

1. Completely convex functions

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **23 (1977)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **12.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

1. COMPLETELY CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Let I denote an open interval. A function $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is called *completely convex*, if it is C^∞ and $(-1)^k f^{(2k)} \geq 0$ on I for $k \geq 0$.

The set of completely convex functions is a convex cone denoted $W = W(I)$. We always equip W with the topology of pointwise convergence, i.e., with the topology induced by the product space \mathbf{R}^I .

LEMMA 1.1. *If I is unbounded $W(I)$ consists of the non-negative affine functions, and $W(\mathbf{R})$ consists of the non-negative constants.*

Proof. Assume first that $\inf I = -\infty$. Then every $f \in W$ is decreasing since it is non-negative and concave. For $k \geq 0$ and $f \in W$ we have $(-1)^k f^{(2k)} \in W$ and consequently $(-1)^k f^{(2k+1)} \leq 0$. This shows that also $-f' \in W$ and then $-f'' \leq 0$, but by definition $f'' \leq 0$ and therefore f is affine.

The case $\sup I = \infty$ is treated in a similar manner. Finally, every non-negative concave function on \mathbf{R} is constant.

Remark. Completely convex sequences are non-negative and affine.

For a sequence $a = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$ of real numbers we define Δa to be the sequence $(\Delta a)_n = a_{n+1} - a_n, n \geq 0$, and $\Delta^k a$ is defined as $\Delta(\Delta^{k-1} a)$ for $k \geq 1$, where $\Delta^0 a = a$. A sequence a is called *completely convex* if $(-1)^k \Delta^{2k} a \geq 0$ for $k \geq 0$. The same method as in Lemma 1.1 leads to the conclusion that every completely convex sequence satisfies $\Delta a \geq 0$ and $\Delta^2 a = 0$. The completely convex sequences are therefore exactly the sequences $a_n = \alpha n + \beta$, where $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$.

This is an answer to a remark by Boas [1]: "Nothing seems to be known about completely convex sequences".

In the following we will always assume that I is bounded, and for the sake of convenience we choose I to be $I =]0, 1[$. We simply write W for $W(]0, 1[)$. For $f \in W$ we have $-f'' \in W$ and $f^* \in W$, where f^* is defined by $f^*(x) = f(1-x)$. The mapping $f \mapsto f^*$ is an affine isomorphism of W onto itself.

LEMMA 1.2. *Let $f :]0, 1[\rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ be non-negative and concave. Then the following holds :*

$$(i) \quad f(x) \leq 2f(1/2) \quad \text{for} \quad x \in]0, 1[.$$

$$(ii) \quad f(x) \geq \frac{1}{\pi} f(x_0) \sin(\pi x) \quad \text{for} \quad x, x_0 \in]0, 1[.$$

(iii) ([6], Lemma 7.1) *If there exists $x_0 \in]0, 1[$ and $a > 0$ such that $f(x_0) < a \sin(\pi x_0)$ then $f(x) \leq a\pi$ for $x \in]0, 1[$.*

Proof. (i). For $x \in]0, 1/2]$ we have that $f(x)$ lies below the line through $(1/2, f(1/2))$ and $(1, 0)$ and (i) follows for $x \in]0, 1/2]$. The interval $]1/2, 1[$ is treated similarly.

(ii). Let $x_0 \in]0, 1[$. For $x \in]0, x_0]$ we have

$$f(x) \geq \frac{f(x_0)}{x_0} x \geq f(x_0) x \geq \frac{f(x_0)}{\pi} \sin(\pi x),$$

and for $x \in [x_0, 1[$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &\geq \frac{f(x_0)(1-x)}{1-x_0} \geq f(x_0)(1-x) \geq \frac{f(x_0)}{\pi} \sin \pi(1-x) = \\ &\frac{f(x_0)}{\pi} \sin(\pi x). \end{aligned}$$

(iii). If $f(x_0) > a\pi$ the inequality (ii) implies that $f(x) > a \sin(\pi x)$ for $x \in]0, 1[$.

Since every $f \in W$ can be extended to an entire holomorphic function all derivatives of f have finite limits at 0 and 1. This can also be established in an elementary way from the property $(-1)^k f^{(2k)} \geq 0$ for $k \geq 0$. We will therefore freely use $f^{(k)}(x)$ for $x = 0, 1$ as the limit of $f^{(k)}(x)$ at these points.

LEMMA 1.3. *The cone W is a closed and metrizable subset of \mathbf{R}^I .*

Proof. The set of concave functions $f : I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ is a closed and metrizable subset of \mathbf{R}^I , and therefore it suffices to prove that the pointwise limit f of a sequence (f_n) from W belongs to W .

It follows by Lemma 1.2 (i) that there exists a constant A such that $f_n \leq A$ for all n ¹⁾. The dominated convergence theorem then shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 f_n(x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_0^1 f(x) \varphi(x) dx$$

for all $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(]0, 1[)$, so (f_n) converges to f weakly in the distribution sense. Therefore $(-1)^k f^{(2k)} \geq 0$ for all $k \geq 0$ in the distribution sense, and this implies that f is C^∞ and hence $f \in W$.

¹⁾ In fact, $A = 2 \sup f_n(1/2)$ can be used. It is finite because $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(1/2)$ exists.