

Zeitschrift: L'Enseignement Mathématique
Band: 28 (1982)
Heft: 1-2: L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE

Artikel: RFDUCIBILITY BY ALGEBRAIC PROJECTIONS
Anhang: Appendix 2
Autor: Valiant, L. G.
DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5169/seals-52240>

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist die Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Zeitschriften und ist nicht verantwortlich für deren Inhalte. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern beziehungsweise den externen Rechteinhabern. [Siehe Rechtliche Hinweise.](#)

Conditions d'utilisation

L'ETH Library est le fournisseur des revues numérisées. Elle ne détient aucun droit d'auteur sur les revues et n'est pas responsable de leur contenu. En règle générale, les droits sont détenus par les éditeurs ou les détenteurs de droits externes. [Voir Informations légales.](#)

Terms of use

The ETH Library is the provider of the digitised journals. It does not own any copyrights to the journals and is not responsible for their content. The rights usually lie with the publishers or the external rights holders. [See Legal notice.](#)

Download PDF: 17.10.2024

ETH-Bibliothek Zürich, E-Periodica, <https://www.e-periodica.ch>

$$T_{m+s+1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}) T_{m+s+1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}') S_{m+r+1}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e})$$

where $(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}', \mathbf{e})$ is a fixed $(0, 1)$ -vector of $2s+r+3$ elements. But any such vector can be specified by a conjunction of $2s+r+3$ Boolean literals. Consider the disjunction of the r such conjunctions and let $R(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}', \mathbf{e})$ be the polynomial that simulates this Boolean formula at $(0, 1)$ values. Then clearly

$$Q_m(x) = \sum T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}) T(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{c}') S(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{e}) R(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}', \mathbf{e}),$$

where summation is over $(\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{c}', \mathbf{e}) \in \{0, 1\}^{2s+r+3}$.

Let $A(C, d)$ be the upper bound over every homogeneous polynomial having degree d and homogeneous program complexity C , of the minimal size of formula needed to define it in Definition 4. Then the above recursive expression ensures that

$$A(C, d) \leq 3A(3C + d, \lfloor d/2 \rfloor + 1) + O(C).$$

Clearly also $A(C, 1) \leq 2C$. Hence if d is p -bounded in m then so is the solution to this recurrence. \square

APPENDIX 2

For completeness we describe here a direct proof of the p -definability of HC in the sense of Definition 1. $HC_{n \times n}(x_{i,j})$ will be the projection under

$$\sigma(u_{k,m}) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq k, m \leq n$$

of the polynomial in $\{x_{i,j}, u_{k,m}\}$ defined by

$$Q_{n \times n}(y_{i,j}) \cdot Q_{n \times n}(z_{k,m}) \cdot R^1 \dots R^n$$

with the association $y_{i,j} \leftrightarrow x_{i,j}$ and $z_{k,m} \leftrightarrow u_{k,m}$. Here $Q_{n \times n}$ is the polynomial that defines the permanent in §3. Its first occurrence with argument y plays exactly the same role as in the permanent and ensures a cycle cover. The intention of $z_{k,m}$ is to denote whether the k^{th} node in the circuit (starting from node 1, say) is node m . $Q_{n \times n}(z_{k,m})$ ensures that this intention is realised. For each k R^k captures the fact that if $z_{k,m}$ and $z_{k+1,r}$ are both 1 then $y_{m,r}$ must be also. In Boolean notation we require

$$y_{m,r} \vee (\bar{z}_{k,m} \vee \bar{z}_{k+1,r}).$$

As is well known such Boolean formulae can be simulated by polynomials at $\{0, 1\}$ values (e.g. see Proposition 2 in [13]). To guarantee just one monomial for each cycle we fix $R^1 = z_{11}$. \square