

VI. Sufficient conditions in D for $L_c = L_{\{\bot\}}$

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **31 (1985)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **15.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

straight lines in E . A family $(e_l)_{l \in I}$ of vectors in \mathfrak{E} is said to satisfy the *type-condition* iff for all $(\alpha_l)_{l \in I} \in k^I$ the following holds: if $(\varphi\langle\alpha_l e_l\rangle)_{l \in I}$ is bounded (below) then $(\alpha_l e_l)_{l \in I}$ converges to $0 \in E$.

COROLLARY 22. *Let \mathfrak{E} be as in Theorem 17. $\Gamma/2\Gamma$ is infinite. Each orthogonal family in \mathfrak{E} satisfies the type-condition, equivalently, $\Gamma/2\Gamma$ satisfies (8) below. \square*

COROLLARY 23. *Let \mathfrak{E} be as in Theorem 17. Then k is complete.*

Proof. By Corollary 19 it suffices to show that a sequence $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with limit $0 \in k$ is summable. Let $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be maximal orthogonal in \mathfrak{E} with $(\varphi\langle e_i \rangle)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ bounded below. If $(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in k^{\mathbb{N}}$ has $(\varphi(\lambda_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ bounded below then $(\lambda_i e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is summable and by continuity of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ we obtain

$$\langle \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \lambda_i e_i, \sum_{\mathbb{N}} e_i \rangle = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \lambda_i \langle e_i \rangle.$$

Thus, all families $(\lambda_i \langle e_i \rangle)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with bounded $(\lambda_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ are summable.

Pick a strictly monotonic sequence $(n_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ with $u_0 = 0$ and for all $i \in \mathbb{N}^+$ and all $m \geq n_i$: $\varphi(\alpha_m) > \varphi\langle e_i \rangle$, and set $A_i := \sum \{\alpha_j \mid n_i \leq j < n_{i+1}\}$. The family $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is summable if and only if $(\alpha_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ summable and, if the sums exist, these must be equal. If we set $\lambda_i := A_i \langle e_i \rangle^{-1}$ then, by what we have shown, the family of the $A_i = \lambda_i \langle e_i \rangle$ is summable. \square

COROLLARY 24. *Let \mathfrak{E} be as in Theorem 17. Then \mathfrak{E} is complete.*

Proof. Let $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence (Corollary 19). For each fixed $\eta \in \mathfrak{E}$ the map $x \mapsto \langle \eta, x \rangle$ is uniformly continuous. Hence by Cor. 23 the map $f: \eta \mapsto \lim_i \langle \eta, x_i \rangle$ is well-defined. As it is a continuous linear map, its kernel is a closed hyper-plane and so $(L_c(\mathfrak{E}) = L_{\perp\perp}(\mathfrak{E}))$ there is $a \in \mathfrak{E}$ such that $f(\eta) = \langle x, a \rangle$. Let $N \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be infinite. Because $\lim \varphi\langle \eta, a - x_i \rangle = \infty$ for all $\eta \in \mathfrak{E}$ it follows by systematic use of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality that $\{\varphi\langle a - x_i \rangle \mid i \in N\}$ is not bounded above by any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Therefore $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges to a .

VI. SUFFICIENT CONDITIONS IN \mathscr{D} FOR $L_c = L_{\perp\perp}$

VI.1. ASSUMPTIONS. In this chapter $(\mathfrak{E}; \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ is a definite space in the sense of Definition 15. Of the base field k we shall furthermore assume

(cf. Corollaries 22 and 23)

$\Gamma/2\Gamma$ contains a sequence $(\xi_i + 2\Gamma)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ such that each

(8) system of representatives $(\xi_i + 2\gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ that is bounded below tends to ∞ .

(9) k is complete.

Thus, by (8), $\Gamma/2\Gamma$ will be infinite and the topology on k will satisfy the first countability axiom. There are many fields that satisfy (8) and (9): See Remark 30.

The results in the next sections will culminate in Theorem 28 which characterizes certain definite spaces that are orthomodular.

VI.2. COUNTING TYPES. Let \mathfrak{E} be the completion of an \aleph_0 -dimensional space \mathfrak{F} which is spanned by an orthogonal basis $\mathcal{B} = (e_i)_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ that satisfies the type condition (Def. 21). \mathfrak{F} is dense in \mathfrak{E} so $\mathfrak{F}^\perp = (0)$ and hence \mathcal{B} is maximal. By Lemma 16 we have therefore $x = \sum_{\mathbf{N}} \langle x, e_i \rangle \langle e_i \rangle^{-1} e_i$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{E}$.

We now introduce the function ν which counts types on \mathcal{B} . Let $\nu: \Gamma/2\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{N}: t \mapsto \text{card} \{i \in \mathbf{N} \mid T \circ \varphi \langle e_i \rangle = t\}$ (cf. Def. 21). We have

LEMMA 25. *If f_1, \dots, f_m are pairwise orthogonal (non zero) vectors in \mathfrak{E} with $T \circ \varphi \langle f_i \rangle = t \in \Gamma/2\Gamma$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ then $m \leq \nu(t)$.*

Proof. We shall replace the f_i by suitable multiples and assume that $\varphi \langle f_i \rangle = \gamma \in \Gamma$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $J := \{i \in \mathbf{N} \mid T \circ \varphi \langle e_i \rangle = t\}$. We have $f_j = f'_j + f''_j$ where

$$f'_j := \sum_J \langle f_j, e_i \rangle \langle e_i \rangle^{-1} e_i, \quad f''_j := \sum_{\mathbf{N} \setminus J} \langle f_j, e_i \rangle \langle e_i \rangle^{-1} e_i.$$

Since Lemma 14 (ii) generalizes to finite as well as to infinite sums we find $\varphi \langle f''_j \rangle = \min_{i \in \mathbf{N} \setminus J} \{\varphi \langle \langle f_j, e_i \rangle \langle e_i \rangle^{-1} e_i \rangle\} \neq \varphi \langle f_j \rangle$ (because types are different). By Lemma 14 (ii) furthermore $\varphi \langle f_j \rangle \leq \varphi \langle f'_j \rangle$, $\varphi \langle f_j \rangle \leq \varphi \langle f''_j \rangle$ and we must have equality in at least one instance. Therefore

$$(10) \quad \varphi \langle f_j \rangle = \varphi \langle f'_j \rangle = \gamma < \varphi \langle f''_j \rangle, \quad 1 \leq j \leq m$$

Now, for $i \neq j$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} 2\varphi \langle f'_i, f'_j \rangle &= 2\varphi \langle f_i - f''_i, f_j - f''_j \rangle \geq \min \{2\varphi \langle f_i, f''_j \rangle, 2\varphi \langle f''_i, f_j \rangle, 2\varphi \langle f''_i, f''_j \rangle\} \\ &\geq \min \{\varphi \langle f_i \rangle + \varphi \langle f''_j \rangle, \varphi \langle f''_i \rangle + \varphi \langle f_j \rangle, \varphi \langle f''_i \rangle + \varphi \langle f''_j \rangle\} > 2\gamma \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(11) \quad \varphi\langle f'_i, f'_j \rangle > \gamma, \quad 1 \leq i \neq j \leq m$$

Thus f'_1, \dots, f'_m are an almost orthogonal system in the $v(t)$ -dimensional space $k(e_i)_{i \in J}$. Assume by way of contradiction that the f'_j were linearly dependent, $\sum_1^m \mu_i f'_i = 0$ and not all $\mu_i = 0$. Thus, for each

$$r \in \{1, \dots, m\}, 0 = \sum \mu_i \langle f'_i, f'_r \rangle$$

and so for each r

$$\varphi\langle f'_r \rangle + \varphi(\mu_r) = \varphi\left(-\sum_{j \neq r} \mu_j \langle f'_j, f'_r \rangle\right) \geq \min_{j \neq r} \{\varphi(\mu_j) + \varphi\langle f'_j, f'_r \rangle\}.$$

Therefore, by (10) and (11), $\varphi(\mu_r) > \min_{j \neq r} \{\varphi(\mu_j)\}$ which tells that there is no smallest $\varphi(\mu_r)$ at all, a contradiction. Therefore, f'_1, \dots, f'_m are linearly independent and so $m \leq v(t)$, QED. By Lemma 27 we thus obtain

COROLLARY 26. *The function v that counts types on an orthogonal basis of \mathfrak{E} is the same on all bases.*

VI.3. THE TYPE CONDITION. Let \mathfrak{E} be the completion of a \aleph_0 -dimensional space \mathfrak{F} which is spanned by an orthogonal basis $(e_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ that satisfies the type condition (Def. 21).

LEMMA 27. *Let $\mathcal{B} = (u_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a maximal orthogonal family in \mathfrak{E} . Then \mathcal{B} satisfies the type condition and $x = \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \langle x, u_i \rangle \langle u_i \rangle^{-1} u_i$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{E}$. In particular, the span of \mathcal{B} is dense in \mathfrak{E} .*

Proof. The assertion on the type condition follows directly from Lemma 25. Let then $x \in \mathfrak{E}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi\langle \langle x, u_i \rangle \langle u_i \rangle^{-1} u_i \rangle &= 2\varphi\langle x, u_i \rangle - \varphi\langle u_i \rangle \geq \varphi\langle x \rangle \\ &+ \varphi\langle u_i \rangle - \varphi\langle u_i \rangle = \varphi\langle x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the family of vectors $\langle x, u_i \rangle \langle u_i \rangle^{-1} u_i$ is bounded; in fact, it is a null sequence as \mathcal{B} satisfies the type condition, hence it is summable as \mathfrak{E} is complete. Put $\eta := \sum_{\mathbb{N}} \langle x, u_i \rangle \langle u_i \rangle^{-1} u_i$. We have $\langle u_i, \eta - x \rangle = \langle u_i, \eta \rangle - \langle u_i, x \rangle = 0$, so $x - \eta = 0$ as \mathcal{B} is a maximal orthogonal family. \square