

3. Truth values in for statements about (B, A)

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **28 (1982)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **11.08.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

3. TRUTH VALUES IN A FOR STATEMENTS ABOUT (B, A)

For the rest of this paper, let $\mathcal{L}_{BA} = \{+, \cdot, -, 0, 1\}$ the language of BA s and $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{BA} \cup \{U\}$. Let T_{BAU} be the theory in \mathcal{L} such that the models of T_{BAU} have the form $(B, +, \cdot, -, 0, 1, A)$ where (B, \dots) is a BA and A is a subalgebra of B . We abbreviate a model (B, \dots, A) of T_{BAU} by $\mathcal{M} = (B, A)$. We assume the construction and notations of section 1. For each \mathcal{L} -formula $\varphi(x_1 \dots x_n)$ and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$, we defined

$$\|\varphi[b_1 \dots b_n]\| = \{p \in X \mid B_p \models \varphi[b_1(p) \dots b_n(p)]\}$$

where B_p abbreviates $(B_p, 2)$ and 2 is the two-element BA . Our first claim is that if $c = \|\varphi[b_1 \dots b_n]\|$ is a clopen subset of X for every φ , then $e(c) \in A$ is first-order definable in $\mathcal{M} = (B, A)$ from the parameters $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$:

3.1. LEMMA. There is an effective procedure assigning to each formula $\varphi(x_1 \dots x_n)$ of \mathcal{L} a formula $s_\varphi(yx_1 \dots x_n)$ of \mathcal{L} (where y is a variable not occurring in φ) such that for $\mathcal{M} \models T_{BAU}$, properties (i) and (ii) are equivalent and (ii) implies (iii):

- (i) $\|\varphi[b_1 \dots b_n]\|$ is clopen for every $\varphi(x_1 \dots x_n)$ in \mathcal{L} and $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$;
- (ii) $\mathcal{M} \models \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \exists y s_\varphi(yx_1 \dots x_n)$ for every $\varphi(x_1 \dots x_n)$ in \mathcal{L} ;
- (iii) if $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$, then $a = e(c)$ where $c = \|\varphi[b_1 \dots b_n]\|$ is the unique element b of B such that $\mathcal{M} \models s_\varphi[bb_1 \dots b_n]$.

Proof. The inductive definition of s_φ will show that (i) is equivalent to (ii) and (i) implies (iii), the interesting cases being φ atomic or φ existential. In both cases the fact that $\|\varphi[\dots]\|$ is clopen will be expressed by stating " $a (= e(\|\varphi[\dots]\|))$ is the largest element of A such that $e^{-1}(a) \subseteq \|\varphi[\dots]\|$ ". This includes, if φ has the form $\exists x\psi$, the maximum principle for the Boolean valuation

$$\psi, b_1 \dots b_n \rightarrow \|\psi[b_1 \dots b_n]\|$$

of \mathcal{M} in C : there is some $b \in B$ such that

$$\|\psi[b'b_1 \dots b_n]\| \leq \|\psi[bb_1 \dots b_n]\|$$

for every $b' \in B$, and hence $\|\psi[bb_1 \dots b_n]\| = \|\exists x\psi[xb_1 \dots b_n]\|$. We now proceed to define the formulas s_φ .

a) Suppose φ is an atomic formula of \mathcal{L}_{BA} , i.e. φ has the form $t_1(x_1 \dots x_n) = t_2(x_1 \dots x_n)$ where t_1, t_2 are terms in \mathcal{L}_{BA} . Let $s_\varphi(yx_1 \dots x_n)$ be the formula

$$U(y) \wedge y \cdot t_1 = y \cdot t_2 \wedge \forall y' (U(y') \wedge y' \cdot t_1 = y' \cdot t_2 \rightarrow y' \leq y).$$

b) Suppose φ has the form $U(t(x_1 \dots x_n))$ where t is a term in \mathcal{L}_{BA} . Let ψ, χ be the atomic \mathcal{L}_{BA} -formulas " $t = 1$ " resp. " $t = 0$ ". Let s_φ be the formula

$$\exists y_1 \exists y_2 [y = y_1 + y_2 \wedge s_\psi(y_1 x_1 \dots x_n) \wedge s_\chi(y_2 x_1 \dots x_n)].$$

c) Suppose φ has the form $\neg \psi(x_1 \dots x_n)$. Let s_φ be the formula

$$\exists y_1 [y = -y_1 \wedge s_\psi(y_1 x_1 \dots x_n)].$$

d) Suppose φ has the form $\psi(x_1 \dots x_n) \vee \chi(x_1 \dots x_n)$. Let s_φ be the formula

$$\exists y_1 \exists y_2 [y = y_1 + y_2 \wedge s_\psi(y_1 x_1 \dots x_n) \wedge s_\chi(y_2 x_1 \dots x_n)].$$

e) Suppose φ has the form $\exists x \psi(x x_1 \dots x_n)$. Let s_φ be the formula

$$\exists x s_\psi(y x x_1 \dots x_n) \wedge \forall x' \forall y' [s_\psi(y' x' x_1 \dots x_n) \rightarrow y' \leq y].$$

Let σ be the \mathcal{L}_{BA} -formula stating that the supremum of the atoms of a BA exists; σ^U is the relativization of σ to the one-place predicate U of \mathcal{L} . The models of $T_{BA} \cup \{\sigma\}$ are called separated BA s in [3]. Let T be the \mathcal{L} -theory

$$T = T_{BAU} \cup \left\{ \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \exists y s_\varphi(y x_1 \dots x_n) \mid \varphi(x_1 \dots x_n) \text{ in } \mathcal{L} \right\} \\ \cup \left\{ \sigma^U, s_\sigma(1) \right\}.$$

The last two axioms of T express, for a model $\mathcal{M} = (B, A)$ of T_{BAU} , that A and each stalk B_p are separated BA s. Let \mathbf{K} be the class of \mathcal{L} -structures $\mathcal{M} = (B, A)$ where B is a cBA and A is relatively complete in B . We shall prove in section 4 that T is an axiomatization of the first-order theory of \mathbf{K} . The easy part of this is:

3.2. THEOREM. *Each structure \mathcal{M} in \mathbf{K} is a model of T .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{M} = (B, A) \in \mathbf{K}$, i.e. B is complete and A is relatively complete in B . Hence $\mathcal{M} \models T_{BAU}$ and A is a separated BA . By 1.1, $\|\varphi[b_1 \dots b_n]\|$ is clopen for every atomic formula φ of \mathcal{L} and arbitrary $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$. If $\|\varphi[b_1 \dots b_n]\|$ and $\|\psi[b_1 \dots b_n]\|$ are clopen subsets of X , so are $\|\neg \varphi[b_1 \dots b_n]\|$ and $\|(\varphi \vee \psi)[b_1 \dots b_n]\|$. Hence we assume that φ

has the form $\exists x \psi (xx_1 \dots x_n)$ and that $\| \psi [bb_1 \dots b_n] \|$ is clopen for fixed $b_1, \dots, b_n \in B$ and arbitrary $b \in B$. For the rest of the proof, we omit the parameters b_1, \dots, b_n . Let

$$u = \cup \{ \| \psi [\beta] \| \mid \beta \in B \}.$$

By our inductive assumption, u is an open subset of X . Choose, by Zorn's lemma, a maximal family $F = \{ (b_i, c_i) \mid i \in I \}$ such that $b_i \in B$, c_i is a clopen subset of u , $c_i \subseteq \| \psi [b_i] \|$, $i \neq j$ implies $c_i \cap c_j = \phi$. It follows that c , the closure of $\cup_{i \in I} c_i$, includes u (by maximality of F). A is a cBA ,

hence X is extremally disconnected and c is clopen. By completeness of B , there is some $b \in B$ such that $b \cdot e(c_i) = b_i$ for $i \in I$. Thus, for $i \in I$, $c_i \subseteq \| \psi [b] \|$. So, for $\beta \in B$, $\| \psi [\beta] \| \subseteq u \subseteq c \subseteq \| \psi [b] \| = \| \exists x \psi (x) \|$.

Finally we show that B_p is separated for each $p \in X$. Let $\alpha(x)$ be the \mathcal{L}_{BA} -formula stating that x is an atom and let $\beta(x)$, $\gamma(x)$ be the \mathcal{L}_{BA} -formulas $\alpha(x) \vee x = 0$ resp. $\forall y (\alpha(y) \rightarrow y \leq x)$. Put $M = \{ f \in B \mid \| \beta [f] \| = 1 \|$ and let b be the supremum of M in B . We show that $b(p)$ is, for each $p \in X$, the supremum of the atoms of B_p .

First suppose $s \in B_p$ is an atom of B_p . There is some $f \in M$ such that $f(p) = s$ (note that $\| \alpha [f] \|$ is clopen for each $f \in B$). So $f \leq b$ and $s = f(p) \leq b(p)$. — On the other hand, suppose $t \in B_p$ and $s \leq t$ for every atom s of B_p . Choose $g \in B$ such that $g(p) = t$. Then $p \in c = \| \gamma [g] \|$. For $f \in M$, $e(c) \cdot f \leq g$, since $q \in c$ implies that $f(q)$ is zero or an atom of B_q and thus $f(q) \leq g(q)$. By the definition of b , $e(c) \cdot b \leq g$. This implies (by $p \in c$) $b(p) \leq g(p) = t$.

4. DECIDABILITY AND COMPLETIONS OF $Th(\mathbf{K})$

Call $T_{sBA} = T_{BA} \cup \{ \sigma \}$ the theory of separated BA s, where T_{BA} is the theory of BA s and σ was defined in section 3. We give a short review of the completions of T_{sBA} . Let, for $n \in \omega$, φ_n be the \mathcal{L}_{BA} -sentence stating that there are exactly n atoms and ψ the \mathcal{L}_{BA} -sentence stating that there is a non-zero atomless element. Let $\chi_n = \neg (\varphi_0 \vee \dots \vee \varphi_{n-1})$; so χ_n says that there are at least n atoms. Define, for $n \in \omega + 1$ and $i \in 2 = \{ 0, 1 \}$, an \mathcal{L}_{BA} -theory T_{ni} by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{n0} &= T_{sBA} \cup \{ \varphi_n, \neg \psi \} \\ T_{n1} &= T_{sBA} \cup \{ \varphi_n, \psi \} \end{aligned}$$