Zeitschrift:	Elemente der Mathematik
Herausgeber:	Schweizerische Mathematische Gesellschaft
Band:	31 (1976)
Heft:	2
Artikel:	On inscribed circumscribed conics
Autor:	Goldberg, M. / Zwas, G.
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-31393

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. <u>Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.</u>

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. <u>Voir Informations légales.</u>

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. <u>See Legal notice.</u>

Download PDF: 28.04.2025

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, https://www.e-periodica.ch

On Inscribed Circumscribed Conics

There is a well known geometric theorem due to Euler which states the following:

Theorem 1 (Euler). Given a triangle inscribed in a circle of radius R and circumscribed about a circle of radius r, then

$$R^2 - d^2 = 2 r R , (1)$$

where d is the distance between the circumcenter and the incenter of the triangle. In this note first we generalize Euler's Theorem as follows:

Theorem 2. Let \mathfrak{C} be a circle about O with radius R and let \mathfrak{E} be an ellipse contained in \mathfrak{C} with semi-minor axis b and foci F_1 , F_2 . Set $d_1 = \overline{OF_1}$, $d_2 = \overline{OF_2}$. Then there exists a triangle inscribed in \mathfrak{C} and circumscribed about \mathfrak{E} , if and only if

$$(R^2 - d_1^2) (R^2 - d_2^2) = 4 \ b^2 R^2 \,. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Let \Re and \mathfrak{L} be two conics in the projective plane, given in homogeneous coordinates by

$$X^{t}AX = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad X^{t}BX = 0 \tag{3}$$

respectively, so that \Re contains \mathfrak{L} . It is known (cf. [1] p. 279) that a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a triangle inscribed in \Re and circumscribed about \mathfrak{L} is

$$\theta^2 = 4 \varDelta \theta', \qquad (4)$$

where Δ , θ , θ' , (and Δ'), are determined by

1

$$\det (A + \lambda B) \equiv \Delta + \theta \lambda + \theta' \lambda^2 + \Delta' \lambda^3.$$
(5)

It can be shown that

$$\Delta = \det A, \quad \theta = \operatorname{tr}[(\operatorname{adj} A)B], \quad \theta' = \operatorname{tr}[(\operatorname{adj} B)A], \quad (\Delta' = \det B), \quad (6)$$

where tr denotes the trace and adj the matrix of cofactors. Thus, the condition in (4) takes the form

$$\operatorname{tr}^{2}[(\operatorname{adj} A)B] = 4 \operatorname{det} A \cdot \operatorname{tr}[(\operatorname{adj} B)A].$$
(7)

In our case let the ellipse and the circle be

$$\frac{x^2}{a^2} + \frac{y^2}{b^2} = 1 \tag{8}$$

and

$$(x - p)^2 + (y - q)^2 = R^2, (9)$$

respectively. Then the non-singular symmetric matrices in (7) are

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a^{-2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b^{-2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -p \\ 0 & 1 & -q \\ -p & -q & p^2 + q^2 - R^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (10)

Therefore, in this particular case, (7) reads

$$(R^{2} + a^{2} + b^{2} - p^{2} - q^{2})^{2} = 4 (a^{2} b^{2} - a^{2} q^{2} - b^{2} p^{2} + a^{2} R^{2} + b^{2} R^{2}).$$
(11)

Setting $a^2 = b^2 + c^2$, (11) yields

$$(R^2 - q^2 - p^2 - c^2)^2 - 4 (b^2 R^2 + p^2 c^2) = 0.$$
(12)

This is equivalent to the equation

$$\{R^2 - [q^2 + (p + c)^2]\} \cdot \{R^2 - [q^2 + (p - c)^2]\} = 4b^2 R^2,$$
(13)

and since

$$d_1^2 = q^2 + (p+c)^2, \quad d_2^2 = q^2 + (p-c)^2,$$
 (14)

formula (2) holds.

We note that Theorem 2 can also be proved by means of analytic geometry, using Poncelet's porism.

Theorem 2 leads to the following result.

Theorem 3. Let \mathfrak{C} be a circle about O with radius R and let \mathfrak{E} be an ellipse contained in \mathfrak{C} with semi-minor axis b and foci F_1 , F_2 . Then, a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of a triangle which includes \mathfrak{E} and is included in \mathfrak{C} is

$$(R^2 - d_1^2) (R^2 - d_2^2) \ge 4 \ b^2 R^2 , \tag{15}$$

where $d_1 = \overline{OF}_1$, $d_2 = \overline{OF}_2$.

Proof. Consider the one-parameter family of confocal ellipses

$$\{\mathfrak{E}(t); \quad t \ge 0\},\tag{16}$$

with semi-minor axis t and fixed foci F_1 , F_2 . Our ellipse belongs to this family and we have $\mathfrak{E} = \mathfrak{E}(b)$.

Assume now the existence of a triangle $P_1P_2P_3$ which contains $\mathfrak{E}(b)$ and is contained in \mathfrak{C} . Then, by a perturbation argument, there exists a triangle with vertices Q_1 , $Q_2 = Q_2(b)$ and $Q_3 = Q_3(b)$, which is inscribed in \mathfrak{C} and contains $\mathfrak{E}(b)$ such that two of its sides, $Q_1Q_2(b)$ and $Q_1Q_3(b)$, touch $\mathfrak{E}(b)$, as shown in Figure 1.



Figure 1

Now, keep Q_1 fixed. Starting with t = b, let t increase and let the points $Q_2 = Q_2(t)$ and $Q_3 = Q_3(t)$ move on the circle such that the sides $Q_1Q_2(t)$ and $Q_1Q_3(t)$ touch the ellipse $\mathfrak{E}(t)$. In this continuous process, the side $Q_2(t)Q_3(t)$ approaches $\mathfrak{E}(t)$, and for some t = b' with $b' \ge b$, $Q_2(b')Q_3(b')$ touches $\mathfrak{E}(b')$. Hence, we have obtained a triangle inscribed in \mathfrak{C} and circumscribed about $\mathfrak{E}(b')$; the ellipse $\mathfrak{E}(b')$ being confocal with $\mathfrak{E}(b)$. By Theorem 2

$$(R^2 - d_1^2) (R^2 - d_2^2) = 4 \ b'^2 R^2, \tag{17}$$

and since $b' \ge b$, inequality (15) holds.

Conversely, assume that (15) holds and that there is no triangle which is included in \mathfrak{C} and includes $\mathfrak{E}(b)$. Then, by a similar argument as above, we decrease t to obtain a confocal ellipse $\mathfrak{E}(b')$ inscribed in a triangle which in turn is inscribed in \mathfrak{C} . Therefore, (17) is satisfied, and b' < b implies

$$(R^2 - d_1^2) (R^2 - d_2^2) < 4 \ b^2 R^2.$$
⁽¹⁸⁾

This contradicts (15) and the theorem follows.

It seems interesting to derive metric relations analogous to those in Theorems 2 and 3, in the more general case of an ellipse within an ellipse.

Thanks are due to Harley Flanders for many helpful discussions.

Moshe Goldberg, Univ. of California, Los Angeles, and Gideon Zwas, Tel-Aviv Univ., Israel

REFERENCE

[1] D. M. Y. SOMMERVILLE, Analytical Conics, (G. Bell and Sons, LTD, London 1946).