

3. Determination of a base for W

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **23 (1977)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **09.08.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Assume that $f \in W$ generates an extreme ray. If $f^{(2k)}(0) = f^{(2k)}(1) = 0$ for all $k \geq 0$ we already know by Proposition 2.4 that f is proportional to $\sin(\pi x)$. Otherwise let n be the smallest number ≥ 0 for which $f^{(2n)}(0) \neq 0$ or $f^{(2n)}(1) \neq 0$. By Proposition 2.2 we then have

$$f(x) = (-1)^n f^{(2n)}(0) A_n^*(x) + (-1)^n f^{(2n)}(1) A_n(x) + R_{n+1}(x),$$

but since f lies on an extreme ray all three terms on the right-hand side lie on this ray.

If $f^{(2n)}(0) \neq 0$ this shows that $(-1)^n f^{(2n)}(1) A_n$ and R_{n+1} are proportional to A_n^* . Therefore $f^{(2n)}(1) = 0$ and $R_{n+1}^{(2n+2)} = f^{(2n+2)}$ is proportional to $(A_n^*)^{(2n+2)} = 0$, so that $f^{(2n+2)} = 0$ and hence $R_{n+1} = 0$ (cf. Proposition 2.2).

If $f^{(2n)}(1) \neq 0$ we similarly get $f^{(2n)}(0) = 0$ and $R_{n+1} = 0$. This shows that f lies on the ray generated by either A_n^* or A_n .

3. DETERMINATION OF A BASE FOR W

There are several ways of determining a base for W . We choose the following set

$$B = \left\{ f \in W \mid \int_0^1 f(x) \sin(\pi x) dx = 1 \right\}.$$

By Lemma 1.2 (ii) we get for $f \in B$ and $x_0 \in]0, 1[$ that

$$1 \geq \frac{1}{\pi} f(x_0) \int_0^1 \sin^2(\pi x) dx = \frac{1}{2\pi} f(x_0),$$

so the functions in B are uniformly bounded by 2π .

It is therefore clear that B is a compact convex base for W .

The extreme points of B are exactly the intersections between B and the extreme rays of W . We see that $2 \sin(\pi x) \in B$.

We claim that the following formulas hold, cf. [4]:

$$(3.1) \quad A_n^*(x) = \frac{2}{\pi^{2n+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin(k\pi x)}{k^{2n+1}}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad x \in]0, 1[,$$

$$(3.2) \quad A_n(x) = \frac{2}{\pi^{2n+1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k+1} \frac{\sin(k\pi x)}{k^{2n+1}}, \quad n \geq 0, \quad x \in]0, 1[.$$

Formula (3.2) follows immediately from (3.1). For $n = 0$ (3.1) is the familiar formula

$$\frac{\pi}{2} (1-x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin (k\pi x)}{k}, \quad 0 < x < 1.$$

Suppose that (3.1) holds for n replaced by $n - 1$ for some $n \geq 1$. Denoting the right-hand side of (3.1) by f_n , we have $f_n(0) = f_n(1) = 0$ and

$$f_n''(x) = -\frac{2}{\pi^{2n-1}} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin (k\pi x)}{k^{2n-1}}.$$

which is equal to $-A_{n-1}^*$ by the induction hypothesis. It follows by (2.3) that $f_n = A_n^*$, and (3.1) is proved. From (3.1) and (3.2) it follows that $\pi^{2n+1}A_n$ and $\pi^{2n+1}A_n^* \in B$. We also get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi^{2n+1}A_n(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \pi^{2n+1}A_n^*(x) = 2 \sin (\pi x)$. We have now established the following result:

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The set B is a compact convex base for W and the extreme points of B are $2 \sin (\pi x)$, $\pi^{2n+1}A_n^*(x)$, $\pi^{2n+1}A_n(x)$, $n \geq 0$, which form a closed subset of B .*

By l_+^1 we denote the set of sequences $(\alpha_n)_{n \geq 0}$ of non-negative numbers such that $\sum_0^{\infty} \alpha_n < \infty$.

By the Choquet representation theorem or just by the Krein-Milman theorem we get the following, cf. [3]:

THEOREM 3.2. *For every $f \in W$ there exist $a \geq 0$ and sequences $(\alpha_n), (\beta_n) \in l_+^1$ such that*

$$(3.1) \quad f(x) = 2a \sin (\pi x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n \pi^{2n+1} A_n^*(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_n \pi^{2n+1} A_n(x); \quad 0 < x < 1.$$

The functions in B are uniformly bounded by 2π , and therefore the series (3.1) is uniformly convergent.

If we differentiate the series in (3.1) two times and change sign we get the series

$$\pi^2 \left(2a \sin (\pi x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1} \pi^{2n+1} A_n^*(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n+1} \pi^{2n+1} A_n(x) \right),$$

which also converges uniformly on $]0, 1[$ because $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+1} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n+1} < \infty$.

It follows that the following formula holds:

$$(3.2) \quad (-1)^k f^{(2k)}(x) = \pi^{2k} \left(2a \sin(\pi x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n+k} \pi^{2n+1} A_n^*(x) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n+k} \pi^{2n+1} A_n(x) \right)$$

for $0 < x < 1$, $k \geq 0$ and furthermore

$$(3.3) \quad \alpha_k = \pi^{-2k-1} (-1)^k f^{(2k)}(0), \quad \beta_k = \pi^{-2k-1} (-1)^k f^{(2k)}(1)$$

for $k \geq 0$.

This proves that the sequences (α_n) , (β_n) and hence also a are uniquely determined by f . We have thus shown that B is a simplex. The extreme points of B form a closed subset of B as remarked in Proposition 3.1 so we can formulate the following

COROLLARY 3.3. *The base B for W is a Bauer simplex.*

Whittaker proved in [4] that the series in (3.1) in fact converges uniformly over arbitrary compact subsets of the complex plane. This also proves that f can be extended to an entire holomorphic function which we also call f . For $x \in]0, 1[$ and $y \in \mathbf{R}$ we then have

$$f(x + iy) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f^{(k)}(x) \frac{(iy)^k}{k!},$$

hence

$$\operatorname{Re} f(x + iy) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k f^{(2k)}(x) \frac{y^{2k}}{(2k)!},$$

which shows that $x \mapsto \operatorname{Re} f(x + iy)$ belongs to W for all $y \in \mathbf{R}$, as sum of the functions

$$x \mapsto (-1)^k f^{(2k)}(x) \frac{y^{2k}}{(2k)!}$$

which all belong to the closed cone W .

This gives a short proof of the recent result of Mugler [2].