Two non-negative quadratic forms

Autor(en): **Klamkin, Murray S.**

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Elemente der Mathematik**

Band (Jahr): **28 (1973)**

Heft 6

PDF erstellt am: **13.09.2024**

Persistenter Link: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-29463

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.
Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.
Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek*
ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

http://www.e-periodica.ch

und daher

$$\varphi(U \cup W)\, f((U+\lambda t) \cup W) = \varphi(U)\,(f(U)+\lambda t) + \varphi(W)\, f(W)$$
$$= \varphi(U \cup W)\, f(U \cup W) + \lambda\varphi(U) t$$
$$\notin H^+$$

für alle grossen λ. Wegen

$$f((U+\lambda t) \cup W) \in \operatorname{konv}((U+\lambda t) \cup W) \subset H^+$$

muss also $\varphi(U \cup W) < 0$ sein. Andererseits gilt für hinreichend grosse λ

$$\varphi(U \cup W)\, f(U \cup (W+\lambda t)) = \varphi(U \cup W)\, f(U \cup W) + \lambda t \in H^+$$

und

$$f(U \cup (W+\lambda t)) \in \operatorname{konv}(U \cup (W+\lambda t)) \subset H^+,$$

was $\varphi(U \cup W) > 0$ nach sich zieht. Die Annahme war also falsch, das heisst φ ist definit.

Rolf Schneider, TU Berlin

LITERATURVERZEICHNIS

[1] H. HADWIGER, *Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche und Isoperimetrie* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Göttingen und Heidelberg 1957).

[2] H. HADWIGER und R. SCHNEIDER, *Vektorielle Integralgeometrie*, El. Math. *26*, 49–57 (1971).

[3] R. SCHNEIDER, *On Steiner Points of Convex Bodies*, Israel J. Math. *9*, 241–249 (1971).

[4] R. SCHNEIDER, *Krümmungsschwerpunkte konvexer Körper (I), (II)*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg *37*, 112–132, 204–217 (1972)

Two Non-Negative Quadratic Forms

I. Introduction

In problem E 2348 [1], L. Carlitz has given the inequality

$$\sum R_1\,(r_2+r_3) \geq \sum (r_1+r_2)\,(r_1+r_3) \tag{1}$$

where R_1, R_2, R_3 and r_1, r_2, r_3 denote the distances from an interior point of a triangle ABC to the vertices A, B, C and the sides a, b, c, respectively. Coupling (1) with the known lower bounds $R_1 \geq (r_2 c + r_3 b)/a$, etc. [2, p. 107], suggests the stronger inequality

$$\left.\begin{aligned} F_1 \equiv & \left\{\frac{b}{c}+\frac{c}{b}-1\right\} r_1^2 + \left\{\frac{c}{a}+\frac{a}{c}-1\right\} r_2^2 + \left\{\frac{a}{b}+\frac{b}{a}-1\right\} r_3^2 \\ & - \left\{3-\frac{b+c}{a}\right\} r_2 r_3 - \left\{3-\frac{c+a}{b}\right\} r_3 r_1 - \left\{3-\frac{a+b}{c}\right\} r_1 r_2 \geq 0\,. \end{aligned}\right\} \tag{2}$$

We shall show that $F_1 \geq 0$ is indeed valid for all triangles ABC and *any* real values of r_1, r_2, r_3. Then by using the lower bounds $b/c + c/b \geq 2$, etc., inequality (2) can be partially strengthened to

$$F_2 \equiv r_1^2 + r_2^2 + r_3^2 - \left\{3 - \frac{b+c}{a}\right\} r_2 r_3 - \left\{3 - \frac{c+a}{b}\right\} r_3 r_1 - \left\{3 - \frac{a+b}{c}\right\} r_1 r_2 \geq 0 \,. \tag{3}$$

However, here r_1, r_2, r_3 are to be arbitrary non-negative numbers.

II. $\mathbf{F_1 \geq 0}$

A standard way of showing F_1 is non-negative is to show that its associated matrix

$$M = \left\| \begin{matrix} \dfrac{b^2 - bc + c^2}{bc} & \dfrac{a+b-3c}{2c} & \dfrac{a+c-3b}{2b} \\[2ex] \dfrac{b+a-3c}{2c} & \dfrac{c^2 - ca + a^2}{ca} & \dfrac{b+c-3a}{2a} \\[2ex] \dfrac{c+a-3b}{2b} & \dfrac{c+b-3a}{2a} & \dfrac{a^2 - ab + b^2}{ab} \end{matrix} \right\|$$

is positive semidefinite. As is well known, F_1 is a non-negative form *iff* all the principal minors of M are ≥ 0. The first two leading ones, M_1 and M_2 are easy to establish. For

$$bc\, M_1 = (b-c)^2 + bc > 0\,,$$

and after some manipulation

$$4abc^2 M_2 = 4c^2\{\sum a^2 - \sum ab\} + ab\{2 \sum ab - \sum a^2\}\,.$$

That M_2 is non-negative, follows from two elementary triangle inequalities [2, p. 11]. The non-negativity of the remaining 1st and 2nd order principal minors follows by symmetry.

To simplify the valuation of $M_3 = \det M$, we make the duality transformation [3],

$$a = y + z\,, \quad b = z + x\,, \quad c = x + y$$

where x, y, z are arbitrary non-negative numbers, not all zero. After some simple algebra, we obtain

$$(x+y)^2 (y+z)^2 (z+x)^2 M_3 = \left| \begin{matrix} P - 2yz & (z-x-y)\,(z+x) & (y-z-x)\,(y+x) \\ (z-x-y)\,(x+y) & P - 2zx & (x-y-z)\,(x+y) \\ (y-z-x)\,(y+z) & (x-y-z)\,(x+z) & P - 2xy \end{matrix} \right|$$

where $P = \Sigma x^2 + \Sigma xy$. We now add row 2 and row 3 to row 1, giving a row of constant terms, $\Sigma x^2 - \Sigma xy$, which can be factored out. Next, we subtract column 2 form column 3 and then column 1 from column 2, leading to a 2×2 determinant. Then adding the rows together, we finally obtain

$$(x+y)^2(y+z)^2(z+x)^2 M_3 = \{\sum xy\}\{\sum x^2 - \sum xy\}\{\sum x^2 + 3\sum xy\} \geq 0$$

with equality *iff* $x = y = z$ or two of x, y, z are zero. Consequently, (1) and (2) are valid with equality *iff* ABC is equilateral (we are excluding degenerate triangles).

III. $F_2 \geq 0$

By just considering the case $r_3 = 0$, $r_1 r_2 < 0$ and $(a+b)/c$ large, it follows that inequality (3) is not valid for all real r_1, r_2, r_3. Consequently, we restrict r_1, r_2, r_3 to non-negative values and replace them by x^2, y^2, z^2, respectively. Also, we replace a, b, c by $q+r$, $r+p$, $p+q$, respectively, where p, q, r are arbitrary non-negative numbers. Inequality (3) now takes the form

$$F_2' \equiv x^4 + y^4 + z^4 - 2uy^2z^2 - 2vz^2x^2 - 2wx^2y^2 \geq 0 \qquad (3)'$$

where

$$u = 1 - \frac{p}{q+r}, \qquad v = 1 - \frac{q}{r+p}, \qquad w = 1 - \frac{r}{p+q}.$$

Since F_2' is a biquadratic in x, y, z, it follows by a theorem of Hilbert [4] that if $F_2' \geq 0$, then it can be expressed as the sum of squares of three real polynomials and conversely. Consequently, our proof of (3)$'$ is based on exhibiting such a representation.

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $p \geq q \geq r$. Whence, $u < 1$, $0 \leq v, w \leq 1$. F_2' can now be expressed in the form

$$F_2' = \{x^2 - vz^2 - wy^2\}^2 + \{y^2(1-w^2)^{1/2} - z^2(1-v^2)^{1/2}\}^2 + 2Gy^2z^2$$

where

$$G = (1-v^2)^{1/2}(1-w^2)^{1/2} - u - vw.$$

In order to show that G is non-negative, we consider, separately, three intervals for u, i.e., (C_1) $u \leq u_0$, (C_2) $0 \leq u \leq 1$ and (C_3) $u_0 < u < 0$. The first case (C_1) is the easiest since u_0 is the negative root of a certain cubic such that $u + vw \leq 0$. For (C_2), p, q, r are possible sides of a triangle. Thus, we can make the duality transformation $p = \beta + \gamma$, $q = \gamma + \alpha$, $r = \alpha + \beta$ where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \geq 0$. On squaring out $G = 0$, we get

$$1 \geq u^2 + v^2 + w^2 + 2uvw. \qquad (4)$$

After a considerable amount of simple algebra, (4) can be expressed in the form

$$\left.\begin{aligned} &\frac{7}{3}T_1^2T_2(T_1^2 - 3T_2) + \frac{4}{9}T_1^3(T_1T_2 - 9T_3) + \frac{10}{9}T_1T_2(T_1^3 - 27T_3) \\ &\qquad + \frac{1}{9}(T_1^3 \cdot T_1T_2 - 27T_3 \cdot 9T_3) \geq 0 \end{aligned}\right\} \qquad (5)$$

where

$$T_1 = \alpha + \beta + \gamma\,, \quad T_2 = \beta\gamma + \gamma\alpha + \alpha\beta\,, \quad T_3 = \alpha\beta\gamma\,.$$

Since it is well known that

$$T_1^2 \geq 3\,T_2\,, \quad T_1^3 \geq 27\,T_3\,, \quad T_1\,T_2 \geq 9\,T_3\,,$$

inequality (5) is valid and with equality *iff* $\alpha = \beta = \gamma$.

For the last case (C_3), we resort to a geometric proof. First, we note that u, v, w satisfy the identity

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & u-1 & u-1 \\ v-1 & 1 & v-1 \\ w-1 & w-1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

or equivalently

$$H \equiv \left\{v - \frac{4-3\,u}{3-2\,u}\right\}\left\{w - \frac{4-3\,u}{3-2\,u}\right\} - \left\{\frac{2-u}{3-2\,u}\right\}^2 = 0\,. \tag{6}$$

For a fixed u, permissible values of v, w will then lie on the lower branch of the hyperbola $H = 0$ which is contained in the unit square $0 \leq v, w \leq 1$. If we also wish to have $u + vw \leq 0$, then the critical value of u (denoted by u_0) is determined by requiring the lower branch of $H = 0$ to be tangent to the positive branch of $vw = -u$ (see figure). u_0 is then the negative root of $\sqrt{-u} = 2\,(1-u)/(3-2u)$ or $4u^3 - 8u^2 + u + 4 = 0$. Here, $u_0 \approx -.57$.

In order to show that (4) is valid for each fixed u in $(u_0, 0)$, it suffices to show that the part of the lower branch of $H = 0$ lying above the positive branch of $vw = -u$ and within the square $0 \leq v, w \leq 1$, also lies inside the ellipse (see figure)

$$E \equiv v^2 + w^2 + 2\,u\,v\,w - (1 - u^2) = 0\,.$$

The semi-axes of E lie on the lines $v \pm w = 0$ and their lengths are $\sqrt{1-u}$ and $\sqrt{1+u}$, respectively.

The ellipse is inscribed in the square $-1 \leq v, w \leq 1$. The positive branch of the hyperbola $v\,w = -u$ passes through two points of tangency. The three curves are symmetric with respect to the line $v = w$. The coordinates of the indicated points are given by

$$P = (-u, 1)\,, \quad Q = \left(\frac{u}{u-1}, 1\right),$$

$$U_v = \sqrt{(1-u)/2}\,, \quad V_v = \frac{2\,(1-u)}{3-2u}\,, \quad W_v = \sqrt{-u/2}$$

(U_v denotes the abscissa of U, etc.).

Since V lies between W and U and Q is to the left of P, our inequality is established.



In addition to having equality in (3)′ for the case, $a = b = c$, $x = y = z$, we also have equality for a degenerate triangle corresponding to P and Q coinciding at (0,1). For this case, $r = 0$, $x = y$, $a = q$, $b = p$, $c = p + q$.

IV. Triangle inequalities

Numerous triangle inequalities can be obtained from the forms F_1 and F_2 by letting r_1, r_2, r_3 be particular functions of the sides, e.g., $r_1 = a, a^2, ab, b$ etc. (r_2 and r_3 are then chosen by a cylic interchange of a, b, c). Most of these inequalities are not particularly elegant, e.g.,

$$abc\sum a^2 + \sum (a+b)\, a^2 b^2 \geq 3abc\sum ab\,, \tag{7}$$

$$2a^2 b^2 c^2 \sum ab + \sum b^4 c^4 \geq 3a^2 b^2 c^2 \sum c^2\,. \tag{8}$$

However, we can rewrite (3)′ into the more appealing form (geometrically)

$$\frac{p}{q+r}\, b^2 c^2 + \frac{q}{r+p}\, c^2 a^2 + \frac{r}{p+q}\, a^2 b^2 \geq 8\Delta^2 \tag{9}$$

where Δ denotes the area of triangle ABC. It is to be noted that if $|a|, |b|, |c|$ did not form a triangle, the r.h.s. of (9) would be negative giving a trivial inequality. In terms of angles, (9) is given by

$$\frac{p \csc^2 A}{q+r} + \frac{q \csc^2 B}{r+p} + \frac{r \csc^2 C}{p+q} \geq 2\,. \tag{9$'$}$$

There is equality in (9) and (9)′ *iff* $A = B = C = \pi/3$, $p = q = r$. If we also allow degenerate triangles, there is also equality *iff* $A = B = \pi/2$, $p = q$, $r = 0$ (assuming $p \geq q \geq r$). Inequalities (9) and (9)′ generalize the known special case corresponding to $p = q = r$ [1, pp. 31, 45].

If we now let $(a', b', c') = (a^2, b^2, c^2)$ and restrict ABC to be an acute triangle, then a', b', c' are sides of a general triangle of area Δ'. By virtue of the known inequality, $4\,\Delta^2 \geq \sqrt{3}\,\Delta'$, of Finsler and Hadwiger [1, p. 91] together with (9), gives

$$\frac{p}{q+r}\,b'\,c' + \frac{q}{r+p}\,c'\,a' + \frac{r}{p+q}\,a'\,b' \geq 2\sqrt{3}\,\Delta' \tag{10}$$

or equivalently

$$\frac{p \csc A'}{q+r} + \frac{q \csc B'}{r+p} + \frac{r \csc C'}{p+q} \geq \sqrt{3}\,. \tag{10$'$}$$

The last two forms generalize the known special case corresponding to $p = q = r$ [2, p. 31, 43].

Other related extensions will be given in a subsequent paper. Also, for other examples of non-negative quadratic forms and their associated triangle inequalities, see [5], [6] and the references therein.

Murray S. Klamkin, Ford Motor Company, Dearborn/USA

REFERENCES

[1] Amer. Math. Monthly, March 1971.
[2] O. BOTTEMA, et al., *Geometric Inequalities* (Noordhoff, Groningen 1969).
[3] M. S. KLAMKIN, *Duality in Triangle Inequalities*, Ford Motor Company Preprint, July 1971. (Also, see Notices of A.M.S., August 1971, p. 782).
[4] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD and G. POLYÁ, *Inequalities* (Cambridge University Press, London 1952), p. 57–60.
[5] M. S. KLAMKIN, *Non-Negative Quadratic Forms and Triangle Inequalities*, Ford Motor Company Preprint, June 1971. (Also, see Notices of A.M.S., October 1971, p. 966).
[6] M. S. KLAMKIN, *Asymmetric Triangle Inequalities*, Univ. Beograd Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat. Fiz. No. 367, *1971*, p. 33–44.

A Note on Discontinuous Functions

Let $\mathfrak{J}$ denote the class of real-valued functions defined and everywhere discontinuous on an interval $[a, b]$. F. Fricker [1] considered questions concerning the set $\mathcal{H}(f) = \{x\colon \lim_{y\to x} f(y) \text{ exists}\}$ for $f \in \mathfrak{J}$. He asked whether it is possible for $\mathcal{H}(f)$ to be dense in $[a, b]$. A negative answer to this question was obtained by R. Jeltsch [2]. The purpose of this note is to characterize those sets H for which there exists $f \in \mathfrak{J}$ such that $H = \mathcal{H}(f)$.

We begin with three lemmas.

Lemma 1. For any real-valued function f defined on $[a, b]$ the set $\mathcal{H}(f) = \{x\colon \lim_{y\to x} f(y) \text{ exists}\}$ is of type G_δ.

Proof. For each $x \in [a, b]$ and $\delta > 0$ let

$$\omega_\delta(x) = \sup\{|\,f(y) - f(z)\,|\colon 0 < |\,y - x\,| < \delta,\ 0 < |\,z - x\,| < \delta\}$$