deduce that $1 = 0$ holds in $\text{End}_k(H_*(S, Sg))$ if $g \neq e$ which proves the lemma. □

6. THEOREM. *Suppose that the action of G on S is centrally Galois. Then the maps $\text{Res}_S^T : HH_*(T) \rightarrow HH_*(S)^G$ and $\text{Ind}_R^S : HH_*(R) \rightarrow HH_*(S)^G$ are isomorphisms.*

Proof. In view of the Lemma in §3, it suffices to prove the assertion for Res_S^T . To this end, we use the following description of $HH_*(T)$ (cf. [Lo], §2.6):

$$HH_*(T) \cong \bigoplus_g H_*(C_G(g), C(S, Sg)),$$

where g runs over a complete representative set of the conjugacy classes of G and $H_*(C_G(g), C(S, Sg))$ denotes the hyperhomology of the centralizer $C_G(g)$ of g in G with coefficients in the complex $C(S, Sg)$. By [B], (5.10) on p. 169, there exists a spectral sequence

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_0(C_G(g), H_q(S, Sg)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(C_G(g), C(S, Sg)).$$

Therefore, the Lemma in §5 implies that $H_*(C_G(g), C(S, Sg)) = 0$ holds for $g \neq e$, and hence $HH_*(T)$ is isomorphic with the $(g = e)$ -component of the above direct sum. For $g = e$, the spectral sequence becomes

$$E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(G, HH_q(S)) \Rightarrow H_{p+q}(G, C(S)).$$

The Lemma in §4 implies that $E_{p,q}^2 = 0$ holds for all $p > 0$ and, consequently, the edge homomorphism $E_{0,*}^2 = H_0(G, HH_*(S)) \rightarrow H_*(G, C(S))$ is an isomorphism. The composite of this edge map with the isomorphism $H_*(G, C(S)) \cong HH_*(T)$ is just the map $\overline{\text{Ind}}_S^T$ of §2(b). Thus we conclude that $\overline{\text{Ind}}_S^T$ yields an isomorphism

$$\overline{\text{Ind}}_S^T : HH_*(S)_G \xrightarrow{\cong} HH_*(T).$$

Finally, by §2(c) and the Lemma in §4, the composite

$$\text{Res}_S^T \circ \overline{\text{Ind}}_S^T = \overline{\text{tr}} : HH_*(S)_G \rightarrow HH_*(S)^G$$

is an isomorphism, whence Res_S^T is an isomorphism as well, and the theorem is proved. □

7. Cyclic homology

In the situation of §1, there is an analogous map for cyclic homology

$$HC_*^P : HC_*(A) \rightarrow HC_*(B).$$

In particular, one has a G -action and restriction and induction maps for cyclic homology as in §2. Furthermore, the maps H_*^P and HC_*^P yield a commutative diagram of Connes-Gysin sequences (see [Lo], §1.3)

$$\begin{array}{cccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & HH_n(A) & \longrightarrow & HC_n(A) & \longrightarrow & HC_{n-2}(A) & \longrightarrow & HH_{n-1}(A) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \\ & & \downarrow H_n^P & & \downarrow HC_n^P & & \downarrow HC_{n-2}^P & & \downarrow H_{n-1}^P & & \\ \cdots & \longrightarrow & HH_n(B) & \longrightarrow & HC_n(B) & \longrightarrow & HC_{n-2}(B) & \longrightarrow & HH_{n-1}(B) & \longrightarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

Thus the above Theorem has the following consequence.

COROLLARY. *Suppose that the action of G on S is centrally Galois and that $|G|^{-1} \in k$. Then the maps $\text{Res}_S^T : HC_*(T) \rightarrow HC_*(S)^G$ and $\text{Ind}_R^S : HC_*(R) \rightarrow HC_*(S)^G$ are isomorphisms.*

Proof. We concentrate on Ind_R^S . By assumption on $|G|$, the G -fixed point functor is exact on k -modules and so the above commutative diagram yields the following commutative diagram with exact rows and with all vertical maps equal to Ind_R^S .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} HC_{n-1}(R) & \longrightarrow & HH_n(R) & \longrightarrow & HC_n(R) & \longrightarrow & HC_{n-2}(R) & \longrightarrow & HH_{n-1}(R) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ HC_{n-1}(S)^G & \longrightarrow & HH_n(S)^G & \longrightarrow & HC_n(S)^G & \longrightarrow & HC_{n-2}(S)^G & \longrightarrow & HH_{n-1}(S)^G \end{array}$$

The assertion thus follows from the 5-lemma by induction on n . (Note that all homologies under consideration are zero in negative degrees.) □

Appendix: The G -trace map

In this Appendix we collect some well-known facts concerning the G -trace map. Let A be any k -algebra on which G acts by automorphisms. Then, for any right module V over the skew group ring $A * G$, we can consider $V^G = H^0(G, V)$, the G -invariants in V . The G -trace map is defined by

$$\text{tr} : V \rightarrow V^G, \quad v \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} vg = vt,$$

where we have put $t = \sum_{g \in G} g \in T$. In particular, one has the usual G -trace $\text{tr} : A \rightarrow A^G$. Letting $V_G = H_0(G, V) = V / (v(g - 1) \mid v \in V, g \in G)$ denote the G -

coinvariants of V , one observes that the G -trace factors through the canonical epimorphism $V \rightarrow V_G$. Thus we obtain a map

$$\bar{\text{tr}} : V_G \rightarrow V^G.$$

LEMMA. *Suppose that $\text{tr}(z) = 1$ for some $z \in A$. Then, for every right $A * G$ -module V , the trace map $\bar{\text{tr}} : V_G \rightarrow V^G$ is an isomorphism and $H_n(G, V) = 0$ holds for all $n > 0$.*

Proof. Recall that the Tate cohomology $\hat{H}^*(G, V)$ satisfies $\hat{H}^0(G, V) = \text{Coker}(\bar{\text{tr}})$, $\hat{H}^{-1}(G, V) = \text{Ker}(\bar{\text{tr}})$, and $\hat{H}^{-n-1}(G, V) = H_n(G, V)$ for all $n > 0$ (see [B], §V1.4). Thus it suffices to show that $\hat{H}^*(G, V)$ vanishes. To this end, let $\phi : A * G \rightarrow \text{End}_k(V)^{op}$ denote the structure map of the $A * G$ -module V . Then, in $\text{End}_k(V)^{op}$, we have $\text{Id} = \text{tr}(\phi(z))$. Since $\hat{H}^*(G, \text{Id})$ is the identity on $\hat{H}^*(G, V)$ and $\hat{H}^*(G, \text{tr}(\phi(z)))$ is zero (cf. [Ba], 15.3), we conclude that $\hat{H}^*(G, V) = 0$, as required. \square

REFERENCES

- [A-H-V] J. ALEV, T. J. HODGES and J.-D. VELEZ, *Fixed rings of the Weyl algebra $A_1(\mathbb{C})$* , J. Algebra 130 (1990), 83–96.
- [Ba] A. BABAKHANIAN, *Cohomological methods in group theory*, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [B] K. S. BROWN, *Cohomology of groups*, Springer, New York, 1982.
- [C-H-R] S. U. CHASE, D. K. HARRISON and A. ROSENBERG, *Galois theory and cohomology of commutative rings*, Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc., No. 52, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1965.
- [Co] M. COHEN, *A Morita context related to finite automorphism groups of rings*, Pacific J. Math. 98 (1982), 37–54.
- [L] J.-L. LODAY, *Cyclic homology*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg, 1992.
- [Lo] M. LORENZ, *On the homology of graded algebras*, Comm. in Algebra 20 (1992), 489–507.
- [P] D. S. PASSMAN, *Infinite crossed products*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1989.
- [W-G] C. A. WEIBEL and S. C. GELLER, *Étale descent for Hochschild and cyclic homology*, Comment. Math. Helvetici 66 (1991), 368–388.

Department of Mathematics,
 Temple University,
 Philadelphia,
 PA 19122
 E-mail address: lorenz@euclid.math.temple.edu

Received November 30, 1993