

3. Proof of Theorem I

Objekttyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **31 (1985)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **13.07.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

Since A is an orthogonal map, the orthogonal complement E_A^\perp of E_A is also an A -invariant linear subspace of \mathbf{R}^n . We define

$$(ii) \quad m^\perp(A) = \max \{ |Ax - x| / |x| \mid x \in E_A^\perp \setminus \{0\} \}$$

if $E_A^\perp \neq \{0\}$ and set $m^\perp(A) = 0$ if $E_A^\perp = \{0\}$. It follows that

$$(iii) \quad m^\perp(A) < m(A) \text{ if } A \neq id.$$

We let $x = x^E + x^\perp$, $x^E \in E_A$, $x^\perp \in E_A^\perp$ be the orthogonal decomposition of a vector x with respect to E_A and E_A^\perp . The simple observation

$$(iv) \quad |Ax^E - x^E| = m(A) |x^E|, \quad |Ax^\perp - x^\perp| \leq m^\perp(A) |x^\perp|$$

together with (iii), will play a crucial role in the proof of Theorem I.

2.3. *Commutator estimate.* For $A, B \in O(n)$ we have

$$m([A, B]) \leq 2m(A) m(B).$$

Proof. Verify the identity

$$[A, B] - id = ((A - id)(B - id) - (B - id)(A - id))A^{-1}B^{-1}$$

From $|A^{-1}B^{-1}x| = |x|$ it then follows that

$$|[A, B]x - x| \leq m(A) m(B) |x| + m(B) m(A) |x|$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$.

2.4. *Crystallographic groups.* Discreteness and compactness of the fundamental domain will be used as follows:

A group G of rigid motions in \mathbf{R}^n is called crystallographic if

- (i) for all $t > 0$ only finitely many $\alpha \in G$ have $|a| \leq t$,
- (ii) there is some constant d such that for each $x \in \mathbf{R}^n$ there is an element $\alpha \in G$ satisfying $|a - x| \leq d$.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM I

Now let G be an n -dimensional crystallographic group.

3.1. LEMMA A ("Mini Bieberbach"). For each unit vector $u \in \mathbf{R}^n$ and for all $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ there exists $\beta \in G$ satisfying

$$b \neq 0, \quad \angle(u, b) \leq \delta, \quad m(B) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Proof. By 2.4 (ii) there exists an element $\beta_k \in G$ satisfying $|b_k - k u| \leq d$, for each $k = 1, 2, \dots$. The sequence β_1, β_2, \dots satisfies

$$|b_k| \rightarrow \infty, \quad \angle(u, b_k) \rightarrow 0 \quad (k \rightarrow \infty).$$

Since $O(n)$ is compact, we find a subsequence such that the rotation parts B_k also converge. Consequently there exist $i < j$ such that

$$m(B_j B_i^{-1}) \leq \varepsilon, \quad \angle(u, b_j) \leq \delta/2, \quad |b_i| \leq \frac{\delta}{4} |b_j|.$$

The motion $x \mapsto \beta_j \beta_i^{-1} x = B_j B_i^{-1} x + b_j - B_j B_i^{-1} b_i$ has now all the required properties.

3.2. LEMMA B. *If $\alpha \in G$ satisfies $m(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, then α is a pure translation.*

Proof. If G contains elements α satisfying $0 < m(A) \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we consider the one for which $|a|$ is a *minimum* (2.4 (i)). Lemma A (applied to an arbitrary unit vector $u \in E_A$) provides elements $\beta \in G$ satisfying

$$(*) \quad b \neq 0, \quad |b^\perp| \leq |b^E|, \quad m(B) \leq \frac{1}{8} (m(A) - m^\perp(A))$$

(c.f. 2.2. (iii)). Among these we again consider the one for which $|b|$ is a minimum ($\neq 0!$). Observe that $|b| \geq |a|$ if β is not a translation by the choice of α .

The commutator $\tilde{\beta} = [\alpha, \beta]$ satisfies

$$m(\tilde{B}) = m([A, B]) \leq 2m(A) m(B) \leq m(B)$$

(2.3), and we have by 2.1

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{b} &= (A - id)b^E + (A - id)b^\perp + r, \\ r &= (id - \tilde{B})b + A(id - B)A^{-1}a. \end{aligned}$$

If β is a translation, then $B = id = \tilde{B}$ and therefore $r = 0$.

If β is not a translation, then $|a| \leq |b|$ (by the choice of α) and therefore $|r| \leq (m(\tilde{B}) + m(B)) |b| \leq 2m(B) |b| < 4m(B) |b^E|$. Hence, in either case,

$$|r| < \frac{1}{2} (m(A) - m^\perp(A)) |b^E|.$$

Together with 2.2 (iv) we obtain

$$|\tilde{b}^\perp| < \frac{1}{2} (m(A) + m^\perp(A)) |b^E| < |\tilde{b}^E|.$$

We find that $\tilde{\beta}$ also satisfies (*), but with $|\tilde{b}| \leq m(A) |b| - r < |b|$, a contradiction.

3.3. *End of proof.* Lemma A provides elements in G with n linearly independent translation parts whose rotation parts are smaller than $\frac{1}{2}$. By Lemma B these elements are pure translations.

4. LATTICES

In this paragraph we collect the rudiments from lattice point theory which are necessary for the proof of Theorem II. A lattice L is a crystallographic group which consists only of translations. The elements of L (lattice points) will be identified with vectors in \mathbf{R}^n . By abuse of notation, we shall write $\omega = w = \text{trans } \omega$ for $\omega \in L$. It is well known that L is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}^n but this fact will *not* be used in our proof of Theorem II. Notice, however that L is abelian and that the minimal distance of lattice points equals the length of the smallest non-zero element in L .

4.1. LEMMA. *Let L be a lattice in \mathbf{R}^n whose elements have pairwise distances ≥ 1 , and let $N(\rho)$ denote the number of lattice points in L whose distance from the origin is $\leq \rho$ ($\rho > 0$). Then*

$$N(\rho) \leq (2\rho + 1)^n.$$

Proof. The open balls of radius $\frac{1}{2}$ around the $N(\rho)$ lattice points are pairwise disjoint and all contained in a ball of radius $\rho + \frac{1}{2}$. Comparing the volumes we find $N(\rho) \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \leq \left(\rho + \frac{1}{2}\right)^n$.