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## Chapter 4. - Linear superpositions

In this chapter we prove that there exist analytic functions which are not representable by means of linear superpositions of smooth functions of one variable.

## § 1. Notation

Throughout we assume that all the functions are defined and continuous for all values of the arguments. If we say that a function is continuously differentiable, we mean by this that its first partial derivatives are defined and continuous for all values of the arguments; $z=(x, y)$ is the point of the plane with coordinates $x$ and $y$; grad $[q(z)]$ is the gradient of the function $q(z)$, that is, the vector-function with coordinates $\frac{\partial q}{\partial x}$ and $\frac{\partial q}{\partial y}$;

$$
D\left(\frac{q_{1}, q_{2}}{x, y}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial q_{1}}{\partial y} \\
\frac{\partial q_{2}}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial q_{2}}{\partial y}
\end{array}\right|
$$

is the Jacobian of the pair of functions $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$.
$q(D)$ is the image of the set $D$ under the mapping effected by the function $q(x, y) ; q^{-1}(\delta)$ is the complete inverse image of the interval $\delta$ on the axis of values of the function $q(x, y)$.
$e(q, t)$ is the set of level $t$ of the function $q=q(x, y)$.
$\tau(e, z)$ is the unit tangent vector to the curve $e$ at the point $z \in e$.
$\gamma\left(\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}\right)$ is the absolute value of the acute angle between the vectors $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$.
$h_{1}(e)$ is the length of the set $e$.
$d_{1}(e)$ is the one-dimensional diameter of the set $e$.
$0(\gamma)$ is a quantity bounded by a constant depending only on $\gamma$.
$\rho\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)$ is the distance between the sets $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ in the sense of deviation, more precisely

$$
\rho\left(A_{1}, A_{2}\right)=\max \left\{\sup _{z_{1} \in A_{1}} \inf _{z_{2} \in A_{2}} \rho\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), \sup _{z_{2} \in A_{2}} \inf _{z_{1} \in A_{1}} \rho\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

where $\rho\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ is the distance between the points $z_{1}$ and $z_{2}$.
§ 2. Estimate of the difference of the integrals of one term of a superposition along nearby level curves

Let $G$ be a region of the plane of the variables $x$ and $y$, and $q_{1}(x, y)$ and $q_{2}(x, y)$ continuously differentiable functions satisfying in this region the following conditions: a) the partial derivatives with respect to $x$ and with respect to $y$ have modulus of continuity $\omega(\delta)$; b) the inequalities

$$
0<\gamma \leqslant\left|\operatorname{grad}\left[q_{i}(x, y)\right]\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{\gamma}<\infty \quad(i=1,2)
$$

are satisfied everywhere in $G$, where $\gamma$ is a constant; c) for any point ( $x, y$ ) $\in G$ the absolute value of the acute angle formed by the level curves of the functions $q_{1}(x, y)$ and $q_{2}(x, y)$ which pass through this point is greater than some positive constant $\gamma$.

Lemma 4.2.1. Let $e_{q_{2}}^{\prime}$ and $e_{q_{2}}^{\prime \prime}$ be two level curves of the function $q_{2}$ and $e_{q_{1}}^{\prime}$ and $e_{q_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$ level curves of the function $q_{1} ;\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right] \subset G$ the segment of the curve $e_{q_{1}}^{\prime}$ with end-points $a^{\prime} \in e_{q_{2}}^{\prime}$ and $a^{\prime \prime} \in e_{q_{2}}^{\prime \prime} ;\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]$ the segment of the curve $e_{q_{1}}^{\prime \prime}$ with end-points $b^{\prime} \in e_{q_{2}}^{\prime}$ and $b^{\prime \prime} \in e_{q_{2}}^{\prime \prime}$. Then

$$
h_{1}\left(\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]\right) \leqslant h_{1}\left(\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]\right) \times\left(1+c_{1}(\gamma) \omega(\delta)\right),
$$

where $\delta=d_{1}\left(\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right] \cup\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]\right)$ and $c_{1}(\gamma)$ depends only on $\gamma$.
Proof. Since $q_{2}\left(a^{\prime \prime}\right)-q_{2}\left(a^{\prime}\right)=q_{2}\left(b^{\prime \prime}\right)-q_{2}\left(b^{\prime}\right)$, we have

$$
\int_{s \in\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]} \frac{\partial q_{2}}{\partial s} d s=\int_{s \in\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]} \frac{\partial q_{2}}{\partial s} d s
$$

Consequently, $\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(a^{*}\right)}{\partial s} h_{1}\left(\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]\right)=\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(b^{*}\right)}{\partial s} h_{1}\left(\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]\right)$, where $\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(a^{*}\right)}{\partial s}$ and $\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(b^{*}\right)}{\partial s}$ are the derivatives at the points $a^{*} \in\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]$ and $b^{*} \in\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]$ along the curves $\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]$ and $\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]$, respectively. We show that $\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(a^{*}\right)}{\partial s}$ $=\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(b^{*}\right)}{\partial s}+O(\gamma) \omega(\delta)$. We denote by $q_{2}^{*}$ the derivative of $q_{2}$ at the point $b^{*}$ in the direction of $\tau\left(e_{q_{1}}^{\prime}, a^{*}\right)$ and put $\alpha=\gamma\left\{\tau\left[e_{q_{1}}^{\prime \prime}, b^{*}\right], \tau\left[e_{q_{1}}^{\prime}, a^{*}\right]\right\}$. From conditions a) and b) it follows that $\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(a^{*}\right)}{\partial s}=q_{2}^{*}+O(1) \omega(\delta)$ and $\alpha$
$=O(\gamma) \omega(\delta)$. We denote by $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$ the values of the angles formed by the vectors $\tau\left[e_{q_{1}}^{\prime \prime}, b^{*}\right]$ and $\tau\left[e_{q_{1}}^{\prime}, a^{*}\right]$ with the vector $\operatorname{grad}\left[q_{2}\left(b^{*}\right)\right]$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|q_{2}^{*}-\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(b^{*}\right)}{\partial s}\right|=\left|\operatorname{grad}\left[q_{2}\left(b^{*}\right)\right]\right|\left|\cos \beta_{2}-\cos \beta_{1}\right|=O(\gamma) \alpha \\
& =O(\gamma) \omega(\delta)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(a^{*}\right)}{\partial s}=q_{2}^{*}+O(1) \omega(\delta)=\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(b^{*}\right)}{\partial s} \\
+O(1)\left\{\left|q_{2}^{*}-\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(b^{*}\right)}{\partial s}\right|+\omega(\delta)\right\}=\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(b^{*}\right)}{\partial s}+O(\gamma) \omega(\delta) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}\left(\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]\right)=h_{1}\left(\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]\right) \frac{\partial q_{2}\left(a^{*}\right)}{\partial s}\left(\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(b^{*}\right)}{\partial s}\right)^{-1} \\
& =h_{1}\left(\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]\right)\left(1+O(\gamma) \omega(\delta)\left(\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(b^{*}\right)}{\partial s}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& =h_{1}\left(\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]\right)(1+O(\gamma) \omega(\gamma))
\end{aligned}
$$

since by virtue of b) $\frac{\partial q_{2}\left(b^{*}\right)}{\partial s}>\left|\operatorname{grad}\left[q_{2}\left(b^{*}\right)\right]\right| \sin \gamma$. This, proves the lemma.

Lemma 4.2.2. Let $q_{m}(x, y)(m=1,2, \ldots, N)$ be continuously differentiable functions. In any region $D$ we can find a subregion $G \subset D$, determine a constant $\gamma>0$, and renumber the functions $\left\{q_{m}(x, y)\right\}$ with two indices so that the functions

$$
q_{i}^{k}(x, y)=q_{m}(x, y) \quad\left(i=0,1,2, \ldots, n ; k=1,2, \ldots, m_{i} ; \sum_{i=0}^{n} m_{i}=N\right)
$$

obtained after the renumbering satisfy the following conditions:
(1) when $i=0, q_{i}^{k}=\mathrm{const}$ in $G$, and when $i>0, \quad \gamma \leqslant \mid \operatorname{grad}$ $\left[q_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right] \left\lvert\, \leqslant \frac{1}{\gamma}\right.$ for every point $(x, y) \in G$;
(2) the functions $q_{i}^{k}(x, y)\left(i>0\right.$ fixed, $\left.k=1,2, \ldots, m_{i}\right)$ have in the region $G$ identical sets of level curves, more precisely, in the region $G$, $q_{i}^{k}(x, y) \equiv \varphi_{i}^{k, l}\left(q_{i}^{l}(x, y)\right)$, where $\varphi_{i}^{k, l}(t)$ is a strictly monotonic continuously differentiable function of $t$;
(3) when $i \neq j(i, j \neq 0)$, then for any $k$ and $l$ the absolute value of the acute angle formed by the level curves of the functions $q_{i}^{k}(x, y)$ and $q_{j}^{l}(x, y)$ which pass through an arbitrary point $(x, y) \in G$ is greater than $\gamma$.

Proof. By the continuity of the partial derivatives of the functions $\left\{q_{m}(x, y)\right\}$ there exists a subregion $G^{*} \subset D$ inside which for any function $q_{m}(x, y)$ either $\operatorname{grad} q_{m}(x, y) \equiv 0$ or $\left|\operatorname{grad} q_{m}(x, y)\right|$ is greater than some positive constant. From the continuity of the partial derivatives of the functions $\left\{q_{m}(x, y)\right\}$ it follows also that there exists a subregion $G^{* *} \subset G^{*}$ inside which for any pair of functions $q_{r}(x, y)$ and $q_{s}(x, y)$ one of two conditions holds: either $D\left(\frac{q_{r}, q_{s}}{x, y}\right) \equiv 0$ in $G^{* *}$, or for every point of $G^{* *}$ the level curves of $q_{r}(x, y)$ and $q_{s}(x, y)$ that pass through this point intersect at a non-zero angle $\left(D\left(\frac{q_{r}, q_{5}}{x, y}\right) \neq 0\right.$ in $\left.G^{* *}\right)$. From the implicit function theorem it follows that there exists a subregion $G \subset G^{* *}$ in which condition (2) is satisfied for every pair of functions $q_{r}(x, y)$ and $q_{s}(x, y)$ with gradients different from zero and with determinant $D\left(\frac{q_{r}, q_{s}}{x, y}\right) \equiv 0$.

We now renumber the functions $\left\{q_{m}(x, y)\right\}$ with two indices in such a way that only functions constant in $G$ have lower index zero, and the same lower index is assigned to those functions whose level curves coincide identically in $G$. This proves the lemma.

We consider in the region $G$ a superposition of the form $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{r}(x, y)$ $f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right)$, where $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$ are continuous functions of one variable, $\left\{p_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right\}$ are continuous functions satisfying in $G$ the condition $\left|p_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right|$ $\leqslant \frac{1}{\gamma}$ and $\left\{q_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right\}$ are continuously differentiable functions satisfying in $G$ conditions (1), (2), (3) of Lemma 4.2.2. Let $\omega(\delta)$ be the common modulus of continuity in $G$ of the functions $\left\{p_{i}^{k}(x, y) ; \frac{\partial q_{i}^{k}(x, y)}{\partial x} ; \frac{\partial q_{i}^{k}(x, y)}{\partial y}\right\}$. Let [ $\left.a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]$ and $\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]$ be segments of the level curves of the functions $\left\{q_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right\}$ ( $i>0$ fixed) lying in $G$. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha=h_{1}\left(\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]\right) ; \delta=\rho\left(\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right],\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]\right) \\
& \varepsilon=\sup \left|\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
m=\max _{i, k} \sup \left|f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right)\right|,
$$

where sup is taken over all points $(x, y) \in\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right] \cup\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]$.
Lemma 4.2.3. If $\delta$ is sufficiently small $\left.(\omega) \leqslant C_{2}(\gamma)\right)$, then for any $i>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{s \in\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(s) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{k}(s)\right) d s-\int_{s \in\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(s) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{k}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& \leqslant C_{3}(\gamma)(\alpha \varepsilon+m \alpha \omega(\delta)+m \delta),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the constants $C_{2}(\gamma), C_{3}(\gamma)$ depend only on $\gamma$.
Proof. By (1), (2), (3) there exists a sufficiently small constant $C_{2}(\gamma)$ and a sufficiently large constant $C_{3}(\gamma)$ such that if $\omega(\delta) \leqslant C_{2}(\gamma)$ and for a point $a \in\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]$ the inequalities $h_{1}\left(\left[a^{\prime}, a\right]\right) \geqslant C_{3}(\gamma) \delta ; h_{1}\left(\left[a, a^{\prime \prime}\right]\right) \geqslant C_{3}(\gamma) \delta$ are satisfied, then for any $j \neq i(j>0)$ the level curve of the function $q_{j}^{k}$ that passes through $a$ intersects $\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]$ of the level curve of $q_{i}^{k}$. Suppose that $\alpha>2 C_{3}(\gamma) \delta$ (if $\alpha \leqslant 2 C_{3}(\gamma) \delta$, then the assertion of the lemma is trivial) and suppose that the segment $\left[\tilde{a^{\prime}}, \tilde{a^{\prime \prime}}\right]$ of the level curve of $q_{i}^{k}$ is such that $\left[\tilde{a^{\prime}}, \tilde{a^{\prime \prime}}\right] \subset\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]$ and $\left.h_{1}\left(\left[a^{\prime}, \tilde{a^{\prime}}\right]\right)=h_{1}\left(\tilde{a^{\prime \prime}}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]\right)=C_{3}(\gamma) \delta$. On the arc $\left[\tilde{a^{\prime}}, \tilde{a^{\prime \prime}}\right]$ we fix a system of points $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{v}\left(\tilde{a^{\prime}}=a_{1}, \tilde{a^{\prime \prime}}=a_{v}\right)$, uniformly distributed along the length of this arc, and denote by $b_{r}$ the point of intersection of $\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]$ with the level curve of $q_{j}^{k}$ that passes through $a_{r}$ (here $j \neq i$ should for the time being be regarded as fixed). Using Lemma 4.2.1 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_{s \in\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]} p_{j}^{k}(s) f_{j}^{k}\left(q_{j}^{k}(s)\right) d s-\int_{s \in\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]} p_{j}^{k}(s) f_{j}^{k}\left(q_{j}^{k}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
&=\left|\int_{s \in\left[a_{1}, a_{v}\right]} p_{j}^{k}(s) f_{j}^{k}\left(q_{j}^{k}(s)\right) d s-\int_{s \in\left[b_{1}, b_{v}\right]} p_{j}^{k}(s) f_{j}^{k}\left(q_{j}^{k}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
&+ O(\gamma) m \delta \\
&= \lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \mid \sum_{r=1}^{v} p_{j}^{k}\left(a_{r}\right) f_{j}^{k}\left(q_{j}^{k}\left(a_{r}\right)\right) h_{1}\left(\left[a_{r}, a_{r+1}\right]\right) \\
&- \sum_{r=1}^{v} p_{j}^{k}\left(b_{r}\right) f_{j}^{k}\left(q_{j}^{k}\left(b_{r}\right)\right) h_{1}\left(\left[b_{r}, b_{r+1}\right]\right) \mid+O(\gamma) m \delta
\end{aligned}
$$

$=\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \mid \sum_{r=1}^{v} p_{j}^{k}\left(a_{r}\right) f_{j}^{k}\left(q_{j}^{k}\left(a_{r}\right)\right) h_{1}\left(\left[a_{r}, a_{r+1}\right]\right)$
$-\sum_{r=1}^{v} p_{j}^{k}\left(a_{r}\right) f_{j}^{k}\left(q_{j}^{k}\left(a_{r}\right)\right) h_{1}\left(\left[a_{r}, a_{r+1}\right]\right)(1+O(\gamma) \omega(\delta))$
$+\sum_{r=1}^{v}\left(p_{j}^{k}\left(a_{r}\right)-p_{j}^{k}\left(b_{r}\right)\right) f_{j}^{k}\left(q_{j}^{k}\left(a_{r}\right)\right) h_{1}\left(\left[b_{r}, b_{r+1}\right]\right) \mid+O(\gamma) m \delta$
$=\lim _{v \rightarrow \infty} \mid \sum_{r=1}^{v} p_{j}^{k}\left(a_{r}\right) f_{j}^{k}\left(q_{j}^{k}\left(a_{r}\right)\right) h_{1}\left(\left[a_{r}, a_{r+1}\right]\right) O(\gamma) \omega(\delta)$
$+\sum_{r=1}^{v} f_{j}^{k}\left(q_{j}^{k}\left(a_{r}\right)\right) h_{1}\left(\left[b_{r}, b_{r+1}\right]\right) O(\gamma) \omega(\delta) \mid+O(\gamma) m \delta$
$=O(\gamma) m \alpha \omega(\delta)+O(\gamma) m \alpha \omega(\delta)+O(\gamma) m \delta=O(\gamma) m(\delta+\alpha \omega(\delta))$.
Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid \int_{s \in\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(s) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{k}(s)\right) d s-\int_{s \in\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(s) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{k}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leqslant\left|\int_{s \in\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(s) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{k}(s)\right) d s-\int_{s \in\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(s) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{k}(s)\right) d s\right| \\
& +\sum_{j \neq i} \int_{s \in\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{j}} p_{j}^{k}(s) f_{j}^{k}\left(q_{j}^{k}(s)\right) d s-\int_{s \in\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{j}} p_{j}^{k}(s) f_{j}^{k}\left(q_{j}^{k}(s)\right) d s \\
& \leqslant C_{4}(\gamma) \alpha \varepsilon+n\left(\max _{j \neq i} m_{j}\right) C_{5}(\gamma) m(\delta+\alpha \omega(\delta)) \\
& \leqslant C_{3}(\gamma)(\alpha \varepsilon+m \delta+m \alpha \omega(\delta)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the lemma.

## § 3. Deletion of dependent terms

On a bounded closed set $D$ we consider the space of linear superpositions of the form $\sum_{k=1}^{m} p_{k}(x, y) f_{k}(q(x, y)),(x, y) \in D$. Here the functions $\left\{p_{k}(x, y)\right\}$ and $q(x, y)$ are continuous and fixed, and $\left\{f_{k}(t)\right\}$ are arbitrary continuous functions of one variable. We assume that the function $q(x, y)$ is such that for any sequence $t_{n} \in q(D) \rightarrow t \in q(D)$ we have $\rho\left[e\left(q, t_{n}\right)\right.$ $\cap D, e(q, t) \cap D] \rightarrow 0$. We put

$$
\lambda\left(t, D, q, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right)=\inf _{\left\{c_{k}\right\}} \sup _{(x, y) \in e(q, t) \cap D}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} p_{k}(x, y)\right|,
$$

where inf is taken over all sets of numbers $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$ for which $\max _{k}\left|c_{k}\right|=1$. The function $\lambda\left(t, D, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right)$, as a function of $t$, is defined only on the set $q(D)$.

Lemma 4.3.1. The function $\lambda\left(t, D, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right)$ depends continuously on $t$.

Proof. The linear combinations $\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} p_{k}(x, y)$ for all possible systems of numbers $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$ for which $\max _{k}\left|c_{k}\right| \leqslant 1$, form an equicontinuous set of functions, considered on the bounded closed set $D$. Consequently, for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is a $\delta>0$ such that if $\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|<\delta$, then

$$
\left|\sup _{(x, y) \in e\left(q, t_{1}\right)}\right| \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} p_{k}(x, y)\left|-\sup _{(x, y) \in e\left(q, t_{2}\right)}\right| \sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} p_{k}(x, y) \|<\varepsilon
$$

simultaneously for all systems of numbers $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$ such that $\max \left|c_{k}\right| \leqslant 1$. For definiteness, suppose that $\lambda\left(t_{2}, D, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right) \geqslant \lambda\left(t_{1}, D, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right)$. Since the expression $\sup _{(x, y) \in e\left(q, t_{1}\right)}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} p_{k}(x, y)\right|$ depends continuously on the coefficients $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$, there exists a system of numbers $\left\{c_{k}^{1}\right\}$ such that $\max \left|c_{k}^{1}\right|=1$ and
k

$$
\lambda\left(t_{1}, D, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right)=\sup _{(x, y) \in e\left(q, t_{1}\right)}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k}^{1} p_{k}(x, y)\right| .
$$

Since

$$
\lambda\left(t_{2}, D, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right) \leqslant \sup _{(x, y) \in e\left(q, t_{2}\right)}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k}^{1} p_{k}(x, y)\right|,
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \leqslant \lambda\left(t_{2}\right)-\lambda\left(t_{1}\right) \leqslant \sup _{(x, y) \in e\left(q, t_{2}\right)}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k}^{1} p_{k}(x, y)\right| \\
& -\sup _{(x, y) \in e\left(q, t_{1}\right)}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k}^{1} p_{k}(x, y)\right|<\varepsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the lemma.
Lemma 4.3.2. The function $\lambda\left(t, D, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right)$ depends continuously on $D$ in the sense that there exists a function $\mu(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, having the property: if the set $D_{\varepsilon} \subset D$ is such that, for any $t, D_{\varepsilon} \cap e(q, t)$ forms an $\varepsilon$-net in the set $e(q, t) \cap D$, then

$$
\max _{t \subseteq q(D)}\left|\lambda\left(t, D, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right)-\lambda\left(t, D_{\varepsilon}, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right)\right| \leqslant \mu(\varepsilon) .
$$

Proof. Using the equicontinuity of the set of functions $\sum_{k=1}^{n} c_{k} p_{k}(x, y)$ where $\max \left|c_{k}\right| \leqslant 1$, we conclude that there exists a function $\mu(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ such that the inequality
$0 \approx \sup _{(x, y) \in e(q, t) \cap D}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} p_{k}(x, y)\right|-\sup _{(x, y) \in e(q, t) \cap D_{\varepsilon}}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k} p_{k}(x, y)\right| \leqslant \mu(\varepsilon)$.
uniformly over all $t \in q(D)$ and over all systems of numbers $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$ for which $\max \left|c_{k}\right| \leqslant 1$. For any $\varepsilon>0$ there exists a system of numbers $\left\{c_{k}^{\varepsilon}\right\}$ such that $\max _{k}\left|c_{k}^{\varepsilon}\right|=1$ and

$$
\lambda\left(t, D_{\varepsilon}, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right)=\sup _{(x, y) \in e(q, t) \cap D_{\varepsilon}}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k}^{\varepsilon} p_{k}(x, y)\right| .
$$

Since for any $\varepsilon$

$$
\lambda\left(t, D, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right)<\sup _{(x, y) \in e(q, t) \cap D}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k}^{\varepsilon} p_{k}(x, y)\right|
$$

and, on the other hand, $\lambda\left(t, D, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right) \geqslant \lambda\left(t, D_{\varepsilon}, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right)$ (we recall that $D_{\varepsilon} \subset D$ ), we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \leqslant \lambda\left(t, D, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right)-\lambda\left(t, D_{\varepsilon}, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right) \leqslant \sup _{(x, y) \in e(q, t) \cap D}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k}^{\varepsilon} p_{k}(x, y)\right| \\
-\sup _{(x, y) \in e(q, t) \cap D_{\varepsilon}}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m} c_{k}^{\varepsilon} p_{k}(x, y)\right|<\mu(\varepsilon) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This proves the lemma.

Lemma 4.3.3. Let $F$ be a closed set on the $t$-axis; $F \subset q(D)$. For every $t \in F$, suppose that there exists one and only one system of numbers $\left.\left\{C_{k}\right\} \underset{k}{(\max }\left|C_{k}\right|=1\right)$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{m} C_{k} p_{k}(x, y) \equiv 0$ on the set $e(q, t) \cap D$. Then each of the functions $\left\{C_{k}(t)\right\}$ depends continuously on $t$ on the set $F$.

Proof. Suppose that $t_{n} \in F, t \in F$ and $t_{n} \rightarrow t$. We put $\overline{\lim } C_{k}\left(t_{n}\right)=\tilde{C}_{k}$ and $\lim _{\bar{n} \rightarrow \infty} C_{k}\left(t_{n}\right)=\tilde{\widetilde{C}}_{k}$. Since $\sum_{k=1}^{m} C_{k}\left(t_{n}\right) p_{k}(x, y) \equiv 0$ on the set $e\left(q, t_{n}\right) \cap D$ and $\rho\left[e(q, t) \cap D, e\left(q, t_{n}\right) \cap D\right] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{m} \tilde{C}_{k} p_{k}(x, y)$
$y 0 \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{m}{\underset{C}{c}}_{k} p_{k}(x, \underset{\sim}{y})$ on the set $e(q, t) \cap D$. Consequently, by the condition of the lemma, $\tilde{C}_{k}=\tilde{C_{k}}=C_{k}(t)$. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 4.3.4. Suppose that $\lambda\left(t, D, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right) \equiv 0$ on some non-empty portion $\delta$ of the set $q(D)$. Then there is a non-empty portion $\delta^{*} \subset \delta$ and an index $l$ such that for any continuous functions $\left\{f_{k}(t)\right\}$ there are continuous functions $\left\{f_{k}^{*}(t)\right\}$ such that

$$
\sum_{k \neq l} f_{k}^{*}(q(x, y)) p_{k}(x, y)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} f_{k}(q(x, y)) p_{k}(x, y)
$$

on the set $q^{-1}\left(\delta^{*}\right) \cap D$.
We recall that a portion $\delta$ of a set $E$ is that part of it which lies in the interval $\delta$.

Proof. We prove the lemma by induction on $m$. For $m=1$ the assertion of the lemma is obvious. We denote by $\delta_{k}$ the set of all points $t$ of the portion $\delta$ for which $\lambda\left(t, D, q, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k-1}, p_{k+1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right)=0$. By Lemma 4.3.1, the set is closed. Two cases are possible.

1) For some $k$ the set $\delta_{k}$ contains a non-empty portion $\delta_{k}^{\prime}$ of the set $q(D)$. Since $\lambda\left(t, D, q, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{k-1}, p_{k+1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right)=0$ for every $t \in \delta_{k}^{\prime}$, then by the inductive hypothesis there is a non-empty portion $\delta^{*} \subset \delta_{k}^{\prime}$ and an index $l \neq k$ such that for any continuous functions $f_{1}(t), \ldots, f_{k-1}(t)$, $f_{k+1}(t), \ldots, f_{m}(t)$ there are continuous functions $f_{1}^{*}(t), \ldots, f_{k-1}^{*}(t), f_{k+1}^{*}$ $(t), \ldots, f_{m}^{*}(t)$ such that

$$
\sum_{i \neq k} f_{i}(q(x, y)) p_{i}(x, y)=\sum_{i \neq k, l} f_{i}^{*}(q(x, y)) p_{i}(x, y) .
$$

on the set $q^{-1}\left(\delta^{*}\right) \cap D$. Putting $f_{k}^{*}(t)=f_{k}(t)$, we obtain

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}(q(x, y)) p_{i}(x, y)=\sum_{i \neq l} f_{i}^{*}(q(x, y)) p_{i}(x, y)
$$

So in case 1) the lemma is proved.
2) None of the sets $\delta_{k}$ contains non-empty portions of the set $q(D)$, that is, $\underset{k=1}{\substack{\bigcup}} \delta_{k}$ is nowhere dense in $q(D)$. Therefore there exists a nonempty portion $\delta^{*} \subset \delta \backslash \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} \delta_{k}$. Since $\lambda\left(t, D, q,\left\{p_{k}\right\}\right) \equiv 0$ on $\delta^{*}$, for every $t \in \delta^{*}$ there are numbers $\left\{C_{k}(t)\right\}\left(\max _{k}\left|C_{k}(t)\right|=1\right)$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{m} C_{k}$
$(q(x, y)) p_{k}(x, y) \equiv 0$ on $e(q, t) \cap D$. If we had $C_{k}(t)=0$ for some $k$, then it would turn out that $t \in \delta_{k}$. Consequently, $C_{k}(t) \neq 0$ for any $k$. We show that for every $t \in \delta^{*}$ the numbers $\left\{C_{k}(t)\right\}$ are uniquely determined. Assume the contrary. Then there are numbers $\left\{C_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right\}\left(\max \left|C_{k}^{\prime}(t)\right|=1\right)$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^{m} C_{k}^{\prime}(q(x, y)) p_{k}(x, y)=0$ on $e(q, t) \cap D$ and $C_{k} \neq C_{k}^{\prime}$ for some $k$. Then

$$
\sum_{k \neq 1}\left[C_{k}(t) C_{1}^{\prime}(t)-C_{k}^{\prime}(t) C_{1}(t)\right] p_{k}(x, y)=\sum_{k \neq 1} C_{k}^{\prime}(t) p_{k}(x, y) \equiv 0
$$

on $e(q, t) \cap D$ and in addition, $C_{k}^{\prime \prime} \neq 0$ for some $k$. Consequently, $t \in \delta_{1}$. So we have obtained a contradiction, and the uniqueness of the choice of the numbers $C_{k}(t)$ is proved. Further, we may regard $\left\{C_{k}(t)\right\}$ as single-valued functions of $t$ on the portion $\delta^{*}$. By Lemma 4.3.3, the functions $C_{k}(t)$ are continuous and, as noted above, $C_{k}(t) \neq 0$ for any $t \in \delta^{*}$. Then

$$
p_{1}(x, y)=\sum_{k=2}^{m}-\frac{C_{k}(q(x, y))}{C_{1}(q(x, y))} p_{k}(x, y),(x, y) \in q^{-1}\left(\delta^{*}\right) \cap D .
$$

Putting $f(t)=f_{k}(t)-\frac{C_{k}(t)}{C_{1}(t)} f_{1}(t), t \in \delta^{*}$, we have $\sum_{k=2}^{m} f_{k}^{*}(q(x, y)) p_{k}(x, y)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum_{k=1}^{m} f_{k}(q) p_{k}(x, y)-\sum_{k=2}^{m} \frac{C_{k}(q)}{C_{1}(q)} p_{k}(x, y) \\
& =\sum_{k=2}^{m} f_{k}(q) p_{k}(x, y)+f_{1}(q) p_{1}(x, y) \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{m} f_{k}(q(x, y)) p_{k}(x, y),(x, y) \in q^{-1}\left(\delta^{*}\right) \cap D .
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the lemma.

## § 4. Reduction of linear superpositions to a form with independent terms

We fix the continuous functions $p_{i}^{k}(x, y)$ and continuously differentiable functions $q_{i}(x, y)\left(i=0,1,2, \ldots, n ; k=1,2, \ldots, m_{i}\right) n \geqslant 2$, where $\left\{q_{i}(x, y)\right\}$ satisfy in $D$ conditions (1) and (3) of Lemma 4.2.2, and we consider in $D$ superpositions of the form

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}(x, y)\right)
$$

where $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$ are arbitrary continuous functions of one variable.

We call a bounded closed region $G \subset D$ polyhedral if the boundary of $G$ consists of a finite number of mutually non-intersecting simple closed contours that are unions of a finite number of segments of level curves of the functions $q_{i}(x, y)(i=1,2, \ldots, n)$. Let $G \subset D$ be a polyhedral region. We denote by $\Gamma_{i}$ the set of those $t \in q_{i}(G)$ for which the set $e\left(q_{i}, t\right) \cap G$ contains a segment of a level curve belonging to the boundary of $G$. For any $i$ the set $\Gamma_{i}$ consists of a finite number of points. By property (1) of the functions $\left\{q_{i}(x, y)\right\}$ for every $i$ and for all points $t_{0} \in q_{i}(G) \backslash \Gamma_{i}$ there exists $\lim e\left(q_{i}, t\right) \rightleftharpoons e\left(q_{0}, t_{0}\right)$. If $t_{0} \in \Gamma_{i}$, then the last assertion need not hold, but in any case there exists $\lim e\left(q_{i}, t\right) \subset e\left(q_{i}, t_{0}\right)$ and $\lim _{t \rightarrow-t_{0}} e\left(q_{i}, t\right)$

$$
t \rightarrow+t_{0} \quad t \rightarrow-t_{0}
$$ $\subset e\left(q_{i}, t_{0}\right)$ where the limit is taken over the points $t \in q_{i}(G)$. Here the limit is understood in the sense of the distance $\rho\left(e\left(q_{i}, t\right), e\left(q_{i}, t_{0}\right)\right)$.

Lemma 4.4.1. There is a region $G \subset D$ and a system of numbers $\tau_{i}^{k}=0$ or $1\left(i=0,1,2, \ldots, n ; k=1,2, \ldots, m_{i}\right)$ such that
(4) for any $i$ and for any continuous functions $\left\{\varphi_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$ there exist continuous functions $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$ such that in $G$

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) \varphi_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}(x, y)\right) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} \tau_{i}^{k} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}(x, y)\right) ;
$$

(5*) for any polyhedral region $G^{*} \subset G$ and any $i$, the set

$$
\left\{t: \lambda\left(t, G^{*}, q_{i}, p_{i}^{k_{1}}, \ldots, p_{i}^{k_{s}}\right)=0\right\}
$$

is nowhere dense in $q_{i}\left(G^{*}\right)$, where

$$
k_{1}=k_{1}(i), k_{2}=k_{2}(i), \ldots, k_{s}=k_{s}(i)
$$

is the set of all values of $k$ for which $\tau_{i}^{k}=1$.
Proof. If $i=0$, then by (1) the set $q_{0}(D)$ consists of only one point. We choose a region $G_{0} \subset D$ and number $\tau_{0}^{k}\left(k=1,2, \ldots, m_{0}\right)$ such that in $G_{0}$ the functions $p_{0}^{k_{1}}, \ldots, p_{0}^{k_{s}}$ are a basis for the linear hull of the functions $\left\{p_{0}^{k}\right\}$ (condition (4) for $i=0$ ) and in any region $G^{*} \subset G_{0}$ these functions are linearly independent (condition ( $5^{*}$ ) for $i=0$ ). Let $G^{*} \subset D$ be an arbitrary polyhedral region. Then $\lambda\left(t, G^{*}, q,\left\{p_{i}^{k}\right\}\right)$ as a function of $t$ has, for any $i>0$, a finite number of points of discontinuity (of the first kind) on the set $q_{i}\left(G^{*}\right)$, which consists of a finite number of segments (see Lemma 4.3.1). Hence it follows that if the set $\left\{t: \lambda\left(t, G^{*}, q_{i},\left\{p_{i}^{k}\right\}\right)=0\right\}$ is not
nowhere dense on $q_{i}\left(G^{*}\right)$, then the function $\lambda(t) \equiv 0$ on some segment $\delta \subset q_{i}\left(G^{*}\right)$ not containing points of $\Gamma_{i}$. By Lemma 4.3.4, there is a segment $\delta^{*} \subset \delta$ such that in the expression $\sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}(x, y)\right)$ one of the terms can be deleted, without narrowing the class of the functions representable in the region $q^{-1}\left(\delta^{*}\right) \cap G^{*}$ as superpositions of the given form. Carrying out all possible deletions we can find a region $G \subset G_{0} \subset D$ for which the assertion of the lemma is satisfied.

A region $G \subset D$ is called regular if, firstly, it is polyhedral and, secondly, there is a number $\gamma_{G}>0$ such that for every $i>0$ and every $t \in q_{i}(G)$ the set $e\left(q_{i}, t\right) \cap G$ is the union of a finite number of simple arcs, each of which has length not less than $\gamma_{G}$. A point $A$ of the boundary of the polyhedral region $G$ is called a vertex if it belongs simultaneously to two segments of the level curves of $q_{i}(x, y)$ and $q_{j}(x, y)(i \neq j)$ on the boundary of $G$. Every polyhedral region has a finite number of vertices.

Lemma 4.4.2. For every polyhedral region $G$ and every neighbourhood $U$ of the vertices of this region we can construct a regular region $G^{*} \subset G$ such that $G \backslash U \subset G^{*}$.

Proof. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{r}$ be the vertices of the polyhedral region $G$; $U_{1}, U_{2}, \ldots, U_{r}$ suitably small neighbourhoods of these vertices. Let $k_{m}$ $=k_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)$ be the number of all those functions $\left\{q_{i}(x, y)\right\}$ for each of which the level curve passing through the point $A_{m}$ does not contain any other points of the set $U_{m} \cap G$. Let $q_{i m}(x, y)$ be one of these functions. We put $k(G) \in q_{i}(G)$. If $k(G)=0$, then for any $i$ and any $t \in q_{i}(G)$ the length of any component of the set $e\left(q_{i}, t\right) \cap G$ is greater than zero and consequently the region $G$ is regular. Suppose that $k(G)>0$ and $m$ such that $k_{m} \neq 0$.

We fix $\varepsilon>0$ and put

$$
G_{1 m}^{*}=G \mid\left\{(x, y):\left|q_{i_{m}}(x, y)-q\left(A_{m}\right)\right|<\varepsilon\right\} \cap U_{m} .
$$

If $U_{m}$ and $\varepsilon$ are sufficiently small, then inside $U_{m}$ the region $G_{1 m}^{*}$ has two vertices $A_{m}^{\prime}$ and $A_{m}^{\prime \prime}$, while the region $G$ has only one vertex $A_{m}$ there, but $k_{m}\left(A_{m}^{\prime}\right)=k_{m}\left(A_{m}^{\prime \prime}\right)=k_{m}\left(A_{m}\right)-1$. We now put $G_{1}^{*}=\cap G_{1 m}^{*}$, where the intersection is taken over all $m$ such that $k_{m} \neq 0$. Then $k\left(G_{1}^{*}\right)=k(G)$ - 1. Repeating this construction $k(G)$ times, we obtain a polyhedral region $G^{*}$ for which $G \backslash G^{*} \subset U$ and $k\left(G^{*}\right)=0$. Consequently, $G^{*}$ is regular. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 4.4.3. There exists a set $G \subset D$, a number $\lambda>0$, and a set of numbers $\tau_{i}^{k}=0$ or $1\left(i=0,1, \ldots, n ; k=1,2, \ldots, m_{i}\right)$ such that condition (4) of Lemma 4.4.1 is satisfied, and also the conditions
(5) for every $i$ and $t \in q_{i}(G)$ and for any functions $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$ $\max _{(x, y) \in e\left(q_{i}, t\right) \cap G}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} \tau_{i}^{k} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}(x, y)\right)\right| \geqslant \lambda \max _{k}\left|\tau_{i}^{k} f_{i}^{k}(t)\right| ;$
(6) $G$ is a regular region.

Proof. By Lemma 4.4.1 there exists a region $G^{*} \subset D$ and a set of numbers $\tau_{i}^{k}$ such that for every polyhedral subregion $G^{* *} \subset G^{*}$ and for every $i$ the set $\left\{t: \lambda\left(t, G^{* *}, q_{i}, p_{i}^{k_{1}}, \ldots, p_{i}^{k_{s}}\right)=0\right\}$ is nowhere dense in $q_{i}\left(G^{* *}\right)$, where $k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{s}$ is the set of all values of $k$ for which $\tau_{i}^{k}=1$; moreover, on the set $G^{*}$, for any $i$ the property (4) of Lemma 4.4.1 is satisfied. In order not to change the notation unnecessarily, we assume that all $\tau_{i}^{k}=1$. We now construct a system of regular regions $G_{0} \supset G_{1} \supset G_{2}$ $\supset \ldots \supset G_{n}=G$, having the following property: for every $j \leqslant i$, $\inf \lambda\left(t, G_{i}, q_{j},\left\{p_{j}^{k}\right\}\right) \geqslant \lambda_{i}>0$. For $G_{0}$ we choose any regular $t \in q_{j}\left(G_{i}\right)$
region $G_{0} \in G^{*}$. Suppose that the regular regions $G_{0}, G_{1}, \ldots, G_{i-1}$ have been constructed. We now construct the set $G_{i}$. We denote by $\alpha_{\delta}$ the set $\left\{t: \lambda\left(t, q_{i}, G_{i-1},\left\{p_{i}^{k}\right\}\right)>\delta\right\}$. Since the functions $\lambda\left(t, q_{i}, G_{i-1},\left\{p_{i}^{k}\right\}\right)$, have only finitely many points of discontinuity (of the first kind) on the set $q_{i}\left(G_{i-1}\right)$, which consists of a finite number of segments (see Lemma 4.3.1), any component of $\alpha_{\delta}$ is either an interval, or a half-interval, or a segment, or a point. Suppose that the set $\alpha_{\delta}^{N} \subset \alpha_{\delta}$ consists of the $N$ longest components of non-zero length of the set $\alpha_{\delta}$ (if $\alpha_{\delta}$ has only $N_{0}(<N)$ components of non-zero length, then let $\left.\alpha_{\delta}^{N}=\alpha_{\delta}^{N}\right)$. We denote by $\bar{\alpha}_{\delta}^{N}$ the closure of the set $\alpha_{\delta}^{N}$. We put $G_{i-1}^{*}=G_{i-1} \cap q^{-1}\left(\bar{\alpha}_{\delta}^{N}\right)$. We fix $\varepsilon>0$. Since $G_{i-1}$ is regular, for every $j$ the length of any component of $e\left(q_{j}, t\right) \cap G_{i-1}$ is greater than $\gamma_{G}>0$. And since the set $\left\{t: \lambda\left(t, q, G_{i-1},\left\{p_{i}^{k}\right\}\right)=0\right\}$ is nowhere dense in $q_{i}\left(G_{i-1}\right)$, for sufficiently small $\delta$ and sufficiently large $N$ the set $G_{i-1}^{*}$ forms a $\varepsilon / 2$-net on every set $e\left(q_{j}, t\right) \cap G_{i-1}, j<i$. The set $G_{i-1}^{*}$ is a polyhedral region. We denote by $U(\varepsilon)$ the set of points $(x, y)$ each of which is at a distance of no more than $\varepsilon / 4$ from one of the vertices of the set $G_{i-1}^{*}$. By Lemma 4.4.2 there exists a regular region $G_{i} \subset G_{i-1}^{*}$ such that $G_{i-1}^{*} \backslash G_{i} \subset U(\varepsilon)$. The set $G_{i}$ forms an $\varepsilon$-net on every set $e\left(q_{j}, t\right)$ $\cap G_{i-1}, j<i$ and forms an $\varepsilon / 2$-net on every set $e\left(q_{i}, t\right) \cap G_{i-1}^{*}$. By Lemma 4.3.2, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon$,

$$
\lambda_{i}=\min _{j \leq i} \inf _{t \in q_{j}\left(G_{i}\right)} \lambda\left(t, G_{i}, q_{j},\left\{p_{i}^{k}\right\}\right)>\frac{1}{2} \min \left\{\frac{\delta}{2}, \min _{j<i} \lambda_{j}\right\} .
$$

Thus, the regular regions $G_{1}, G_{2}, \ldots, G_{n}$ can be constructed. The regular region $G=G_{n}$ satisfies all the requirements of our lemma $\left(\lambda=\lambda_{n}\right)$, which is now proved.

## § 5. The set of linear superpositions in the space of continuous functions is closed

Theorem 4.5.1. Suppose that continuous functions $p_{m}(x, y)$ and continuously differentiable functions $q_{m}(x, y)(m=1,2, \ldots, N)$ are fixed. Then in any region $D$ of the plane of the variables $x, y$. there exists a closed subregion $G \subset D$ such that the set of superpositions of the form

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{N} p_{m}(x, y) f_{m}\left(q_{m}(x, y)\right),
$$

where $\left\{f_{m}(t)\right\}$ are arbitrary continuous functions, is closed (in the uniform metric) in the set of all functions continuous on the set $G$.

By Lemma 4.2.2 and 4.4.3 we can find a subset $G \subset D$, determine constants $\gamma>0$ and $\lambda>0$, and renumber the functions $\left\{p_{m}(x, y)\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{m}(x, y)\right\}$ with two indices so that the functions obtained after the renumbering, $\left\{p_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right\}$ and $\left\{q_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right\} \quad\left(i=0,1,2, \ldots, n ; k=1,2, \ldots, m_{i}\right.$; $\sum_{i=0}^{n} m_{i} \leqslant N$ ) that is, some functions may be omitted in the renumbering) satisfy conditions (1), (2), (3) of Lemma 4.2.2, and also the conditions:
(4') for any continuous functions $\left\{f_{m}(t)\right\}$ there exists continuous functions $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$ such that on $G$

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{N} p_{m}(x, y) f_{m}\left(q_{m}(x, y)\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right) ;
$$

(5') for every $i$ and $t \in q_{i}^{1}(G)$ and for any functions $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$

$$
\max _{(x, y) \in e\left(q_{i}^{1}, t\right) \cap G}\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{1}(x, y)\right)\right| \gtrless \lambda \max _{k}\left|f_{i}^{k}(t)\right|
$$

(6') $G$ is a regular region with respect to the functions $\left\{q_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right\}$.

Lemma 4.5.1. In the sets $\left\{q_{i}^{1}(G)\right\}$ we can select subsets consisting of a finite number of points $t_{i, j} \in q_{i}^{1}(G)\left(i=0,1,2, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, s_{i}\right)$ such that for any continuous functions $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max _{i, k} \max _{t \in q_{i}^{1}(G)}\left|f_{i}^{k}(t)\right| \leqslant c\left(\max _{(x, y) \in G}\left|\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{1}(x, y)\right)\right|\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\max _{k}\left|f_{i}^{k}\left(t_{i, j}\right)\right|\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ is a constant not depending on the functions $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$.
Proof. Since $G$ is polyhedral, for each $i$ we can choose in $q_{i}(G)$ a finite set of points $\left\{t_{i, j}\right\}$ so dense that the components of the level curves $e\left(q_{i}^{1}, t_{i, j}\right) \cap G$ form a $\delta$-net in the set of all components of the level curves $e\left(q_{i}^{1}, t\right) \cap G, t \in q_{i}^{1}(G)$. A sufficiently small $\delta$, not depending on the functions $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$, will be chosen below. We put

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu=\max _{i, k} \max _{(x, y) \in G}\left|f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{1}(x, y)\right)\right| \\
\varepsilon_{1}=\max _{(x, y) \in G}\left|\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{1}(x, y)\right)\right| ; \varepsilon_{2}=\max _{k, i, j}\left|f_{i}^{k}\left(t_{i, j}\right)\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

For definiteness, let $f_{1}^{1}\left(q_{1}^{1}(a)\right)=\mu$ at the point $a \in G$. By ( $5^{\prime}$ ) there exists a point $a^{\prime} \in G$ such that $\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{1}^{k}\left(a^{\prime}\right) f_{1}^{k}\left(q_{1}^{1}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right)\right| \geqslant \lambda \mu$. Let $\left[a^{\prime}, a^{*}\right]$ be a segment of the level curve of the function $q_{1}^{1}(x, y)$ with end-points at $a^{\prime}$ and $a^{*}$ such that $h_{1}\left(\left[a^{\prime}, a^{*}\right]\right) \geqslant \gamma G / 2$ (see the definition of a regular region in § 4). On the $\operatorname{arc}\left[a^{\prime}, a^{*}\right]$ we fix a point $a^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\omega(\alpha) \leqslant \frac{\lambda}{2 m_{1}}$, where $\alpha=h_{1}\left(\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]\right)$. Then on the segment $\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]$ the function $\varphi_{1}(x, y)=$ $=\sum_{k=1}^{m_{1}} p_{1}^{k}(x, y) f_{1}^{k}\left(q_{1}^{1}(x, y)\right)$ keeps'a constant sign and satisfies the inequality $\left|\varphi_{1}(x, y)\right| \geqslant \lambda \mu / 2$. In fact, $\left|\varphi_{1}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right| \geqslant \lambda \mu$ at the point $a^{\prime}$, and for any point $s \in\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]$

$$
\left|\varphi_{1}(s)-\varphi_{1}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right|=\left|\sum_{k=1}^{m_{1}}\left(p_{1}^{k}(s)-p_{1}^{k}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right) f_{1}^{k}\left(a^{\prime}\right)\right| \leqslant m_{1} \mu \omega(\alpha)-\frac{\lambda \mu}{2}
$$

Consequently,

$$
\left|\int_{s \in\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]} \varphi_{1}(s) d s\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \lambda \mu \alpha
$$

By construction there is an index $j$ and a segment $\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]$ of the level curve $e\left(q_{1}^{\prime}, t_{1, j}\right) \cap G$ such that $\rho\left(\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right],\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]\right)<\delta$. We have

$$
\left|\int_{s \in\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]} \varphi_{1}(s) d s\right| \leqslant c_{1} \varepsilon_{2} \beta,
$$

where $\beta=h_{1}\left(\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]\right), \quad C_{1}=m_{1} \max _{k} \max _{(x, y) \in G}\left|p_{1}^{k}(x, y)\right|$. And since $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are commensurable ( $\delta$ will be chosen small in comparison with $\alpha$ ),

$$
\left|\int_{s \in\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]} \varphi_{1}(s) d s-\int_{s \in\left[b^{\prime}, b^{\prime \prime}\right]} \varphi_{1}(s) d s\right| \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \lambda \mu \alpha-c_{1}^{\prime} \varepsilon_{2} \alpha .
$$

By Lemma 4.2.3

$$
\left|\int_{s \in\left[a^{\prime}, a^{\prime \prime}\right]} \varphi_{1}(s) d s-\int_{s \in\left[b^{\prime} b^{\prime \prime}\right]} \varphi_{1}(s) d s\right| \leqslant c_{3}\left(\alpha \varepsilon_{1}+\mu \alpha \omega(\delta)+\mu \delta\right) .
$$

Thus, $c_{3}\left(\alpha \varepsilon_{1}+\mu \alpha \omega(\delta)+\mu \delta\right) \geqslant \lambda \mu \alpha / 2-c_{1}^{\prime} \alpha \cdot \varepsilon_{2}$. If $\delta$ is taken sufficiently small in comparison with $\alpha$ (in order that $c_{3}(\alpha \omega(\delta)+\delta)<\lambda \alpha / 2$ ), then we have $\mu \leqslant C\left(\varepsilon_{1}+\varepsilon_{2}\right)$. This proves the lemma.

Let $B$ be the Banach space consisting of all systems of functions $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$, defined and continuous on the sets $\left\{q_{i}^{1}(G)\right\}$, with the norm

$$
\left\|\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}\right\|_{B}=\max _{i, k} \max _{t \in q_{i}^{1}(G)}\left|f_{i}^{k}(t)\right|\left(i=0,1,2, \ldots, n ; k=1,2, \ldots, m_{i}\right) .
$$

We denote by $C(G)$ the space of all functions $f(x, y)$ continuous on $G$ with the uniform metric:

$$
\|f(x, y)\|_{c(G)}=\max _{(x, y) \in G}|f(x, y)| .
$$

Lemma 4.5.2. The linear operator $T: B \rightarrow C(G)$ acting by the formula

$$
T\left(\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}\right)=f(x, y)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{1}(x, y)\right),
$$

maps bounded closed sets of $B$ onto closed sets of $C(G)$.
Proof. Let $F \subset B$ be a closed and bounded set of elements of $B$. Suppose that $f_{n}(x, y)$ is a sequence of functions in $T(F) \subset C(G)$, and that $f(x, y) \in C(G)$, where $\left\|f(x, y)-f_{n}(x, y)\right\|_{C(G)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We show that then $f(x, y) \in T(F)$. Since $f_{n}(x, y) \in T(F)$, there exists a sequence of elements $\left\{f_{i, n}^{k}(t)\right\} \in F$ such that $T\left(\left\{f_{i, n}^{k}(t)\right\}\right)=f_{n}(x, y)$. By Lemma 4.5.1 we can select in the sets $\left\{q_{i}^{1}(G)\right\}$ subsets consisting of a finite number of points $t_{i, j} \in q_{i}^{\prime}(G)\left(i=0,1, \ldots, n ; j=1,2, \ldots, s_{i}\right)$ such that for each element $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\} \in B$ the inequality

$$
\left\|\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}\right\|_{B} \leqslant c\left(\|f(x, y)\|_{c(G)}+\max _{k, j, i} \mid f_{i}^{k}\left(t_{i, j}\right) \|\right)
$$

is satisfied, where the constant $C$ does not depend on the functions $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$. Since $F$ is a bounded set, there exists a subsequence of suffixes $n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots$ such that for any $i=0,1, \ldots, n ; k=1,2, \ldots, m_{i} ; j=1,2, \ldots, s_{i}$ the numerical sequence $f_{i, n_{v}}^{k} \rightarrow C_{k, i, j}$ as $v \rightarrow \infty$. From this and the previous inequality it follows that $\left\{f_{i, n_{v}}^{k}(t)\right\} \in F(v=1,2, \ldots)$ is a Cauchy sequence, because it is known that the sequence $f_{n}(x, y) \in T(F)$ is Cauchy sequence. Consequently there exists an element $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\} \in B$ such that $\|\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right.$ $\left.-f_{i, n_{v}}^{k}(t)\right\} \|_{B} \rightarrow 0$. Since $F$ is a closed set, $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\} \in F$. The operator $T: B \rightarrow C(G)$ is bounded. Therefore $T\left(\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}\right)=f(x, y)$. Consequently $f(x, y) \in T(F)$. This proves the lemma.

The following lemma from the theory of linear operators [28] turns out to be useful.

Lemma 4.5.3. Let $B_{1}$ and $B_{2}$ be Banach spaces. If a linear operator $T: B_{1} \rightarrow B_{2}$ maps bounded closed sets of $B_{1}$ onto closed sets of $B_{2}$, then its domain of values is closed.

Proof of Theorem 4.5.1. The set of superpositions of the form $\sum_{m=1}^{N} p_{m}(x, y) f_{m}\left(g_{m}(x, y)\right)$ coincides on $G$ with the set of superpositions of the form $\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{1}(x, y)\right)$. By Lemma 4.5.2 and 4.5.3 the set of the latter superpositions is closed in the space $C(G)$. This proves the theorem.
§ 6. The set of linear superpositions in the space of continuous functions is nowhere dense

Theorem 4.6.1. For any continuous functions $p_{m}(x, y)$ and continuously differentiable functions $q_{m}(x, y)(m=1,2, \ldots, N)$ and any region $D$ of the plane of the variables $x, y$ the set of superpositions of the form

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{N} p_{m}(x, y) f_{m}\left(q_{m}(x, y)\right)
$$

where $\left\{f_{m}(t)\right\}$ are arbitrary continuous functions, is nowhere dense in the space of all functions continuous in $D$ with uniform convergence.

By Lemma 4.2.2 we can find a subregion $G^{*} \subset D$, determine a constant $\gamma^{*}>0$, and renumber the functions $\left\{q_{m}(x, y)\right\}$, with two indices so that
the functions $\tilde{q}_{i}^{k}(x, y)\left(i=0,1,2, \ldots, \tilde{n} ; k=1,2, \ldots, \tilde{m}_{i} ; \sum_{i=0}^{\tilde{n}} \tilde{m}_{i}=N\right)$ obtained after the renumbering satisfy conditions (1), (2), (3) of Lemma 4.2.2. We now fix the point $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in G^{*}$ and the number $v$ so that the line $\left(y-y_{0}\right)$ $+v\left(x-x_{0}\right)=0$ does not touch at any of the level curves of the functions $\tilde{q_{i}^{k}}(x, y)(i=1,2, \ldots, \tilde{n})$ that pass through $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. Let $G^{* *} \subset G^{*}$ be a disc with centre at $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$ and radius small enough so that the $\left\{\tilde{q_{i}^{k}}(x, y)\right\}$ and $q_{N+1}(x, y)=y+v x$ satisfy condition (3) of Lemma 4.2.2 with some constant $\gamma^{* *}>0$. We put $p_{N+1}(x, y)=1$. By Lemma 4.4.3 we can find a set $G \subset G^{* *}$, determine a constant $\lambda>0$, and again renumber the functions $p_{m}(x, y)$ and $q_{m}(x, y)(m=1,2, \ldots, N+1)$ with two indices so that the functions $p_{i}^{k}(x, y)$ and

$$
q_{i}^{k}(x, y)\left(i=0,1,2, \ldots, n+1 ; k=1,2, \ldots, m_{i} ; \sum_{i=0}^{n+1} m_{i} \leqslant N+1\right)
$$

that is, some functions may be omitted in the renumbering) obtained after the renumbering satisfy conditions (1)-(3) of Lemma 4.2.2, conditions (4')(6') of $\S 5$, and the condition
$7 m_{n+1}=1, p_{N+1}^{1}=p_{N+1}(x, y)=1, q_{N+1}^{1}=q_{N+1}(x, y)=y+v x$.
Let $L$ be the linear space consisting of all system of functions $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$ defined and continuous on the sets $\left\{q_{i}^{1}(G)\right\}$ and satisfying the condition

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{1}(x, y)\right) \equiv 0 \quad \text { in } \quad G
$$

Lemma 4.6.1. $L$ is a finite-dimensional linear space.
Proof. By Lemma 4.5.1, in the sets $\left\{q_{i}^{1}(G)\right\}$ we can select a subset consisting of a finite number of points $\left\{t_{i, j}\right\}$ such that, if $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\} \in L$ and $f_{i}^{k}\left(t_{i, j}\right)=0$ for all $k, i, j$ then $f_{i}^{k}(t) \equiv 0$ on $q_{i}^{1}(G)$ for all $i, k$. Thus, the set of functions $\left\{f_{i}^{k}(t)\right\}$ is completely determined by a finite set of parameters $\left\{f_{i}^{k}\left(t_{i, j}\right)\right\}$. Consequently the dimension of the space $L$ is finite. This proves the lemma.

Lemma 4.6.2. There exists a natural number $\mu$ such that in $D$ the polynomial $(y+v x)^{\mu}=Q(x, y)$ is not equal to any superposition of the form $\sum_{m=1}^{N} p_{m}(x, y) f_{m}\left(q_{m}(x, y)\right)$, where $\left\{f_{m}(t)\right\}$ are arbitrary continuous functions.

Proof. We denote by $\Phi$ the space of functions of the form $f(y+v x)$ $=f_{n+1}^{1}\left(q_{n+1}^{1}(x, y)\right)$ that are representable on $G$ by superpositions of the form $\left[\sum_{m=1}^{N} p_{m}(x, y) f_{m}\left(q_{m}(x, y)\right)\right]$. Or, what comes to the same thing (see properties (4') and (7)), of the form $\left[\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right)\right]$. Thus, functions of $\Phi$ satisfy the relation $\sum_{i=0}^{n+1} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} p_{i}^{k}(x, y) f_{i}^{k}\left(q_{i}^{k}(x, y)\right) \equiv \equiv 0$ in $G$. Consequently the linear space $\Phi$ is naturally embedded in $L$. Since $L$ is finite-dimensional (Lemma 4.6.1), $\Phi$ is also finite-dimensional. Let $l$ be the dimension of $\Phi$. Since the polynomials $(y+v x),(y+v x)^{2}, \ldots,(y+v x)^{l+1}$ are linearly independent, at least one of them $Q(x, y)=(y+v x)^{\mu}$ is not equal to any superposition of the form under discussion on $G$ or, consequently, in $D$. This proves the lemma.

Proof of Theorem 4.6.1. By Lemma 4.6.2 the set of superpositions of the form given in Theorem 4.6.1 does not exhaust all continuous functions on $G$. Consequently, by Theorem 4.5.1, the set of these superpositions is a closed linear subspace of $C(G)$. Hence we conclude that the set of superpositions under discussion is nowhere dense in $C(G)$, nor consequently in $C(D)$. This proves the theorem.

Corollary 4.6.1. For any continuous functions $p_{m}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ and continuously differentiable functions $q_{m}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)(m=1,2, \ldots, N)$ and any region $D$ of the space of the variables $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ the set of superpositions of the form

$$
\sum_{m=1}^{N} \dot{p}_{m}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) f_{m}\left(q_{m}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), x_{2}, x_{3},, x_{n-1}\right)
$$

where $\left\{f_{m}\left(t, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{n-1}\right)\right\}$ are arbitrary continuous functions of $(n-1)$ variables, is nowhere dense in the space of all functions continuous in $D$ with uniform convergence.

