

Compactification of moduli space of harmonic mappings

Autor(en): **Chen, Jingy / Tian, Gang**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici**

Band (Jahr): **74 (1999)**

PDF erstellt am: **29.06.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-55783>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Compactification of moduli space of harmonic mappings

Jingyi Chen and Gang Tian

Abstract. We introduce the notion of harmonic nodal maps from the stratified Riemann surfaces into any compact Riemannian manifolds and prove that the space of the energy minimizing nodal maps is sequentially compact. We also give an existence result for the energy minimizing nodal maps. As an application, we obtain a general existence theorem for minimal surfaces with arbitrary genus in any compact Riemannian manifolds

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991). 53C42, 58E20.

Keywords. Compactness, harmonic maps, stratified Riemann surface.

1. Introduction

In many cases, a sequence of harmonic maps contains a subsequence which converges to a limit map. The limit map is a union of smooth harmonic maps. However, it is often difficult to keep track of how topology changes during the limiting process. On the other hand, we do have satisfactory compactness results in certain cases, for example, Gromov's compactness theorem for pseudoholomorphic curves and for perturbed Cauchy-Riemann equations ([Gr], [PW], [RT], [Ye]). In this paper, we make a few new observations on compactness for 2-dimensional harmonic maps and derive some consequences.

To motivate our discussion, let us mention a few well-known examples. First, J. Eells and J.C. Wood ([EW]) show that there are no harmonic maps of degree 1 or -1 from the 2-torus \mathbb{T}^2 to the 2-sphere \mathbb{S}^2 . Second, A. Futaki ([A]) proves that an energy minimizing map from \mathbb{S}^2 into a Hirzebruch surface M in the class $\alpha + \beta$, where α and β are two generators of $\pi_2(M) = \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, has to split into two spheres representing α and β respectively. Finally, if one starts from a long cylinder in \mathbb{R}^3 and deforms it continuously to minimize area of surfaces spanning the two boundary circles, then one gets two flat discs joined by a line.

Let Σ be a stable curve of genus g in the sense of Deligne-Mumford, possibly

The first author is supported by a NSF postdoctoral fellowship and the second author is supported partially by NSF grants.

with nodes as singularities. Recall Σ is obtained by collapsing finitely many circles on a smooth compact Riemann surface of genus g to points and requiring any component which is homeomorphic to \mathbb{S}^2 touches at least three other components. Let M be a smooth compact Riemannian manifold with a Riemannian metric h . As usual, the moduli space of all such Σ is denoted by $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, which is compact. The open subset of $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ consisting of all smooth curves is denoted by \mathcal{M}_g . A *stratified Riemann surface* T associated to Σ is defined to be a union of smooth compact Riemann surfaces $\Sigma_1, \dots, \Sigma_k$ and line segments l_1, \dots, l_p of unit length such that (i) $\Sigma_i \cap \Sigma_j = \emptyset$ and $l_i \cap l_j = \emptyset$ for any $i \neq j$; (ii) each l_i intersects $\cup_{j=1}^k \Sigma_j$ transversely at two points and T is connected; (iii) if l_j 's are removed and the end points of l_j are identified, we obtain a surface Σ' possibly with nodes as singularities. Moreover, $\Sigma' = \cup_{i=1}^k \Sigma_i$ is the union of components in Σ and some 2-spheres. Each Σ_i is called a 2-dimensional component of T while l_j a 1-dimensional component of T . Also, if Σ_i is a component of Σ , we call it a principal component of T , otherwise, Σ_i is a bubbling component of T . Note that all bubbling components are 2-spheres, but some principal components may be also 2-spheres. If there are at most two lines connecting a 2-sphere with other components, we say the 2-sphere is an *unstable component* of T . A conformal structure on T is given by assigning a conformal structure on each 2-dimensional component. A smooth metric μ on T consists of smooth metrics μ_i on Σ_i and the standard metric ds_j on l_j . The regular part $\text{Reg}(T)$ is $T \setminus \cup_{i=1}^p l_i$. Note that $\text{Reg}(T)$ is the disjoint union of punctured Riemann surfaces. Let $\chi(\text{Reg}(T))$ be the Euler characteristic class of $\text{Reg}(T)$. The genus $g(T)$ of T is given by

$$g(T) = 1 - \frac{1}{2}\chi(\text{Reg}(T)).$$

For example, take two 2-spheres S_1, S_2 . (a) if $T = (S_1, S_2; l)$ with l joining S_1, S_2 , then $g(T) = 0$; (b) if $T = (S_1, S_2; l_1, l_2)$ with each of l_1, l_2 joining S_1, S_2 , then $g(T) = 1$. We are interested in maps f from T to M satisfying: (1) f is smooth on each Σ_j and each l_i ; (2) f is Lipschitz on T . The set of all such maps is denoted by $C^\infty(T, M)$. We call a map $f \in C^\infty(T, M)$ *harmonic nodal map* if (i) it is harmonic on each surface (Σ_j, μ_j) and on each line l_i ; (ii) if f is constant on some bubbling component Σ_i , then Σ_i intersects with at least three 1-dimensional components of T . The requirement on 1-dimensional components in (i) simply means that f maps the interval $[0, 1]$ into a geodesic in M . The *energy* $E(f)$ of $f \in C^\infty(T, M)$ is defined to be

$$E(f) = \sum_{j=1}^k \int_{\Sigma_j} |\nabla f|_{\mu_j}^2 d\mu_j.$$

Note that (ii) implies that the automorphism group of T is finite.

We have the following compactness theorem for harmonic nodal mappings.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary. Let T_n be a stratified Riemann surfaces associated to a stable curve $\Sigma_n \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$ of the*

same genus for $n = 1, \dots, \infty$. Assume that Σ_n converges to some stable curve Σ_∞ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. Suppose that $\{u_n\}$ is a sequence of energy minimizing harmonic nodal maps from T_n to M in the same homotopy class whose energies are uniformly bounded above. Then a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ converges to an energy minimizing harmonic map from T_∞ to M , where T_∞ is a stratified Riemann surface associated to $\Sigma_\infty \in \overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. Further, $u_n(T_n)$ converges to $u_\infty(T_\infty)$ continuously in the Hausdorff distance. The geodesics from the unstable components are trivial.

We point out that since the total energy of u_n is uniformly bounded above, there are at most finitely many extra \mathbb{S}^2 's, *i.e.*, the bubbles in T_∞ . If g_n, g_∞ are the metrics compatible with conformal structures on Σ_n, Σ_∞ respectively (*i.e.* Kähler metrics with respect to the conformal structures c_n, c_∞), then that Σ_n converges to Σ_∞ , means that $g_n \rightarrow g_\infty$ uniformly on every compact subset away from the singular set of Σ_∞ and we say *the conformal structures c_n converge to the conformal structure c_∞* . In a special case that $T_n = \Sigma$ is a fixed Riemann surface, the result in Theorem 1.1 was already obtained by Parker in [P].

The existence of harmonic maps in given homotopy class has been a central problem in geometric analysis. The important work of Sacks-Uhlenbeck [SU1] asserts the existence of a non-constant harmonic map from \mathbb{S}^2 into a compact Riemannian manifold N with non-contractible universal cover. Sacks-Uhlenbeck [SU2] and Schoen-Yau [SU] proved independently the following beautiful result on incompressible minimal surfaces: if $\phi : \pi_1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ is an injective homomorphism, then there exists a branched minimal immersion from Σ to M which minimizes area among maps in the same conjugacy class of ϕ . Note that this does not say that each homotopy class of maps from Σ (even \mathbb{S}^2) to N contains a harmonic representative. If allowing degeneration of conformal structure on domain surface Σ , one can obtain the general existence result (see Theorem 1.2).

In proving the existence theorem in [SU1], Sacks and Uhlenbeck used the minimizers of perturbed energy and then take their limit. The limit may not be necessarily a single smooth harmonic map. It is often a union of several harmonic maps, which may not be connected. One new result of this paper is to provide a complete understanding of the behavior of the minimizing sequence along the "necks" which connect those harmonic components. In particular, we provide detailed analysis on convergence of critical points of Sacks-Uhlenbeck's functional. As a simple corollary, we deduce the existence of harmonic nodal map with given genus in each homotopy class.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary and T a stratified Riemann surface associated to Σ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$. For any homotopy class $[u_0] \in [T, M]$ defined by a map $u_0 \in C^\infty(T, M)$, there exists an energy minimizing harmonic map u from T' , a stratified Riemann surface associated to Σ in $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_g$, to M in the homotopy class $[u_0]$. Moreover, u is non-constant on the bubbles and maps the lines in T' to geodesics with finite length in M . In fact, (u, T') is the*

limit of a sequence of minimizers of the perturbed energies in [SU1].

One consequence of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 is:

Theorem 1.3. *For any fixed genus g and homotopy class a , there is a minimizing harmonic nodal map into M , which is also conformal.*

This harmonic nodal map actually parameterizes a generalized minimal surface which minimizes the area among surfaces with genus g and homotopy class a in M . In particular, any immersed surface Σ in M can be deformed to $\tilde{\Sigma}$, a finite union of compact admissible (see Section 4) minimal surfaces and geodesics of finite length, such that Σ and $\tilde{\Sigma}$ have the same genus.

Other possible applications include developing the Morse theory on the space of maps from a Riemann surface via the energy functional. Our theorems here indicate that one can achieve certain compactness by partially compactifying the moduli space of maps.

Large portion of this paper consists of asymptotic analysis near singularities arising either from bubbling off of harmonic maps or from degeneration of conformal structure on domain. Applications of asymptotic analysis to geometric problems were given by Almgren-Allard [AA], Cheeger-Tian [CT], Schoen-Uhlenbeck [ScU], Simon [S1], [S2] and other people in various situations. The second author of the present paper observed the possible collapsing of harmonic maps to geodesics in a unpublished note. Also, there are related important works on singularities of harmonic maps and maps with L^2 -bounded tension fields, notably by Jost, Qing, Wang ([J1], [Q], [DT], [QT], [W]), etc.

We prove the compactness theorem for minimizing harmonic nodal mappings in Section 2 and the existence of harmonic maps in any given homotopy class in Section 3. Then in Section 4, we apply the techniques and results developed in the previous two sections to study the existence of minimal surfaces in given homotopy classes. The construction of minimal surfaces requires two minimizing processes. In the given homotopy class, first find minimizing harmonic nodal maps for the given conformal structures; then minimize the energy among conformal structures. The existence of minimal surfaces has been extensively studied by many people for a very long time and many important results have been obtained (see [Do], [Gu], [Hi], [J2], [Mo], [MY], [MSY1,2], [Os], [SU1], [SU2], [SY], [TT] for example).

We would like to thank M. Struwe for his interests in this work and point out some errors in the early version of this paper. We are grateful to the referee for useful and detailed comments.

2. Compactness of minimizing harmonic nodal maps

In this section, our main goal is to prove Theorem 1.1.

Let c_n be the conformal structure with respect to which the map u_n is harmonic. By the compactness of Σ , there exists a subsequence of $\{c_n\}$, which is still denoted by $\{c_n\}$, converges to a conformal structure c_∞ (refer to the previous section for definition). c_∞ may have nodes as singularities. In each conformal class c_n , there exists a metric g_n on Σ_n satisfying the following properties: (1) the curvature $K(g_n)$ is bounded by $|K(g_n)| \leq 1$; (2) the injectivity radius of Σ_n with respect to g_n is not less than 1; (3) g_n converges uniformly to a complete metric g_∞ on $\Sigma_\infty \setminus \{\text{nodes}\}$ in C^6 -topology; (4) the limit metric g_∞ is quasi-isometric to the standard Euclidean metric on cylinder near each node of Σ_∞ , i.e. $C^{-1}g_{cylinder} \leq g_\infty \leq Cg_{cylinder}$ for some constant $C \geq 1$. The existence of the metric g_n is well-known. Note that since the domain spaces are 2-dimensional, harmonicity is invariant under conformal changes of domain, and hence u_n is harmonic with respect to the metric g_n . In particular, the Dirichlet energy of u_n is unchanged under conformal transformations.

The following ϵ -regularity theorem is due to Sacks-Uhlenbeck [SU1] and Schoen-Uhlenbeck [ScU]. Note that we choose the geodesic ball of unit size for simplicity.

Lemma 2.1. *There exist constants $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $C > 0$ depending only on M , the injectivity radius and the curvature bound for the metric g such that if u is harmonic on $B_2(x_0, g) \subset M$ and*

$$\int_{B_1(x)} |\nabla u|_g^2 dv_g \leq \epsilon_0$$

then

$$\sup_{B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_0, g)} |\nabla f|_g^2 \leq C \int_{B_1(x_0, g)} |\nabla u|_g^2 dv_g.$$

We will also need the Removable Singularity Theorem of Sacks-Uhlenbeck [SU1]:

Lemma 2.2. *Let f be any continuous harmonic map from a punctured disk $D \setminus \{0\}$ in a Riemann surface into a manifold X with finite total energy. Then f extends to a smooth harmonic map from D to X .*

Note that the regularity of f improves from C^0 to C^∞ is well known. Set $r_m = 2^{-m}$ where $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Define

$$E_{m,n} = \{x \in \Sigma \mid \int_{B_{r_m}(x, g_n)} |\nabla u_n|_{g_n}^2 dv_{g_n} \geq \epsilon_0\},$$

where ϵ_0 is given in Lemma 1. It is clear that $E_{m_2,n} \subseteq E_{m_1,n}$ if $m_2 \geq m_1$. The following claim is proved in p. 281 in [RT]:

Claim. *For n sufficiently large, each $E_{m,n}$ can be covered by a collection of balls $B_{8r_m}(x_{n1}, g_n), \dots, B_{8r_m}(x_{ns}, g_n)$ where s is independent of n .*

We may assume that the sequence $\{x_{nk}\}$ converges to a point x_k for $k = 1, \dots, s$ without loss of generality. Also, we may assume that the injectivity radius in g_n is larger than 1. Within each ball $B_{\frac{1}{8}}(x_{ni}, g_n)$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$, there is a point y_{ni} so that

$$\epsilon_{ni} = |\nabla u_n|_{g_n}^2(y_{ni}) = \max_{y \in B_1(x_{ni}, g_n)} |\nabla u_n|_{g_n}^2(y).$$

Then we may assume that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_{ni} = \infty.$$

Define a new metric on $B_1(x_{ni}, g_n)$ by

$$g'_{ni} = \frac{\epsilon_{ni} g_n}{\phi_{ni}(1 + 16\epsilon_{ni} r^2)}$$

where r is the distance function from y_{ni} of g_n and ϕ is a cut-off function defined by

$$\phi_{ni}(t) = \begin{cases} t & \text{if } t \leq 1 + \epsilon_{ni} \\ \epsilon_{ni} & \text{if } t \geq 2 + \epsilon_{ni} \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\phi'_{ni}(t)| \leq 1, |\phi''_{ni}(t)| \leq C \text{ for all } t$$

for some constant C independent of n . We change the metric g_n on all balls $B_1(x_{ni}, g_n)$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$ to get a new metric g'_n on Σ . With respect to the new metric g'_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla u_n|_{g'_n}^2(y_{ni}) &= 1, \\ |\nabla u_n|_{g'_n}^2(y) &\leq \phi_{ni}(1 + 16\epsilon_{ni} r^2). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that g'_n coincides with g_n in the annulus $B_1(x_{ni}, g_n) \setminus B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{ni}, g_n)$ and $|\nabla u_n|_{g'_n}^2$ is uniformly bounded over this annulus. Recall if $h' = e^f h$ is a conformal change of metrics in 2-dimensional manifold, then the Ricci tensors are related by

$$\text{Ric}(h') = \text{Ric}(h) + \left(\frac{1}{2} \Delta_h f\right) h.$$

Therefore it is easy to see that the curvature $K(g'_n)$ is also bounded from above and below on $B_1(x_{ni}, g'_n)$ by noting that $f = \ln \epsilon_{ni} - \ln \phi_{ni}$ for our choice of conformal change. Since u_n is an energy minimizer, the standard elliptic estimates imply

$$\int_{B_1(x_{ni}, g'_n)} |\nabla u_n|_{g'_n}^2 dA_{g'_n} \geq \delta$$

where δ is a positive number independent of n . Next, if $|\nabla u_n|_{g'_n}^2$ is not uniformly bounded on $B_1(x_{ni}, g_n)$, then there is a point $z_{ni} \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_{ni}, g_n) \setminus B_4(y_{ni}, g'_n)$, such that

$$|\nabla u_n|_{g'_n}^2(z_{ni}) = \sup_{B_1(x_{ni}, g_n)} |\nabla u_n|_{g'_n}^2 = e'_{ni}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} e'_{ni} = \infty.$$

Then we change the metric g'_n conformally in a small neighborhood of z_{ni} as we just did for g_n to obtain a new metric g''_n . We have $B_1(y_{ni}, g'_n) \cap B_1(z_{ni}, g''_n) = \emptyset$ and

$$\int_{B_1(z_{ni}, g''_n)} |\nabla u_n|_{g''_n}^2 dA_{g''_n} \geq \delta.$$

Again, if $|\nabla u_n|_{g''_n}^2$ is not uniformly bounded, we repeat the above arguments and obtain w_{ni} and so on. Note that each time we use a positive amount of energy, which is at least δ . But the total energy is fixed under conformal transformations on the domain surface. Therefore this process will stop after finitely many steps. In this way, we find a metric and with respect to it the energy density of u_n is uniformly bounded. By Courant-Lebesgue lemma (cf. [J1]), a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ will converge to a harmonic map as $n \rightarrow \infty$ from Σ_∞ union with some 2-spheres each with a point (the blow up point of $|\nabla u_n|_{g_n}^2$) deleted. Then the Removable Singularity Theorem implies the limit harmonic map extends to the whole 2-spheres.

By the ϵ -regularity theorem for harmonic maps and Arzela-Ascoli theorem, u_n , taking a subsequence if necessary, converges strongly to a harmonic map u_∞ on $\Sigma \setminus \{x \in \Sigma | d_{g_n}(x, E_{m,\infty}) < 4r_m\}$ where

$$E_{m,\infty} = \bigcap_n E_{m,n} \subseteq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bigcup_{i=1}^s B_{8r_m}(x_i, g_n).$$

We set

$$\tau = 12r_m.$$

On the other hand, for any given constant K ,

$$g'_n \geq \frac{e_n}{1 + 16K^2} g_n$$

if the distance r from y_{ni} in g_n satisfying

$$r \leq e_{ni}^{-\frac{1}{2}} K.$$

Now we decompose (Σ, g_n) into three parts: the regular part

$$\Sigma \setminus \{x \in \Sigma | d_{g_n}(x, E_{m,n}) < 4r_m\},$$

the bubbling part

$$\bigcup_{i=1}^s B_{e_{n_i}^{-\frac{1}{2}}K}(y_{n_i}, g_n)$$

and the connecting part

$$A_{n_i}(\tau, K) = \{x \in B_1(x_{n_i}, g_n) : e_{n_i}^{-\frac{1}{2}}K \leq |x - y_{n_i}|_{g_n} \leq \tau\}.$$

Our main interests will be focused on the connecting part. Along a connecting annulus, we consider the map

$$f : (t, \theta) \rightarrow (-\log t, \theta)$$

which maps A_{n_i} conformally with factor t^{-2} to a cylinder

$$C_{n_i}(\tau, K) = [-\log \tau, -\log(e_{n_i}^{-\frac{1}{2}}K)] \times \mathbb{S}^1$$

with coordinate (t, θ) and metric

$$ds^2 = dt^2 + d\theta^2.$$

A harmonic map u from the flat cylinder $\mathcal{C} = [0, R] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ into M , which is isometrically embedded in some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N takes the form of

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial \theta^2} + B_{ij}(u) \left(\frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial \theta} \right) = 0 \tag{2.1}$$

where B is the second fundamental form of M in \mathbb{R}^N . In particular, if a harmonic map from $[0, R] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ into M is θ -independent, *i.e.*, $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta} = 0$, then it satisfies the equation for geodesics in M

$$\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2} + B_{ij}(u) \frac{\partial u^i}{\partial t} \frac{\partial u^j}{\partial t} = 0. \tag{2.2}$$

If the length of \mathcal{C} is R , then we divide \mathcal{C} into m equal length pieces and set

$$I_i = \{(t, \theta) : (i - 1)l \leq t \leq il\}$$

where $R = ml$ and $i = 1, \dots, m$. If w_1 and w_2 are two solutions of (2.1), we put

$$w = w_1 - w_2 \tag{2.3}$$

here we embed M into some Euclidean space isometrically \mathbb{R}^N and set

$$\|w\|_i^2 = \int_{I_i} |w|^2 dt d\theta. \tag{2.4}$$

It is easy to check that w satisfies

$$\frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2} + A \cdot \nabla w + B \cdot w = 0 \tag{2.5}$$

where

$$|A| \leq C(|\nabla w_1| + |\nabla w_2|) \text{ and } |B| \leq C \min\{|\nabla w_1|^2, |\nabla w_2|^2\}$$

for some uniform constant C . We now prove a result regarding the asymptotic behavior of solutions of equation (2.5).

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose that m and l are fixed and l is large (say $l > \ln 2$). Let v be a solution of (2.5). There is a constant δ_0 such that if and $|A|_{L^2(C)} + |B|_{L^2(C)} \leq \delta_0$, then we have the following alternatives:*

- (i) if $\|v\|_i \geq e^{\frac{l}{2}} \|v\|_{i+1}$, then $\|v\|_{i-1} \geq e^{\frac{l}{2}} \|v\|_i$;
- (ii) if $\|v\|_i \geq e^{\frac{l}{2}} \|v\|_{i-1}$, then $\|v\|_{i+1} \geq e^{\frac{l}{2}} \|v\|_i$;
- (iii) if

$$\int_{I_i} v dt d\theta = 0 \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$\int_{I_i} tv dt d\theta = 0, \tag{2.7}$$

then either $\|v\|_i \leq e^{-\frac{l}{2}} \|v\|_{i+1}$ or $\|v\|_i \leq e^{-\frac{l}{2}} \|v\|_{i-1}$.

Proof. First, we consider the limit case when $|A|_{L^2(C)} + |B|_{L^2(C)} \leq \delta \rightarrow 0$. For each $\delta > 0$, we denote the solution of (2.5) with $|A|_{L^2(C)} + |B|_{L^2(C)} \leq \delta$ by v_δ . Suppose that (i) does not hold. So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_\delta\|_i &\geq e^{\frac{l}{2}} \|v_\delta\|_{i+1} \\ \|v_\delta\|_i &\geq e^{-\frac{l}{2}} \|v_\delta\|_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We normalize v_δ such that $\|v_\delta\|_i = 1$. Then by taking a subsequence of v_δ in $\delta \rightarrow 0$ and by the standard elliptic estimates, we may assume that v_δ converge to a harmonic map v_0 from the cylinder into Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N

$$\frac{\partial^2 v_0}{\partial t^2} + \frac{\partial^2 v_0}{\partial \theta^2} = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_0\|_i &\geq e^{\frac{l}{2}} \|v_0\|_{i+1} \\ \|v_0\|_i &\geq e^{-\frac{l}{2}} \|v_0\|_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we only need to prove the proposition for a solution v_0 of (2.8). By separation of variables, the expansion of v_0 is

$$v_0 = a_0 + b_0 t + \sum_{k \neq 0} (a_k \cos k\theta + b_k \sin k\theta) e^{kt}. \quad (2.9)$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_0\|_i^2 &= \int_{(i-1)l}^{il} \int_0^{2\pi} (a_0 + b_0 t)^2 dt d\theta \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{(i-1)l}^{il} \left(e^{2kt} (a_k^2 + a_{-k}^2) + e^{-2kt} (b_k^2 + b_{-k}^2) \right) dt \int_0^{2\pi} \cos^2 k\theta d\theta \\ &= 2\pi \left(a_0^2 l + a_0 b_0 l^2 (2i-1) + \frac{1}{3} b_0^2 l^3 (3i^2 - 3i + 1) \right) \\ &+ \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k^{-1} e^{2(i-1)kl} (e^{2kl} - 1) (a_k^2 + a_{-k}^2) \right. \\ &\left. + k^{-1} e^{-2(i-1)kl} (1 - e^{-2kl}) (b_k^2 + b_{-k}^2) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

If (i) does not hold, then

$$\|v_0\|_i^2 \geq \frac{1}{2} (e^l \|v_0\|_{i+1}^2 + e^{-l} \|v_0\|_{i-1}^2).$$

Then applying the recursive formula (2.10) for $i-1, i, i+1$ to the above inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k^{-1} e^{2(i-1)kl} (e^{2kl} - 1) (a_k^2 + a_{-k}^2) + k^{-1} e^{-2(i-1)kl} (1 - e^{-2kl}) (b_k^2 + b_{-k}^2) \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k^{-1} (e^{l+2kl} + e^{-l-2kl}) e^{2(i-1)kl} (e^{2kl} - 1) (a_k^2 + a_{-k}^2) \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k^{-1} (e^{l-2kl} + e^{-l+2kl}) e^{-2(i-1)kl} (1 - e^{-2kl}) (b_k^2 + b_{-k}^2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

It is impossible as $e^{l+2kl} + e^{-l-2kl} > 2$ and $e^{l-2kl} + e^{-l+2kl} > 2$. Similarly, one can prove (ii). For (iii), we have $a_0 = 0, b_0 = 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_0\|_i^2 &= \frac{\pi}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k^{-1} e^{2(i-1)lk} (e^{2kl} - 1) (a_k^2 + a_{-k}^2) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + k^{-1} e^{-2(i-1)kl} (1 - e^{-2kl}) (b_k^2 + b_{-k}^2) \right) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}e^{-l}(\|v_0\|_{i+1}^2 + \|v_0\|_{i-1}^2) \\ &= \frac{\pi}{4}e^{-l} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(k^{-1}e^{2(i-1)kl+2kl}(e^{2kl} - 1)(a_k^2 + a_{-k}^2) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + k^{-1}e^{-2(i-1)kl+2kl}(1 - e^{2l})(b_k^2 + b_{-k}^2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By term by term comparison, it is easy to see that if $l > \ln 2$

$$\|v_0\|_i^2 \leq \frac{1}{2}e^{-l}(\|v_0\|_{i+1}^2 + \|v_0\|_{i-1}^2).$$

Therefore we have shown the proposition for harmonic functions. Now the general case follows since v_δ converges to v_0 in $W^{2,2}$ (see also the proof of Corollary 2.4 below). \square

In fact, the same method yields the following more general result (cf. [QT]).

Corollary 2.4. *Let v satisfy*

$$\Delta v + A^{ij}D_{ij}^2 v + B \cdot \nabla v + C \cdot v = f \tag{2.11}$$

on the cylinder $\mathcal{C} = [0, ml] \times S^1$. Then for a given $p > 1$, there exists a positive number δ_1 such that if

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\mathcal{C})} \leq \delta_1 \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|v\|_{W^{1,2}([i-1]l, il] \times S^1)}^2 + \sup_{\mathcal{C}} |v|^2 \right) \tag{2.12}$$

and

$$\|A\|_{C^0(\mathcal{C})} + \|B\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{C})} + \|C\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{C})} \leq \delta_1, \tag{2.13}$$

then for $2 \leq i \leq m - 1$ the following alternatives hold:

- (i) if $\|v\|_i \geq e^{\frac{l}{2}}\|v\|_{i+1}$, then $\|v\|_{i-1} \geq e^{\frac{l}{2}}\|v\|_i$;*
- (ii) if $\|v\|_i \geq e^{\frac{l}{2}}\|v\|_{i-1}$, then $\|v\|_{i+1} \geq e^{\frac{l}{2}}\|v\|_i$;*
- (iii) if*

$$\int_{I_i} v dt d\theta \leq \delta_1 (\|v\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathcal{C})}^2 + \sup_{\mathcal{C}} |v|^2) \tag{2.14}$$

and

$$\int_{I_i} tv dt d\theta \leq \delta_1 (\|v\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathcal{C})}^2 + \sup_{\mathcal{C}} |v|^2), \tag{2.15}$$

then either $\|v\|_i \leq e^{-\frac{l}{2}}\|v\|_{i+1}$ or $\|v\|_i \leq e^{-\frac{l}{2}}\|v\|_{i-1}$.

Proof. If the corollary were not true, then there would be a sequence of $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$ and a sequence of solutions v_k of the equation (2.11) with

$$\|f\|_{L^p} \leq \delta_k \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq m} \|v_k\|_{W^{1,2}([[(i-1)l, il] \times S^1)}^2 + \sup_C |v_k|^2 \right)$$

and

$$\|A\|_{C^0(C)} + \|B\|_{L^\infty(C)} + \|C\|_{L^\infty(C)} \leq \delta_k. \tag{2.13}$$

Let us assume (i) is not true for v_k (the other case can be argued similarly). Therefore for some $i, 2 \leq i \leq m - 2$, we have

- (a) $\|v_k\|_i \geq e^{l/2} \|v_k\|_{i+1}$,
- (b) $\|v_k\|_i > e^{-l/2} \|v_k\|_{i-1}$.

Normalize v_k over $[(i-1)l, (i+2)l] \times S^1$ by dividing the norm of v_k over the middle interval I_{i+1}

$$v'_k = \frac{v_k}{\|v_k\|_{W^{1,2}(I_{i+1})} + \sup_{I_{i+1}} |v_k|}.$$

Now (a), (b) and the elliptic estimates assert that the norms of v'_k over I_i, I_{i+2} are bounded above by that over I_{i+1} times a uniform constant (depends on e^l). The interior estimates (cf. [GT]) then imply that on every domain $[(i-1)l + \epsilon, (i+2)l - \epsilon] \times S^1$ for sufficiently small positive ϵ there exists a subsequence of v'_k converges to a harmonic function. So by a diagonal process, a subsequence of v'_k converges to a harmonic function v'_0 on $((i-1)l, (i+2)l) \times S^1$ and v'_0 satisfies (a) and (b) (" $>$ " replaced by " \geq "). But the norm of v'_0 on I_{i+1} is 1 hence v'_0 is nonzero. We then obtain a contradiction to Proposition 2.3. \square

Along the cylinder, there is a unique solution of (2.2), *i.e.*, θ -independent solution of (2.1), for any given initial data, and this solution is a geodesic in M . We will show that if δ in (2.3) is sufficiently small then any solution of (2.1) is very close to a geodesic. Recall that harmonicity and the Dirichlet integral is invariant under conformal transformations if the domain is 2-dimensional. For the harmonic map u_n the composition $u_n \circ f^{-1}$ is harmonic on the corresponding cylinder $f(A_{ni}(\tau, K))$. For the sake of simplicity, we will still use u_n to denote the harmonic maps on the cylinders. After rescaling the metric

$$\begin{cases} \|\nabla u_n\|_{C^0(\Sigma, g'_n)} \leq C \\ \|\nabla u_n\|_{C^0(C)} \leq \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

for some positive constant ϵ which is so small that Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 2.6 can be applied (refer to Proposition 2.5 and Proposition 2.6).

Proposition 2.5. *There is a constant $\epsilon > 0$ such that if $\|\nabla u_n\|_{C^0(C)} < \epsilon$, then on each I_i , there exists a curve in M given by a solution \tilde{u}_n of (2.2) such that \tilde{u}_n*

and satisfies the following normalization

$$\int_{I_i} (u_n - \tilde{u}_n) dt d\theta = 0, \tag{2.16}$$

$$\int_{I_i} t(u_n - \tilde{u}_n) dt d\theta = 0 \tag{2.17}$$

and

$$\|\nabla \tilde{u}_n\|_{C^0([il, (i+1)l])} \leq C\epsilon \tag{2.18}$$

where C is a positive constant depending only on M .

Proof. A θ -independent solution v_n of (2.8) is of the form

$$v_n(t) = p_n + tq_n$$

where p_n is a point in M and q_n is a fixed vector in $T_{p_n}M$. In fact, p_n and q_n can be viewed as vectors in \mathbb{R}^N where M is isometrically embedded. The two constrains on \tilde{u} can be interpreted as initial values as follows. Integrating over I_i ,

$$2\pi \left(lp_n + \frac{1}{2}l^2q_n \right) = \int_{S^1} \int_0^l u_n(t, \theta) dt d\theta$$

$$2\pi \left(\frac{1}{2}l^2p_n + \frac{1}{3}l^3q_n \right) = \int_{S^1} \int_0^l tu_n(t, \theta) dt d\theta.$$

Since $l \neq 0$, there exists a unique solution (p_n, q_n) for the system. The first derivative at v_n of the functional ∂_t^2 on $C^\infty([il, (i+1)l], \mathbb{R}^N)$ is

$$\left. \frac{d}{ds} \right|_{s=0} \partial_t^2(v_n(t) + sf(t)) = \partial_t^2 f$$

for any $f \in C^\infty([il, (i+1)l], \mathbb{R}^N)$. It is straightforward to check that the first derivative of the functional is both surjective and injective on the subspace of $C^\infty([il, (i+1)l], \mathbb{R}^N)$ whose elements satisfy (2.16) and (2.17). Then the Inverse Function Theorem implies that there is some constant $\delta_2 > 0$ such that if $|h| < \delta_2$ for $h \in C^\infty([il, (i+1)l], \mathbb{R}^N)$ then the equation

$$\partial_t^2 f = h$$

has a unique solution in $C^\infty([il, (i+1)l], \mathbb{R}^N)$ with (2.16), (2.17) satisfied. Next, since $|\nabla u| \leq \epsilon$ on \mathcal{C} by assumption, the image of I_i under u is contained in a small convex region. In fact, there is a point x_0 in M such that for any $(t, \theta) \in I_i$,

$$|u_n(t, \theta) - x_0| \leq 2l\|\nabla u_n\|_{C^0} \leq 2l\epsilon.$$

If \tilde{u}_n is a geodesic from $[il, (i+1)l]$ to the same small region in M , it has constant speed, we have

$$\left| \frac{d\tilde{u}_n}{dt} \right| \leq C \frac{2l\epsilon}{l} \leq 2C\epsilon.$$

Therefore if we pick ϵ sufficiently small in advance, we have

$$|B_{ij}(\tilde{u}_n) \partial_t \tilde{u}_n^i \partial_t \tilde{u}_n^j| \leq C\epsilon < \delta_2$$

where C depends only on the second fundamental form of M in \mathbb{R}^N , and the Inverse Function Theorem then asserts the existence of a geodesic which satisfying the two normalization conditions (2.16) and (2.17). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.5. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let u_n, \tilde{u}_n be as before and $\|\nabla u_n\|_{C^0(C)} < \epsilon < C_1\delta_1$ where ϵ, δ_1 are given in Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.4 respectively and $C_1 < 1$ is some positive constant independent of n . Then there exists $i_0 \geq 2$ such that*

$$|u_n(t, \theta) - \tilde{u}_n(t)| + |\nabla u_n(t, \theta) - \nabla \tilde{u}_n(t)| \leq \delta_1 C e^{-\frac{1}{2}(R-t)}, \quad \text{if } t \geq i_0 l, \quad (2.19)$$

$$|u_n(t, \theta) - \tilde{u}_n(t)| + |\nabla u_n(t, \theta) - \nabla \tilde{u}_n(t)| \leq \delta_1 C e^{-\frac{1}{2}t}, \quad \text{if } t < i_0 l \quad (2.20)$$

where C is a universal constant.

Proof. By Proposition 2.5 and (iii) in Corollary 2.4, for any fixed $i_0 \geq 2$ either

$$\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{i_0} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}l} \|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{i_0+1}$$

or

$$\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{i_0} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}l} \|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{i_0-1}.$$

Suppose the first case is true. Then by (ii) in Proposition 2.4,

$$\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{i+1} \geq e^{\frac{1}{2}l} \|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_i$$

for any $i \geq i_0$. We claim

$$\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_m \leq \delta_1 = \frac{\delta}{100}.$$

If this were not the case, then there would be some $i > i_0$ such that

$$\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_i \geq \delta_1.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{i+1}^2 &\leq \|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_i^2 + \|\nabla(u_n - \tilde{u}_n)\|_{C^0}^2 \cdot 2\pi l \\ &\leq \|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_i^2 + 2\pi l(1 + C^2)\epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} (e^l - 1)\delta_1^2 &\leq (e^l - 1)\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_i^2 \\ &\leq \|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{i+1}^2 - \|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_i^2 \\ &\leq 2\pi l(1 + C^2)\epsilon^2. \end{aligned}$$

This is impossible since $\epsilon < C_1\delta_1$ and we can require in advance that

$$C_1^2 > \frac{2\pi l(1 + C^2)}{e^l - 1}. \tag{2.21}$$

Therefore by iteration, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{i_0} &\leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}(m-i_0)l}\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_m \\ &\leq \delta_1 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(m-i_0)l}. \end{aligned}$$

For the second case, we can argue similarly. Indeed, if

$$\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{i_0} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}l}\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{i_0-1},$$

we conclude first that

$$\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_0 \leq \delta_1,$$

and then

$$\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{i_0} \leq \delta_1 e^{-\frac{1}{2}i_0 l}.$$

Choose i_0 to be the smallest one so that

$$\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{i_0} \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}l}\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{i_0+1}.$$

Note that after this i_0 , the above inequality remains to hold. Then the foregoing discussions show the following. As $m \rightarrow \infty$ or equivalently $R = ml$ goes to infinity, u decays exponentially over I_{i_0} to \tilde{u}_n from left if $i \leq i_0$ and from right if $i \geq i_0$.

The difference of two harmonic maps, especially $u_n - \tilde{u}_n$, satisfies differential equation (2.5) where the coefficients A and B may depend on w but

$$\|A\|_{C^0}, \|B\|_{C^0} \leq C\epsilon$$

for some constant C depending only on M . Then by the L^p -theory in the elliptic partial differential equations ([GT]) for $p = 2$, $\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{W^{2,2}}$ can be bounded by the L^2 -norm of $u_n - \tilde{u}_n$. The Sobolev embedding theorem implies that the $\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{C^0}$ is bounded by the L^2 -norm. So for any $p > 2$, $\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{L^p}$ is bounded by $\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{L^2}$. Apply the L^p -theory again, we see that $\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{W^{2,p}}$ is bounded by $\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{L^p}$, hence by $\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{L^2}$. Applying the Sobolev embedding theorem

again, so the C^1 -norm of $u - \tilde{u}$ is bounded by $\|u_n - \tilde{u}_n\|_{L^2}$. Then by Proposition 2.4 and Proposition 2.5, we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |u_n(t, \theta) - \tilde{u}_n(t)| + |\nabla u_n(t, \theta) - \nabla \tilde{u}_n(t)| &\leq C\delta_1 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(R-t)}, \quad \text{if } t \geq i_0 l, \\ |u_n(t, \theta) - \tilde{u}_n(t)| + |\nabla u_n(t, \theta) - \nabla \tilde{u}_n(t)| &\leq C\delta_1 e^{-\frac{1}{2}t}, \quad \text{if } t < i_0 l. \end{aligned}$$

Now Proposition 2.6 is proved. □

The rescaling procedure results in that R tends to infinity as n does. Within any fixed distance, measured in t , from each of the two ends of the cylinders, the standard elliptic estimates imply that u_n , a subsequence if necessary, converges to a limit. On the middle portion of the cylinders, we have just proved that $\|u - \tilde{u}\|_{C^1}$ decays exponentially in t . Therefore we have shown that there is a harmonic map u^j from $\Sigma_j \cup \mathbb{S}^2$'s into M and u^j converges to geodesics in M along the connecting cylinders.

Next, we need to show that these geodesics all have finite length. Note that finiteness of the length of the geodesics does not follow simply from smallness of energy on the necks. It requires arguments involving global properties of the ambient manifold. However, if M is simply connected there is a direct proof of the finiteness by constructing distance contracting maps on M (cf. [J1]).

In fact, since M is simply connected, there exists a map $f : M \rightarrow B_r(0) \subset M$, such that

$$\begin{cases} d(f(x), f(y)) \leq d(x, y), & \text{for all } x, y \in M, \\ f(x) = x, & \text{if } x \in B_r(0). \end{cases} \tag{2.22}$$

Now if the length of a connecting geodesic γ is very large, then there are two points $p, q \in \gamma$ satisfying (1) the length of the portion of γ from p to q is large; (2) the distance between p and q is small in M , say $p, q \in B_r(0)$ (otherwise we move the center of the ball). Take a connecting cylinder \mathcal{C} such that $u_n(\mathcal{C})$ converges to γ . So for sufficiently large n , there are points $p_n, q_n \in u_n(\mathcal{C}) \cap B_r(0)$, and the portion of $u_n(\mathcal{C})$ from p_n to q_n is very long. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$r < \frac{1}{2} \min\left\{\frac{\pi}{2K}, \text{injectivity radius of } 0\right\}$$

where K is the upper bound of the length of the curvature on $B_{2r}(0)$. It is clear

$$|\nabla(f \circ u_n)| \leq |\nabla u_n|$$

since f is distance contracting. Therefore

$$E(f \circ u_n) \leq E(u_n).$$

In particular, strict inequality holds if $u_n(x)$ does not belong to $B_r(0)$ for some $x \in \mathcal{C}$. Recall that $u_n : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow M$ is energy minimizing among all maps from \mathcal{C}

to M which send $\partial\mathcal{C}$ into $B_r(0)$ and homotopic to u_n . Obviously, $f \circ u_n$ belongs to this class of mappings. It then follows that $u_n(\mathcal{C}) \subset B_r(0)$. Therefore the geodesic γ from p to q lies inside $B_r(0)$. So the length of γ cannot be large since otherwise we can reduce the energy of u_n . We point out that the above arguments for the simply connected case holds for maps which minimize the perturbed energy functional also. Now let us consider the general case.

Proposition 2.7. *The geodesics arising from the blowing up process all have finite length.*

Proof. Recall that we have a sequence of energy minimizer u_n converges to a minimizing harmonic map u_∞ from $\Sigma_\infty \cup_{j=1}^m \mathbb{S}_j^2$ to M . We will derive estimates on the Dirichlet energy and length on a long connecting cylinder \mathcal{C} first. As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $u_n(\mathcal{C})$ converges to a geodesic γ pointwise exponentially. In the sequel, we will also use C to denote positive uniform constants, and this should not cause any confusions with the notion for cylinders. By Proposition 2.6,

$$\left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta) \right| \leq C\delta_1 e^{-\frac{1}{2}(R-t)}, \text{ if } t \geq i_0 l, \tag{2.23}$$

$$\left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial \theta}(t, \theta) \right| \leq C\delta_1 e^{-\frac{1}{2}t}, \quad \text{if } t < i_0 l. \tag{2.24}$$

Suppose that the speed of the geodesic γ is ϵ_n when it is parameterized by $t \in [0, R_n]$. We are interested in the case when there is a lower bound on the length of γ , namely for some $C > 0$,

$$\epsilon_n R_n > C.$$

Notice that in Proposition 2.6, the first estimate holds for large t , so if we let t decrease to $t_0 = i_0 l$ from right, we still have the exponential decay estimate as in the second estimate. In fact, if $i_0 l \leq \frac{R_n}{2}$, we use the first inequality in Proposition 2.6 for $t \in [\frac{R_n}{2}, \frac{3R_n}{4}]$ and if $i_0 l \geq \frac{R_n}{2}$ we use the second inequality in Proposition 2.6 for $t \in [\frac{R_n}{4}, \frac{R_n}{2}]$. So we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial u_n}{\partial t}(t, \theta) - \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t} \right| \leq C\delta_1 e^{-\frac{C}{\epsilon_n}} \tag{2.25}$$

for t belongs to the intervals described above. The Dirichlet energy of u_n on $\mathcal{C} = [\frac{R_n}{2}, \frac{3R_n}{4}] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ if $i_0 l \leq \frac{R_n}{2}$ or on $\mathcal{C}_2 = [\frac{R_n}{4}, \frac{R_n}{2}] \times \mathbb{S}^1$ if $i_0 l \geq \frac{R_n}{2}$, then can be estimated by

$$E(u_n, \mathcal{C}) = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_n^2 R_n + O(\delta_1^2 e^{-\frac{2C}{\epsilon_n}} + \delta_1 \epsilon_n e^{-\frac{C}{\epsilon_n}}) R_n. \tag{2.26}$$

The length of the cylinder $u_n(\mathcal{C})$, which is very close to the length of the geodesic γ for n large, is

$$L(u_n(\mathcal{C})) = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_n R_n + O(\delta_1 e^{-\frac{C}{\epsilon_n}}) R_n. \tag{2.27}$$

Recall that we have the following situation: there are finitely many long cylinders connecting the regular parts and these cylinders converge to geodesics $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k$. These connecting cylinders arise either from the bubbling spheres or at the singularities of the stable curve compactification. We consider the following two cases.

Case 1. there is only one connecting geodesic γ , *i.e.*, $k = 1$. Assume the two end points of γ are x and y . Then we pick two points $p, q \in \gamma$ such that the length of the portion of γ from x to p and from y to q is fixed. Denote the portions of γ from x to p and from y to q by $\gamma(x, p)$ and $\gamma(y, q)$ respectively. Let $\gamma'(p, q)$ be a distance minimizing geodesic in M from p to q . Now we have two curves from x to y , namely γ and $\gamma(x, p) \cup \gamma'(p, q) \cup \gamma(y, q)$. We divide $\gamma(p, q)$ into unit length pieces $\gamma(s_i, s_{i+1})$ where $i = 0, 1, \dots$ and $s_0 = p$. Since M is compact, there exists a subsequence $\{s_{i_\alpha}\}$ of $\{s_i\}$ converges to a point x_0 in M . Since M is path-connected, $\pi_1(M, \text{rel}(s_i, s_j))$, the group of homotopy equivalent maps from $[0, 1]$ to M with the same initial point s_i and then same terminal point s_j , is isomorphic to $\pi_1(M, \text{rel}(p, q))$ for $i \neq j$. Each curve $\gamma(s_{i_\alpha}, s_{i_\alpha+1})$ represents a non-trivial element in $\pi_1(M, \text{rel}(s_i, s_j))$. This is because otherwise the length of γ could be reduced by $i_{\alpha+1} - i_\alpha - \frac{1}{2}$ in the same homotopy class in $\pi_1(M, \text{rel}(p, q))$ as long as $d(s_{i_\alpha+1}, s_{i_\alpha}) < \frac{1}{2}$ and then for sufficiently large n (2.26) and (2.27) would imply that u_n were not energy minimizing in its homotopy class. A contradiction to the assumption. The group $\pi_1(M, \text{rel}(p, q))$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}$, the direct product of finite, say m , copies of \mathbb{Z} . For any $i_{\alpha_1} < i_{\alpha_2} < i_{\alpha_3}$, there are integers a_j, b_j, c_j for $j = 1, \dots, m$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [\gamma(s_{i_{\alpha_1}}, s_{i_{\alpha_2}})] &= (a_1, \dots, a_m) \in \pi_1(M, \text{rel}(p, q)) \\ [\gamma(s_{i_{\alpha_2}}, s_{i_{\alpha_3}})] &= (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \pi_1(M, \text{rel}(p, q)) \\ [\gamma(s_{i_{\alpha_1}}, s_{i_{\alpha_2}}) \cup \gamma(s_{i_{\alpha_2}}, s_{i_{\alpha_3}})] &= (c_1, \dots, c_m) \in \pi_1(M, \text{rel}(p, q)). \end{aligned}$$

If there is $j, 1 \leq j \leq m$, such that

$$|a_j| + |b_j| > |c_j|$$

then we can shorten $\gamma(s_{i_{\alpha_1}}, s_{i_{\alpha_3}})$ in its homotopy class, and this in turn yields a contradiction as before. Now we have ruled out possible cancelation of homotopy along $\gamma(p, q)$. If we denote the homotopy class of $\gamma'(p, q) \cup T_\infty \setminus \gamma(p, q)$ by $[\beta]$, then the homotopy class of the loop $\gamma(p, q) \cup \gamma'(p, q)$ is equal to $[u_0] - [\beta]$. Hence $[\gamma(p, q) \cup \gamma'(p, q)]$ is bounded, since $[u_0]$ is fixed and $[\beta]$ is bounded. Therefore $[\gamma'(p, q)]$ is large since $[\gamma(p, q)]$ is large, here the homotopy is relative to the fixed boundary $\{p, q\}$. If $\epsilon_n R_n \rightarrow \infty$ as n goes to infinity, we would have the largest one among the absolute values of the components of $[\gamma(p, q)] \in \mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}$ tending to infinity. This would imply that $\gamma'(p, q)$ is also arbitrarily long. But this contradicts to the choice of $\gamma'(p, q)$. So we conclude that the length of the geodesic γ is finite if γ is the only connecting geodesic line.

Case 2. there are more than one connecting geodesics. We have $u_n(\mathcal{C}_i)$ converges to γ_i for $i = 1, \dots, k$ where \mathcal{C}_i 's are the connecting cylinders. We parameterize the cylinder \mathcal{C}_i by $[0, R_n^i] \times S^1$. Assume γ_1 is very long. As before, this means $\epsilon_n^1 R_n^1$ is very large. Since M is compact there must exist two points p_n^1 and q_n^1 on $u_n(\mathcal{C}_1)$ so that the length of the shortest geodesic $\gamma'(p_n^1, q_n^1)$ from p_n^1 and q_n^1 in M is very small comparing to $\epsilon_n^1 R_n^1$. By taking a subsequence if necessary, we can assume $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n^1 = p_1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} q_n^1 = q_1$ where $p_1, q_1 \in \gamma_1$. As in Case 1, we conclude that the homotopy class of $\gamma_1(p_1, q_1)$ must be very large. Although the total homotopy is fixed and equals to $[u_0]$, we cannot draw conclusion as in Case 1, since the cancelation of the large homotopy of $\gamma_1(p_1, q_1)$ may comes from other connecting geodesics. Assume $\gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_s$ for some $s \leq k$ are the geodesics such that

$$[\sigma] = [\gamma_1(p_1, q_1)] + [\gamma_2(p_2, q_2)] + \dots + [\gamma_s(p_s, q_s)] \tag{2.28}$$

is bounded. Without loss of generality, we may assume that γ_i 's are all very long for $i = 2, \dots, s$. There exist shortest geodesics $\gamma'_i(p_i, q_i)$ from p_i to q_i in M for $i = 1, \dots, s$ in the homotopy class $-\sigma$. In particular,

$$\sum_{i=1}^s L(\gamma'_i(p_i, q_i)) \tag{2.29}$$

is bounded since $[\sigma]$ is bounded where $L(\gamma'_i(p_i, q_i))$ is the length of $\gamma'_i(p_i, q_i)$. Now for $i = 1, \dots, s$, we replace the cylinder $u_n(\mathcal{C}_i)$ from p_n^i to q_n^i , denoted by $u_n(\mathcal{C}_i(p_n^i, q_n^i))$, by the geodesic $\gamma'(p_i, q_i)$ and identify the boundary components $\partial\mathcal{C}_i(p_n^i, q_n^i)$ at p_n^i and q_n^i to p_i and q_i respectively. More precisely, we can define comparison maps

$$u'_n = \begin{cases} u_n & \text{on } \Sigma \setminus \cup_{i=1}^s \mathcal{C}_i, \\ \gamma'(p_i, q_i) & \text{on } \cup_{i=1}^s \mathcal{C}_i(p_n^i, q_n^i), \end{cases} \tag{2.30}$$

and on $\cup_{i=1}^s (\mathcal{C}_i \setminus (\mathcal{C}_i(p_n^i, q_n^i)))$, u'_n is defined by shrinking $u_n(\partial\mathcal{C}_i(p_n^i, q_n^i))$ to p_i, q_i respectively for each $i = 1, \dots, s$. Note that for sufficiently large n , $u_n(\mathcal{C}_i)$ converges pointwise to the geodesic γ_i . Hence, in this replacement process, the change in homotopy is zero, and u'_n is homotopic to u_n . Further, if \mathcal{C}_i is parameterized by $[0, R_n^i] \times S^1$ with speed of u'_n close to that of u_n , which is close to ϵ_n^i , we can arrange u'_n to satisfy

$$\epsilon_n^i - C\delta_1 e^{-\frac{C}{\epsilon_n^i}} \leq \left| \frac{\partial u'_n}{\partial t} \right| \leq \epsilon_n^i + C\delta_1 e^{-\frac{C}{\epsilon_n^i}} \tag{2.31}$$

and on the cylinder \mathcal{C}_i

$$\left| \frac{\partial u'_n}{\partial \theta} \right| \leq C\delta_1 e^{-\frac{C}{\epsilon_n^i}} \tag{2.32}$$

where the constant C may be different from those in the estimates for u_n . As before we have the following estimates for the competing map u'_n over \mathcal{C}_i

$$E(u'_n, \mathcal{C}_i) = \frac{1}{4}(\epsilon_n^i)^2 R_n^i + O(\delta_1^2 e^{-\frac{2C}{\epsilon_n^i}} + \delta_1 \epsilon_n^i e^{-\frac{C}{\epsilon_n^i}}) R_n^i, \tag{2.33}$$

$$L(u'_n(C_i)) = \frac{1}{4}\epsilon_n^i R_n^i + O(\delta_1 \epsilon_n^i e^{-\frac{C}{\epsilon_n^i}}) R_n^i. \quad (2.34)$$

But the way the surgery was done implies

$$L(u'_n(C_i)) < L(u_n(C_i)) + C_0 \quad (2.35)$$

for some fixed constant $C_0 > 0$ and all $n > n_0$ for some fixed n_0 . It follows immediately that there is $n'_0 > 0$ such that for all $n > \min\{n_0, n'_0\}$

$$E(u'_n, \mathcal{C}) < E(u_n, \mathcal{C}). \quad (2.36)$$

This contradicts to u_n is energy minimizing in the fixed homotopy class with given conformal structure on domain. Therefore we conclude that the length of γ_1 is finite at the first place. We now complete the proof of Proposition 2.7. \square

Note that the length of a connecting geodesic is bounded by $C\epsilon_n R_n$ and the energy of u_n is bounded by $C\epsilon_n^2 R_n$ for some uniform constant C , where $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\epsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the finiteness of the geodesics implies immediately that there is no loss of energy on the connecting cylinders in the limit process. This conclusion also holds for the minimizers of the perturbed energy functional in the next section.

In fact, the geodesics arise only from the change of conformal structures, not from the bubbles of harmonic maps. This was proved by Parker in [P] for a sequence of harmonic maps and Qing-Tian in [QT] for maps with L^2 -bounded tension fields. We only mention some keys points. Recall the Hopf quadratic differential for a map u from a surface is given by

$$\Phi(u) = \phi(u) dz^2 \quad (2.37)$$

where

$$\phi(u) = |u_x|^2 - |u_y|^2 - 2\sqrt{-1}u_x \cdot u_y. \quad (2.38)$$

It is well known that if u is harmonic, then $\Phi(u)$ is holomorphic, namely,

$$\bar{\partial}\phi(u) = 0. \quad (2.39)$$

The bubbles arising from the convergence of the harmonic maps u_n form bubble trees. By a bubble tree, we mean finitely many \mathbb{S}^2 's connected by thin cylinders of finite length, and with a half cylinder connect one 2-sphere to a principal component. Before reaching the final limit u_∞ , each bubble tree is topologically a disk. The L^1 -norm of the Hopf differential is conformally invariant. It follows that

$$\phi(u_n) = |(u_n)_t|^2 - |(u_n)_\theta|^2 - 2\sqrt{-1}(u_n)_t \cdot (u_n)_\theta \quad (2.40)$$

has small $L^1(D_r)$ -norm if r is small ([DT]). But $|(u_n)_\theta|$ decays exponentially in cylindrical coordinates. Hence, the L^1 -norm of $|(u_n)_t|$ also decays exponentially

in t . So after passing to limit, the length of geodesics connecting the bubbles is zero.

It remains to analyze the local structure near singularities at the nodes on $\Sigma_\infty = (\Sigma, c_\infty)$. We need two steps for scaling of metrics: first we scale the metrics g_n on Σ near the points which will eventually develop cusps as $n \rightarrow \infty$ to make the geometry uniform; second we scale the new metrics near the points where energy concentrates. The analysis involved in the second step is similar to that for the necks which connect the bubbles.

As n approaching to infinity, Σ_n may develop some regions which eventually converge to cusps of Σ_∞ (see definition in Section 4). We normalize the metrics g_n by conformal changes such that the curvature is everywhere bounded on Σ and there is a uniform lower bound on the injectivity radius with respect to the new metrics \tilde{g}_n for all n . Notice that the Dirichlet energy and harmonicity of maps are invariant under conformal transformations on Σ . Therefore, in metrics \tilde{g}_n , u_n is energy minimizing in the given homotopy class, $E(u_n)$ is uniformly bounded above and on (Σ, \tilde{g}_n) the injectivity radius is uniformly bounded below and the curvature is uniformly bounded. As before, there are points x_{n1}, \dots, x_{nm} and such that for any point $x \notin \cup_{i=1}^m B_2(x_{ni})$,

$$\int_{B_1(x)} |\nabla u_n|_{\tilde{g}_n}^2 dA_{\tilde{g}_n} \leq C\epsilon.$$

Note that m is independent of n . The ϵ -regularity theorem then asserts

$$\|u_n\|_{C^2(B_{\frac{1}{2}}(x))} \leq C\sqrt{\epsilon}.$$

Now we turn our attention to the unit balls, measured in the metric \tilde{g}_n , centered in $\cup_{i=1}^m B_2(x_{ni})$. These are the regions where the Dirichlet energy concentrated. For simplicity, we assume that $m = 1$ and the general case can be handled in the same way. Rescale the metric \tilde{g}_n on the unit ball such that $\|\nabla u_n\|_{C^0} \leq 1$. After rescaling, $\|u_n\|_{C^2} \leq C\sqrt{\epsilon}$ everywhere. By a selection of subsequence from $\{u_n\}$ and we still use $\{u_n\}$ to denote it, $\{u_n\}$ converge to a harmonic map u_∞ from $\Sigma_\infty \setminus \{x_{\infty 1}, \dots, x_{\infty m}\}$ union with m 2-spheres \mathbb{S}_i^2 into M . Next, according to the removable singularity theorem, u_∞ extends to a harmonic map from $\Sigma_\infty \cup_{i=1}^m \mathbb{S}_i^2$. Note that harmonic maps from \mathbb{S}^2 are conformal. Finally, since after rescaling, the energy density of u_n is small pointwise. The image of long cylinders converges to geodesics of finite length as before.

Finally, we maps the 1-dimensional component of the stratified Riemann surfaces into geodesics in M with finite length. Now the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.

3. Harmonic nodal maps as limit of critical points of Sacks-Uhlenbeck’s functional

In order to study the existence problem, we will investigate a broader class of elliptic equations, namely the critical point of the perturbed energy functional. We point out that in order to prove Theorem 1.1, we only need to consider harmonic maps rather than the perturbed energy, on the other hand, to conclude the existence of harmonic maps, we only need to deal with the perturbed energy with fixed domain metric.

We first recall the perturbed energy functional introduced in [SU1]. Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface with a metric g . For any n and a constant $\beta > 1$ and a map $u : \Sigma \rightarrow M$, define

$$E_\beta(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_\Sigma (1 + |\nabla u|^2)^\beta dA - \frac{1}{2} Area(\Sigma). \tag{3.1}$$

Since $\beta > 1$, it is clear that

$$E_\beta(u) \geq E(u) \tag{3.2}$$

where $E(u)$ is the Dirichlet energy of u with respect to the metric g . The Euler-Lagrange equation for the functional E_β is

$$\Delta u^k + \frac{(\beta - 1) \sum_{j=1}^2 \nabla_j u^k \nabla_j |\nabla u|^2}{1 + |\nabla u|^2} = 0 \tag{3.3}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, \dim M$ where

$$\Delta u^k = g^{st} \partial_{st}^2 u^k - g^{st} \Gamma_{ij}^k(u) \partial_i u^s \partial_j u^t$$

and Γ_{ij}^k ’s are the Christoffel symbols of (M, h) .

Lemma 3.1. *For each u_n and $\beta_n > 1$, there exists a minimizer for the functional E_{β_n} among mappings which are homotopic to u_n .*

Proof. Take an E_{β_n} -minimizing sequence $\{v_{ni}\}$ in the homotopy class determined by u_n . For any point $x \in \Sigma$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\delta(x)} |\nabla v_{ni}|_g^2 dA_g &\leq \left(\int_{B_\delta(x)} |\nabla v_{ni}|^{2\beta_n} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta_n}} Area(B_\delta(x))^{\frac{\beta_n-1}{\beta_n}} \\ &\leq C \delta^{\frac{2\beta_n-2}{\beta_n}} \end{aligned}$$

by Hölder inequality. Therefore, Courant-Lebesgue lemma (cf. [J1]) implies that $\{v_{ni}\}$ is equicontinuous. A subsequence of $\{v_{ni}\}$ converge to a map v_n , by Arzela-Ascoli’s theorem, and v_n is Lipschitz continuous hence smooth. So v_n is a E_{β_n} -minimizer which is homotopic to u_n . \square

Let T be a stratified Riemann surface associated to Σ . Since $u_0 \in C^\infty(T, M)$, it has finite energy. Set

$$\alpha = \inf\{E(u) \mid [u] = [u_0]\}.$$

If $\alpha = 0$, a constant map is in the homotopy class $[u_0]$ which is clearly a harmonic nodal map. Assume $\alpha > 0$. Take an energy minimizing sequence $\{v_n\}$ in $C^\infty(T, M)$ such that $[v_n] = [u_0]$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(v_n) = \alpha.$$

We may assume without loss of any generality that T has only one principal component, since we can always construct a minimizer on each principal component then take the union of them. For the fixed domain metric g , we will improve the minimizing sequence $\{v_n\}$ within the given homotopy class, so that the new minimizing sequence $\{u_n\}$ consists of critical points of the perturbed energy functional. To do so, we pick a sequence of numbers $\{\beta_n\}$ satisfying (1) $\beta_n > 1$ and (2) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n = 1$. For each β_n , let u_n be an E_{β_n} -minimizer in the homotopy class $[v_n] = [u_0]$. Moreover, as β_n tends to 1, there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\{\epsilon_n\}$ with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \epsilon_n = 0$ such that

$$E_{\beta_n}(u_n) \leq \alpha + \epsilon_n \tag{3.4}$$

because $\{v_n\}$ is a minimizing sequence and the E_{β_n} -energy approaches to the Dirichlet energy as β_n goes to 1. So $\{u_n\}$ is indeed a minimizing sequence in the given homotopy class.

Note that both the ϵ -regularity theorem and the removable singularity theorem remain true for E_β -minimizers if $\beta > 1$. Therefore, the blowing up process discussed in Section 2 still works for the minimizers u_n . In particular, there are finitely many points x_{n1}, \dots, x_{nm} in Σ , where m is independent of n and β_n , such that for any $x \notin \cup_{i=1}^m B_2(x_{ni})$,

$$\int_{B_1(x)} |\nabla u_n|_g^2 dA_g \leq C\epsilon$$

and for any $i = 1, \dots, m$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \max_{y \in B_1(x_{ni}, g)} |\nabla u_n|(y) = \infty.$$

Then we rescale the metric as in Section 2 and observe that this rescaling process stops after finitely many times. Then by Courant-Lebesgue lemma (cf. [J1]), a subsequence of $\{u_n\}$ converges to a harmonic map as $n \rightarrow \infty, \beta \rightarrow 1$ from Σ union with finitely many 2-spheres.

In the sequel, we will use u, \tilde{u} and β for u_n, \tilde{u}_n and β_n respectively when there is no ambiguity. Along the annulus we have a map u into M satisfying (3.3). By the conformal transformation $(t, \theta) \rightarrow (-\log t, \theta)$, we have

$$\begin{cases} |\nabla u|^2 = e^{2t}(|u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2) \\ \Delta u = e^{2t}(u_{tt} + u_{\theta\theta}) \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

where $|\cdot|$ is the length in R^N and the second derivatives are covariant differentiation. After rescaling the metric

$$\begin{cases} \|\nabla u\|_{C^0} \leq C \\ \|\nabla u\|_{C^0(C)} \leq \delta \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

for some $\beta > 1$ and some small positive constant δ . It follows that u satisfies the following differential equation on the cylinder $\mathcal{C} = [0, R] \times \mathbb{S}^1$. In the sequel, “ \cdot ” stands for the inner product of two vectors.

$$\begin{aligned} & u_{tt} + u_{\theta\theta} \\ & + 2(\beta - 1) \frac{u_t(|u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2) + u_t u_{tt} \cdot u_t + u_\theta u_{\theta\theta} \cdot u_\theta + u_\theta u_t \cdot u_{t\theta} + u_t u_\theta \cdot u_{t\theta}}{e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2} \\ & = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Let \tilde{u} be the θ -independent solution of (3.7). Then

$$\tilde{u}_{tt}^k + \frac{2(\beta - 1)\tilde{u}_t^k \sum_j \tilde{u}_t^j \tilde{u}_{tt}^j + 2(\beta - 1)\tilde{u}_t^k |\tilde{u}_t|^2}{e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2} = 0 \tag{3.8}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, \dim M$ where \tilde{u}_{tt} is the nonlinear Laplacian of \tilde{u} .

Lemma 3.2. *In the cylindrical coordinate (t, θ) , there is a unique solution \tilde{u} of (3.8) for $t \in [0, R]$ over \mathcal{C} for given initial data.*

Proof. Set $w = \tilde{u}_t$. Then by (3.8)

$$w_{tt}^k = - \frac{(\beta - 1)w^k \sum_j w^j w_t^j + 2(\beta - 1)w^k |w|^2}{e^{-2t} + |w|^2}.$$

Since β is sufficiently close to 1, the right hand side of the above equation has the form

$$\sum_j \epsilon_1^j w_t^j + \epsilon_2 w$$

where ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 depend on w but their C^0 -norms are close to 0. Then it is clear that w exists for long time, which in turn implies by integration that \tilde{u} has long time existence. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let \tilde{u} be a solution of (3.8). Then*

- (i) $|\tilde{u}_t|$ is decreasing in t ;
- (ii) for any $t \leq t_0$,

$$|\tilde{u}_t(t_0)|^2 e^{-\frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1}(t-t_0)} - \frac{1}{2\beta-1} e^{-2t} \leq |\tilde{u}_t(t)|^2 \leq |\tilde{u}_t(t_0)|^2 e^{-\frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1}(t-t_0)}. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. We set

$$f(t) = |\tilde{u}_t(t)|^2. \quad (3.10)$$

Then multiplying \tilde{u}_t^k on (3.8) and summing over k yields

$$f_t + 2(\beta-1) \frac{ff_t + 2f^2}{e^{-2t} + f} = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Rearrangement of (3.11) leads to

$$f_t = -\frac{4(\beta-1)f^2}{e^{-2t} + (2\beta-1)f}. \quad (3.12)$$

Now (i) follows immediately from (3.12). As for (ii), we first observe that

$$f_t \geq \frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1} f. \quad (3.13)$$

Integrate (3.13) from t_0 to t and notice that $t \leq t_0$. So the first inequality in (3.9) holds. On the other hand, to derive the second inequality, we let

$$v = f + \frac{1}{2\beta-1} e^{-2t}. \quad (3.14)$$

Then by direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -v_t &= -f_t + \frac{2}{2\beta-1} e^{-2t} \\ &= \frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1} \frac{v^2 - \frac{2}{2\beta-1} v e^{-2t} + \frac{1}{(2\beta-1)^2} e^{-4t}}{v} + \frac{2}{2\beta-1} e^{-2t} \\ &= \frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1} v + \left(\frac{2}{2\beta-1} - \frac{8(\beta-1)}{(2\beta-1)^2} \right) e^{-2t} + \frac{4(\beta-1)}{(2\beta-1)^3} e^{-4t}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

It follows that

$$-v_t \geq \frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1} v. \quad (3.16)$$

Since $t \leq t_0$, (3.16) implies that

$$\log v(t_0) - \log v(t) \leq \frac{4(\beta - 1)}{2\beta - 1}(t - t_0). \tag{3.17}$$

Therefore due to (3.17) and (3.14),

$$f(t) + \frac{1}{2\beta - 1}e^{-2t} \geq (f(t_0) + \frac{1}{2\beta - 1}e^{-2t_0})e^{-\frac{4(\beta - 1)}{2\beta - 1}(t - t_0)} \tag{3.18}$$

Now the second inequality follows. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let \tilde{u} be a solution of (3.8). Then for β sufficiently close to 1, there is a uniform positive constant C such that*

$$|\tilde{u}_{tt}| \leq C(\beta - 1)|\tilde{u}_t|. \tag{3.19}$$

Proof. By (3.8) and the triangle inequality,

$$|\tilde{u}_{tt}| - 2(\beta - 1)\frac{|\tilde{u}_t|^2}{e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2}|\tilde{u}_{tt}| \leq 2(\beta - 1)|\tilde{u}_t|. \tag{3.20}$$

Since $\beta - 1$ is small, (3.19) follows from (3.20). □

Now we derive the equation for

$$w = u - \tilde{u}. \tag{3.21}$$

In order to apply Corollary 2.4, we need to show that w satisfies a P.D.E of the form (2.11) which satisfying (2.12) and (2.13). First, we notice that since \tilde{u} is θ -independent,

$$\frac{|u_\theta|^2 u_t^k + u_\theta \cdot u_{\theta\theta} u_\theta^k + u_\theta \cdot u_{t\theta} u_t^k + u_t \cdot u_{t\theta} u_\theta^k}{e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2} = A^{i\theta} D_{i\theta}^2 w + B \cdot w_\theta \tag{3.22}$$

where for some uniform constant C ,

$$\|A\|_{C^0} + \|B\|_{C^0} \leq C. \tag{3.23}$$

Secondly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &:= \frac{u_t^k |u_t|^2}{e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2} - \frac{\tilde{u}_t^k |\tilde{u}_t|^2}{e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2} \\ &= \frac{|u_t|^2}{e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2} w_t^k + \tilde{u}_t^k \left(\frac{\sum_j e^{-2t} (u_t^j + \tilde{u}_t^j) w_t^j - |u_\theta|^2 |\tilde{u}_t|^2}{(e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2)(e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

For the last term in (3.24),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\tilde{u}_t^k |\tilde{u}_t|^2 |u_\theta|^2}{(e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |\tilde{u}_t|^2)(e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2)} &= -\frac{|u_\theta|^2 |\tilde{u}_t|^2 w_t^k}{(e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |\tilde{u}_t|^2)(e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2)} \\ &+ \frac{\sum_j u_t^k u_\theta^j |\tilde{u}_t|^2 w_\theta^j}{(e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |\tilde{u}_t|^2)(e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Combining (3.24) and (3.25) together, we see that

$$I_1 = V \cdot \nabla w \tag{3.26}$$

where C is some uniform positive constant and V is a \mathbb{R}^N -valued function which satisfies

$$\|V\|_{C^0} \leq C. \tag{3.27}$$

Finally, for the terms involving u_{tt} and \tilde{u}_{tt} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &:= \frac{\sum_j u_t^j u_{tt}^j u_t^k}{e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2} - \frac{\sum_j \tilde{u}_t^j \tilde{u}_{tt}^j \tilde{u}_t^k}{e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2} \\ &= \frac{\sum_j u_t^j w_{tt}^j u_t^k}{e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2} + \frac{\sum_j u_t^j \tilde{u}_{tt}^j u_t^k}{e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{\sum_j \tilde{u}_t^j \tilde{u}_{tt}^j u_t^k}{e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2} - \frac{\sum_j \tilde{u}_t^j \tilde{u}_{tt}^j w_t^k}{e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

We denote the two terms in the middle of the right hand side of (3.28) by J . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \sum_j \tilde{u}_{tt}^j u_t^k \left(\frac{u_t^j}{e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2} - \frac{\tilde{u}_t^j}{e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2} \right) \\ &= \sum_j \tilde{u}_{tt}^j u_t^k \left(\frac{e^{-2t}(u_t^j - \tilde{u}_t^j)}{(e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2)(e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2)} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_j \tilde{u}_{tt}^j u_t^k \left(\sum_l \frac{\tilde{u}_t^j u_\theta^l (u_\theta^l - \tilde{u}_\theta^l)}{(e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2)(e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2)} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_j \tilde{u}_{tt}^j u_t^k \left(\frac{u_t^j |\tilde{u}_t|^2 - \tilde{u}_t^j |u_t|^2}{(e^{-2t} + |u_t|^2 + |u_\theta|^2)(e^{-2t} + |\tilde{u}_t|^2)} \right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

According to (3.19) in Lemma 3.4, the first two terms in (3.29) consist of ∇w times a matrix with bounded entries. As for the last term in (3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_t^j \tilde{u}_t^l \tilde{u}_t^l - \tilde{u}_t^j u_t^l u_t^l &= u_t^j \tilde{u}_t^l (\tilde{u}_t^l - u_t^l) + (u_t^j \tilde{u}_t^l - \tilde{u}_t^j u_t^l) u_t^l \\ &= -u_t^j \tilde{u}_t^l w_t^l + w_t^j \tilde{u}_t^l u_t^l + \tilde{u}_t^j w_t^l u_t^l. \end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

So again by (3.19), the last term in (3.29) is also in the form of ∇w times a matrix with bounded entries. Therefore we conclude that

$$I_2 = Ew_{tt} + F\nabla w \tag{3.31}$$

where the C^0 -norms of E and F are uniformly bounded. Putting (3.21), (3.26) and (3.31) together, we obtain the following equation for $u - \tilde{u}$:

$$w_{tt} + w_{\theta\theta} + (\beta - 1)AD^2w + (\beta - 1)B\nabla w = 0 \tag{3.32}$$

where for some uniform constant C ,

$$\|A\|_{C^0} + \|B\|_{C^0} \leq C \tag{3.33}$$

Since the image space M may not be flat, the second derivatives in (3.33) involve the Levi-Civita connection of M . The nonlinear terms are

$$\Gamma_{jk}^i(u)u_\alpha^j u_\beta^k - \Gamma_{jk}^i(\tilde{u})\tilde{u}_\alpha^j \tilde{u}_\beta^k \tag{3.34}$$

where Γ_{jk}^i 's are the Christoffel symbols on M . (3.34) can be written as

$$A_1\nabla w + A_2w \tag{3.35}$$

where since M is compact, there is a uniform constant C such that

$$\begin{cases} |A_1| \leq C(|\nabla u| + |\nabla \tilde{u}|) \\ |A_2| \leq C(|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla \tilde{u}|^2). \end{cases} \tag{3.36}$$

But $\beta - 1$ is sufficiently small, and therefore w satisfies a homogeneous equation in the form of (2.11) with all the conditions in Corollary 2.4 hold.

Proposition 3.5. *Let u and \tilde{u} be solutions of (3.7) and (3.8) respectively along $\mathcal{C} = [0, R] \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Let δ be the positive number δ_1 in Corollary 2.4. Assume that on \mathcal{C}*

$$|\nabla u| \leq \epsilon < \frac{\delta}{8}. \tag{3.37}$$

If u and \tilde{u} satisfy the normalization conditions (2.16) and (2.17), then

$$|\tilde{u}_t| \leq \delta \tag{3.38}$$

along \mathcal{C} .

Proof. Since $u - \tilde{u}$ satisfies (2.11) and the normalization conditions (2.16), (2.17), by Corollary 2.4, we can choose $t_0 = i_0 l$ such that

$$i_0 = \min_i \{ (a) \|u - \tilde{u}\|_i \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{i-1}, (b) \|u - \tilde{u}\|_i \leq e^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u - \tilde{u}\|_{i+1} \}. \tag{3.39}$$

On I_{i_0} , by the initial condition we may assume that

$$|\tilde{u}_t| < 2\epsilon. \tag{3.40}$$

Notice that for any $t > t_0$, (3.40) holds since $|\tilde{u}_t|$ is decreasing in t by Lemma 3.3. Now we go backward along the cylinder \mathcal{C} from t_0 . If (3.38) were not true, we would meet three points t_1, t_2, t_3 such that

$$|\tilde{u}_t| = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{4}\delta & \text{at } t_1 \\ \frac{1}{2}\delta & \text{at } t_2 \\ \delta & \text{at } t_3, \end{cases} \tag{3.41}$$

and moreover $|\tilde{u}_t|$ didn't take these values before the corresponding points. Clearly,

$$t_3 < t_2 < t_1 < t_0. \tag{3.42}$$

Notice that Corollary 2.4 is at least valid on $[t_3, R] \times \mathbb{S}^1$. Therefore for any $t \in [t_3, t_0]$,

$$|\nabla u - \nabla \tilde{u}| \leq C\delta e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t-t_3)}. \tag{3.43}$$

This in turn implies that

$$\frac{\delta}{4} \leq C\delta e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t_1-t_3)} + \epsilon < C\delta e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t_1-t_3)} + \frac{1}{8}\delta. \tag{3.44}$$

So there is a uniform upper bound for $t_1 - t_3$:

$$0 \leq t_1 - t_3 \leq -2 \log \frac{1}{8C}. \tag{3.45}$$

On the other hand, according to Lemma 3.3 (take t_1 to be the t_0 in Lemma 3.3),

$$|\tilde{u}_t(t_2)| \leq |\tilde{u}_t(t_1)| e^{-\frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1}(t_2-t_1)}. \tag{3.46}$$

By the way we choose t_1, t_2 , we conclude

$$t_1 - t_2 \geq \frac{(2\beta - 1) \log 2}{4(\beta - 1)}. \tag{3.47}$$

But if β is sufficiently close to 1, (3.47) implies that $t_1 - t_3 > t_1 - t_2$ approaches to infinity. This contradicts to the uniform upper bound (3.45) for $t_1 - t_3$. \square

Therefore, we have shown that Corollary 2.4 can be applied on the entire cylinder \mathcal{C} . So we have as in Proposition 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned} |u - \tilde{u}| + |\nabla u - \nabla \tilde{u}| &\leq C\delta e^{-\frac{1}{2}t}, & \text{if } t \leq t_0 \\ |u - \tilde{u}| + |\nabla u - \nabla \tilde{u}| &\leq C\delta e^{-\frac{1}{2}(R-t)}, & \text{if } t \geq t_0. \end{aligned}$$

Next, we reparameterize the θ -independent solution \tilde{u} by its arc length and derive corresponding equation for \tilde{u} in the new parameter. Set

$$s = \int_{t_0}^t |\tilde{u}_t|. \tag{3.50}$$

In particular, s is increasing in t , hence its inverse function exists. We have

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}_s = \tilde{u}_t t_s \\ \tilde{u}_{ss} = \tilde{u}_{tt} t_s^2 + \tilde{u}_t t_{ss} = \tilde{u}_{tt} t_s^2 + \frac{t_{ss}}{t_s} \tilde{u}_s \\ |\tilde{u}_s| = \left| \frac{\tilde{u}_t}{t_s} \right| = \left| \frac{\tilde{u}_t}{|\tilde{u}_t|} \right| = 1. \end{cases} \tag{3.51}$$

Therefore we can use the arc length s to rewrite (3.8) as follows

$$\frac{1}{t_s^2} \left(\tilde{u}_{ss}^k - \frac{t_{ss}}{t_s} \tilde{u}_s^k \right) - 2(\beta - 1) \frac{t_s^{-1} \tilde{u}_s^k \left((\tilde{u}_{ss} - t_{ss} t_s^{-1} \tilde{u}_s) \cdot t_s^{-3} \tilde{u}_s + t_s^{-2} |\tilde{u}_s|^2 \right)}{e^{-2t} + t_s^{-2} |\tilde{u}_s|^2} = 0. \tag{3.52}$$

Since $\tilde{u}_s \cdot \tilde{u}_s = 1$, it follows by differentiation that

$$\tilde{u}_s \cdot \tilde{u}_{ss} = \sum_k \tilde{u}_s^k \tilde{u}_{ss}^k = 0. \tag{3.53}$$

Thus, we can simplify the above equation for \tilde{u} as

$$\tilde{u}_{ss}^k - \tilde{u}_s^k \left(\frac{t_{ss}}{t_s} - 2(\beta - 1) \frac{t_s^{-1} - t_{ss} t_s^{-3}}{e^{-2t} + t_s^{-1}} \right) = 0. \tag{3.54}$$

Multiplying \tilde{u}_s^k then summing over k , we obtain

$$\frac{t_{ss}}{t_s} - 2(\beta - 1) \frac{t_s^{-1} - t_{ss} t_s^{-3}}{e^{-2t} + t_s^{-1}} = 0. \tag{3.55}$$

Then (3.54) and (3.55) together implies that

$$\tilde{u}_{ss}^k = 0 \tag{3.56}$$

for every k . So we have shown

Proposition 3.6. *For the θ -independent solution \tilde{u} of (3.8), if the cylinder is parameterized by the arc length s , then $\tilde{u}(s)$ is a geodesic.*

Therefore we have shown that u converges to a geodesic in C^0 -norm. Next, we give an estimate for the energy density of θ -independent solution \tilde{u} , which will be useful to study the energy of u along the cylinder.

Proposition 3.7. *Let \tilde{u} be a θ -independent solution. Then*

$$| |\tilde{u}_t|^2 - |\tilde{u}_t(\frac{1}{2}R)|^2 | e^{-\frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1}(t-R/2)} | \leq C e^{-Ct}, \quad \text{if } t < \frac{R}{2}, \quad (3.57)$$

$$| |\tilde{u}_t|^2 - |\tilde{u}_t(\frac{1}{2}R)|^2 | e^{-\frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1}(t-R/2)} | \leq C e^{-C(R-t)}, \quad \text{if } t \geq \frac{R}{2} \quad (3.58)$$

for some uniform positive constant C .

Proof. Let

$$\tilde{F} = |\tilde{u}_t|^2 - |\tilde{u}_t(\frac{1}{2}R)|^2 e^{-\frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1}(t-R/2)}. \quad (3.59)$$

Clearly,

$$\tilde{F}(\frac{1}{2}R) = 0.$$

It's straight forward to obtain

$$\tilde{F}_t = -\frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1}\tilde{F} + \frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1} \frac{e^{-2t}|\tilde{u}_t|^2}{e^{-2t} + (2\beta-1)|\tilde{u}_t|^2}. \quad (3.60)$$

Therefore

$$\tilde{F} = \frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1} e^{-\frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1}(t-R/2)} \int_t^{\frac{R}{2}} e^{\frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1}(s-R/2)} \frac{e^{-2s}|\tilde{u}_t|^2}{e^{-2s} + (2\beta-1)|\tilde{u}_t|^2} ds. \quad (3.61)$$

If $t < \frac{R}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{F}| &\leq \frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1} \int_t^{\frac{R}{2}} e^{\frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1}(s-t)} e^{-2s} ds \\ &\leq C \frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1} e^{-Ct} \end{aligned} \quad (3.62)$$

for some uniform positive constant C . If $t > \frac{R}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{F}| &\leq \frac{4(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1} \int_{\frac{R}{2}}^t e^{-2s} ds \\ &\leq \frac{2(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1} e^{-R} \\ &\leq \frac{2(\beta-1)}{2\beta-1} e^{-2(R-t)}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.63)$$

We finish the proof of Proposition 3.7. □

Now in order to complete the proof of Theorem 1.2, it suffices to show that the geodesics arising from the blowing up are all of finite length. Based on the arguments of Proposition 2.7, we only need to show that replacing a long line segment (β -geodesic) by a suitable chosen geodesic does reduce total energy. Since $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \theta}$ decays exponentially, we can reduce the general case to the special one that u is θ -independent. The argument is similar to that in the proof of Proposition 2.7, so we only give a sketch. By Lemma 3.3, Proposition 3.5 and Proposition 3.7, the length $L(u_n(\mathcal{C}))$ of the image of \mathcal{C} under u_n and the energy $E(u_n, \mathcal{C})$ of u_n over \mathcal{C} can be estimated as following

$$L(u_n(\mathcal{C})) = \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_n}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} R_n \right) \right| e^{\frac{2(\beta_n-1)}{2\beta_n-1} \frac{R_n}{2}} R_n + O(e^{-CR_n}) \tag{3.64}$$

and

$$E(u_n, \mathcal{C}) = \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_n}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} R_n \right) \right|^2 e^{\frac{4(\beta_n-1)}{2\beta_n-1} \frac{R_n}{2}} R_n + O(e^{-CR_n}) \tag{3.65}$$

where C is a uniform constant independent of n . If $L(u_n(\mathcal{C}))$ is tending to ∞ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we replace the image $u_n(\mathcal{C})$ by a geodesic which is chosen to be in a suitable homotopy class, the total homotopy class of u_n remains the same, and with much smaller length as in Case 1 and Case 2 in the proof of Proposition 2.7. Then we define a new map u'_n on \mathcal{C} such that it is harmonic, maps \mathcal{C} to the geodesic and parameterized with speed

$$\left| \frac{\partial u'_n}{\partial t} \right| = \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_n}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} R_n \right) \right|. \tag{3.66}$$

The length of the geodesic is

$$L(u'_n(\mathcal{C})) = \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_n}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} R_n \right) \right| S_n \tag{3.67}$$

and the energy of u'_n on \mathcal{C} is

$$E(u'_n, \mathcal{C}) = \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_n}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} R_n \right) \right|^2 S_n \tag{3.68}$$

where S_n is the length of \mathcal{C} in the new parameterization and

$$\left| \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_n}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} R \right) \right| (R_n - S_n) > C_0 \tag{3.69}$$

for some fixed constant $C_0 > 0$. We still use u'_n to denote the new maps obtained by replacing u_n along \mathcal{C} by u'_n . Now it is easy to see that as $\beta_n \rightarrow 1$

$$\begin{aligned} E_{\beta_n}(u_n) - E_{\beta_n}(u'_n) &\geq \frac{1}{2} (E(u_n) - E(u'_n)) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{u}_n}{\partial t} \left(\frac{1}{2} R \right) \right| C_0 > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.70}$$

This contradicts to u_n is E_{β_n} -energy minimizing in the fixed given homotopy class. Therefore we conclude that the length of the geodesic arising from every connecting cylinder is finite. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is now complete.

4. Application to minimal surfaces theory

For any conformal structure c on the compact Riemann surface Σ , there exists a minimizing harmonic nodal map u_c into M in any given homotopy class a by Theorem 1.2. We set

$$\alpha = \inf_{c \in \text{Conf}(\Sigma)} E(u_c)$$

where $\text{Conf}(\Sigma)$ is the set of all conformal structures on Σ . Then let u_{c_n} be a sequence of minimizing harmonic nodal maps in the homotopy class a , such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E(u_{c_n}) = \alpha$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = c_\infty$$

where c_∞ is a conformal structure on Σ which may degenerate at finitely many points. According to Theorem 1.1, a subsequence of u_{c_n} converges to a minimizing harmonic nodal map u_{c_∞} . It is then well known and not hard to prove that u_{c_∞} is conformal with respect to c_∞ . Therefore, u_∞ parameterizes a generalized minimal surface Σ_∞ which is area minimizing in the homotopy class a .

On the other hand, we will show that the minimal surface Σ_∞ is in fact *admissible*, i.e., if B_∞ is the second fundamental form of Σ_∞ then

$$\int_{\Sigma_\infty} \|B_\infty\|^2 < \infty.$$

A point $p \in \Sigma$ is called a *cuspl* if there is a conformal map F from the unit disk D_1 in \mathbf{C} to a neighborhood U of p in Σ such that the induced metric on U is of the form

$$F^* ds^2 = |z|^{2\alpha-2} g, \quad \alpha > 1$$

where g is a regular Riemannian metric on D_1 and z is a holomorphic coordinate on D_1 .

We have the following result on the regularity of the minimal surfaces we just constructed.

Proposition 4.1. *The minimal surface Σ_∞ is admissible.*

Proof. First, the minimal surface Σ_∞ is a union of finitely many irreducible compact minimal surfaces. We only need to consider the cuspl points. At such a point p , take any 2-dimensional component Σ_∞^1 of Σ_∞ which contains p . Since $f : \Sigma_\infty^1 \rightarrow M$ is conformal,

$$g = |\nabla f|^2 g_0 = 4 \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \right|^2 g_0$$

where g_0 is the regular metric on D_1 . Since f is also harmonic, we have near p that

$$\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} f = o(|\partial_z f|^2).$$

Since f is smooth, we can write

$$\partial_z f = \sum_{p+q=l} c_{p\bar{q}} z^p \bar{z}^q + \text{higher order terms}$$

where at least one coefficient $c_{p\bar{q}} \neq 0$ and $l \geq 1$. It then follows from the harmonic map equation

$$\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z f = \sum_{p+q=l} q c_{p\bar{q}} z^p \bar{z}^{q-1} + \text{higher order terms}.$$

If there is a q bigger than 0, then $\partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z f$ is of order $l - 1$. But $|\partial f|^2$ is of order at least $2l$. Comparing to the harmonic map equation, we find this is impossible. Thus, the leading term of $\partial_z f$ is holomorphic. In other words,

$$\partial_z f = cz^l + \text{higher order terms}$$

for some non-zero constant c . Near each singular point where the curvature blows up, we construct a cut-off function as follows. Fix any large number $R > 0$. Let ϕ be a smooth function from $[0, \infty)$ to $[0, 1]$ such that

$$\phi(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } t < R \\ 0 & \text{if } t > R + 1 \end{cases}$$

and $|\phi'(t)| \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$. We will use the cut-off function $\phi(\log(-\log r))$ where $r = |z|$. The curvatures in different metrics g_0 and g are related by

$$K_g = K_{g_0} - \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \log |\partial_z f|^2.$$

Then integration by parts leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{D_1} \phi(\log(-\log r)) \partial_{\bar{z}} \partial_z \log |\partial_z f|^2 dA_g(z) \right| \\ & \leq C_1 \left| \int_{D_1} \phi(\log(-\log r)) \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \log |\partial_z f|^2 r^{2l} dz d\bar{z} \right| \\ & \leq C_2 \left(\int_{D_1} \left(\frac{|\phi'(\log(-\log r))|}{-r \log r} \right) r^{2l} |\partial_z \log |\partial_z f|^2| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \phi(\log(-\log r)) r^{2l-1} |\partial_z \log |\partial_z f|^2| dz d\bar{z} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq C_3 \int_{e^{-\epsilon^{R+1}}}^{e^{-\epsilon^R}} \left(\frac{|\partial_z \log |\partial_z f|^2|}{-r \log r} r^{2l+1} + |\partial_z \log |\partial_z f|^2| r^{2l} \right) dr \\ &\leq C_4 \int_{e^{-\epsilon^{R+1}}}^{e^{-\epsilon^R}} \left(\frac{1}{-r \log r} r^{2l} + r^{2l-1} \right) dr \\ &\leq C_5 e^{-2le^R} \left(\log(-\log e^{-e^{R+1}}) - \log(-\log e^{-e^R}) + 1 \right) \\ &= 2C_5 e^{-2le^R} \end{aligned}$$

where the constants C_1, \dots, C_5 depend only on g_0 by choosing R sufficiently large such that the leading terms dominate the rest terms in the power series expansion of $\partial_z f, \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} f$, and $\partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \log |\partial_z f|^2$. Therefore we have

$$\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \int_{D_1} \phi(\log(-\log r)) \partial_z \partial_{\bar{z}} \log |\partial_z f|^2 dA_g = 0.$$

Hence if p_1, \dots, p_s are the cusps on Σ_∞

$$\left| \int_{\Sigma_\infty} K_g dA_g \right| = \left| \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\Sigma_\infty \setminus \cup_{j=1}^s D_\epsilon(p_j)} K_g dA_g \right| < \infty.$$

Since Σ_∞ is minimal, it follows that

$$\int_{\Sigma_\infty} \|B\|^2 dA_g = - \int_{\Sigma_\infty} K_g < \infty,$$

which implies that Σ_∞ is admissible. □

Therefore we complete the proof of Theorem 1.3 on existence of minimal surfaces in a fixed homotopy class.

5. Final remarks

We first point out that the compactness result holds for boundary value problems as well. Let us only state a simplified version, namely we assume the image space is Euclidean. Therefore there are no bubbles. However, we need to take care of the long cylinders coming from blowing-up a neighborhood of degenerated points in Σ_∞ as before. We shall state the result in a slightly different but equivalent fashion comparing to Theorem 1.1.

Given any compact Riemann surface Σ with smooth boundary $\partial\Sigma$, we can embed Σ into some Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^N . The boundary $\partial\Sigma$ is the union $\gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_k$ of a collection of disjoint smoothly embedded curves γ_i 's in \mathbb{R}^N . Let \mathcal{S}_i be the

set of diffeomorphisms from \mathbb{S}^1 onto γ_i . Set $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{S}_1, \dots, \mathcal{S}_k)$. So \mathcal{S} is the set of parameterizations of $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_k\}$ by k copies of \mathbb{S}^1 .

Theorem 5.1. *Let Σ be a compact Riemann surface with smooth boundary $\partial\Sigma = \gamma_1 \cup \dots \cup \gamma_k$. Take a sequence of parameterizations $\alpha_i = (\alpha_i^1, \dots, \alpha_i^k) \in \mathcal{S}$ of $\partial\Sigma$ which converge to $\alpha_\infty = (\alpha_\infty^1, \dots, \alpha_\infty^k) \in \mathcal{S}$. Let Σ_i be Σ equipped with the conformal structure c_i which is determined by α_i . Suppose that $\{f_i\}$ is a sequence of harmonic maps from $(\Sigma_i, \partial\Sigma_i)$ to R^N satisfying: (1) $f_i|_{\gamma_j} = \phi \circ \alpha_i^j$ where $\phi : \partial\Sigma \rightarrow R^N$ is smooth; (2) f_i 's are in the same homotopy class relative to boundary. Then there is a subsequence of $\{f_i\}$ converges to a harmonic map f_∞ from $(\Sigma_\infty, \partial\Sigma_\infty)$ to R^N with $f_\infty|_{\gamma_j} = \phi \circ \alpha_\infty^j$. Moreover, by adding finitely many geodesics l_j 's of finite length, $f_i(\Sigma_\infty)$ converges to $f_\infty(\Sigma_\infty) \cup_j l_j$ in the relative homotopy class.*

The phenomenon discussed in this paper can be generalized to higher dimensional manifolds. Instead of getting geodesics of finite length, the domain manifolds may collapse along spaces of 1-dimension less. Also, we believe the minimality in Theorem 1.1 can be weakened. We leave the further investigations to the interested readers.

References

- [A] F.J. Almgren and W.K. Allard, On the radial behavior of minimal surfaces and the uniqueness of their tangent cones, *Ann. of Math.* **113** (1981), 215-265.
- [CT] J. Cheeger and G. Tian, The cone structure of complete Ricci-flat manifolds with quadratic curvature decay, *Invent. Math.* (1994).
- [Do] J. Douglas, Solution of the problem of Plateau, *Trans. A.M.S.* **33** (1931), 263-321.
- [DT] W.-Y. Ding and G. Tian, Energy identity for a class of approximate harmonic maps from surfaces, *Comm. in Analysis and Geometry* Vol. **4**(3) (1995), 543-554.
- [EW] J. Eells and J.C. Wood, *The existence and construction of certain harmonic maps*, Symposia Math. Vol XXVI, 123-138, Academic Press, London-New York 1982.
- [EW] A. Futaki, Non-existence of minimizing harmonic maps from 2-spheres, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **56** (1980), 291-293.
- [Gr] M. Gromov, Pseudo holomorphic curves in symplectic manifolds, *Invent. Math.* **82** (1985), 307-347.
- [GT] D. Gilbarg and N. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. **224**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin 1983.
- [Gu] R. Gulliver, Regularity of minimizing surfaces of prescribed mean curvature, *Ann. of Math.* **97** (1973), 275-305.
- [Hi] S. Hildbrandt, Boundary behavior of minimal surfaces, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **35** (1969), 47-82.
- [J1] J. Jost, *Two-dimensional Geometric Variational Problems*, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester 1991.
- [J2] J. Jost, Conformal mappings and the Plateau-Douglas problem in Riemannian manifolds, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **359** (1985), 37-54.
- [Mo] C.B. Morrey, Jr., The problem of Plateau in a Riemannian manifold, *Ann. of Math.* **49** (1948), 807-851.
- [MY] W. Meeks and S.T. Yau, Topology of three dimensional manifolds and embedding

- problems in minimal surface theory, *Ann. of Math.* **112** (1980), 441-484.
- [MSY1,2] W. Meeks, L. Simon and S.T. Yau, The existence of embedded minimal surfaces, exotic spheres, and positive Ricci curvature, *Ann. of Math.* **116** (1982), 221-259 and 621-659.
- [P] T. Parker, Bubble tree convergence for harmonic maps, *J. Diff. Geometry* **44** (1996), 595-633.
- [Q] J. Qing, On singularities of the heat flow for harmonic maps from surfaces into spheres, *Comm. in Analysis and Geometry* **3**(2) (1995).
- [QT] J. Qing and G. Tian, *Bubbling of the heat flows for harmonic maps from surfaces*, *Comm. in Pure Appl. Math.* **50**(4) (1997), 295-310.
- [Os] R. Osserman, A proof of regularity everywhere of the classical solution of Plateau's problem, *Ann. of Math.* **91** (1970).
- [PW] T. Parker and J. Wolfson, A compactness theorem for Gromov's moduli space, *J. Geom. Anal.* **3** (1993), 63-98.
- [RT] Y.B. Ruan and G. Tian, A mathematical theory of quantum cohomology, *Journal of Differential Geometry* **42**(2) (1995), 259-367.
- [S1] L. Simon, Asymptotics for a class of nonlinear evolution equations with applications to geometric problems, *Ann. of Math.* **118** (1983), 525-571.
- [S2] L. Simon, Isolated singularities of extrema of geometric variational problems, in: E. Giusti (ed.), *Harmonic mappings and minimal immersions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1161**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York 1985.
- [SU1] J. Sacks and K. Uhlenbeck, The existence of minimal immersions of 2 spheres, *Ann. of Math.* **113** (1981), 1-24.
- [SU2] J. Sacks and K. Uhlenbeck, Minimal immersions of closed Riemann surfaces, *Transc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **271** (1982), 639-652.
- [ScU] R. Schoen and K. Uhlenbeck, A regularity theory for harmonic maps, *J. Diff. Geom.* **17** (1982), 307-335.
- [SY] R. Schoen and S.T. Yau, Existence of incompressible minimal surfaces and the topology of 3-manifolds with non-negative scalar curvature, *Ann. of Math.* **110** (1979), 127-142.
- [TT] F. Tomi and A. Tromba, Existence theorems for minimal surfaces of non-zero genus spanning a contour, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **71** (1988).
- [Ye] R.G. Ye, Gromov's compactness theorem for pseudo-holomorphic curves, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **342**(2) (1994), 671-694.
- [W] C.Y. Wang, Bubble phenomena of Palais-Smale-like sequences from surfaces to general targets, *Houston J. Math.* **22**(3) (1996), 559-590.

Jingyi Chen and Gang Tian
Department of Mathematics
Massachusetts Institute of Technology
Cambridge, MA 02139
USA

(Received: 1 April 1997; revised: 15 April 1998)