

§0. Introduction

Objektyp: **Chapter**

Zeitschrift: **L'Enseignement Mathématique**

Band (Jahr): **32 (1986)**

Heft 1-2: **L'ENSEIGNEMENT MATHÉMATIQUE**

PDF erstellt am: **15.09.2024**

Nutzungsbedingungen

Die ETH-Bibliothek ist Anbieterin der digitalisierten Zeitschriften. Sie besitzt keine Urheberrechte an den Inhalten der Zeitschriften. Die Rechte liegen in der Regel bei den Herausgebern.

Die auf der Plattform e-periodica veröffentlichten Dokumente stehen für nicht-kommerzielle Zwecke in Lehre und Forschung sowie für die private Nutzung frei zur Verfügung. Einzelne Dateien oder Ausdrucke aus diesem Angebot können zusammen mit diesen Nutzungsbedingungen und den korrekten Herkunftsbezeichnungen weitergegeben werden.

Das Veröffentlichen von Bildern in Print- und Online-Publikationen ist nur mit vorheriger Genehmigung der Rechteinhaber erlaubt. Die systematische Speicherung von Teilen des elektronischen Angebots auf anderen Servern bedarf ebenfalls des schriftlichen Einverständnisses der Rechteinhaber.

Haftungsausschluss

Alle Angaben erfolgen ohne Gewähr für Vollständigkeit oder Richtigkeit. Es wird keine Haftung übernommen für Schäden durch die Verwendung von Informationen aus diesem Online-Angebot oder durch das Fehlen von Informationen. Dies gilt auch für Inhalte Dritter, die über dieses Angebot zugänglich sind.

INTRODUCTION TO MICROLOCAL ANALYSIS ¹⁾

by Masaki KASHIWARA

§ 0. INTRODUCTION

0.1. In this lecture, we explain the micro-local point of view (i.e. the consideration on the cotangent bundle) for the study of systems of linear differential equations.

0.2. The importance of the cotangent bundle in analysis has been recognized for a long time, although implicitly, for example by the following consideration.

We consider a linear differential operator

$$P(x, \partial) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_\alpha(x) \partial^\alpha \quad \text{with} \quad \partial^\alpha = (\partial/\partial x_1)^{\alpha_1} \dots (\partial/\partial x_n)^{\alpha_n}$$

for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$, and try to find a solution to $P(x, \partial)u(x) = 0$. If we suppose that $u(x)$ has a singularity along a hypersurface $f(x) = 0$, then the simplest possible form of $u(x)$ is

$$u(x) = c_0(x)f(x)^s + c_1(x)f(x)^{s+1} + \dots$$

Then setting $P_m(x, \xi) = \sum_{|\alpha|=m} a_\alpha(x)\xi^\alpha$ we have

$$(0.1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} P(x, \partial)u(x) &= s(s-1) \dots (s-m+1)c_0(x)P_m(x, df)f(x)^{s-m} + \dots \\ &+ (s+j) \dots (s+j-m+1)c_j(x)P_m(x, df) \\ &+ (\text{terms in } c_0, \dots, c_{j-1})f(x)^{s-j} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $P_m(x, df)$ must be a multiple of $f(x)$ (i.e. $P_m(x, df) = 0$ on $f^{-1}(0)$). In this case, $f^{-1}(0)$ is called characteristic.

Thus the hypersurface $f^{-1}(0)$ is not arbitrary and the singularity of the solution to $Pu(x) = 0$ has a very special form.

¹⁾ Survey lectures given at the University of Bern in June 1984 under the sponsorship of the International Mathematical Union.

This article has already been published in *Monographie de l'Enseignement Mathématique*, N° 32, Université de Genève, 1986.

0.3. If $P_m(x, \xi) \neq 0$ for any non-zero real vector ξ , then P is called an elliptic operator. In this case, one can easily solve $P(x, \partial)u(x) = f(x)$ for any $f(x)$, at least locally. We start from the plane wave decomposition of the δ -function.

$$(0.3.1) \quad \delta(x) = \text{const.} \int_{S^{n-1}} \frac{\omega(\xi)}{\langle x, \xi \rangle^n}$$

where $\omega(\xi)$ is the invariant volume element of the sphere S^{n-1} .

By formula (0.1.1), we can solve

$$P(x, \partial)K(x, y) = \frac{1}{(\langle x, \xi \rangle - \langle y, \xi \rangle)^n},$$

by setting $K(x, y) = \sum c_j (\langle x, \xi \rangle - \langle y, \xi \rangle)^{m-n+j}$ and determining c_j recursively. Then $K(x, y) = \text{const} \int K(x, y, \xi) \omega(\xi)$ satisfies

$$P(x, \partial)K(x, y) = \delta(x - y)$$

by (0.3.1).

If we set $u(x) = \int K(x, y) f(y) dy$ then $u(x)$ satisfies $P(x, \partial)u(x) = f(x)$.

In fact

$$P(x, \partial)u(x) = \int P(x, \partial)K(x, y) f(y) dy = \int \delta(x - y) f(y) dy = f(x).$$

0.4. By these considerations, M. Sato recognized explicitly the importance of the cotangent bundle by introducing the singular spectrum of functions and microfunctions [Sato]. For a real analytic manifold M , let \mathcal{A}_M be the sheaf of real analytic functions and \mathcal{B}_M the sheaf of hyperfunctions. Let $\pi: T^*M \rightarrow M$ be the cotangent bundle of M . Then he constructed the sheaf \mathcal{C}_M of microfunctions and an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_M \xrightarrow{\text{sp}} \pi_* \mathcal{C}_M \rightarrow 0.$$

The action of a differential operator $P(x, \partial)$ on \mathcal{B}_M extends to the action on \mathcal{C}_M .

Moreover $P: \mathcal{C}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_M$ is an isomorphism outside

$$\{(x, \xi) \in T^*M; P_m(x, \xi) = 0\}.$$

0.5. In the situation of § 0.2, $u(x) = c_0(x)f(x)^s + \dots$ satisfies $\text{supp sp}(u(x)) = \{\pm df(x)\}$. Therefore $P_m(x, df)$ must be zero if $P(x, \partial)u(x) = 0$. In fact otherwise the bijectivity of $P: \mathcal{C}_M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_M$ implies $\text{sp}(u) = 0$.

0.6. Such a method of studying functions or differential equations locally on the cotangent bundle is called microlocal analysis. After Sato's discovery of microfunctions, microlocal analysis was studied intensively in Sato-Kawai-Kashiwara [SKK].

Also L. Hörmander [H] worked in the C^∞ -case. Since then, microlocal analysis has been one of the most fundamental tools in the theory of linear partial differential equations.

§ 1. SYSTEMS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS (See [O], [Bj])

1.1. Let X be a complex manifold. A system of linear differential equations can be written in the form

$$(1.1.1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N_0} P_{ij}(x, \partial)u_j = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, N_1.$$

Here u_1, \dots, u_{N_0} denote unknown functions and the $P_{ij}(x, \partial)$ are differential operators on X . The holomorphic function solutions of (1.1.1) are simply the kernel of the homomorphism

$$(1.1.2) \quad P: \mathcal{O}_X^{N_0} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{N_1}$$

which assigns (v_1, \dots, v_{N_1}) to (u_1, \dots, u_{N_0}) , where $v_i = \sum_j P_{ij}(x, \partial)u_j$.

Let us denote by \mathcal{D}_X the ring of differential operators with holomorphic coefficients. Then

$$(1.1.3) \quad P: \mathcal{D}_X^{N_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_X^{N_0}$$

given by (Q_1, \dots, Q_{N_1}) to $(\sum Q_i P_{i1}, \dots, \sum Q_i P_{iN_0})$ is a left \mathcal{D}_X -linear homomorphism. If we denote by \mathcal{M} the cokernel of (1.1.3), then \mathcal{M} becomes a left \mathcal{D}_X -module and $\mathcal{H}om_{\mathcal{D}_X}(\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_X)$ is the kernel of (1.1.2). This means that the set of holomorphic solutions to $Pu = 0$ depends only on \mathcal{M} .

For this reason we mean by a system of linear differential equations a left \mathcal{D}_X -module.