Mean distances on a sphere

Autor(en): Kimberling, Clark

Objekttyp: **Article**

Zeitschrift: Elemente der Mathematik

Band (Jahr): 66 (2011)

PDF erstellt am: **09.08.2024**

Persistenter Link: https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-283495

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Ein Dienst der *ETH-Bibliothek* ETH Zürich, Rämistrasse 101, 8092 Zürich, Schweiz, www.library.ethz.ch

Elemente der Mathematik

Mean distances on a sphere

Clark Kimberling

Clark Kimberling received his Ph.D. in mathematics from the Illinois Institute of Technology in 1970. Since 1970 he has been a member of the mathematics department at the University of Evansville, Indiana. His interests include number theory and maintaining the Encyclopedia of Triangle Centers:

http://faculty.evansville.edu/ck6/encyclopedia/ETC.html.

1 Introduction

Consider a set of m points scattered on a sphere. Each such point P has a surrounding "neighborhood"—the open set of points closer to P than to any of the other m-1 points. The mean distance from P to its neighborhood is defined in the usual way by integration, and the mean distance from the whole sphere to the nearest of the m points is then the weighted mean of the m neighborhood means.

In this article we estimate such mean distances for various choices of m points, including vertex sets of the five regular polyhedra. Surprisingly, these appear to be new. For example, given a regular tetrahedron inscribed in the unit sphere, the mean distance to the nearest vertex is approximately 0.690737280538164. In the final section we consider distance as a probability random variable, of which "expected value" is a synonym for mean distance.

We use the standard labeling of a right triangle ABC on the unit sphere with center O, with vertex angles labeled α , β , γ and sidelengths (alias angles BOC, COA, AOB) labeled

In der vorliegenden Arbeit geht es um die Bestimmung von mittleren Abständen auf der Kugeloberfläche. Für eine um den Nordpol zentrierte Kugelkappe der nördlichen Hemisphäre wird der mittlere Abstand zum Nordpol durch "Aufsummieren" (Integration) der infinitesimalen Flächenanteile der Kugelkappe multipliziert mit der Distanz zum Nordpol im Verhältnis zur Gesamtfläche der Kugelkappe berechnet. Wenn man beispielsweise für die Kugelkappe die ganze nördliche Hemisphäre wählt, so ergibt als mittlere Distanz zum Nordpol 1. In Verallgemeinerung zu diesem Ergebnis bestimmt der Autor auch mittlere Abstände für regelmäßige sphärische Polygone zu endlichen Punktmengen der Sphäre.

a, b, c. By Girard's theorem, the surface area of ABC is $\alpha + \beta + \gamma - \pi$, and by Napier's pentagon,

$$\alpha = \arccos(\tan b \cot c). \tag{1}$$

These fundamentals are developed in spherical trigonometry textbooks (e.g., [2], [4]), and graphics, some interactive, are found at many websites (e.g., [1], [3]).

2 Integrals

Let \mathcal{R} be a cap or zone on the upper unit hemisphere

$$z = \sqrt{1 - x^2 - y^2},\tag{2}$$

and let A_R be the area of R. The mean of a continuous function f on R is defined by the surface integral

$$\int_{\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{R}}} f(x, y, z) \, dS.$$

Suppose N=(0,0,1), the north pole, and consider concentric circles on \mathcal{R} , centered at N. If s denotes the distance on \mathcal{R} from a point on such a circle to N, then the radius of the circle is $\sin s$. Thus, an increment ds accounts for an area $dS=(2\pi \sin s)ds$, so that the mean distance from N to \mathcal{R} can be found using

$$\frac{1}{A_R} \int s \, dS = \frac{2\pi}{A_R} \int s \sin s \, ds. \tag{3}$$

The limits of integration are constants, since \mathcal{R} is a cap or zone. For other regions we can find mean distances starting with integrals of the form (3). Certain standard properties of integrals will be needed. Let M(H, R) be the mean distance from a point H to a region R, a cap, zone, or spherical triangle.

- (I1) If R' is a rotation of R about H, then M(H, R') = M(H, R).
- (I2) If R' is a reflection of R about a line L through H, then M(H, R') = M(H, R).
- (I3) If R' is a pairwise disjoint union of such rotations and reflections, then M(H, R') = M(H, R).
- (I4) Weighted means: suppose that $n \geq 1$, and that R' is the union of pairwise disjoint regions R_i having respective areas a_i and mean distances $m_i = M(H, R_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Let

$$s_1 = a_1 m_1 + a_2 m_2 + \ldots + a_n m_n$$
 and $s_2 = a_1 + a_2 + \ldots + a_n$.

Then $M(H, R') = s_1/s_2$.

(I5) If R' is the interior of R, then M(H, R') = M(H, R).

For example, the mean distance from the center G of a spherical equilateral triangle ABC equals the mean distance from G to the spherical triangle GBC. Likewise, we can compute mean distances involving other regular polygons, as in Section 6, and mean distances of right triangles, as in Section 4. First, however, we shall examine somewhat simpler mean distances.

3 Caps and zones

Suppose that $0 \le a < b \le 1$. Then on the unit hemisphere (2), the points satisfying $a \le z \le b$ comprise a cap if b = 1 and a zone otherwise. In both cases the area of the region is $2\pi(b-a)$, and the inequality a < b is equivalent to $\arccos b < s < \arccos a$. With N = (0, 0, 1), mean distances are found from (3):

$$M = \frac{1}{b-a} \int_{\arccos b}^{\arccos a} s \sin s \, ds,$$

so that

$$M = \frac{\sqrt{1 - a^2} - \sqrt{1 - b^2} + b \arccos b - a \arccos a}{b - a}.$$
 (4)

If b = 1 and we write a as a variable x, then for caps,

$$M(x) = \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2} - x \arccos x}{1 - x}.$$

Especially notable is the fact that M(0) = 1; that is, the mean distance from the north pole to the northern hemisphere is simply 1 radian. The locus of a point having actual distance 1 from N is the circle in which the plane $z = \cos 1$ meets the unit sphere. This circle has radius $\sin 1$, and the area of its spherical cap is $2\pi (1 - \cos 1)$, leaving $2\pi \cos 1$ as the area of the complementary zone down to the plane z = 0.

Regarding Earth as a unit sphere, the latitude of the circle at distance 1 from the north pole – the mean latitude of the northern hemisphere – is about 32.7 degrees. It passes through or close to Ft. Worth (Texas), San Diego (California), and Nazareth (Israel); see Fig. 1.




Fig. 1 The distance from the North Pole to Nazareth is 1 radian

If H is a fixed point on the equator and Z is a zone or cap having north pole N = (0, 0, 1), then the mean distance from H to Z is $\pi/2$. To see this, rotate the configuration so that H

а	b	M		
0	1	1.000000000		
1/4	1	0.851622425		
1/2	1	0.6848532564		
3/4	1	0.4775485676		
7/8	1	0.3354597742		
15/16	Ĭ	0.2364463375		
0	1/4	1.4451327254		
0	1/2	1.3151467436		
0	3/4	1.5082145624		
1/3	2/3	1.0435370682		
1/2	0.5801952659	1.0000000000		

Table 1 Mean distances from N

goes to the north pole and N goes to the equator. Then the image of Z is symmetric about the equator, so that its mean distance to the north pole is $\pi/2$. For comparison, the mean distance from the whole equator to the whole northern hemisphere is $\pi/2 - 1$.

As a supplement to Table 1, for fixed H and b = 1, we select values of M and solve for a:

а	b	M
0.930181394058	1	0.25000000000007
0.726629934235	1	0.50000000000006
0.406913243452	1	0.75000000000001
0	1	1

Table 1A Mean distances from N to caps

If a = 0 and we treat b as a variable x, then the mean distance \mathcal{M} from the north pole H = (0, 0, 1) to the zone from the equator a = 0 up to the plane z = b is given via (4) by

$$\mathcal{M}(x) = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - x^2} + x \arccos x}{x}.$$

As a second supplement to Table 1, we select values of \mathcal{M} and solve for b:

a	b	M
0	0.0000000001	1.5707968367 ($\approx \pi/2$)
0	0.6190540690	1.1250000000
0	0.1413558550	1.5000000000
0	1	1

Table 1B Mean distances from N to zones

4 Spherical triangles

Suppose that ABC is a right spherical triangle labeled with A, B, C, a, b, c, α , β , γ in the standard way, with vertex angle $\gamma = \pi/2$ at C. Assume that ABC lies in the northern hemisphere (2), that N = (0, 0, 1) and O = (0, 0, 0), and let \mathcal{A} denote the area of ABC. Noting that b < c, let X be the point on the great circle ACN situated so that the arc ACX has length c. Then the isosceles triangle ABX is the union of triangles ABC and CXB. Consequently, the mean distance M from vertex A to triangle ABC is given by

$$M = \frac{1}{\mathcal{A}} \Big(\int_{0}^{c} \alpha \, s \sin s \, ds - \int_{b}^{c} k(s) \, s \sin s \, ds \Big), \tag{5}$$

where the arclength k(s) is found as an angle from Napier's pentagon as in (1):

$$k(s) = \arccos(\tan b \cot s). \tag{6}$$

Now suppose that UVW is an equilateral spherical triangle with vertex angle α and sidelength $x \leq \pi/2$. By Girard's formula, the area, $\mathcal{A}(x)$, of UVW is $3\alpha - \pi$, and solving for α gives

$$A(x) = 3\arccos\left(\frac{\cos x}{1 + \cos x}\right) - \pi.$$

Let C be the midpoint of arc VW. Let A = U and B = V. Then ABC is a right spherical triangle, and the mean distance from A to ABC equals the mean distance from U to UVW. In order to apply (5) to ABC, we have by Napier's pentagon, or more explicitly [4, equations (1)–(10), pp. 194–195],

$$c = x,$$

$$\alpha = \arccos\left(\frac{\cos x}{1 + \cos x}\right) = \arcsin(\sin(x/2)\csc x),$$

$$b = \arccos(\sec(x/2)\cos x),$$

$$k(s) = \arccos(\tan b \cot s),$$

$$\mathcal{A}_{ABC} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{A}(x).$$

Now (5) gives the following results for UVW:

sidelength x	area of UVW	M(U to UVW)
$\pi/2 \approx 1.57079$	$\pi/2$	1.0000000
$\arctan 2 \approx 1.10$	$\pi/5 \approx 0.6283$	0.6854769
$\pi/3 \approx 1.04719$	0.5512855	0.6469076
$\pi/4 \approx 0.78539$	0.2895605	0.4815149
$\pi/6 \approx 0.52359$	0.12293598	0.3194700
1.33540100935	1	0.8358397
1.00386508622	1/2	0.6192159
1.35907989763	$\pi/3$	0.8518439
1.21408103950	$\pi/4$	0.7551357
1.02417556740	$\pi/6$	0.6321770
1	0.49559489	0.6167529
1/2	0.11175309	0.3049730
0.814978563	0.31382189	0.5000007
0.4103134499	0.07447024	0.2500004

Table 2 Mean distance M from U to equilateral triangle UVW

5 Lunes

Let $\psi \leq \pi$ be the angle between planes \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 that pass through the center of the unit sphere $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1$. The wedge-shaped region between \mathcal{P}_1 and \mathcal{P}_2 is called a lune of angle ψ . A lune may be regarded as a 2-sided spherical polygon. In order to compute mean distances from a point to a lune, we begin by assuming, without loss of generality, that the endpoints of the lune are (0, -1, 0) and (0, 1, 0) and that the lune is bisected by the xy-plane. The center of the lune is the point H = (1, 0, 0). For $0 \leq s < \psi/2$, a circle about H at distance s has length $2\pi \sin s$. For $0 \leq s < \psi/2$, the distance from the plane z = 0 is $\leq \tan(\psi/2)\cos s$, so that the remaining arclength is given by

$$\ell(s) = \arcsin\left(\frac{\tan(\psi/2)}{\tan s}\right)\sin s.$$

As this length occurs 4 times, we assemble the mean distance from H to the lune as

$$M = \frac{1}{2\psi} \Big(2\pi \int_{0}^{\psi/2} s \sin s \, ds + 4 \int_{\psi/2}^{\pi/2} s \, \ell(s) \, ds \Big)$$

ψ/2	М		
$\pi/2 \approx 1.570796$	1.00000000		
$\pi/3 \approx 1.047198$	0.81680351		
$\pi/4 \approx 0.785398$	0.73335388		
$\pi/6 \approx 0.523599$	0.65925289		

Table 3 Mean distance M from center H to lune of angle ψ

6 Regular spherical polygons

Suppose that $P_k = V_1 V_2 \dots V_k$, for $k \ge 3$, is a regular spherical polygon on a sphere. Let A be the center (on the sphere) of P_k . Then $V_i A V_{i+1}$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$, as well as $V_k A V_1$, are congruent isosceles triangles.

Let C be the midpoint of arc V_1V_2 , and let $B=V_1$. Then ABC is one of 2k congruent right spherical triangles sharing A as a vertex. The angle V_1AV_2 is one of k congruent angles at A, so that $\angle V_1AV_2 = 2\pi/k$; consequently $\angle BAC = \pi/k$, a fact to be used in Section 8. By (I3) in Section 2, the mean distance from A to ABC equals the desired mean distance from A to the whole polygon P_k .

7 Inscribed polyhedra

Suppose that V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k are the vertices of a polyhedron \mathbb{P} inscribed in a sphere \mathbb{S} . Define the *spherical mean distance*, $M(\mathbb{P})$, from \mathbb{S} to \mathbb{P} (or from \mathbb{P} to \mathbb{S}) as the mean distance from \mathbb{S} to the nearest vertex of \mathbb{P} . In order to evaluate $M(\mathbb{P})$, it is helpful to recognize, for each i, the *neighborhood* of V_i , as defined in Section 1:

$$N_i = \{X \in \mathbb{S} : s(X, V_i) < s(X, V_j) \text{ for all } j \neq i\},$$

where s(X, V) denotes the distance between the points X and V.

To construct N_i , for each $j \neq i$, let B_{ij} be the perpendicular bisector (a great circle) of the spherical segment V_iV_j . Of the two open hemispheres having boundary B_{ij} , let H_{ij} be the one containing V_i . Then

$$N_i = \bigcap_{j
eq i} H_{ij}.$$

Clearly N_i is the interior of a spherical polygon of at most k sides. If \mathbb{P} is regular, as in Section 8, then the polygons N_i are regular and congruent, which makes the calculation of $M(\mathbb{P})$ relatively easy. However, before turning to such calculations – in the next section – we note that in the general case, it is helpful to regard the construction of a neighborhood one step at a time, as illustrated here for the neighborhood of V_1 :

Step 1. Construct the perpendicular bisector B_{12} of segment V_1V_2 , so that H_{12} is the open hemisphere containing V_1 . The construction is finished if k=2, and in this case $N_1=H_{12}$.

Step 2. Otherwise, construct the perpendicular bisector B_{13} of segment V_1V_2 , thus obtaining the open hemisphere H_{13} containing V_1 . The construction is finished if k=3, and in this case $N_1=H_{12}\cap H_{13}$, the interior of a lune. In any case, note that the location of H_{23} plays no part in the determination of N_1 .

Continuation. If $n \geq 4$, then for $4 \leq k \leq n$, the interior of the spherical polygon $H_{12} \cap H_{13} \cap \ldots \cap H_{1k}$ is a proper subset of the interior of the spherical polygon $H_{12} \cap H_{13} \cap \ldots \cap H_{1,k-1}$ if and only if the bisector B_{1k} enters $H_{12} \cap H_{13} \cap \ldots \cap H_{1,k-1}$. In that case, the segment of B_{1k} that lies inside the larger polygon becomes part of the boundary of the smaller polygon.

8 Regular polyhedra

The regular polyhedra, which are the surfaces of the Platonic solids, have been studied since antiquity. Our interest here stems from the problem of spreading m points on a sphere, uniformly in the sense that each point is equidistant from its nearest neighbors, that all such distances are equal, and that the points do not all lie in a plane. It is well-known that there are exactly five values of m for which this problem has a solution. If m=4, the points must be the vertices of a regular tetrahedron; for the other four cases, the points must be the vertices of an octahedron, cube, dodecahedron, and icosahedron, respectively. For each of these cases, we shall calculate the mean distance from a point on the sphere to the nearest vertex.

For any regular polyhedron P, let

```
m = number of vertices of P;

n = number of faces of P;

p = number of edges of the face polygons of P;

q = number of edges of P that meet at each vertex of P;

P' = the polyhedron whose vertices are the centers of the faces of P.
```

Note that P' is a regular polyhedron, known as the dual of P. The dual of the tetrahedron is a tetrahedron; the cube and octahedron are a dual pair, as are the dodecahedron and icosahedron. Table 4 summarizes some of the main features of regular polyhedra.

Now moving from P to its circumsphere, \mathbb{S} , let

```
O = the center of \mathbb{S};

\mathcal{P} = projection of P from O onto \mathbb{S};

\mathcal{P}' = projection of P' from O onto \mathbb{S}.
```

Let A be a vertex of \mathcal{P} . The neighborhood N(A), as defined in Section 7, has boundaries formed by the perpendicular bisectors (which are great circles of \mathbb{S}) of the q edges of \mathcal{P}

P	m	n	p	q	P'
tetrahedron	4	4	3	3	tetrahedron
cube	8	6	4	3	octahedron
octahedron	6	8	3	4	cube
dodecahedron	20	12	5	3	icosahedron
icosahedron	12	20	3	5	dodecahedron

Table 4 Regular polyhedra

that meet in A. Thus, A is the center of a regular spherical q-gon – indeed, the interior of this q-gon is N(A) – so that the mean distance from a point on $\mathbb S$ to the nearest vertex of $\mathcal P$ equals the mean distance from A to N(A), which equals the mean distance from A to the interior of the right spherical triangle ABC described in Section 6. As an example, when $\mathcal P$ is a cube, N(A) is the interior of a spherical 3-gon, illustrated as BDF in Fig. 2.




Fig. 2 The neighborhood of A is the spherical triangle BDF

Recall from Section 6 that in the spherical right triangle ABC, we have $\angle BAC = \pi/q$. This is the angle α in (5). We shall also need the angle $\beta = \angle ABC$. Since N(A) is one of the n mutually congruent regular spherical polygons that comprise \mathcal{P}' , the point B is the vertex common to p such polygons. Thus, the vertex angle at B is $2\pi/p$. Let D and F denote the vertices of N(A) that are adjacent to B, so that $\angle DBF = 2\pi/p$. The arc AB bisects angle DBF, so that $\beta = \pi/p$. For example, in Fig. 2, $\angle ABC = \pi/4$.

The mean distance from A to N(A), given by (5) for a unit sphere, depends on the angle α , sidelengths b and c, and area $A = \alpha + \beta - \pi/2$. For the remainder of this section, we assume in each case that the regular polyhedron is prescribed in a unit sphere. Starting with α and β , the sidelengths are given (e.g., [4, p. 194]) by

 $\cos a = \cos \alpha \csc \beta$, $\cos b = \csc \alpha \cos \beta$, $\cos c = \cot \alpha \cot \beta$.

We are now ready to apply (5) to each of the regular polyhedra.

Tetrahedron: $\alpha = \pi/3$, $\beta = \pi/3$, $\gamma = \pi/2$, $A = \pi/6$. By (5), the mean distance from A to ABC, and hence the mean distance from the unit sphere to the nearest vertex of the inscribed regular tetrahedron, is approximately 0.6907372805382.

Cube: $\alpha = \pi/3$, $\beta = \pi/4$, $\gamma = \pi/2$, $A = \pi/12$. The mean distance from A to ABC, and hence the mean distance from the unit sphere to the nearest vertex of the inscribed cube, is approximately 0.4907563907213.

Octahedron: $\alpha = \pi/4$, $\beta = \pi/3$, $\gamma = \pi/2$, $\mathcal{A} = \pi/12$. The mean distance from A to ABC, and hence the mean distance from the unit sphere to the nearest vertex of the inscribed regular octahedron is approximately 0.5667786396257.

Dodecahedron: $\alpha = \pi/3$, $\beta = \pi/5$, $\gamma = \pi/2$, $A = \pi/30$. The mean distance from A to ABC, and hence the mean distance from the unit sphere to the nearest vertex of the inscribed regular dodecahedron is approximately 0.3146937663893.

Icosahedron: $\alpha = \pi/5$, $\beta = \pi/3$, $\gamma = \pi/2$, $A = \pi/30$. The mean distance from A to ABC, and hence the mean distance from the unit sphere to the nearest vertex of the inscribed regular icosahedron is approximately 0.38961615427.

To summarize, the five mean distances have been calculated from the angles $\alpha = \pi/p$ and $\beta = \pi/q$, where p and q are the number of edges of regular polygons associated with a polyhedron P, as described above. The pair (q, p) is widely known as the Schläfli symbol of P, so that (p, q) is the Schläfli symbol of the dual, P', of P.

A final comment is that the circumsphere of P' lies inside that of P, so that $(P')' \neq P$, although (P')' is of course similar to P. On the other hand, (P')' is not only similar to P, but also (P')' = P, so that we may certainly call P' the dual of P.

9 Probabilistic interpretation

The term "mean distance" can be interpreted as "expected distance" in the sense that this term is used in probability theory. This interpretation extends to a variety of considerations, but for present purposes, we assume that \mathcal{R} is a cap or zone as in Section 3. Let P be a uniformly distributed random point [3] on \mathcal{R} . Let N=(0,0,1), and let X be the random variable given by

$$X = \text{distance from } N \text{ to } P.$$

The expected value E(X) is then the number M in (4). The density function f and distribution function F are given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} (\sin x)/(b-a) & \text{if } \arccos b \le x \le \arccos a, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \le x < \arccos b, \\ (b-\cos x)/(b-a) & \text{if } \arccos b \le x \le \arccos a, \\ 1 & \text{if } x > \arccos a. \end{cases}$$

Let Y be the distance from the equator to P, so that $Y = \pi/2 - X$. From F(x) we find the density function of Y:

$$g(y) = \begin{cases} (\cos y)/(b-a) & \text{if } \arcsin a \le y \le \arcsin b, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The density functions f and g can be used to find the variances of X and Y. For example, if a=0 and b=1 (so that \mathcal{R} is the northern hemisphere), then E(X)=1 and $E(Y)=\pi/2-1$. The identity for variance, $\sigma_X^2=E(X^2)-[E(X)]^2$ yields $\sigma_X^2=\pi-3$, and similarly, $\sigma_Y^2=\pi-1$.

These findings may serve as a guide for probabilistic or statistical investigations involving computer samplings [3] of millions of points uniformly distributed on a sphere.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks the referee for pointing the way toward much simpler proofs. In the original manuscript, integrals were calculated as iterated integrals over regions in the xy-plane, and angles α and β in Section 8 were laboriously found starting with explicit vertices, such as $(\pm 1, \pm 1, \pm 1)$ for the cube.

References

- [1] "Spherical Triangle", http://www.walter-fendt.de/m14e/sphertriangle.htm
- [2] Kells, L.M.: Plane and Spherical Trigonometry. 3rd edition, McGraw-Hill, New York 1951.
- [3] MathWorld, "Sphere Point Picking", http://mathworld.wolfram.com/SpherePointPicking.html
- [4] Palmer, C.I.; Leigh, C.W.; Kimball, S.H.: Plane and Spherical Trigonometry. 5th edition, McGraw-Hill, New York 1950.

Clark Kimberling
University of Evansville
1800 Lincoln Avenue
Evansville, IN 47722, USA

e-mail: ck6@evansville.edu