

§2. Vector bundles on \mathbb{M}

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **27 (1981)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **10.08.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

§2. VECTOR BUNDLES ON $\Gamma \backslash M$

Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of G which acts freely on the symmetric space M , and put $S = \Gamma \backslash M$. We will discuss two standard constructions of vector bundles on S .

The first type is the quotient by Γ of a homogeneous vector bundle on M . Specifically, let (τ, W) be a finite-dimensional representation of K . Then $E(\tau)$ is defined as the quotient of $G \times W$ by the following identification under the action of K :

$$(2.1) \quad (g, w) \sim (gk^{-1}, \tau(k)w) \quad \text{if} \quad k \in K.$$

$E(\tau)$ is naturally a C^∞ vector bundle on M , and the left action of G on M is covered by the obvious left action of G on $E(\tau)$. Thus, we may take the quotient by any Γ as above to obtain a bundle $E(\Gamma, \tau)$ on S . Alternatively, $E(\Gamma, \tau)$ is an associated vector bundle of the principal K -bundle $\Gamma \backslash G$. Note that if (τ, W) decomposes as a representation of K into

$$(\tau, W) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l (\tau_i, W_i),$$

then one gets an induced decomposition

$$(2.2) \quad E(\Gamma, \tau) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^l E(\Gamma, \tau_i).$$

We may identify sections of $E(\Gamma, \tau)$ as the Γ -invariant sections of $E(\tau)$, which in turn are given by mappings $\phi: G \rightarrow W$ which satisfy

$$(2.3) \quad \phi(\gamma g k^{-1}) = \tau(k) \phi(g) \quad \text{for all} \quad \gamma \in \Gamma, g \in G, k \in K.$$

An Hermitian metric can be placed on $E(\Gamma, \tau)$ by a choice of $\tau(K)$ -invariant inner product on W . (Such exist because K is compact.) The corresponding constant metric on $G \times W$ descends to $E(\Gamma, \tau)$, in view of (2.1).

(2.4) *Example.* Taking $\tau = \text{Ad}|_{\mathfrak{p}_\mathbb{C}}$, we have a natural isomorphism of $E(\tau)$ and the complexified tangent bundle to M , and we may take quotients by Γ .

The second type of vector bundle is the flat bundle associated to a finite-dimensional representation (ψ, V) of Γ . We let $\Phi(\psi)$ denote the quotient of $M \times V$ under the action of Γ :

$$(m, v) \sim (\gamma m, \psi(\gamma)v).$$

Sections of $\Phi(\psi)$ are given by functions $f: M \rightarrow V$ such that

$$(2.5) \quad f(\gamma x) = \psi(\gamma) f(x) \quad \text{if} \quad \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in M.$$

The local sections of $\Phi(\psi)$ determined by constant V -valued functions determine a flat structure on $\Phi(\psi)$, whose sheaf of locally constant sections will be denoted V .

The two constructions above are related by the elementary

(2.6) PROPOSITION. *Let (ρ, V) be a representation of G (which then restricts to representations of K and Γ). Then the mapping*

$$\tilde{\Xi}: G \times V \rightarrow G \times V,$$

defined by $\tilde{\Xi}(g, v) = (g, \rho(g)^{-1}v)$, induces an isomorphism of C^∞ vector bundles

$$\Xi: \Phi(\rho|_\Gamma) \simeq E(\Gamma, \rho|_K).$$

(2.7) Remark. Let (ρ, V) be a finite-dimensional representation of G , and (ψ, W) a finite-dimensional unitary representation of Γ . We note that by the standard ruse of replacing G by $G' = G \times U(W)$, where $U(W)$ denotes the unitary group of W , $V \otimes W$ becomes a representation space for G' , and so the bundle $\Phi(\rho|_\Gamma \otimes \psi)$ falls into the class of bundles covered by (2.6).

A natural metric on $\Phi(\rho|_\Gamma)$ is provided by the admissible inner product T (1.9). For $g \in G$, $v, w \in V$, let (at $gx_0 \in M$)

$$(2.8) \quad \langle v, w \rangle_{gx_0} = T(\rho(g^{-1})v, \rho(g^{-1})w).$$

Since K acts isometrically with respect to T , it follows that (2.8) is well-defined on $M \times V$; and it is evident that the action of Γ is isometric, so (2.8) descends to $\Phi(\rho|_\Gamma)$. T also determines a metric in $E(\Gamma, \rho|_K)$, and it is clear that the mapping Ξ of (2.6) is then an isometry of bundles.

Assume next that M is Hermitian. Then to every finite-dimensional holomorphic representation (σ, W) of Q is associated a G_C -equivariant holomorphic vector bundle $\check{E}(\sigma)$ on \check{M} , constructed as in (2.1). By restricting to M and taking the quotient by the action of Γ , we obtain the holomorphic vector bundle $\check{E}(\Gamma, \sigma)$ on S . Q -invariant subspaces of W determine holomorphic subbundles of $\check{E}(\Gamma, \sigma)$. Along the same lines as (2.6), we have:

(2.9) PROPOSITION. Let (ρ, V) be a representation of G (which then determines representations of Q and Γ). Then the mapping

$$\tilde{\Xi}: G_C \times V \rightarrow G_C \times V,$$

defined by $\tilde{\Xi}(g, v) = (g, \rho(g)^{-1}v)$, induces an isomorphism of holomorphic bundles

$$\Xi: \Phi(\rho|_{\Gamma}) \simeq \check{E}(\Gamma, \rho_c|_{\mathcal{Q}}).$$

Every representation τ of K determines a holomorphic representation of $K_{\mathbb{C}}$, which then extends to a representation σ_{τ} of Q by setting σ_{τ} to be trivial on P^{-} , since K normalizes P^{-} . The C^{∞} isomorphism $E(\Gamma, \tau) \rightarrow \check{E}(\Gamma, \sigma_{\tau})$ imparts a holomorphic structure to $E(\Gamma, \tau)$; however, an isomorphism (2.2) need not be holomorphically compatible with (2.9).

(2.10) *Example.* Taking $\tau = \text{Ad}^{+} = \text{Ad } K|_{\mathfrak{p}^{+}}$ we obtain a holomorphic isomorphism

$$E(\tau) \simeq \Theta_M \quad (\text{holomorphic tangent bundle of } M),$$

and we may take quotients by Γ . Therefore, since the Killing form gives $(\mathfrak{p}^{+})^{*} \simeq \mathfrak{p}^{-}$ as a representation of K ,

$$E(\Gamma, \Lambda^p \text{Ad}^{-}) \simeq \Omega_M^p.$$

(Here and elsewhere, we identify a vector bundle with its locally free sheaf of germs of sections.)

There is a relation of the preceding to automorphic forms, coming from the following. Let W be a finite dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} . Then an *automorphy factor* \mathcal{f} is a C^{∞} mapping

$$\mathcal{f}: G \times M \rightarrow GL(W)$$

which satisfies

- (2.11) i) $\mathcal{f}(g, x)$ is, for fixed g , a holomorphic mapping from M into $GL(W)$,
 ii) $\mathcal{f}(gh, x) = \mathcal{f}(g, hx) \mathcal{f}(h, x)$.

We observe that \mathcal{f} is then completely determined by the function $\mathcal{f}(g, x_0)$ on G . Given such a \mathcal{f} , one forms the *automorphic vector bundle* $A(\Gamma, \mathcal{f})$, a holomorphic bundle, by taking the quotient of $M \times W$ under the action of Γ :

$$(x, w) \sim (\gamma x, \mathcal{f}(\gamma, x)w) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in M, w \in W.$$

Sections of $A(\Gamma, \mathcal{f})$ are then given by functions $f: M \rightarrow W$ such that

$$(2.12) \quad f(\gamma x) = \mathcal{f}(\gamma, x) f(x) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in \Gamma, x \in M;$$

these are called *automorphic forms*.

From an automorphy factor f , one obtains a representation τ_f of K by setting

$$\tau_f(k) = f(k, x_0),$$

because of (2.11, ii). We then have

(2.13) PROPOSITION. *Let f be an automorphy factor. Then there is a C^∞ isomorphism*

$$\Psi: E(\Gamma, \tau_f) \rightarrow A(\Gamma, f),$$

induced by the mapping

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi}: G \times W &\rightarrow G \times W \\ \tilde{\Psi}(g, w) &= (g, f(g, x_0)w). \end{aligned}$$

(2.14) Remark. For a representation (ρ, V) of G ,

$$f(g, x) = \rho(g)$$

defines an automorphy factor, for which (2.13) is a reformulation of (2.6).

Conversely, to the Lie group G is associated its *canonical automorphy factor* \mathcal{J} (see [7, p. 397]), which is a C^∞ mapping $\mathcal{J}: G \times M \rightarrow K_C$ which satisfies the equations of (2.11); and $\mathcal{J}(g, x_0)$ is the K_C -component of g in $G \subset U = P^+ K_C P^-$. Then each representation τ of K determines an automorphy factor

$$f_\tau(g, x) = \tau(\mathcal{J}(g, x)).$$

In this case, the mapping $\tilde{\Psi}$ of (2.13) extends to a biholomorphic mapping of $U \times W$, from which it follows that

$$\Psi: E(\Gamma, \tau) \rightarrow A(\Gamma, f_\tau)$$

is an isomorphism of holomorphic bundles. Thus we have also, for instance,

$$\Omega_S^p \simeq A(\Gamma, f_{\wedge^p \text{Ad}^-}).$$

In this manner, holomorphic sections of bundles $E(\Gamma, \tau)$ become given as spaces of automorphic forms. One also uses (2.13) to construct local frames for $E(\Gamma, \tau)$.