

Algebraic numbers of the form $P(T)^{Q(T)}$ with T transcendental

Autor(en): **Marques, Diego**

Objektyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: **Elemente der Mathematik**

Band (Jahr): **65 (2010)**

PDF erstellt am: **12.07.2024**

Persistenter Link: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-130692>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Algebraic numbers of the form $P(T)^{Q(T)}$ with T transcendental

Diego Marques

Diego Marques was awarded a Ph.D. at the Universidade de Brasília in 2009. Recently he obtained a professorship in the department of mathematics at the same university. His field of interest is transcendental number theory.

1 Introduction

When I was a high-school student, I liked writing rational numbers as “combination” of irrational ones, for instance

$$2 = \sqrt{\sqrt[3]{2 + \sqrt{-121}} + \sqrt[3]{2 - \sqrt{-121}}} = \sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}^{\dots}}} = \sqrt{3}^{\frac{\log 4}{\log 3}} = e^{\log 2}.$$

In particular, the last equality above shows us one way of writing the algebraic number 2 as power of two transcendental numbers. In 1934, the mathematicians A.O. Gelfond [2] and T. Schneider [3] proved the following well-known result: If $\alpha \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and $\beta \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \setminus \mathbb{Q}$, then α^β is a transcendental number. This result, named as Gelfond-Schneider theorem, classifies completely the arithmetic nature of the numbers of the form $A_1^{A_2}$, for $A_1, A_2 \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. Returning to our subject, but now using the Gelfond-Schneider theorem, we also can easily write 2 as T^T , for some T transcendental. Actually, all prime numbers and

Im Jahr 1934 lösten A.O. Gelfond und T. Schneider das siebte Hilbertsche Problem, indem sie zeigten, dass für algebraische Zahlen α, β mit $\alpha \neq 0, 1$ und $\beta \notin \mathbb{Q}$ die Grösse α^β , also z.B. $\sqrt{2}^{\sqrt{2}}$, transzendent ist. Eine Art Umkehrung dieses Sachverhalts bedeutet die Fragestellung, unter welchen Bedingungen an zwei transzendente Zahlen σ, τ die Grösse σ^τ algebraisch ist. Beispielsweise sind die Eulersche Zahl $e = 2, 71828 \dots$ und $\log(2)$ transzendent, aber es ist $e^{\log(2)} = 2$. In der vorliegenden Arbeit zeigt der Autor, dass es zu zwei beliebigen, nicht-konstanten Polynomen $P(X)$ und $Q(X)$ mit rationalen Koeffizienten jeweils unendlich viele algebraische Zahlen gibt, die in der Form $P(\tau)^{Q(\tau)}$ mit transzendtem τ dargestellt werden können.

all algebraic numbers $A \geq e^{-1/e}$, satisfying $A^n \notin \mathbb{Q}$ for all $n \geq 1$, can be written in this form; for a more general result see [4, Proposition 1]. Using again the Gelfond-Schneider theorem and Galois theory, we show that for all non-constant polynomials $P(x), Q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$, there are infinitely many algebraic numbers which can be written in the particular “complicated” form $P(T)^{Q(T)}$, for some transcendental number T .

2 Main result

Proposition. *Fix non-constant polynomials $P(x), Q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then the set of algebraic numbers of the form $P(T)^{Q(T)}$, with T transcendental, is dense in some connected subset either of \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{C} .*

As we said in Section 1, all algebraic numbers $A \geq e^{-1/e}$ satisfying $A^n \notin \mathbb{Q}$ for all $n \geq 1$, can be written in the form T^T , for some $T \notin \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. An example of such A is $1 + \sqrt{2}$. But that is only one case of our proposition, namely when $P(x) = Q(x) = x$. So for proving our result we need a stronger condition satisfied by an algebraic number A , and that is exactly what our next result asserts.

Lemma. *Let $Q(x)$ be a polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ and set $\mathcal{F} = \{Q(x) - d : d \in \mathbb{Q}\}$. Then there exists $\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \cap \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, such that*

$$\alpha^n \notin \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) \text{ for all } n \geq 1, \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$ denotes the set $\{x \in \mathbb{C} : f(x) = 0 \text{ for some } f \in \mathcal{F}\}$.

Proof. Set $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots\}$, and for each $n \geq 1$, set $K_n = \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{F_1 \dots F_n})$ and $[K_n : \mathbb{Q}] = t_n$. Since $K_n \subseteq K_{n+1}$, then $t_n | t_{n+1}$, for all $n \geq 1$. Therefore, there are integers $(m_n)_{n \geq 1}$ such that $t_n = m_{n-1} \dots m_1 t_1$. Note that $K_{n+1} = K_n(\mathcal{R}_{F_{n+1}})$ and $\deg F_{n+1} = \deg Q$. It follows that $[K_{n+1} : K_n] \leq (\deg Q)!$. Because $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq K_n \subseteq K_{n+1}$, we also have that $\frac{t_{n+1}}{t_n} \leq (\deg Q)!$ for all $n \geq 1$. On the other hand $\frac{t_{n+1}}{t_n} = m_n$, so the sequence $(m_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded. Thus, we ensure the existence of a prime number $p > \max_{n \geq 1} \{m_n, t_1, 3\}$. Hence p does not divide t_n , for $n \geq 1$. We pick a real number α that is a root of the irreducible polynomial $F(x) = x^p - 4x + 2$ and we claim that $\alpha \notin \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$. Indeed, if this is not the case, then there exists a number $s \geq 1$, such that $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{F_1 \dots F_s}) = K_s$. Since $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = p$, we would have that $p | t_s$, however this is impossible. Moreover, given $n \geq 1$, we have the field inclusions $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha^n) \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. So $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha^n) : \mathbb{Q}] = 1$ or p , but α^n cannot be written as radicals over \mathbb{Q} , since that $F(x)$ is not solvable by radicals over \mathbb{Q} , see [1, p. 189]. Hence $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha^n)$ and then such α satisfies the condition (1). \square

Without referring to the lemma, we have the following special remarks:

Remark 1 If $\deg Q(x) = 1$, then $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}) = \mathbb{Q}$. Therefore $\alpha = 1 + \sqrt{2}$ satisfies our desired condition (1).

Remark 2 More generally, if $\deg Q \leq 4$, then we take α one of the real roots of the polynomial $F(x) = x^5 - 4x + 2$. We assert that this α satisfies (1). In fact, note that

all elements of the field $\mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$ are solvable by radicals (over \mathbb{Q}), on the other hand the Galois group of $F(x) = 0$ over \mathbb{Q} is isomorphic to S_5 (the symmetric group), see [1, p. 189]. Hence if $\alpha^n \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$, it would be expressed as radicals over \mathbb{Q} , but this cannot happen.

Now we are able to prove our main result:

Proof of the proposition. Let us suppose that P assumes a positive value. In this case, we have $0 < P(x) \neq 1$ for some interval $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, the function $f : (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, given by $f(x) := P(x)^{Q(x)}$ is well-defined. Since f is a non-constant continuous function, $f((a, b))$ is a non-degenerate interval, say (c, d) . Now, take α as in the lemma. Note that the set $\{\alpha Q : Q \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\}\}$ is dense in (c, d) . For such an $\alpha Q \in (c, d)$, we have

$$\alpha Q = P(T)^{Q(T)} \quad (2)$$

for some $T \in (a, b)$. We must prove that T is a transcendental number. Assuming the contrary, then $P(T)$ and $Q(T)$ are algebraic numbers. Since $P(T) \notin \{0, 1\}$, then by the Gelfond-Schneider theorem, we infer that $Q(T) = \frac{r}{s} \in \mathbb{Q}$, $s > 0$. It follows that $T \in \mathcal{R}_{Q(x) - \frac{r}{s}} \subseteq \mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}}$, so $P(T)^r \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$. By (2), $(\alpha Q)^s = P(T)^r$, hence $\alpha^s \in \mathbb{Q}(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{F}})$, but that contradicts the lemma.

For the case that $P(x) \leq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we can consider a subinterval $(a, b) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ such that $\mathcal{R}_P \cap (a, b) = \emptyset$, therefore the proof follows by the same argument. But in this case the image of (a, b) under f is a connected subset of \mathbb{C} and our basic dense subset (in \mathbb{C}) is the set $\{\alpha Q : Q \in \mathbb{Q}(i) \setminus \{0\}\}$. \square

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Angelo Papa and Professor Matzat for their helpful suggestions, I also thank Professor Paques for enjoyable talks on this subject.

References

- [1] Lorenz, F.: *Algebra, volume 1: fields and Galois theory*. Springer-Verlag, New York 2006.
- [2] Gelfond, A.O.: Sur le septième problème de Hilbert. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 7 (1934), 623–630.
- [3] Schneider, T.: Transzendenzuntersuchungen periodischer Funktionen: I. Transzendenz von Potenzen; II. Transzendenzeigenschaften elliptischer Funktionen. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 172 (1934), 65–74.
- [4] Sondow, J.; Marques, D.: Algebraic, irrational, and transcendental solutions of some exponential equations. Preprint, 2009.

Diego Marques
 Departamento de Matemática
 Universidade de Brasília
 Brasília, DF, Brazil
 e-mail: diego@mat.unb.br