

2. Reformulations in the plane

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **24 (1978)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **12.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern. Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden. Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

The set T is called the universal Teichmüller space. An important result due to Ahlfors and Bers shows that each Teichmüller space of a Riemann surface R or of a Fuchsian group G has a canonical embedding in the space T . See, for example, [3].

It is natural to ask if there exist relations, other than (1), between S and T as subsets of B_2 . Compactness results for conformal mappings show that S is closed in B_2 . Hence Bers asked in [2] and [3] if one can characterize S in terms of T as follows.

QUESTION. *Is S the closure of T ?*

We shall answer this question in the negative by sketching a proof for the following result.

THEOREM 1. *There exists a φ in S which does not lie in the closure of T .*

On the other hand, we have the following characterization of T in terms of S . See [4].

THEOREM 2. *T is the interior of S .*

2. REFORMULATIONS IN THE PLANE

A set $E \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ is said to be a *quasiconformal circle* if there exists a quasiconformal mapping f defined in $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ which maps the unit circle $\{z: |z| = 1\}$ onto E .

Theorems 1 and 2 are then respectively equivalent to the following two results on plane domains D .

THEOREM 3. *There exists a simply connected domain D and a positive constant δ such that $f(D)$ is not bounded by a quasiconformal circle whenever f is conformal in D with $\|S_f\|_D \leq \delta$.*

THEOREM 4. *A simply connected domain D is bounded by a quasiconformal circle if and only if there exists a positive constant δ such that f is univalent in D whenever f is meromorphic in D with $\|S_f\|_D \leq \delta$.*

We give an argument to show the equivalence of Theorems 1 and 3. Suppose first that Theorem 1 holds. Then there exists a $\varphi \in S$ and a $\delta > 0$ such that $\|\psi - \varphi\| > \delta$ for all $\psi \in T$. Choose g conformal in L with $S_g = \varphi$, let $D = g(L)$ and suppose that f is conformal in D with $\|S_f\|_D \leq \delta$. Then $h = f \circ g$ is conformal in L ,

$$(2) \quad S_h = (S_{f \circ g})(g')^2 + S_g$$

by the composition law for the Schwarzian derivative, and hence $\psi = S_h \in S$ with

$$\|\psi - \varphi\| = \|S_h - S_g\|_L = \|S_f\|_D \leq \delta.$$

Thus $\psi \notin T$, h does not have a quasiconformal extension to \bar{C} , and $\partial f(D) = \partial h(L)$ is not a quasiconformal circle. Hence Theorem 3 holds.

Suppose next that Theorem 3 holds, let $\varphi = S_g$ where g is any conformal mapping of L onto D , and choose any $\psi \in S$ with $\|\psi - \varphi\| \leq \delta$. Then $\psi = S_h$ where h is conformal in L , $f = h \circ g^{-1}$ is conformal in D and from (2) we obtain

$$\|S_f\|_D = \|S_h - S_g\|_L = \|\psi - \varphi\| \leq \delta.$$

Hence $\partial h(L) = \partial f(D)$ is not a quasiconformal circle, h does not have a quasiconformal extension to \bar{C} and $\psi \notin T$. Thus the distance from φ to T is at least δ and Theorem 1 holds.

A simple modification of the above argument yields the equivalence of Theorems 2 and 4.

Theorems 1 and 3 are immediate consequences of the following result.

THEOREM 5. *There exists a simply connected domain D and a positive constant δ such that $f(D)$ is not a Jordan domain whenever f is conformal in D with $\|S_f\|_D \leq \delta$.*

3. SPIRALS

The proof of Theorem 5 is based on two results for a class of spirals.

DEFINITION. *We say that an open arc α in C is a b -spiral from z_1 onto z_2 if α has the representation*

$$z = (z_1 - z_2)r(t)e^{it} + z_2, \quad 0 < t < \infty,$$

where $r(t)$ is positive and continuous with