

A criterion for n-fold transitivity of transformation groups

Autor(en): **Sumner, David P.**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Elemente der Mathematik**

Band (Jahr): **29 (1974)**

Heft 3

PDF erstellt am: **12.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-29896>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

LITERATUR

- [1] H. HADWIGER, *Polytopes and Translative Equidecomposability*, Amer. Math. Monthly 79, 275–276 (1972).
- [2] H. HADWIGER, *Mittelpunktspolyeder und translative Zerlegungsgleichheit*, Math. Nachr. 8, 53–58 (1952).
- [3] H. HADWIGER, *Vorlesungen über Inhalt, Oberfläche und Isoperimetrie*, Springer (Berlin 1957).
- [4] H. HADWIGER, *Translationsinvariante, additive und schwachstetige Polyederfunktionale*, Arch. Math. 3, 387–394 (1952).
- [5] H. HADWIGER, *Translative Zerlegungsgleichheit der Polyeder des gewöhnlichen Raumes*, J. reine angew. Math. 233, 200–212 (1968).
- [6] E. HERTEL, *Zur translativen Zerlegungsgleichheit n -dimensionaler Polyeder*, Publ. Math. Debrecen (im Druck).
- [7] B. JESSEN, *Zur Algebra der Polytope*, Nachr. Akad. Wiss. Göttingen Math.-Phys. II. 4, 47–53 (1972).

A Criterion for n -Fold Transitivity of Transformation Groups

Let G be a group and let X be a nonempty set. An *action* $*$ on X is a function $*$: $G \times X \rightarrow X$ such that for every $g, h \in G$ and $x \in X$, (i) $(gh) * x = g * (h * x)$ and (ii) $1 * x = x$.

A triple $(G, X, *)$ where $*$ is an action of G on X is called a *transformation group*. For $S \subseteq X$ the stability subgroup of S is $G_S = \{g \in G \mid g * s = s \text{ for every } s \in S\}$. (We will write G_x instead of $G_{\{x\}}$.)

If n is a positive integer, we say that G is *n -fold transitive* whenever for every two sequences x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n and y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n each consisting of n distinct elements of X , there exists $g \in G$ such that $g * x_i = y_i$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

We note that if $*$ is an action of G on X , then for any $S \subseteq X$, $*$ induces an action of G_S on $X - S$.

The next theorem is well known (see, for example, [1], Theorem 9.1).

Theorem 1: Let $(G, X, *)$ be transitive. Then for $n \geq 2$, $(G, X, *)$ is n -fold transitive iff there exists an $x \in X$ such that $(G_x, X - \{x\}, *)$ is $(n - 1)$ -fold transitive.

It is our purpose in this note to derive a corollary (Theorem 2) of this theorem which is sometimes more convenient to use. The essential idea is to replace the transitive condition on $(G, X, *)$ by a restriction on the stability subgroups.

Lemma 1: If $(G, X, *)$ is a transformation group, then $(G, X, *)$ is 2-fold transitive iff there exists an $x \in X$ such that $G_x \neq G$ and $(G_x, X - \{x\}, *)$ is transitive.

Proof: Clearly if $(G, X, *)$ is 2-fold transitive then the given condition holds for any $x \in X$.

Now suppose $x \in X$ such that $G_x \neq G$ and $(G_x, X - \{x\}, *)$ is transitive. Let $y, z \in X$. If $y, z \in X - \{x\}$, then there exists $g \in G_x$ such that $g * y = z$. If $y = z = x$, then $1 * y = z$. If $y = x$ and $z \neq x$, then since $G_x \neq G$, there exists $h \in G$ such that $h * x \neq x$. So there is an $r \in G_x$ such that $r * (h * x) = z$ and so $(rh) * x = z$. If $y \neq x$, $z = x$ and h is as before, then there exists $t \in G_x$ such that $t * y = h * x = h * z$ so that $(h^{-1}t) * y = z$. Hence $(G, X, *)$ is transitive so that by Theorem 1 it is 2-fold transitive.

Lemma 2: Let $n \geq 2$ and $|X| > 1$. Then $(G, X, *)$ is n -fold transitive iff there exists an $x \in X$ such that $G_x \neq G$ and $(G_x, X - \{x\}, *)$ is $(n - 1)$ -fold transitive.

Proof: Assume $G_x \neq G$ and $(G_x, X - \{x\}, *)$ is $(n - 1)$ -fold transitive. Then by Lemma 1, $(G, X, *)$ is transitive and hence by Theorem 1 it is n -fold transitive. If $(G, X, *)$ is n -fold transitive, then the given condition holds for all $x \in X$.

Theorem 2: For $|X| \geq n \geq 2$, $(G, X, *)$ is n -fold transitive iff there exists $S \subseteq X$ with $S = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}\}$ such that if $S_k = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k\}$ for each $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$, then

- a) $G_{t_1} \neq G$ and $G_{S_k} \neq G_{S_{k+1}}$ for all $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$; and
- b) $(G_S, X - S, *)$ is transitive.

Proof: Since any n -fold transformation group clearly satisfies (a) and (b), we need only show the other half.

The case $n = 2$ is the content of Lemma 1.

Suppose the theorem holds for all integers greater than one and less than n . Let $S \subseteq X$ be $S = \{t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}\}$ such that Conditions (a) and (b) hold. Then $S^* = \{t_2, \dots, t_{n-1}\}$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem for the transformation group $(G_{t_1}, X - \{t_1\}, *)$ and hence this transformation group is $(n - 1)$ -fold transitive. But then by Lemma 2, $(G, X, *)$ is n -fold transitive.

We next consider an application of this result. Let k be a field and let G be the group $GL(k, 2)$ of all nonsingular 2×2 matrices over k . Let $*$ be the action of G on $k \cup \{\infty\}$ defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{bmatrix} * z = \begin{cases} \frac{\alpha z + \beta}{\gamma z + \delta}, & \text{if } z \neq \infty, \gamma z + \delta \neq 0 \\ \infty, & \text{if } z \neq \infty, \gamma z + \delta = 0 \\ \alpha/\gamma, & \text{if } z = \infty, \gamma \neq 0 \\ \infty, & \text{if } z = \infty, \gamma = 0. \end{cases}$$

We will apply the previous result to show that $(G, X, *)$ is 3-fold transitive. First we note the following special case of Theorem 2 obtained by letting $n = 3$.

Theorem 3: For $|X| \geq 3$, $(G, X, *)$ is 3-fold transitive iff there exist $x, y \in X$ such that $G_x \neq G$, $G_{\{x,y\}} \neq G_x$ and $(G_{\{x,y\}}, X - \{x, y\}, *)$ is transitive.

Note that $G_{\{x,y\}} = G_x \cap G_y$. It is easy to see that

$$G_\infty = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in k, ac \neq 0 \right\}$$

and

$$G_0 = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ b & c \end{bmatrix} \mid a, b, c \in k, ac \neq 0 \right\}.$$

So

$$G_{\{0,\infty\}} = G_0 \cap G_\infty = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & c \end{bmatrix} \mid a, c \in k, ac \neq 0 \right\}.$$

Hence $G_0 \neq G$, $G_{\{0, \infty\}} \neq G_0$. It is also clear that $(G_{\{0, \infty\}}, k - \{0\}, *)$ is transitive, for if $x \neq 0$ and $y \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{bmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & x \end{bmatrix} * x = y.$$

Hence by Theorem 3, $(G, X, *)$ is 3-fold transitive. We note that $(G, X, *)$ is not 4-fold transitive, for then $(G_{\{0, \infty\}}, k - \{0\}, *)$ would be 2-fold transitive.

David P. Sumner, University of South Carolina, USA

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank D. J. Foulis and L. N. Mann for conducting the seminar on transformation groups during which this note was first written.

REFERENCE

- [1] H. WIELANDT, *Finite Permutation Groups*, trans. by R. Bercov, Academic Press, New York, 1964.

On 1-Factorability and Edge-Colorability of Cartesian Products of Graphs

There is no characterization of 1-factorable graphs. Thus, it is natural that many of the results on this topic have been the determination of classes of 1-factorable graphs. The object of this paper is to present a sufficient condition for the 1-factorability of the cartesian product of two graphs. We begin with some notation and definitions.

The vertex set of a graph G will be denoted by $V(G)$ and its edge set by $E(G)$. In this paper we consider only finite, undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges. Let G and H be two nonempty graphs for which $V(G) = V(H)$ and $E(G) \cap E(H) = \Phi$; then the graph G' is the *sum* of G and H , written $G' = G + H$, if $V(G') = V(G)$ and $E(G') = E(G) \cup E(H)$. A *1-factor* of a graph G is a spanning 1-regular subgraph of G . A graph is *1-factorable* if it can be expressed as a sum of edge-disjoint 1-factors. The *cartesian product* (or *product*) of the graph G with the graph H , denoted by $G \times H$, is defined by: $V(G \times H) = V(G) \times V(H)$; $E(G \times H) = \{[(u_1, v_1), (u_2, v_2)] \mid u_1 = u_2 \text{ and } v_1 v_2 \in E(H), \text{ or } v_1 = v_2 \text{ and } u_1 u_2 \in E(G)\}$.

An assignment of n colors to the edges of a nonempty graph G so that adjacent edges are colored differently is an *n -edge-coloring* of G . The minimum n for which a graph G is n -edge-colorable is its *edge-chromatic number* $\chi_1(G)$. By a theorem of Vizing [2], the edge-chromatic number $\chi_1(G)$ of a graph G is bounded by: $\Delta(G) \leq \chi_1(G) \leq \Delta(G) + 1$, where $\Delta(G)$ is the maximum degree of G . If G is regular, then G is 1-factorable if and only if $\chi_1(G) = \Delta(G)$. Hence any theorem concerning the 1-factorability of regular graphs has as an immediate corollary a result concerning edge-colorability, which is useful since there is also no characterization of those graphs which are $\Delta(G)$ -edge-colorable. For other notations and definitions, we follow [1].

If K_2 denotes the complete graph on two vertices, then $K_2 \times H$, where H is any regular graph, is shown to be 1-factorable in the following lemma.